

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Quantitative Markers of Phase Change, and Modelling the Size and Complexity of Trees

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Plant Biology

at the
Institute of Molecular BioSciences
Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Miloš Sismilich

2001

IV

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO EMBARGO A THESIS (Pursuant to AC98/168 (Revised 2), Approved by Academic Board 16.02.99)

Name of Candidate: Milos SISMILICH I.D. Number: 93117441
Degree: Ph.D. Dept / Institute / School: Inst. Mol. BioSciences
Thesis Title: "Quantitative Markers of Phase Change, and
Modelling the Size and Complexity of Trees"
Name of Chief Supervisor: Dr John Clemens Telephone Ext: 2570.

As author of the above named thesis, I request that my thesis be embargoed from public access until (date) 31 December 2002 for the following reasons:

- ☒ Thesis contains commercially sensitive information.
- ☐ Thesis contains information which is personal or private and / or which was given on the basis that it not be disclosed.
- ☒ Immediate disclosure of thesis contents would not allow the author a reasonable opportunity to publish all or part of the thesis.
- ☒ Other (specify) : Patent application under consideration

Please explain here why you think this request is justified:

1) Several aspects of the thesis could earn the author and the University an income if protected and developed viz. the recording system for architectural information, the software for analysis of data and parameters calculation, the novel parameters developed and the know-how associated with the use of these technologies

2) Because of personal circumstances it has not been possible to prepare manuscript

Signed (Candidate): [Signature] Date: 18.10.2001

Endorsed (Chief Supervisor): [Signature] Date: 19/10/01

Approved / ~~Not Approved~~ (Representative of VC): [Signature] Date: 19/10/2001

Note: Copies of this form, once approved by the representative of the Vice Chancellor, must be bound into every copy of the thesis.

ABSTRACT

Quantitative markers of ontogenetic phase change were sought to track the restoration of the adult state in plants of *Metrosideros excelsa* (pohutukawa) that had been rejuvenated by micropropagation (plantlets). The potential markers of leaf carbon isotope discrimination and tree architecture were examined in association with leaf morphology for plantlets, and juvenile and adult plants at a range of temperatures (32/24, 24/16 and 16/8 °C day/night). Changes in leaf morphology of plantlets and juvenile plants that were indicative of vegetative phase change were associated with a decrease in carbon isotope discrimination. Phase change, judged by these two markers, occurred most rapidly at 24/16 °C, and in plantlets faster than in juvenile plants. Adult plants showed long-term stability.

It was hypothesised that phase change could be quantified by changes in plant growth rate, expressed through canopy topological size and complexity parameters. A model of tree architecture (the *Metrosideros* Model) was developed that would allow tree size and 2D structural complexity to be recorded and analysed quantitatively. A further hypothesis was that juvenile plants and plantlets must attain a certain size and/or structural complexity before passing to the adult state and this was evaluated using the *Metrosideros* Model. Dynamics of growth and structural change were examined using both non-linear and linear analyses. The *Metrosideros* Model was successfully tested, confirming the hypothesis of quantitative differences between juvenile plants, plantlets and adult plants in structural complexity and branching patterns. The model was able to separate parameters of plant size from those of structural complexity. Complexity was indicative of ontogenetic state, and tracked the progress of phase change in juvenile plants and plantlets independently of temperature. Adult plant parameters of structural complexity, as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, also remained stable at all temperatures. On the other hand, the growth rate of size parameters was not associated with phase change, but was responsive to temperature.

It was concluded that while leaf morphology, carbon isotope discrimination and crown architecture can be used to track phase change, each relates to a program of

change that might occur largely independently of others. Crown architecture was less affected by temperature than were leaf characteristics, and was, therefore, the most reliable marker of phase change of those studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are so many people that I would like to thank for their help and consideration with my research and personal life that I have to first apologise for not naming them all; not because I am not grateful for what they did for me, and I really am, but because I don't want to write another thesis right now.

