Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Driving Force?

Motivations of Senior Mathematics Students enrolled in National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) Level III Statistics & Modelling.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the degree of:

Master of Education

Massey University,

Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Jackie Fraser Webb 2013

Abstract

The objective of this study was to explore how senior mathematical students made sense of their mathematical experience through the New Zealand National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) qualifications system, and from that exploration to understand what factors motivated these students and to which factors these students attributed their mathematical success.

The research is based on Constructivist Theory, with ethnomethodology as the methodological approach. The methodological tool utilised in the study was an online questionnaire. The students who completed the questionnaire attended an urban, co-educational, decile 8 secondary school in New Zealand. The participants were students aged 16 years old and over, enrolled in a full year NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling Achievement Standards programme in 2013.

The students in the sample were aware that there were set criteria within each grade for NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling assessment tasks. The students were mindful that achievement was measured in discrete units. Evidence from the research suggests that to maximise student learning within the NCEA qualifications system, Level 3 Statistics and Modelling needs to be taught as a collective, cohesive statistics curriculum.

The students in the sample attributed their highest mathematical outcomes to ability, effort and the teacher and their lowest mathematical outcomes to lack of ability, lack of effort and the level of difficulty of the assessment. The findings highlighted the importance of prioritising the teacher and student relationship. In the study, only 12% of students were convinced that their teacher was interested in them at an individual level. NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling teachers need to be aware of the influence of the teacher and student relationship on student achievement.

The findings from the research have implications for teaching and learning. The research evidence indicates a need for NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling students to be aware of the non-numerical content and grade criteria expectations of the Statistics and Modelling Achievement Standards prior to committing to the course.

Candidate's Statement

I certify that the research project entitled:

Driving Force?

Motivations of Senior Mathematics Students enrolled in National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) Level III Statistics & Modelling.

and submitted as part of the Master of Education, is the result of my own work except where otherwise acknowledged and that this research project (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for any other degree to any other university or institution.

Signed:	
	Jackie Fraser Webb
Date:	

Acknowledgements

"I can do all things through Christ which strengtheneth me."

Philippians 4:13, King James Version

I have been very blessed to have some amazing people share the journey to thesis completion. They have been my "Driving Force".

To the Board of Trustees, Principal and the Mathematics Department from the school who gave their consent for this study, thank you for your commitment to the research and for allowing access to your NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling classrooms. To the 98 students who shared their perceptions and beliefs about mathematics, thank you. I appreciate your participation, honesty and candidness.

To my supervisors at Massey University, thank you for your patience, guidance and encouragement. Doctor Tim Burgess, my thesis journey has been all the better for having shared it with you. I have hugely benefitted from your professional guidance, however, it has been your gracious calmness and kindness that has enabled me to reach completion. Professor Margaret Walshaw, I am very grateful to you for sharing your knowledge, experience and expertise in the field of mathematical education. Thank you also Margaret, for forever stamping out the semi-colon. It has been a privilege to share the drafts of the thesis with you both and have the benefit of your combined contribution.

I am very blessed to have a cohort of delicious "mummy friends". The influence of these special women is woven throughout the pages of this script. They have cared for my children, fed my family, proof read my work and let me speak incessantly about motivation while we swam and ran.

To my family, thank you for your love, tolerance and inspiration through the years of Masters study and research. Thank you to my parents for always believing in me and to my three precious children. I pray that you will have the same life-long love of learning as your Mum.

Finally, to my husband, the words of gratitude can never be enough. Without you the thesis would not have been possible. Thank you for being my driving force.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Candidate's Statement	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	V
List of Figures and Tables	viii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background to Research	2
1.2.1. Motivation	2
1.2.2 Mathematics	4
1.2.3 NCEA	4
1.2.4 NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling	6
1.3 Research Focus	7
1.4 Thesis Overview	7
1.5 Summary	8
Chapter Two: Literature Review	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Motivations for Learning	10
2.3 Attribution Theory	11
2.4 Self-Efficacy	12
2.5 Motivation and Mathematics	14
2.6 Motivation and NCEA	17
2.7 Summary	19
Chapter Three: Research Design	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Methodology	20
3.2.1 Theoretical Perspective: Constructivist Theory	21
3.2.2 Methodological Approach: Ethnomethodology	22
3.2.3 Method: Survey	22
3.2.4 Methodological Tool: Online Questionnaire	23
3.2.5 Data Analysis	28

