

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

PHOSPHORUS CYCLING  
IN  
GRAZED, STEEP HILL COUNTRY

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science  
at Massey University

Allan Gilbert Gillingham

1978

## ABSTRACT

Measurements of P uptake by pasture and P return in pasture litter and dung were made on two intensively grazed, north- and south-facing paddocks in steep hill country with soils of moderate to high P status. Both P uptake and more particularly the return of P in dung by grazing sheep, was high on the relatively level campsite areas but decreased sharply as ground surface slope increased. A high grazing pressure ensured that P return via litter was low relative to plant uptake.

A net P balance derived for differing paddock strata showed a large net annual gain of P on campsites (50.1 and 119.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on north and south aspects, respectively) but a considerable net P loss from both 25° slopes (19.5 and 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on north and south aspects, respectively) and 45° slopes (15.3 and 13.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> on north and south aspects, respectively). Differences between aspects in the net P balance could be explained by the overall difference in the topography of the two paddocks as it affected relative camping and grazing pressure on each stratum.

Subsequent simulation studies were conducted using a mathematical model based on field data from the north-aspect paddock and validated against results from the south-aspect paddock. Results obtained from the model indicated that the quantity of P transfer from slopes increased at a greater than directly proportionate rate as stocking rate increased and was also directly related to pasture P content.

Determination of relative root activity using <sup>32</sup>P showed that approximately 90% of P uptake by pasture in spring occurred from within 7cm of the soil surface. The greater proportion of this occurred within the 0-3cm soil depth. No significant P uptake occurred from depths greater than 30cm. Although the extent of P uptake from 0-3cm depth soil was

similar both upslope and downslope from a P source, the direction of predominant root activity at greater depths was affected by the steepness of slope, tending to be at an angle between vertical and that normal to the soil surface.

A technique was developed to characterize short term plant-available P, using both  $^{32}\text{P}$  and  $^{33}\text{P}$ . Results indicated that the  $^{32}\text{P}/^{33}\text{P}$  ratio of the water-extractable P fraction more closely resembled that in the plant than was the case for the Olsen P extract. Both ryegrass (Lolium perenne) and white clover (Trifolium repens) apparently utilized P from the same soil pool, the measured higher P content of ryegrass in this study being due only to a more extensive and rapidly developing root system than that of clover.

The addition to soil of P extracted by water from litter, dung, and superphosphate sources showed that all forms had similar effects in increasing the water-extractable and Olsen P levels in the soil. Thus it could be expected that P from these three sources would have a similar availability to plants. The results of these and also P desorption experiments were qualitatively similar to those derived from a simple Langmuir model, suggesting that sorption and desorption of P in the soil from the field area occurred at sites on the solid phase with predominantly uniform sorption characteristics.

Marked and largely unexplained variations in several soil parameters monitored over a year obscured the effects of P addition as a maintenance fertilizer application and also the net P transfer by grazing animals. In this and related situations, soil P analysis may not provide a sensitive measure of P status, except in the longer term. A more detailed examination is required to assess the usefulness of routine soil P analysis of hill

soils for advisory purposes. The significant net P transfer from slopes by grazing animals suggests that the complementary roles of grazing management and fertilizer requirements in hill country should also be examined further.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to the following people:

Professor J.K. Syers for guidance, direction, and stimulation throughout all stages of this study.

Dr. P.E.H. Gregg for many helpful discussions and comments.

Mr. R.W. Tillman for the development of computer programmes and for many valuable discussions.

The several staff from the Hill Country Section, Soil and Field Research Division, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries and Whatawhata Hill Country Research Station who assisted with the field work.

Jan, (my wife), for her encouragement, understanding, and assistance during the term of this study.

Dianne Syers for the excellent and patient typing of this thesis.

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to the New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries who granted me leave to undertake this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT . . . . .	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	v
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xi
LIST OF PLATES . . . . .	xiii
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	xiv
SECTION 1	
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
SECTION 2	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Soil P . . . . .	4
2.1.1 Forms of soil P . . . . .	4
2.1.2 The P sorption process . . . . .	7
2.1.3 Exchangeable P . . . . .	11
2.1.4 Assessment of plant-available P . . . . .	13
2.1.4.1 <u>Isotope methods</u> . . . . .	14
2.1.4.2 <u>Non-isotope methods</u> . . . . .	17
2.2 Plant P . . . . .	19
2.2.1 Factors affecting plant intake of P . . . . .	19
2.2.2 Factors affecting the rate of <u>P uptake</u> . . . . .	21
2.2.3 Plant P content . . . . .	22
2.2.4 Interspecies competition for P . . . . .	23
2.3 Animal P Intake . . . . .	25
2.4 P Return to Soil . . . . .	28
2.4.1 P return via animal dung . . . . .	29
2.4.1.1 <u>Dung distribution by grazing stock</u> . . . . .	29
2.4.1.2 <u>Dung excreted and area covered per excretion</u> . . . . .	31

