Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Massey University Library

Thesis Copyright Form

Titl	e of the	esis: Effectiveness and use of coling
		STRATEGIES IN THEAT AND CHALLENGE SITUATIONS
(1)	(a)	I give permission for my thesis to be made available to readers in the Massey University Library under conditions determined by the Librarian.
	(b)	I do not wish my thesis to be made available to readers without my written consent for months.
(2)	(a)	I agree that my thesis, or a copy, may be sent to another institution under conditions determined by the Librarian.
	(b)	I do not wish my thesis, or a copy, to be sent to another institution without my written consent for months.
(3)	(a)	I agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.
	(b)	I do not wish my thesis to be copied for Library use formonths.
		Signed Hogh.
		Date <u>23.2-88</u>
sign	their na	t of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must ame in the space below to show that they recognise are asked to add their permanent address.
NAME	AND ADDI	RESS DATE .

EFFECTIVENESS AND USE OF COPING STRATEGIES IN THREAT AND CHALLENGE SITUATIONS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology at Massey University

> Helen Marguerite Foster 1988

Dedicated to the memory of my father, Gordon Lawrence Daniell 1913-1975

ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to investigate the perceived effectiveness and reported use of three coping strategies in threat and challenge situations. Eighty psychology students were given false feedback following a test, in either threat or challenge conditions. Subjects were given one of three cognitive coping strategies (fatalism, perseverance, or rational action) or no strategy, prior to a second test. The results revealed fatalism to be perceived as significantly less effective than perseverance and rational action. Repeated measures of pulse rate indicated the effectiveness of the threat and challenge manipulation, but the results for appraisal revealed those in the threat condition found the situation more challenging than threatening. Subjective measures of eight emotions showed changes over time and suggested that positive affect was more evident than negative affect. It was concluded that there are differences between coping strategies and that threat and challenge can be classified either as subjective or objective variables.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to my supervisor, Keith Tuffin, for his encouragement and discerning comments. He gave me the freedom to learn yet was always there to offer his guidance and support.

My thanks to John Spicer, for his time and guidance with the statistical analysis. Thanks also to my son Brent, who taught me the computer skills necessary for the data analysis.

My thanks to those who helped with the technical side in various ways. Mike Hughes and Tony True were always cheerful in their assistance with the technical equipment. Harvey Jones was patient and helpful as I learned to operate the word processor. My husband Ray (willingly?) agreed to the undaunting task of taping his voice for the experimental instructions.

Thank you to the first and second year psychology students who volunteered as subjects. Also to the graduate students who participated in the pilot study. Their constructive feedback was much appreciated.

Thank you to the many friends and colleagues who showed interest through their encouragement and support. I shared many hours of fun and misery with my peers, Jahna Clark, Maureen Gibbs and Karen Wood. Special thanks goes to Karen for the times we spent in our office, laughing, commiserating and occasionally working, and for listening during the many times I needed support.

Finally, thanks to those who remained in the background, yet perhaps contributed the most to my own experience of coping - my family, Ray, Brent, Wayne and Sharyn. We survived many crises during the course of this research and I am deeply grateful for their attempts to make major adjustments to their lives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ch	a	nt	er
~ 1 2	u	~ .	. • •

I	INTRODUCTION	1
	Overview	1
	Stress, coping and adaptation	
	Theories of coping	
	Definitions of coping	4
	Emotions and coping	5
	Measurement of emotions	6
	Physiological Responses	7
	Physiological measurement	
	Functions of coping	
	Coping strategies	8
	Classification of strategies	9
	Appraisal	10
	Control	
	Effectiveness and use of coping strategies	12
	The situation	15
	Threat studies in the laboratory	16
	Threat and challenge	17
	Critique of current research and theory	19
	The present study	21
	Operational definitions	21
	Objectives and hypotheses	22
II	METHOD	25
	Overview and Design	
	Subjects	26
	Materials	26
	Technical equipment	26
	Cognitive task	27
	Affect measurement	27
	Percentile score form	
	Post experimental questionnaire	
	Ethical considerations	28
	Pilot study	29

ell de de la companya de la company			
		Dependent variables	30
		Procedure	30
		Debriefing	
		Feedback	
	III B	ESULTS	35
		Data analysis	
		Analysis I	 36
		Reported use of coping strategies	36
		Perceived effectiveness of coping strategies	
		Primary Appraisal	
		Secondary Appraisal	
		Control	
		Analysis II	
		Test performance	
		Estimate	
		Analysis III	
		Pulse rate	
		Negative affect	
		Positive affect	
IV	DISCU	SSION	47
		Effectiveness and frequency of use of coping strategies	
		Threat and challenge	
		Secondary appraisal	
		Control	
		Physiological response	
		Emotions	
		Additional coping strategies	
		Theoretical Implications	
		Summary and Conclusions	
	REFER	ENCES	62
	APPEN	IDICES	
		A Visual analogue scales	70

Percentile estimation and score report form_____

В

___71

		vii
С	Post experimental questionnaire	72
D	Consent form for experimental subjects	73
Ε	Instructions to the subjects	74
F	Debriefing interview	77
G	Feedback to the subjects	78
Н	Multivariate and univariate tests	82
1	Additional coping strategies	86

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

7	ГΛ	0	•	
- 1	М	0	ᆫ	

1	Means for reported frequency of use and perceived effectiveness of coping strategies in Threat and Challenge situations	38
2	Means for perceived control in all conditions	40
FIGUF	RE	
1	Means for all conditions for primary appraisal of threat and challenge	39
2	Overall means of test estimate for Threat and Challenge conditions	41
3	Means for the three pulse rate measures for Threat and Challenge conditions	43
4	Means for the three measures of the emotions for Threat and Challenge conditions which showed a significant effect for situation by time	45
ΓABL	E	
Α	Pillai's trace multivariate tests of significance for Analysis I	82
В	Significant univariate F-tests for the main effect of strategy in Analysis I	82
С	Pillai's trace multivariate tests of significance for time effect in analysis II	83
D	Significant univariate F-tests for analysis II	83
E	Pillai's trace multivariate tests of significance for time effect in analysis III	84

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.

OVERVIEW

Coping is a term well known to the lay person, but its common usage belies the facets of coping behaviour that researchers have identified. Coping is a complex concept. There are many variables involved and although there is empirical evidence for some of these, others are merely speculative. The specific links among these variables have yet to be identified adequately.

This chapter reviews the literature, focusing on the main aspects, and examines some of the discrepancies in the area. The present study attempts to investigate some of the variables that are speculative or which have inadequate empirical evidence.