Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

"Coming Ready or Not": Women's accounts of negotiating intersubjectivity within heterosex

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree

of Master of Arts

In

Psychology

at Massey University, Manawat $\bar{\textbf{u}}$, New Zealand.

Candice Moore

2018

PREFACE

-action or behaviour that precedes an event

(Foreplay)



[Chorus:]

Turn the lights down low Lets go slow **Uhmmm** Lay your body down And lets goo (lets go babyyyy) Baby before we get it started A little foreplay Right before we get to the lovin A little foreplay Baby we're just touching and rubbing A little foreplay Foreplay, foreplay, foreplay baby Baby before we get it started A little foreplay Right before we get to the lovin A little foreplay Baby we're just touching and rubbing A little foreplay Foreplay, foreplay, foreplay baby

(Case lyrics FOREPLAY- chorus) www.azlyrics.com/lyrics/case/foreplay.html

Sex and all things sexual appear to hold an extreme fascination and state of arousal in all those who have willingly encountered it or are waiting/yearning to, whenever the subject is broached in a private or public arena. Regardless of adulthood age or gender, when the topic arises in conversation there is an immediate reaction, peoples' ears pick up, they notice. All react it seems; sex is a wonderful, wondrous, enticing experience, and yet is shrouded in conflict and dissatisfaction.

What, where, how, has this amazing encounter become so dissatisfying, so far from its base action, so hidden from view that it is not always pleasurable, especially for women? How is it that in a room full of women, most of them lie back and think of England when engaging in sex? Even asking these questions opens space for a highly contested narrative to emerge.

Sexual conversation piques the interest of all; some people move closer with curiosity, some join in the discussion, some become excited, some show disgust and walk away leaving the topic instigator forever stained as inappropriate. Regardless, none are left unscathed by the broach of the topic; there is a lot at stake it seems, a lot of opinion (or even highly specific moral trajectories), many myths, much fascination. Can you think of any other subject matter that holds us in such awe? Any subject that only some of us (women) are "brave" enough to openly speak our desires of, and more contentiously, research?

Women who research sex and sexuality may well be marked, through dominant understandings of inappropriateness, as deviant, and more certainly it appears we might exceed the markers of a prudish femininity (or knowing our place). There is something of a tension here, between Madonna and Whore, but who might she be, that expert? So this journey begins, with the question, how sexually warmed up are we, today?

ABSTRACT

This research examined the discourses that women rely on when talking about heterosexual sex and how they position both themselves and their sexual partners. These positions are produced through dominant sexual discourses that function to maintain and reproduce a sexual double standard for women, and to reinforce existing patriarchal power structures. However, these subject/object positions also draw on multiple intersecting discourses. This research examines women's attempts at negotiating space within sexual encounters to enable the opening of spaces for resistance and for challenging the normative and oppressive discourses that produce them. Analysis of conversational interviews with eight women was conducted to interrogate the dominant discourses involved in the construction, maintenance and change of meaning within normative discourse over time. I identified where these discourses were integrated or worked in tandem to produce sexual subjectivities and areas of contradiction or inconsistences which were accounted for as the women negotiated meaning. I explored points of resistance and repositioning within each discourse. A feminist poststructuralist epistemology was utilised with a focus on social power relations to enable the exploration of the patriarchal power structures that regulates women's subjectivity and the social function of the sexual double standard and heteronormativity in maintaining patriarchal dominance and the social status quo. It also enabled examination of the resistances exercised by the women towards the sexual double standard, the coital imperative and the absence of desire. Analysis included examination of the ways in which the women located themselves and their partners in relation to sexual encounters and orgasm. Key findings were; that women's sexuality is still represented as a response to men's sexuality with a clear double standard still in play; that sex for most of the women was very important to the overall relationship; that orgasm was a choice and faking had its uses; that pleasure did not mean orgasm; that having sex with multiple partners could enable pleasurable encounters; that sexual encounters did not necessarily involve penetration; and that women have very clear desires. My analysis suggests that regardless of social movements towards acknowledging women's sexuality, disciplinary power continues to regulate women's sexual encounters and an acknowledgment of women's sexual desire remains absent within the norms of heterosexuality. Without articulated desires, women struggle with burdens of masculine imposed sexuality, negative social sanctions and negative or unwanted sexual experiences. This research highlights the importance of talking openly about women's desire and to open up a space within sexual education for pleasure and relationship talk and within everyday social discussions that enables both a language and position from which women may assert their own independent desires. The points of resistance identified within women's talk along with the position of future focused desiring women may enable new counter narratives and therefore more pleasurable sexual experiences for women to occur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly I need to recognise the importance my children have had for his research; my dismay at the sex education they received at school started this journey. In particular, my discussions with women who were not enjoying sex became pivotal when I realised that my daughter and my niece could also end up in the same position with a negative concept of sex. In this way my children and my love of them and my need for them to read the end result pushed me when I wanted to quit.