	Page
2.4.1.3 <u>Dung P content</u> . . . . .	32
2.4.1.4 <u>Release of P from dung</u> . . . . .	34
2.4.2 P return via pasture litter . . . . .	35
2.4.2.1 <u>Types of litter</u> . . . . .	36
2.4.2.2 <u>Plant senescence</u> . . . . .	37
2.4.2.3 <u>Release of P from litter</u> . . . . .	38
2.5 Availability of Returned and Applied P . . . . .	42
2.5.1 P from organic residues . . . . .	43
2.5.2 P from fertilizer . . . . .	46
2.6 Above Ground P Losses . . . . .	49
2.6.1 P losses in animal products . . . . .	50
2.6.2 P losses by animal transfer . . . . .	51
2.6.3 P losses in surface runoff water . . . . .	51
2,7 General Conclusions . . . . .	53

### SECTION 3

#### PHOSPHORUS UPTAKE AND RETURN IN GRAZED, STEEP HILL PASTURES

3.1 Introduction . . . . .	55
3.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	57
3.2.1 Trial site . . . . .	57
3.2.2 Grazing management . . . . .	61
3.2.3 Sampling procedure . . . . .	61
3.2.4 Phosphorus uptake . . . . .	61
3.2.4.1 <u>Pasture dry matter production</u> . . . . .	61
3.2.4.2 <u>Assessment of P limitation to pasture dry matter production</u> . . . . .	62
3.2.4.3 <u>Pasture P concentration</u> . . . . .	63
3.2.5 Phosphorus return . . . . .	63
3.2.5.1 <u>Total dung dry weight</u> . . . . .	64
3.2.5.2 <u>Field trial dung for P analysis</u> . . . . .	64
3.2.5.3 <u>Dung P concentration</u> . . . . .	65
3.2.5.4 <u>Total litter dry weight</u> . . . . .	66
3.2.5.5 <u>Litter P concentration</u> . . . . .	66
3.2.6 Soil phosphorus . . . . .	68

	Page
3.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	68
3.3.1 Phosphorus <u>uptake</u> . . . . .	68
3.3.1.1 <u>Pasture dry matter production</u> . . . . .	68
3.3.1.2 <u>Assessment of P limitation to pasture growth</u> . . . . .	70
3.3.1.3 <u>Pasture species composition</u> . . . . .	71
3.3.1.4 <u>Pasture P concentration</u> . . . . .	74
3.3.1.5 <u>Total P uptake by pasture</u> . . . . .	78
3.3.2 Phosphorus return via dung . . . . .	78
3.3.2.1 <u>Total dung dry weight</u> . . . . .	78
3.3.2.2 <u>Estimated dung distribution pattern</u> . . . . .	82
3.3.2.3 <u>Dung P content</u> . . . . .	85
3.3.2.4 <u>Total P return in dung</u> . . . . .	94
3.3.3 Phosphorus return via pasture litter . . . . .	96
3.3.3.1 <u>Total litter dry weight</u> . . . . .	96
3.3.3.2 <u>Litter P content</u> . . . . .	98
3.3.3.3 <u>Total P return in litter</u> . . . . .	102
3.3.3.4 <u>Estimated pasture utilization by sheep</u> . . . . .	104
3.3.4 The phosphorus cycle . . . . .	107
3.3.4.1 <u>Annual uptake and return of P</u> . . . . .	107
3.3.4.2 <u>Seasonal uptake and return of P</u> . . . . .	110
3.3.4.3 <u>Dung P and litter P relationships</u> . . . . .	113
3.3.4.4 <u>Dung P return and net P balance relationships</u> . . . . .	116
3.3.5 Soil phosphorus . . . . .	121
3.3.5.1 <u>Total phosphorus</u> . . . . .	121
3.3.5.2 <u>Soil DIP</u> . . . . .	127
3.3.5.3 <u>Soil DOP</u> . . . . .	130
3.3.5.4 <u>Bicarbonate-extractable P</u> . . . . .	130
3.4 General Discussion . . . . .	134

