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Purifications and crystallizations of two electron transfer proteins, azurin and cytochrome $c^{\prime}$, from Alcaligenes denitrificans and Alcaligenes sp. NC1B 11015 have been carried out. The azurin crystals from Alcaligenes denitrificans were found suitable for high resolution X-ray structure analysis. They are orthorhombic, space group C222, (with marked tetragonal pseudosymmetry), cell dimensions $\mathrm{a}=75.0 \AA, \quad \mathrm{~b}=74.1 \AA, \mathrm{c}=99.5 \AA$, with two molecules per asymmetric unit. A $3 \AA$ resolution electron density map of azurin was calculated. Four isomorphous heavy atom derivatives, prepared with $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$, uranyl acetate, $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+\right.$ uranyl acetate) (a double derivative) were used to calculate phases by the method of isomorphous replacement, giving an overall figure of merit of 0.614 . The polypeptide chain could be followed una-..biguously in both protein molecules in the asymmetric unit,with the aromatic sidechains, in particular, readily identifiable because of their distinctive appearance.

Kendrew skeletal models were built for both molecules, the polypeptide chain(consisting of 129 amino acids) being found to be folded into an eight-strand $\beta$-barrel, with an additional flap containing a short helix. There is one disulphide bridge within the barrel. The topology of the molecule was found to be the same as that of plastocyanin, and a comparison of the three dimensional structures of azurin and plastocyanin allowed the sequences to be aligned on structural rather than purely statistical grounds. It also established the probability that the two proteins have evolved from a common ancestor.

The copper atom has a highly-distorted tetrahedral co-ordination geometry, forming three shorter bonds (length approximately $2 \AA$ ), with a cysteine thiolate sulphur (Cys 112) and two histidine imidazole
nitrogens (His 46 and 117), as well as a longer bond (approximately 3母) with a methionine thioether sulphur (Met 121). A surprising result was the closeness of a peptide carbonyl oxygen, that of Gly 45 , to the copper atom. At this stage of the structure analysis it is not clear whether it should be regarded as a ligand, or not. Reduction of the protein crystals with chromous ions was attempted, and the results are discussed in terms of the possible electron transfer mechanism of the protein.

The cytochrome c' crystals from both species of bacteria are hexagonal, space group $P 6,22$ (or $P 6522$ ), cell dimensions $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=54.7 \AA, \mathrm{c} \sim 185 \AA \quad \gamma=120^{\circ}$, with one subunit (molecular weight 14,000 ) in the asymmetric unit. No structural work has been carried out on these.
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## INTRODUCTION

This thesis describes an investigation into the structure of a copper-containing electron transfer protein, and is aimed particularly at elucidating the structural and functional role of the copper atom.

### 1.1 The General Co-ordination Chemistry of Copper

Copper has a wide and varied co-ordination chemistry. It has two stable oxidation states, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, each with particular chemical and co-ordination properties.

Copper (I)
The cuprous ion has the outer electronic configuration $3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$, so that its compounds are diamagnetic, and, except where colour results from the anion or charge transfer bands, are colourless. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ salts such as $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ are unstable in water, decomposing to give copper and the cupric salt, although the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ state can be stabilised by the formation of complexes with suitable ligands (as shown, $f \times r$ example, in the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN}) 4^{3-}$ ion). Under the Pearson classification of "hard" and "soft" acids and bases (1,2) the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ion is an extremely soft acid, and as such preferentially binds to "soft" bases such as $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{RS}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$. In metalloproteins, groups which might be expected to bind to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ are the side-chains of methionine and cysteine, through their thioether and thiolate sulphur atoms respectively (both soft bases). The imidazole side-chain of histidine is regarded as an intermediate base and hence may also bind to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})(3,4)$.

Tetrahedral, four-co-ordinate geometry dominates the co-ordination chemistry of copper(I), with two and three co-ordination much less common. The co-ordination number rarely exceeds 4, although five-co-ordination is known. For example, the work of Gagné (5) has
shown that ligands that can enforce an approximately square planar geometry on copper(I), such as

can encourage the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ to co-ordinate a fifth ligand such as CO rather readily. Model complexes with similar co-ordination geometry may then be pertinent to the further understanding of copper proteins such as haemocyanin, which is able to reversibly bind dioxygen.

## Copper(II)

The dipositive (cupric) state is the most important one for copper. Most cuprous compounds are fairly readily oxidised to cupric compounds, many of which are soluble in water. In addition, a large number of complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ are known. As it is near the middle of the Pearson scale, $C u(I I)$ preferentially binds to bases such as $R C O O^{-}, \mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, although it generally forms more stable complexes with nitrogen than with oxygen donors. It is also able to bind "softer" ligands such as $\mathrm{RS}^{-}$because of its "intermediate" nature (1,2). Thus potential ligands for $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ in proteins could include the hydroxyl groups of serine and threonine, the phenolic oxygen of tyrosine, the amino groups of lysine and arginine, the carboxyl groups of glutamic and aspartic acids, the imidazole group of histidine, the thiolate and thioether sulphur atoms of cysteine and methionine, and even main chain carbonyl oxygen and deprotonated amide groups.

