Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE EFFECTS OF WATER ACTIVITY, PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND FREE FAT CONTENT ON FLOWABILITY OF GRATED AND DRIED PARMESAN CHEESE

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY IN FOOD TECHNOLOGY AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY

PALMERSTON NORTH NEW ZEALAND

DAPHNE (XIN) GU

2000

ABSTRACT

A study was made of the effects of water activity, particle size distribution and free fat content on flowability of Grated and Dried Parmesan cheese made by Greenwood Valley Cheese Company (GVC) and by Kraft. The three parameters were modified to span a wide range around the original level of each.

Water activity (A_w) was decreased / increased through water sorption. A range of particle sizes for each of the samples was obtained by separating the samples into two fractions and then combining them together to different levels. Samples were sieved through a stack of sieves with different mesh sizes and particle size was expressed in terms of median particle size. A range of free fat levels was obtained by spraying samples with anhydrous milk fat (AMF). The response of flowability to changes in these parameters was assessed. Flowability was measured by using a rotating drum.

The results in the preliminary study showed that flowability increased with increasing moisture content from 18 to 22%, which is in contradiction to the normal expectation from the literature. Therefore, the hypothesis: flowability increases with increasing moisture content / $A_{\rm w}$ was proposed.

To test the validity of the hypothesis, several trials were conducted. The results in the first trial showed that flowability increased with increasing $A_{\rm w}$ from 0.66 to 0.79 for both GVC and Kraft products. The results also revealed a possible critical $A_{\rm w}$ between 0.79 to 0.83 at which the products could have their optimum flowability.

In the next trial, additional values in the A_w range between 0.79 to 0.83 were included. The results showed that flowability increased with increasing A_w from 0.67 to the critical A_w value and dropped down above this value. The critical A_w values for GVC products were determined at 0.80 \pm 0.01. Kraft's product did not show this increasing trend or the critical A_w value.

A series of commercial samples with different A_w levels from two of each of GVC products were chosen to test the hypothesis. Variation in water activity naturally occurred during processing due to the slight changes in drying conditions. The results obtained on these commercial samples showed flowability increased with increasing A_w from 0.69 to 0.77. Therefore, the hypothesis has been proven.

The study on the effect of particle size shows flowability also increased with increasing median particle size for both GVC and Kraft products. Of the methods chosen to plot cumulative undersize versus sieve size, linear regression is suggested rather than simply joining the points. This is because all the points are taken into account when linear regression is used.

The study conducted on the effect of free fat shows that flowability decreased with increasing free fat levels from 16.8 to 26.3%. The sieve analysis results on the samples with different free fat levels show that median particle size increased from 721 to 1476 μ m with increasing free fat levels from 16.8 to 26.3%. This was presumably caused by particle aggregation. The results show that the positive effect of particle size on flowability could not counteract the negative effect of free fat. Further study is required to confirm the net effect of free fat and particle size.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge my chief supervisor, Mr Rod Bennett, for arrangement of this project and the research collaboration with the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute. I am in debt for his support and encouragement throughout my study. Also, I would like to thank my supervisor Mr Rohan Wewala, from the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute, for his invaluable ideas, guidance, and encouragement throughout the project. It was my honour to work with them. Special thanks to both of them for their diligence, persistence, professional suggestions in the finalisation of this thesis and their tolerance and patience in editing the manuscript of the thesis.

My gratitude extends to Mr Stephen Gregory, for organising the financial issues in this study. Special thanks to Dr. Stephen Dybing from New Zealand Milk Products (North America) for his technical support and endless patience on editing of my thesis.

I wish to record my gratitude to the New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for allowing my attendance at this M.Tech course and for providing the opportunity to work with the experienced scientists. Special thanks are also extended to Mr. Allan Main (Cheese Food Portfolio Manager), Dr Russell Wilson (Consumer Application Section Manager), Dr. P. K. Samal, Dr. Justin Bendall, Dr. Rob Crawford, Dr. Frank Visser, Allan Dodge, Angela Veale, Ivan Simpson, Kathy Davidson, Peter Elston, and all other staff at New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for their great support and friendship to complete this project successfully.