## SECTION 4

UPTAKE ZONES FOR PHOSPHORUS BY PASTURE ON DIFFERING STRATA WITHIN A HILL Paddock

4.1 Introduction . . . . .	142
----------------------------	-----

	Page	
4.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	144
4.2.1	Treatments . . . . .	144
4.2.2	Isotope preparation . . . . .	145
4.2.3	Isotope placement . . . . .	147
4.2.4	Soil measurements . . . . .	148
4.2.5	Pasture harvesting . . . . .	148
4.2.6	Measurement of $^{32}\text{P}$ activity . . . . .	150
4.2.7	Processing of results . . . . .	151
4.3	Results and Discussion . . . . .	152
4.3.1	Sample preparation comparison . . . . .	152
4.3.2	Field results . . . . .	154
4.3.2.1	<u>P uptake after 2 days</u> . . . . .	156
4.3.2.2	<u>P uptake after 29 days</u> . . . . .	156
4.4	General Discussion . . . . .	169

## SECTION 5

### THE ASSESSMENT OF SOME P SORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOILS FROM THE FIELD TRIAL AREA

5.1	Introduction . . . . .	173
5.2	Materials and Methods . . . . .	175
5.2.1	Laboratory experiments . . . . .	175
5.2.1.1	<u>Measurements of P desorption</u> . . . . .	175
5.2.1.2	<u>Evaluation of P exchange reactions</u> . . . . .	177
5.2.1.3	<u>Measurements of P sorption</u> . . . . .	178
5.2.2	The Langmuir model . . . . .	179
5.2.2.1	<u>Measurements of P desorption (Langmuir model)</u> . . . . .	180
5.2.2.2	<u>Fate of an applied 'label' (Langmuir model)</u> . . . . .	180
5.2.2.3	<u>Measurements of P sorption</u> . . . . .	181
5.3	Results and Discussion . . . . .	181
5.3.1	Measurements of P desorption . . . . .	181
5.3.1.1	<u>Effect of water:soil ratio and extraction period on desorption of P</u> . . . . .	181
5.3.1.2	<u>Effect of successive extractions with water on desorption of P</u> . . . . .	184

	Page
5.3.1.3 <u>Effect of antecedent soil moisture content on desorption of P</u> . . . . .	188
5.3.2 Evaluation of P exchange reactions . . . . .	191
5.3.2.1 <u>Fate of applied carrier-free <sup>32</sup>P</u> . . . . .	191
5.3.2.2 <u>Effect of soil P status on net sorption of applied carrier-free <sup>32</sup>P from soil solution</u> . . . . .	195
5.3.3 Effects of the addition to soil of P from various sources . . . . .	200
5.3.3.1 <u>Changes in extract <sup>31</sup>P content</u> . . . . .	200
5.3.3.2 <u>Changes in extract <sup>32</sup>P content</u> . . . . .	206
5.4 General Discussion . . . . .	208

## SECTION 6

### CHARACTERIZATION OF SHORT-TERM, PLANT-AVAILABLE P USING A DOUBLE LABELLING TECHNIQUE

6.1 Introduction . . . . .	213
6.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	214
6.2.1 Preparation of plants . . . . .	214
6.2.2 Preparation of soil . . . . .	216
6.2.3 Sampling and analysis procedures . . . . .	216
6.2.3.1 <u>Soil analysis</u> . . . . .	216
6.2.3.2 <u>Plant sampling and analysis</u> . . . . .	217
6.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	218
6.3.1 Soil <sup>31</sup> P levels . . . . .	218
6.3.2 Plant <sup>31</sup> P levels . . . . .	220
6.3.3 Soil and plant <sup>32</sup> P: <sup>33</sup> P ratios . . . . .	220
6.4 General Discussion . . . . .	223

## SECTION 7

### SIMULATION OF "ABOVE-GROUND" COMPONENTS OF THE P CYCLE IN STEEP, GRAZED PASTURE

7.1 Introduction . . . . .	227
----------------------------	-----

	Page
7.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	228
7.2.1 Effect of stocking rate on the net P balance on each stratum . . . . .	229
7.2.2 Effect of overall pasture utilization level on the net P balance on each stratum . . . . .	230
7.2.3 Effect of pasture production level on the net P balance on each stratum . . . . .	230
7.2.4 Effect of pasture P content on the net P balance on each stratum . . . . .	231
7.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	231
7.3.1 Model validation . . . . .	231
7.3.2 Model manipulations . . . . .	233
7.3.2.1 <u>Effect of stocking rate on the</u> <u>net P balance on each stratum</u> . . . . .	233
7.3.2.2 <u>Effect of overall pasture utilization</u> <u>level on the net P balance on each</u> <u>stratum</u> . . . . .	235
7.3.2.3 <u>Effect of pasture production and P</u> <u>concentration level on the net P</u> <u>balance on each stratum</u> . . . . .	237
7.4 General Discussion . . . . .	237
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	243
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	247
APPENDICES . . . . .	281

