Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Exploring the associations between sweet taste perception and habitual dietary intake in New Zealand European women

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Nutrition and Dietetics

at Massey University, Albany
New Zealand

Shivon Lata Singh 2018

Abstract

Background: Obesity is a global epidemic, leading to the development of chronic diseases. Sweet taste perception has been identified as a driver of habitual dietary intake, thus may contribute to excessive weight gain. Investigating these associations in New Zealand (NZ) European women may provide insight into the factors leading to obesity.

Aim: To investigate sweet taste perception and habitual dietary intake in a group of NZ women of two distinct body mass index (BMI) groups, obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) and normal (BMI \geq 18.5 - 24.9 kg/m²), aged between 18-45 years, and to identify potential associations between these factors.

Methods: One hundred and forty eight NZ European women, aged 18-45 years, were recruited. Participants were presented with four different aqueous glucose concentrations to assess sweet taste perception. Sweet hedonic liking and perceived intensity of each concentration were rated on a general Labelled Magnitude Scale. Participants completed a 220-item validated food frequency questionnaire to assess dietary intake. Height and weight were measured to calculate BMI (kg/m²).

Results: Negative correlations between sweet hedonic liking and perceived sweet taste intensity were observed at the two highest glucose concentrations for the obese group, and at all four concentrations for the normal BMI group. Carbohydrate and sugar intake was significantly correlated with liking for the obese BMI group (r = 0.337, p = 0.004, and r = 0.313, p = 0.008, respectively). Significant associations between intensity ratings were found for the normal BMI group and with intake of fats, with polyunsaturated fat displaying the strongest correlation (r = 0.300, p = 0.008). Positive correlations between intake of desserts and liking ratings (r = 0.257, p = 0.032), and intake of starchy vegetables and intensity ratings (r = 0.298, p = 0.012) were observed for the obese BMI group at the highest glucose concentration.

Conclusion: The present study highlights a clear BMI-specific association between hedonic liking and perceived intensity of sweet taste, with intake of macronutrients and sugars, and with intake of sweet food groups, contributing to our understanding of the underlying aetiology leading to the development of obesity and chronic disease.

Key words: sweet taste perception, sweet hedonic liking, perceived sweet taste intensity, habitual dietary intake, obesity

Acknowledgements

There are a number of people I would like to thank for their involvement in this thesis project.

Firstly, the PROMISE study would not have been successful without the support of the Health Research Council of New Zealand and the women who took part in the study. Thank you all for your contributions in making this research possible. Also, thank you to all the members of the PROMISE team for your dedication and effort, it was great sharing this experience with you.

To my supervisor, Professor Bernhard Breier, thank you for your expertise. To Dr Marilize Richter, thank you for your ongoing support, commitment, and expertise, you really helped me to reach the finish line and I cannot express how thankful I am.

Thank you to Sophie Kindleysides for your positive encouragement, motivating chats, and words of wisdom over the past two years, I will be forever grateful for your support.

To my mum, Veena and sister, Sharleen, thank you for helping me deal with all my stressedout moments over the course of this thesis, and for being the best support crew I could have asked for.

A big thank you to my partner, Michael, for being on this journey with me and supporting me through all the ups and downs. Thank you for your endless patience and relentless support, I truly would not have been able to do this without you.

Lastly, to all my friends and family who have encouraged and supported me over the past two years, thank you for keeping me motivated and always pushing me to do my best.

Table of Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgements	II
Table of Contents	III
List of Tables	VI
List of Figures	VII
Abbreviation List	VIII
Chapter 1 – Introduction	1
1.1 Background and study justification	1
1.2 Purpose of the study	2
1.3 Aims	3
1.4 Objectives	3
1.5 Hypothesis	3
1.6 Thesis structure	4
1.7 Researcher's contributions to the study	5
Chapter 2 - Literature review	6
2.1 Introduction	
	6
2.1 Introduction	6 6
2.1 Introduction	6 6
2.1 Introduction	6 6 6
2.1 Introduction	6 6 7
2.1 Introduction	6 6 7 8
2.1 Introduction	66788
2.1 Introduction	667889
2.1 Introduction	667889
2.1 Introduction	
2.1 Introduction	
2.1 Introduction	
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Obesity	
2.1 Introduction 2.2 Obesity	

2.5.1 Gustation	15
2.5.2 Physiology of taste	17
2.5.3 Sweet taste	18
2.5.3.1 Functions of the sweet taste receptor	19
2.5.3.2 Measuring sweet taste perception	19
2.5.4 How taste perception leads to food selection	20
2.5.5 Link between sweet taste perception and intake of sweet foods	20
2.5.6 Differences in taste perception	21
2.6 The link between sweet taste and BMI	22
2.7 Summary	27
Chapter 3 - Research study manuscript	28
3.1 Abstract	28
3.2 Introduction	29
3.3 Methods	30
3.3.1 Study design	30
3.3.2 Participants	30
3.3.3 Sweet taste perception procedure	31
3.3.4. Aqueous sweet sample preparation	32
3.3.5 Food frequency questionnaire	32
3.3.6 Dietary data measurements	32
3.3.7 Misreporting criteria	33
3.3.6 Statistical analysis	34
3.4 Results	35
3.4.1 Participant characteristics	35
3.4.2 Sweet hedonic liking and perceived sweet taste intensity	36
3.4.2 Relationship between sweet hedonic liking ratings and perceived sweet intensity ratings	36
3.4.3 Energy and macronutrient distribution profiles	38
3.4.4 Sweet food categories	39
3.4.5 Top 30 sweet food items	40
3.4.6 Associations between sweet hedonic liking ratings and energy and macronutrient profiles	
3.4.7 Association between perceived sweet taste intensity ratings and energy and macronutrient profiles	43
3.4.8 Association between sweet hedonic liking ratings and intake of sweet food categorical control of the cont	ories
	40