Finally, many thanks to my parents and my brother, for encouragement and support shown during the study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACTi	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiii	i
TABLE OF CONTENTSiv	1
LIST OF FIGURESix	(
LIST OF TABLES	i
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION1	L
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW4	1
2.1 Mechanism of Flowability 4	1
2.1.1 Cohesion / cohesiveness and flowability 5	5
2.1.2. Mechanism of flowability5	5
2.1.2.1 Formation of solid bridges	5
2.1.2.2 Liquid bridges	7
2.1.2.3 Capillary liquid formed in completely or partly filled pores of the agglomerates	7
2.1.2.4 Van der Waals' and electrostatic forces	7
2.1.2.5 Mechanical interlocking	3
2.1.2.6 Functions of flow conditioners	3
2.2 Factors Affecting Flowability	3
2.2.1 Particle shape, particle size and particle size distribution	3
2.2.1.1 Particle shape	2

2.2.1.2 Particle size and particle size distribution	9
2.2.2 Particle density, surface area and porosity	11
2.2.3 Bulk density and tapping	13
2.2.4 Angle of repose and other handling angles	14
2.2.5 Moisture content, Aw and moisture sorption isotherm	15
2.2.5.1 Basic definitions and fundamental properties	15
$2.2.5.2\ A_w$ and flowability	17
2.2.5.3 Free fat	18
2.2.5.4 Protein	19
2.2.5.5 Miscellaneous factors	19
2.3 Evaluation of Flowability	20
2.3.1 Sampling	20
2.3.2 Evaluation of flowability	20
2.4 Properties Related to Flowability	21
2.4.1 Particle size distribution	21
2.4.2 Angle of repose	22
2.4.3 Evaluation related to failure properties	23
2.5 Conclusions	23
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
3.1 Materials	25
3.1.1 Samples used to examine the suitability of the method to measure flowability and particle size distribution	25
3.1.1.1 Samples used to examine the suitability of the method to measure	
flowability	25

particle size distribution
3.1.2 Preliminary study on the effect of moisture content on flowability
3.1.3 Samples used for confirming the effects of A _w , particle size distribution
and free fat on flowability
3.1.4 Commercial samples with different Aws that were used for further
confirmation of the effect on flowability
3.2 Methods
3.2.1 Characterisation of selected physical properties
3.2.1.1 Examining the suitability of the method to measure flowability by a rotating drum
3.2.1.2 Examining the suitability of the test method to measure particle size distribution
3.2.1.3 Measurement of free fat content
3.2.1.4 Measurement of A _w
3.2.2 Manipulation of physical and compositional parameters
3.2.2.1 Water activity manipulation
3.2.2.2 Particle size distribution manipulation
3.2.2.3 Free fat manipulation
3.3 Data Analysis
CHAPTER 4 THE EFFECT OF A _w ON FLOWABILITY
4.1 Preliminary Study on the Relationship between Flowability and Moisture Content
4.2 Hypothesis Development of the Effect of A _w on Flowability
4.2.1 Use of A _w instead of moisture content

4.2.2 The relationship between A _w and moisture content	46
4.2.3 Hypothesis development of the effect of $A_{\rm w}$ of flowability	47
4.3 Testing the Hypothesis of Increasing Flowability by increasing $A_{\rm w}$	47
4.4 Confirmation of the Critical A _w	50
4.5 Further Testing the Hypothesis of	
Increasing Flowability by Increasing Aw	52
4.6 Discussion	55
CHAPTER 5 THE EFFECT OF PARTICLE SIZE ON FLOWABILITY	59
5.1 The Effect of Particle Size on Flowability of 50017HM	59
5.2 The Effect of Particle Size Distribution on Flowability of 50017, 69430 and Kraft	66
5.3 Discussion	71
5.3.1 The effect of particle size on flowability	71
5.3.2 Methods chosen to plot the cumulative undersize versus sieve size	73
•	, ,
CHAPTER 6 THE EFFECT OF FREE FAT ON FLOWABILITY	77
6.1 The Effect of Free Fat	77
6.2 Free Fat and Particle Aggregation	80
6.3 Discussion	82
6.3.1 The effect of free fat on flowability	82
6.3.2 The effect of free fat on particle aggregation	83
6.3.3 Is there a net effect of free fat and particle size on flowability	83

	viii
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS	86
FURTHER WORK	88
REFERENCES	89
APPENDICES	96