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
FIGURE A	
Slope:area distribution curves for the total area, for campsites and for tracks in paddocks of both north- and south-facing aspects . . . . .	285
2.1 Components of the P cycle in grazed, fertilized pasture . . . . .	5
3.1 Relationship between the concentration of DIP and TP in pasture . . . . .	77
3.2 Annual total P uptake by pasture and total P return in litter and dung, and net total P balance ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) including Standard Errors of estimate for each value . . . . .	108
3.3 Relationship between total P return in litter and total P return in dung in each season (as % of P uptake by pasture) . . . . .	115
3.4 Relationship between net total P balance and total P return in dung in each season ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	117
3.5 Relationship between net total P balance and total P return in dung in each season (as % of total P uptake by pasture) . . . . .	120
3.6 Soil total P content ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) on campsites . . . . .	122
3.7 Soil total P content ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) on $25^\circ$ slopes . . . . .	123
3.8 Soil total P content ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) on $45^\circ$ slopes . . . . .	124
3.9 Soil DIP ( as % of TP) . . . . .	128
3.10 Olsen P (as % of TP) . . . . .	133
4.1 Effect of sample size on measured $^{32}\text{P}$ at three levels of activity . . . . .	153
4.2 Lateral and vertical distribution of relative root activity (%) (Corrected for soil P status at each depth) . . . . .	161
4.3 Lateral and vertical distribution of relative root activity (%) adjacent to stock tracks (Corrected for soil P status at each depth) . . . . .	163

	Page	
4.4	Relative root activity at each depth for the north campsite, north 25° slopes, and north 45° slopes, and estimated integrated relative root activity for each soil depth range on north campsite, north 25° slope and north 45° slope . . . . .	165
4.5	Calculated mid point of lateral root activity distribution at each sampling depth and derivation of the direction of predominant root activity on each stratum . . . . .	167
5.1	Effect of water:soil ratio and extraction period on desorption of P from north 25° slope soil . . . . .	182
5.2	Relationship between DIP release per extraction and cumulative total DIP release . . . . .	185
5.3	Relationship between P release per extraction at a 40:1 water:soil ratio (Langmuir model) . . . . .	186
5.4	Effect of antecedent soil moisture on desorption of DIP . . . . .	190
5.5	Fate of carrier-free <sup>32</sup> P applied to north 25° slope soil during subsequent incubation . . . . .	193
5.6	Change in isotope content during incubation of water and Olsen P extracts from soils of differing P status . . . . .	196
5.7	Change in isotope content (Relative Specific Activity) during incubation of water and Olsen P extracts from soils of differing P status . . . . .	199
5.8	Change in <sup>31</sup> P content of A water-extractable P (10:1 ratio), B water-extractable P (40:1 ratio) and C Olsen P at various times following addition to soil of water-extractable P from superphosphate, litter, dung and control - nil added P . . . . .	201
5.9	Change in <sup>32</sup> P content of A water-extractable P (10:1 ratio), B water-extractable P (40:1 ratio) and C Olsen P at various times following addition to soil of <sup>32</sup> P-labelled water-extractable P from superphosphate, litter, dung and control - carrier free <sup>32</sup> P added . . . . .	207

## LIST OF PLATES

	Page
PLATE 3.1 Study area paddock with a north-facing aspect . . .	58
3.2 Study area paddock with a south-facing aspect . . .	58
3.3 Dung and litter collection plot. Dung was collected from the complete (0.2 m <sup>2</sup> ) plot and litter was collected from only the 8 subplots occupying the central and left side longitudinal thirds . . . . .	67
4.1 Equipment used for loading gelatin capsules with <sup>32</sup> P, showing capsule holder in position on the mobile platform of the modified beam balance . . . . .	146
4.2 Wooden template in position above the "hot line" with rods used to make isotope placement holes to required depth . . . . .	146
4.3 Pasture on a 25 <sup>o</sup> slope plot prior to harvest 29 days following isotope placement. Perspex sheet in position to shield operator from the "hot line" and battery-powered harvesting shears ready for use . . . . .	149
6.1 Ryegrass grown in sand within nested pots (left side) and showing root development (centre) prior to placement in contact with isotope-labelled and incubated soil (right side) . . . . .	215