My husband has remained steadfast in his love for me and his respect for my work, even when I have berated him for the injustices I have read of and that the women in my research have spoken of. We have had many debates and I have, over that time, heard the male voice and the need for this research to be completed with men. Unwittingly my brother and all of my children's friends have been part of this research as they have walked past as I write and I stop them and read to them a piece of transcript or analysis or literature review and then engage them in discussion. Thanks to you all for your conversation and insight.

I very much need to acknowledge my participants for the absolute grace and humility, the generosity and the strength with which they articulated their very personal experiences, for the sharing of tears and of laughter, for giving me a reason to keep at it so as to honour their stories and the impact their experiences have had on their lives. Without them, this project would not have been possible.

My friends, my extended family, and my Sensei are important to acknowledge as they learnt that it was not always possible for me to leave the house. Although this project has been incredibly pivotal for my sense of worth, I look forward to some social time and some karate.

My employers at the Department of Corrections for providing me with study days and in particular, my manager for allowing me the days I required to meet my supervisor.

Lastly but most definitely not least, special thanks to my incredible, spectacular and intelligent supervisor, Dr Leigh Coombes. I do not know how you have handled my disorganisation and me. I am certain you have wondered at times if I have simply passed away due to my lack of contact. You have understood that at times my confidence has ebbed and you have reminded me to trust the process, you encouraged me to think, you encouraged my lack of system as you were simply pleased that I was finally writing, you didn't judge my crazy (and that supersedes everything for me). I do not admire easily, I do not accept expertise easily, and yet with you I simply admire your expertise. I am so very very grateful that I chose you. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD.	i
ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
CHAPTER ONE - Introduction	1
Introduction	1
CHAPTER TWO – Reviewing the Literature	3
Language, Discourse, Knowledge and Power	3
The binary and sexuality	7
CHAPTER THREE – Dominant Discourse	13
What we hear/ what we know-common discourse	13
Vaginal Orgasms and Coital Imperatives	13
Hollway	16
Pseudo-reciprocal discourse	18
Women without desire	19
Transforming meaning by opening spaces	21
CHAPTER FOUR – Methodology	22
Reflexivity	22
Myself as a women and as a researcher	23
Feminist Poststructuralist Discourse Analysis and Embodiment	24
Method- Going through Ethics	27
Snowballing	27
We Women	28
Interviews	29
Transcribing	30
Learning to read	30
Method of analysis	31
CHAPTER FIVE – Analysis and Discussion	32
No-one tells you how to eat cereal	32
It's about layers	46
From pumping petrol to intersubjective moments	50

It's my whole body talking	57
An itch that needs scratching	59
You know if you go ohh oh	69
Money and Power	73
CHAPTER SIX – Conclusion	78
References	83
Appendix A- Letter of Support (ARCS)	92
Appendix B-Letter of Support (Women's health Collective)	93
Appendix C- Letter of Support (Women's Refuge)	94
Appendix D – Information Sheet	95
Appendix E – Participant Consent Form – Individual	96
Appendix F – Authority for the Release of Transcripts	97