3.4.9 Association between perceived sweet taste intensity ratings categories	
3.5 Discussion	49
3.5.1 Hedonic liking and perceived sweet taste intensity	49
3.5.2 Energy and macronutrient distribution profiles	50
3.5.3 Differences between intake of sweet foods	51
3.5.4 Associations between sweet taste perception, hedonic prefemacronutrient profiles and intake of sweet foods	
3.5.5 Additional limitations of the study	53
3.6 Conclusions	54
References	56
Chapter 4 - Conclusion-recommendations	58
4.1 Study summary	58
4.2 Study strengths	58
4.3 Limitations	60
4.4 Recommendations for future research	61
4.5 Conclusion	62
References	63
Appendix A – Supplementary methods	69
Appendix B – Supplementary results	75
Appendix C – Questionnaires and materials used	77

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Researchers who contributed to the PROMISE study5
Table 2.1. Internationally-recognised classification of adult body mass index (BMI)
Table 2.2. Strengths and weaknesses of dietary assessment tools 11
Table 2.3. Classification of taste bud cell types 17
Table 2.4. Taste modalities and their nutritionally relevant food components 18
Table 2.5. Summary of studies investigating sweet taste perception and dietary intake in females 24
Table 3.1. Aqueous sample preparation for sweet taste perception testing 32
Table 3.2 Sweet food groupings used for dietary analysis 33
Table 3.3. Participant characteristics for total group, and compared between obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups
Table 3.4. Sweet hedonic liking and perceived sweet taste intensity ratings for four sweet taste concentrations compared between obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups36
Table 3.5. Energy and macronutrient distribution profiles compared between obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups
Table 3.6. Frequency of intake of sweet food categories compared between obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups
Table 3.7. Top 30 sweet food items ranked by total intake (DFE) compared between obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups
Table 3.8. Correlation coefficients of the association between hedonic liking of sweet taste and total intake of sweet food groups in obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups47
Table 3.9. Correlation coefficients of the association between perceived sweet taste intensity and total intake of sweet food groups in obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups48
Table A.1. Standardised protocol for anthropometric measurements 69
Table A.2. Aqueous sample preparation for sweet taste perception testing for greater than five participants 71
Table A.3. Conversion of food frequency responses to daily frequency equivalents (DFE)
Table A.4. Assumptions for entering food frequency questionnaire data into FoodWorks72
Table A.5. Equations for estimating basal metabolic rate (BMR) in megajoules (MJ) from body weight for females 74
Table B.1. Correlation coefficients of the association between sweet hedonic liking of sweet taste and energy and macronutrient profiles in obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups
Table B.2. Correlation coefficients of the association between perceived sweet taste intensity ratings and macronutrient profiles in obese and normal body mass index (BMI) groups76

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. The prevalence of obesity in New Zealand (NZ) women by ethnic group8
Figure 3.1. Outline of the recruitment process
Figure 3.2. Scatterplot of the relationship between sweet hedonic liking and perceived sweet taste intensity for four sweet taste concentrations: (a) obese BMI group ($N = 70$), (b) normal BMI group ($N = 78$)
Figure 3.3. Correlation between hedonic liking rating for 1300mM and (a) intake of protein (as a % of total energy); (b) intake of carbohydrate; (c) intake of carbohydrate (as a % of total energy); (d) intake of total sugars for the obese BMI group
Figure 3.4. Correlation between hedonic liking rating for 1300mM and (a) intake of intake of carbohydrate (as a % of total energy); (b) intake of total fat; (c) intake of monounsaturated fat; (d) intake of polyunsaturated fat for the normal BMI group
Figure 3.5. Correlation between perceived sweet taste intensity rating for 1300mM and (a) intake of total fat; (b) intake of monounsaturated fat; (c) intake of polyunsaturated fat for the normal BMI group
Figure A.1. Example of the general Labelled Magnitude Scale (gLMS) used to assess hedonic preference and perceived intensity of sweet taste70

Abbreviation List

AMDR	Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range
ATP	Adenosine Triphosphate
BF%	body fat percentage
BIA	bioelectrical impendence analysis
вмі	Body Mass Index
DFE	Daily Frequency Equivalent
DLW	doubly labelled water
EAR	Estimated Average Requirement
EER	Estimated Energy Requirement
FFQ	food frequency questionnaire
GLAST	glutamate aspartate transporter
gLMS	general Labelled Magnitude Scale
GLP-1	Glucagon-like peptide-1
GPCR	G-protein-coupled receptors
NRV	Nutrient Reference Values
NTPDase2	nucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase-2
NZ	New Zealand
PROMISE	PRedictors linking Obesity and gut MIcrobiomE
PROP	6-n-propylthiouracil
ROMK	renal outer medullary potassium channel
SD	standard deviation
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphisms
TAS1R2	taste receptor type 1 member 2
TAS1R3	taste receptor type 1 member 3
TE	total energy
WHR	waist:hip ratio