I am immensely grateful to my supervisors Dr. John Clemens and Prof. Paula Jameson (both from the Institute of Molecular BioSciences, Massey) who helped me all the way through; John with everything, and Paula always being there helping and making sure that we didn't lose the big picture. Thanks for your continuous encouragement, discussions, and patience with my research as well as my private life.

Thanks are expressed to the Massey University Research Fund, and the Agricultural and Marketing Research and Development Trust (AGMARDT) for a doctoral scholarship (Grant No. 1243 "Production Systems for Flowering Pohutukawa"). Also thanks to HortResearch New Zealand for making the facilities of National Climate Laboratory available for experiments and for the staff ensuring smooth day-to-day running.

I am grateful to Dr. Paul Gandar, then from HortResearch New Zealand who introduced me to the topic of architecture modelling. I appreciate the help of Andrew who helped with architecture measurements.

My thanks also goes to Forest Research who kindly provided facilities and help in the advanced stage of analysis and writing up. Dr Mike Menzies who supervised me at Forest Research, Mark Kimberly who helped with statistical analyses, Nebojsa Djorovich for mathematical and software help, and fellow students Adrian, Kai and Ralf for sharing the understanding of the PhD 'mill'. Special thanks to Prof. Wesley Hackett, whom I was privileged to meet at Forest Research, for his infectious enthusiasm for the phase change phenomenon, encouragement, helpful suggestions and comments on writing. Thanks also to the people at Computer Help Desk (Debbie), Library, Graphics, and the Copy Center for having the answers to my problems and Tania who smiled at me every morning and especially for the 'one' day she sent the thesis for final printing from her more reliable computer.

I thank Pat from the Rotorua tennis club for keeping me fit and all the guys on Tuesdays and Thursdays nights for the 'sensational' hits.

I am very grateful to my family and friends in New Zealand and back in the Czech Republic for encouragement and help whenever needed; especially my mother and my god father Dr. Antonin Jiri Sismilich.

Last but not least I **THANK** my children, **Jan** and **Dita**, for their patience and joy that they gave me during those demanding years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii – iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v -
List of Plates	
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
List of Abbreviations	

CHAPTER ONE

1.1 General Introduction	2
1.1.1 <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> (pohutukawa)	3
1.1.2 Commercial propagation of <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> and the loss of ability to flower	5
1.1.3 Phase change in <i>Metrosideros</i> species	7
1.2 Literature review	9
1.2.1 Terminology	9
1.2.2 Quantitative expression of phase change	10
1.2.3 Carbon isotope discrimination as a marker of phase change	16
1.2.3.1 Theoretical basis of carbon isotope discrimination in plants	16
1.2.3.2 Factors responsible for variation of leaf carbon isotope discrimination	19
1.2.3.3 Carbon isotope discrimination and phase change	22
1.2.4 Plant architecture as a marker of phase change	26
1.2.4.1 Phase change, plant size and complexity	26
1.2.4.2 The expression of plant architecture parameters	32
1.2.4.3 Modelling plant architecture	34
1.2.4.4 Topological recording of plant architecture	35
1.2.4.5 Architecture units and recording	36
1.2.4.6 Tree architecture models	39
1.2.4.7 Methods for recording dynamic growth and development	45
1.2.4.8 Van Pelt's topological model and its relevance to botanical trees	46

1.2.4.9 Architecture parameters	52
1.2.5 Metric qualities of topological structures	59
1.2.6 Mathematical and dynamic modelling of growth and development	62
1.2.6.1 Data suitability	62
1.2.6.2 Mathematical functions of biological growth	63
1.2.6.3 Mathematical growth modelling and the expression of size	66
1.2.6.3 Applications of dynamic growth models	67
1.3 Research aims and objectives	69