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
TABLE 3.1 Paddock slope composition (% of total area per stratum) . . . . .	60
3.2 Seasonal and annual pasture production (kgDM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) from each stratum . . . . .	69
3.3 Seasonal and annual pasture production from topdressed and untopdressed slope strata (kgDM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	72
3.4 Pasture species composition (% by wt.) in each season . . . . .	73
3.5 Amounts of P forms in pasture in each season . . . . .	75
3.6 Seasonal and annual uptake of P by pasture on each stratum (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	79
3.7 Seasonal and annual deposition of dung on each stratum (kgDM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	80
3.8 Estimated time required for dung from grazing sheep to completely cover various proportions of each stratum . . . . .	84
3.9 Dry matter, TDP and TP content of whole, undried sheep dung from "improved" compared with "unimproved" pasture . . . . .	86
3.10 Amounts of P forms ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in variously prepared forms of dung as determined by two methods of analysis . . . . .	87
3.11 Amounts of water-extractable P ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in variously prepared forms of dung . . . . .	89
3.12 Water-extractable P removed from oven-dried, crushed dung by both repeated and prolonged extractions . . . . .	90
3.13 Amounts of P forms in dung collected at each stratum . . . . .	93
3.14 Seasonal and annual return of P in dung to each stratum (kgDM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	95
3.15 Seasonal and annual pasture litter accumulation on each stratum (kgDM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	97

	Page	
TABLE 3.16	Amounts of P forms in litter collected at each season . . . . .	99
3.17	Effect of sample condition on amounts of water-extractable inorganic (DIP) and organic P (DOP) in autumn litter . . . . .	101
3.18	Seasonal and annual return of total P in litter to each stratum (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	103
3.19	Estimated seasonal and annual utilization of pasture on each stratum by grazing sheep . . . . .	105
3.20	Seasonal total P net balance on each stratum . . . . .	111
3.21	Seasonal total P net balance on each stratum assuming a 3-month delay in availability of P from litter and dung (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) . . . . .	112
3.22	Organic matter content of soil on each stratum at four sampling dates (% by wt.) . . . . .	126
3.23	Water-extractable organic P content of soil on each stratum (as % of TP) . . . . .	131
4.1	Mean <sup>32</sup> P levels (cpm) measured in whole, undried pasture two days following isotope placement in soil at various depths . . . . .	157
4.2	Relative root activity (%) at various soil depths on each stratum estimated both with and without correction for soil Olsen P values . . . . .	158
4.3	Soil characteristics on each stratum at trial commencement (13.9.76) and also soil moisture content at final harvest - North aspect . . . . .	159
5.1	Effect of solution soil ratio on extraction of P (Langmuir model) . . . . .	183
5.2	Total water extractable P (TDP) from air-dried soil from each stratum of the field trial area and moisture content of each soil during subsequent incubation . . . . .	189
5.3	Effect of soil P status on fate of applied label and time required to reach equilibrium (Langmuir model) . . . . .	197

	Page
TABLE 5.4	
Effect of soil P status on fate of applied P (Langmuir model) . . . . .	203
5.5	
Status of several soil P fractions after 53 days incubation both with and without addition of water-extractable P from pasture litter, dung and superphosphate sources ( $\mu\text{g P g}^{-1}$ ). . . . .	205
6.1	
Soil P levels before plant P uptake and soil and plant P levels after plant P uptake ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) . . . . .	219
6.2	
$^{32}\text{P}$ : $^{33}\text{P}$ ratios in soil before plant P uptake and in soil and plant material after plant uptake ( $^{32}\text{Pcpm}$ : $^{33}\text{Pcpm}$ ) . . . . .	222
7.1	
Simulated P uptake and return in paddocks on north- and south-facing aspects (Section 3) incorporating parameter values measured in the field . . . . .	232
7.2	
Simulated P uptake and return in paddocks of differing slope composition at both full and half maximum stocking rates . . . . .	234
7.3	
Simulated P uptake and return at maximum pasture grazing utilization levels 65, 70 and 55% of pasture growth on campsites, $25^{\circ}$ slopes and $45^{\circ}$ slopes respectively in paddocks of differing average slope . . . . .	236
7.4	
Simulated P uptake and return at (i) pasture production levels equivalent to 80% of those set in Section 7.2.1 and (ii) at a pasture P content of $3500\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ using the same pasture production levels set in Section 7.2.1. . . . .	238