3.3 The Research Project Process	30
3.3.1 The Research Setting	31
3.3.2 Participants in the Research	31
3.3.3 Ethical Considerations	32
3.3.4 The Mathematics Department	33
3.3.5 Pilot Study	33
3.3.6 Data Collection	33
3.3.7 Data Analysis Process	34
3.3.8 Presentation of Findings	35
3.4 Validity and Reliability	35
3.4.1 Validity	35
3.4.2 Reliability	36
3.6 Summary	37
Chapter Four: Findings	39
4.1 Introduction	39
4.2 Presentation of Findings	40
4.2.1 Questions 1 – 4: Demographics	40
4.2.2 Questions 5 - 8: General Experience of NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling	41
4.2.3 Questions 9 – 13: Experience of Achievement Standard 91580 Investigate Time S	
4.3 Summary	
Chapter Five: Data Analysis	58
5.1 Introduction	58
5.2 Making Sense of NCEA - Student Perceptions of NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling	g58
5.2.1 Credit Parity	59
5.2.2 Remodelling of Achievement Standards	60
5.2.2.1 Literacy Component	60
5.2.2.2 ICT	61
5.2.2.3 Influence of Assessment on Grades	62
5.2.2.4 Criterion Based Assessment	64
5.3 Motivations and Attributions	66
5.3.1 Externally Driven	66
5.3.2 Internally Driven	74
5.4 Summary	82

Chapter Six: Conclusion	85
6.1 Introduction	85
6.2 Summary of the Chapters	85
Chapter One: Introduction	85
Chapter Two: Literature Review	87
Chapter Three: Research Design	88
Chapter Four: Findings	88
Chapter Five: Data Analysis	90
6.3 Limitations of the Study	92
6.3.1. The Sample	92
6.3.2 The Questionnaire	92
6.3.3 The Complex Nature of Motivation	93
6.3.4 Personal Background	93
6.4 Implications for Teaching and Learning	94
6.5 Further Research	95
6.8 Concluding Thoughts	98
References	99
Appendices	103
Appendix A: Information Sheet	104
Appendix B: Questionnaire	107

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1.1: Attribution Theory	3
Figure 1.2: Self-efficacy Theory	3
Figure 1.3: Research Strands	7
Figure 1.4: Thesis Overview	8
Figure 2.1: Literature Review Process	9
Figure 2.2: Attribution, Efficacy, Anxiety and Mathematical Achievement Outcomes	14
Figure 3.1: The Research Methodology	20
Figure 3.2: The Research Project Process	30
Figure 3.3: Research Validity	35
Figure 4.1: Students' Experience of NCEA Level 3 Statistics and Modelling	44
Figure 4.2: Possible Influences on Highest Grades in Mathematics	48
Figure 4.3: Possible Influences on Lowest Grades in Mathematics	49
Figure 4.4: Students' Perceptions of Knowledge and Skills to Pass Investigate Time Series	50
Figure 4.5: Students' Perceptions of Relative Ease of Passing Investigate Time Series	51
Figure 4.6: Students' Grade Prediction for Investigate Time Series	54
Figure 5.1: Influence of the Assessment on Grades	63
Figure 5.2: Influence of the Teacher on Grades	68
Figure 5.3: Influence of Peer Group on Grades	70
Figure 5.4: Influence of Family / Whanau on Grades	71
Figure 5.5: Learning Environment	72
Figure 5.6: Influence of Luck on Grades	73
Figure 5.7: Influence of Ability on Grades	76
Figure 5.8: Expected Grade for Investigate Time Series	77
Figure 5.9: Working Towards Credits	79
Figure 5.10: Striving for Merit or Excellence	79
Figure 5.11: Influence of Effort on Grades	80

Tables

Table 2.1: Weiner's Attribution Model	11
Table 3.1: Question Summary	25
Table 3.2: Questionnaire Alterations from Survey of NCEA Goals	27
Table 4.1: Ethnicity	40
Table 4.2: Student Response to Question 5	42
Table 4.3: Attitudes Towards Mathematics	45
Table 4.4: Achievement Expectations	45
Table 4.5: Tertiary Requirements	46
Table 4.6: Mathematics Teacher	46
Table 4.7: Learning Environment	47
Table 4.8: Possible Influences on Highest Grades in Mathematics	48
Table 4.9: Possible influences on Lowest Grades in Mathematics	49
Table 4.10: Student Response to Question 11	52
Table 4.11: Student Response to Question 13	55