CHAPTER TWO

Carbon isotope discrimination as a marker of phase change

2.1 Introduction	71
2.2 Material and Methods	72
2.2.1 Plant material	72
2.2.2 Temperature treatments and growth conditions	73
2.2.3 Collection of leaf samples	76
2.2.3.1 Carbon isotope composition analysis	76
2.2.3.2 Image analysis	77
2.2.4 Statistical analysis	77
2.3 Results	78
2.3.1 Overall analysis of leaf carbon isotope composition	78
2.3.2 Carbon isotope composition in leaves expanded during the experiment	79
2.3.3 Leaf morphology and optical properties	80
2.4 Discussion	85
2.4.1 Phase change and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in plantlets and juvenile plants	85
2.4.2 Effects of temperature on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	88
2.4.3 Carbon isotope discrimination as a marker of phase change	29
2.5 Conclusion	90

CHAPTER THREE

Development of the Metrosideros Model

3.1 Introduction	91
3.2 Methods	92

3.2.1 Methodology for building the Metrosideros Model	92
3.2.2 Units and construction of the Metrosideros Model	93
3.2.3 Topological recording	98
3.2.4 Expression of topological parameters in the Metrosideros Model	99
3.2.5 Computerised calculations of topological parameters	102
3.3 Results	109
3.3.1 Testing the Metrosideros Model parameters	109
3.4 Discussion	114
3.5 Conclusion	119

CHAPTER FOUR

Testing the suitability of the Metrosideros Model for dynamic plant growth analysis

4.1 Introduction	120
4.2 Methods	123
4.2.1 Dynamic data of architectural parameters	123
4.2.2 Topological parameters	124
4.2.2.1 Topological size	124
4.2.2.2 Topological size-complexity factor	124
4.2.2.3 Topological complexity (Mean Centrifugal Order Number)	125
4.2.3 Visual examination of experimental data	125
4.2.4 Screening for a suitable biological growth function	126
4.2.5 Growth function fitting	130
4.2.6 Statistical assessments of the growth function's suitability	132
4.2.7 Analysis of modelled growth	133
4.2.7.1 Growth function shape	133
4.2.7.2 Relative growth rate analyses	134
4.2.7.3 Inclusion and exclusion of the growth lag period	135
4.3 Results	135
4.3.1 Topological size-complexity factor	136
4.3.1.1 Growth analysis including the lag period	136
4.3.1.2 Growth analysis for size-complexity excluding the growth lag period	140
4.3.2 Topological size	143

4.3.2.1 Growth analysis including the growth lag phase	143
4.3.2.2 Growth analysis for size excluding the growth lag period	146
4.3.3 Topological complexity	147
4.3.3.1 Growth analysis including the growth lag period	148
4.3.3.2 Growth analysis for complexity excluding the growth lag period	150
4.3.4 Analysis of growth parameter b	152
4.4 Discussion	154
4.4.1 Method of growth analysis	154
4.4.2 Testing the Metrosideros Model	159
4.4.3 Experimental factors	162
4.5 Conclusion	166

CHAPTER FIVE

Detailed analysis of crown architecture using the Metrosideros Model

5.1 Introduction	168
5.2 Methods for the calculation of advanced architectural parameters	169
5.2.1 Topological orders analyses	169
5.2.1.1 Distribution of units	170
5.2.1.2 Distribution of apices	170
5.2.1.3 Distribution of branching points	171
5.2.1.4 Branching probabilities	171
5.2.2 Branch analyses	173
5.2.2.1 Length-order analyses	173
5.2.2.2 Total number of branches	174
5.2.2.3 Total length of branches	174
5.2.2.4 Total number of leaves	174
5.2.2.5 Mean leaf area	175
5.2.2.6 Mean number of nodes per branch	175
5.2.3 Whole structure analysis	175
5.2.3.1 Analysis of asymmetry	176
5.2.3.2 Comparison of mean centrifugal order number with respect to size	178
5.2.3.3 Comparisons of mean centrifugal order number with respect to the highest	178