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Mechanisms of adhesion between solid particles and a solid plate	
	in a gaseous environment	
Figure 2.2	Two different size distribution with the same arithmetic mean	
Figure 2.3	General sorption isotherm1	
Figure 2.4	Schematic representation of the effect of temperature on $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ and	
moisture content		
Figure 3.1	A photo of the motorised rotating drum used for measuring	
	flowability	
Figure 3.2	Flow chart of the procedure of determining particle size	
distribution by sieving		
Figure 3.3	re 3.3 Schematic diagram of the apparatus used to manipulate A _w	
Figure 4.1	Flowability of nine GVC Grated and Dried Parmesan samples that	
	were used in the preliminary study on the effect of moisture	
content on flowability		
Figure 4.2	The relationship between A_{w} and flowability for GVC: 22 NA, 21	
	NA, 18 NA & Kraft samples	
Figure 4.3	Flowability versus Aw of 50017HM, 50017, 69430 and Kraft	
Figure 4.4	The effect of $A_{\rm w}$ on flowability for the commercial Grated and	
	Dried Parmesan cheese samples: GVC 50017 and 50064	
Figure 4.5	Cohesion of powders at different water activities	
Figure 5.1	Cumulative undersize (%) versus sieve size of 50017HM	
Figure 5.2	Flowability versus median particle size of 500117HM	
Figure 5.3	Flowability versus median particle size	
	of 50017, 69430 and Kraft	
Figure 5.4	Flowability versus median particle size obtained by using	
	Sigmaplot linear regression of various products	

Figure 5.5	Cumulative undersize (%) versus sieve size of hypothetical	
	powders M & N	75
Figure 6.1	Flowability versus free fat content of 50017HM	79
Figure 6.2	The effect of free fat on median particle size	
Figure 6.3	Flowability versus median particle size at both the same and	
	different free fat levels	84

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	The compositions of Hard and Grated and Dried Parmesan
Table 3.1	A key to identify the samples used in the preliminary study
Table 3.2	Investing the repeatability of the flowability test method for five
	GVC and one Kraft Grated and Dried Parmesan cheese samples
Table 3.3	Investing the repeatability of the test method to measure the particle
	size distribution of Kraft and GVC Grated and Dried Parmesan
	cheese samples
Table 4.1	Flowability of nine GVC Grated and Dried Parmesan samples that
	were used in the preliminary study on the effect of moisture content
	on flowability
Table 4.2	The relationship between A _w and flowability of 22, 21, 18 NA &
Kraft at different Aws	
Table 4.3	The flowability data against A _w of 50017HM, 50017, 69430 and
Kraft	
Table 4.4	The flowability data against Aw for the commercial Grated and
	Dried Parmesan cheese samples: GVC 50017 and 50064
Table 5.1	Sieve analysis results of sample 50017HM
Table 5.2	The mixtures of 50017HM with various proportions of fraction A &
	В
Table 5.3	The sieve analysis results of each of the mixtures of 50017HM
Table 5.4	Correlation coefficient (r2, the third-order linear regression) and
	median particle size, Aw and flowability for each of the mixtures of
	50017HM
Table 5.5	The mixtures with various proportions of fraction A & B of 50017,
	69430 & Kraft
Table 5.6	The median particle size, A _w and flowability for each of the
	mixtures of 50017, 69430 & Kraft

Table 5.7	Coefficient (r2) of the relation between flowability and median		
	particle size obtained either by using regression or by simply joining		
	the points	73	
Table 5.8	Sieve analysis results of two hypothetical powder samples	74	
Table 6.1	The target free fat levels of the sample 50017HM		
Table 6.2	A_{w} , free fat and flowability for each of the samples with different		
	free fat contents of 50017HM		
Table 6.3	Median particle size, free fat content and flowability for the samples		
	with different free fat contents of 50017HM		

Chapter 1 Introduction

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Parmesan is the name commonly used to describe a group of very hard cheese varieties that originated in the Po River valley of Italy. Locally, these cheese varieties are generally called Grana, or specially named after the city of manufacture (i.e. Parmesan comes from Parma, Reggino from Reggio, etc.). These cheeses are characterized by a granular texture, sharp flavour, hard body, very small eyes, long shelf life and excellent shipping properties (Sanders, 1953).