order number	
5.2.3.4 Comparisons of branching points mean centrifugal order number with respect to the highest order number – the relative mean branching ratio	179
5.3 Results	181
5.3.1 Topological order analyses	181
5.3.1.1 Distribution of nodes	181
5.3.1.2 Distribution of apices	182
5.3.1.3 Branching points distribution	183
5.3.1.4 Branching probability distribution	184
5.3.2 Branch analyses	184
5.3.2.1 Internode length-order analyses	184
5.3.2.2 Total number of branches	186
5.3.2.3 Total length of branches	187
5.3.2.4 Total number of leaves	188
5.3.2.5 Mean leaf area	189
5.3.2.6 Total mean leaf area	190
5.3.2.7 Mean number of nodes per branch	190
5.3.3 Whole structure analysis	191
5.3.3.1 Asymmetry analysis	191
5.3.3.2 Comparisons of Mean Centrifugal Order Number with respect to size	192
5.3.3.3 Comparisons of Mean Centrifugal Order Number with respect to the Highest Order Number	193
5.3.3.4 Comparisons of branching points Mean Centrifugal Order Number with respect to the Highest Order – relative mean branching ratio	195
5.4 Discussion	196
5.4.1 Architectural analysis	196
5.4.2 Topological structure - order analyses	197
5.4.3 Branch analyses	199
5.4.4 Whole structure analyses	202
5.5 Conclusion	206

CHAPTER SIX	
General Discussion	208
REFERENCES	214
APPENDICES	235
I. Effect of temperature regime (day/night) on image analysis attributes (mean +/- se) of the abaxial surfaces of leaves from adult, rejuvenated and juvenile plants of <i>M. excelsa</i> 'Scarlet Pimpernel'.	235
II. The relationship between branching probability and order number.	236
III. The relationship between Tree Asymmetry Index and time	236
IV. The relationship between length of internodes and order number.	237

List of Plates

Plate 1	(Frontispiece) <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> (pohutukawa), the New Zealand Christmas tree.	1
Plate 2	Experimental plants of <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> .	8

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Model of plant physiological ageing, ontogeny and reversion of maturation.	10
Figure 1.2	A. The seedling tree has a juvenile zone at the crown and lower of the tree, a transition zone in the mid-region, and an adult zone at top and periphery. B. The grafted or budded nursery tree, however, is entirely adult above the bud union.	29
Figure 1.3	An illustration of ‘an aspiny human neuron from the human striatum’ showing (1-4) dendrites.	48
Figure 1.4	Elements of a topological tree.	49
Figures 1.5	Centrifugal order assignments to the segments of the six trees degree 6 (terminal segments).	50
Figure 1.6	Display of all ambilateral types of degree 8.	51
Figure 1.7	An illustration of a link-vertex recording system.	52
Figure 1.8	van Pelt tree types illustrating Tree Asymmetry Index.	55
Figure 1.9	Computer simulated effects of Q-S growth modes on the Tree Asymmetry Index.	58
Figure 2.1	Effect of ontogenetic state and temperature on carbon isotope composition.	81
Figure 2.2	Effect of ontogenetic state and temperature on lightness of the abaxial leaf surface.	82
Figure 2.3	Effect of ontogenetic state and temperature on colour saturation of the abaxial leaf surface.	88
Figure 3.1	A hand-drawing of a topological tree at one point in time.	102
Figure 3.2	Topological growth for tree size in plantlets, juvenile and adult plants.	111
Figure 3.3	Topological growth for tree size-complexity in plantlets, juvenile and adult plants.	112
Figure 3.4	Topological growth for tree complexity in plantlets, juvenile and adult plants.	113
Figure 4.1	Relative growth of plantlets, juvenile and adult plants for topological size-complexity.	139
Figure 4.2	Relative growth in four temperature regimes for topological size-complexity.	139

Figure 4.3	Absolute growth of plantlets, juvenile and adult plants for topological size-complexity.	142
Figure 4.4	Absolute growth in four temperature regimes for topological size-complexity.	142
Figure 4.5	Simulations of Chapman-Richards relative growth function with respect to parameters inherent growth rate a and growth parameters b .	153
Figure 5.1	The relationship Mean Centrifugal Order Number and topological size for adult, juvenile plant and plantlets within the boundaries of the <i>Compact Tree</i> – <i>Thin Tree</i> structural extremes.	193
Figure 5.2	The relationship between Mean Centrifugal Order Number and Highest Order Number in the plane defined by the <i>Compact</i> and <i>Thin Tree</i> extremes with experimental plant values plotted for juvenile and adult plants and plantlets.	194
Figure 5.3	The relationship between Mean Centrifugal Order Number of branching points and Highest Order Number in the plane defined by the <i>Compact</i> and <i>Thin Tree</i> extremes with experimental plant values plotted for juvenile and adult plants and plantlets.	196

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Definitions of components of individual plants in order of size.	38
Table 2.1	Treatment temperature regimes and corresponding relative humidities.	74
Table 2.2	Initial leaf carbon isotope composition for plants of three ontogenetic states.	79
Table 2.3	Initial and final optical and dimensional attributes in fully-expanded leaves.	84
Table 3.1	A view of a typical computer screen interface.	104
Table 3.2	An example of a file with calculated topological parameters for observations.	105
Table 3.3	An example of a file with calculated tree asymmetry parameters.	107
Table 3.4	Duration of the growth lag period (weeks) in juvenile, plantlets and adult plants growth under four temperature regimes.	114
Table 4.1	The effect of ontogenetic state on growth function shape characteristics for topological size-complexity factor with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	137
Table 4.2	The effect of temperature regime on growth function shape characteristics for topological size-complexity factor with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	137
Table 4.3	The effect of ontogenetic state on the topological size-complexity growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	141
Table 4.4	The effect of temperature regime on the topological size-complexity growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	141
Table 4.5	The effect of ontogenetic state on the growth function shape characteristics for topological size with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	144
Table 4.6	The effect of temperature regime on growth function shape characteristics for topological size with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	144

Table 4.7	The effect of ontogenetic state on growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate for topological size with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	145
Table 4.8	The effect of temperature regime on growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate for topological size with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	146
Table 4.9	The effect of ontogenetic state on growth function shape characteristics for topological complexity with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	148
Table 4.10	The effect of temperature regime on growth function characteristics for topological complexity with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	149
Table 4.11	The effect of ontogenetic state on growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate for topological complexity with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	151
Table 4.12	The effect of temperature regime on growth rate coefficient and relative growth rate for topological complexity with the growth lag period included (GLP) or excluded (-GLP).	151
Table 4.13	Effect of ontogenetic state on growth parameter b .	152
Table 4.14	Effect of temperature regimes on growth parameter b .	152
Table 5.1	The effect of State and Time on the order containing the most nodes, expressed as a mean percentage of the highest order number of each respective tree at the start (T_1) and the end (T_2) of the experiment	181
Table 5.2	The means of relative position (%) of highest number of apices within tree centrifugal order structure scaled from 1 to 100.	182
Table 5.3	The means of relative position (%) of highest number of branching points within tree centrifugal order structure scaled from 1 to 100.	183
Table 5.4	The rate (parameter b) of decline in internode length with increasing centrifugal order as affected by Temperature	185
Table 5.5	Mean total number of branches at the end of experiment adjusted for the effect of initial total number of branches	186
Table 5.6	Mean total length of branches at the end of experiment adjusted	187

	for the effect of initial total length of branches	
Table 5.7	Mean total number of leaves at the end of experiment adjusted for the effect of initial total number of leaves	188
Table 5.8	Mean leaf area (mm ²)	189
Table 5.9	Total number and mean leaf area at the end of experimental growth	190

List of Abbreviations

A_p	Partial Asymmetry
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	Composition of carbon isotope ^{13}C
Δ	Carbon isotope discrimination against ^{13}C (Farquhar et al., 1989)
GLP	Growth lag period
MCON	Mean Centrifugal Order Number
PSAD	Proportional Sum of Absolute Deviations
PDB	Pee Dee belemnite
TAI	Tree Asymmetry Index
VPD	Vapour pressure difference



Plate 1 *Metrosideros excelsa* (pohutukawa), the New Zealand Christmas tree