The traditional Italian manufacturing methods have been gradually and continuingly modified for commercial production as follows (Anonymous a, 1973):

- The cheese is manufactured by some producers on a continuous basis using pasteurised or heat treated and standardised milk.
- The cheese is produced by using various starters in addition to whey starters.
- The size of the cheeses generally ranges from 10 to 12.7 kg rather than the traditional 27 kg on average.
- Ripening is controlled by mechanical refrigeration.
- The cheese is coated with wax rather than oil or grease.
- The cheese is cured for 10 to 14 months rather than 16 to 24 months in the traditional method and a shorter curing method with only 6 months is being trialed (Anonymous b, 1999).

Chapter 1 Introduction 2

Parmesan production in the United States is a growing segment of the cheese industry. For example, the production of Parmesan and similar cheese varieties increased from 30,750 tonnes in 1979 (Ferris, 1981) to about 68,000 tonnes in 1997 (Anonymous c, 1999). Kraft is a major manufacturer of Parmesan in the U.S. with production of about 27,200 tonnes annually, followed by Sartori at 12,700 tonnes per year (S. Dybing, personal communication).

Parmesan cheeses are marketed in four basic forms: as pieces cut from larger wheels by local retailers in grated form, in shredded form, or as an ingredient of another food (Anonymous a, 1973). Parmesan is normally consumed as a table cheese in very small quantities, so virtually all Parmesan is grated before it is consumed. Most of the Parmesan purchased by consumers is either grated, dehydrated and packaged or shredded and packaged at the higher moisture level (Anonymous a, 1973). After being grated and dehydrated, the so-called Grated and Dried Parmesan or Grated Parmesan is packed into consumer sized canisters (eg. 227g). Dehydration reduces the moisture content of the product to 12 - 18%, an average 17.7% as shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 The compositions of Hard and Grated and Dried Parmesan

	Hard (mature) Parmesan (%)	Grated and Dried Parmesan (%)
Moisture	29.2	17.7
Total solids	70.8	82.3
Fat	25.8	30.0
Total protein	35.8	41.6
Carbohydrates (by difference)	3.2	3.7
Ash (Includes added salt)	6.0	7.0

(Kosikowski and Mistry, 1997:69)

Chapter 1 Introduction

Drying to these moisture contents greatly enhances the shelf life, allowing Grated Parmesan to be widely marketed in canisters (e.g. 227g) for use on soups, salads, pizza and pasta. At 12 to 18% moisture there is a problem of clumping or agglomeration of the grated cheese product, which hinders consumers' acceptability. Clumping is easily observed in the canister and constitutes a serious defect. Aggregates range from small clumps to large lumps. The small clumps may be disrupted and eliminated when shaking the canister. However, some lumps aggregate into hard pieces that cannot be broken apart with acute shaking. These lumps hinder the flowability of product out of the canister during shaking. Therefore, flowability is an indirect measure of clumping. Also, flowability is a major criterion by which consumers determine product quality. Hence, flowability constitutes a vital product characteristic, which is even more important than clumping.

The overall objective of this study is to determine the fundamental factors affecting flowability in Grated and Dried Parmesan cheese. The production of Grated Parmesan cheese creates a food powder. Therefore, the theories presented in the literature review should describe the factors that control flowability in this powder product. Three major factors known to significantly affect flowability in food powders include the water activity (A_w) of the particles, the particle size distribution and the amount of free fat present on the particle surface. This is a fundamental study on the flowability of Grated and Dried Parmesan cheese. The study was divided into three sections to specifically focus upon the following factors:

- Determining the effect of A_w on flowability
- Investigating the effect of particle size distribution on flowability
- Illustrating the influence of free fat on flowability

Other properties such as porosity of powders and storage conditions are not included in this study.