The $d^{9}$ electronic configuration of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ leads to variability or plasticity in its co-ordination sphere (6). Thus the co-ordination geometry of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes is to a considerable extent determined by the steric and electronic requirements of the ligands. Co-ordination numbers of 4,5 and 6 are the most common. For the first of these, the preferred geometry is square planar, but it is seldom completely regular. Distortions may arise from interligand repulsion, spinorbit splitting, and/or Jahn-Teller interactions. For example, bis-chelate complexes frequently adopt square planar stereochemistry, but can be forced towards tetrahedral geometry by steric interaction between the ligands (distortions which can also modify the redox properties of the complex) (7). Although distortion toward a tetrahedron is quite frequent, no regular tetrahedral complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ are known (7). In 5 co-ordinate complexes, a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement is rare, square pyramidal geometry being much more common, the axial fifth ligand usually a greater distance from the metal than the four in-plane ligands. There is,however, a strong tendency for the copper to increase its co-ordination number to 6 , the most common, where the preferred geometry is distorted octahedral with the two axial ligands being more weakly bound due to the Jahn-Teller effect (8).

### 1.2 The Biochemistry of Copper

Although copper is found only in very low levels in most biological systems, it is essential to almost every form of life,from bacteria to man,in the role of a prosthetic group for a number of vital enzymes and proteins. These appear to have two main functions, viz. electron transfer, and oxidations involving molecular oxygen. (For examples see Table 1.1). Many reviews have been published on copper proteins (see for example references 9,10,11,12,13).

Table 1.1. Copper Proteins and their Functions.

| Function | Examples of Protein |
| :---: | :--- |
| Electron Transfer (between proteins) | Azurin, Plastocyanin, Stellacyanin <br> Rusticyanin |
| Oxidations utilizing $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form: | Cytochrome c oxidase <br> Laccase, Ascorbate Oxidase, <br> Ceruloplasmin <br> (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ |
| Amine Oxidases |  |
| (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | Tyrosinase |
| Superoxide dismutase |  |
| Transport of oxygen | Haemocyanin, |
| Copper Transport |  |

The ability of copper to function in proteins such as oxidases and electron transfer proteins depends on its favourable chemical properties, since even when it is strongly chelated it can vary its oxidation state and retain the ability to complex small molecules such as oxygen. However, special mechanisms are required for its utilisation in biological systems, for reasons of stability and solubility. These can be provided by the protein matrix, which is able to furnish a specific environment (for example a hydrophobic pocket or a particular set of ligands) for the metal, to stabilize it in a particular state. In addition, the properties of such a metal atom bound to a protein can be influenced by changes remote from it, brought about by changes in protein structure which then change the environment of the metal (14).

### 1.3 Types of Copper Centres found in Copper Proteins.

Copper atoms in proteins have customarily been divided into three classes. These are:

## Type I Copper

These are characterised by a very intense absorption band at about
$600 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=3000-5000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ so that proteins containing such a prosthetic group are termed "blue" copper proteins. They include both electron transfer proteins and oxidases, and may contain only a single copper atom (as in the azurins and plastocyanins), or more than one copper atom per molecule where other types of copper are in association with the blue copper. Two explanations of the intense 600 nm absorption band have been proposed. One treats the band as arising from one or more allowed d-d transitions in a non-centrosymmetric centre $(15,16)$, and the other attributes the strong absorption to a charge transfer process, probably of a ligand to metal type. Spectroscopic studies of cobalt(II) derivaties of stellacyanin, plastocyanin and azurin have established that the charge transfer interpretation is preferable $(17,18.19)$. As other chemical and spectroscopic studies indicated that the thiolate sulphur of cysteine was a ligand to the "blue" copper $(20,21)$, the band was assigned to a $\sigma S^{-} \rightarrow C u$ charge transfer. Suggestions that it may have components of a methionine $S \rightarrow C u$ charge transfer transition have been made on the basis of model studies (22). Further optical spectral studies on single crystals of plastocyanin have suggested, however, that the reason for the intensity of the band is more probably extreme geometrical distortion of the site (23).

The electron spin resonance (E.S.R.) parameters for Type I Cu(II) ions are also very distinctive. Although the parameters $g_{\|}$and $g_{\perp}$ have typical values of approximately 2.23 and 2.05 , similar to those found in many small molecule copper complexes with planar or tetragonally distorted stereochemistries (24), the hyperfine splitting constant, $A_{\|}$, has typical values of approximately $63 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, about $1 / 3$ the value of $A \|$ values usually found in inorganic $C u(I I)$ complexes $(9,13)$. The only small-molecule complexes in which these unusual spectroscopic properties are approached are those in which the copper site is in a highly distorted tetrahedral or square pyramidal co-ordination

A third characteristic feature of Type $I$ copper proteins is their relatively high redox potentials ( 0.3 to 0.8 V ). Redox potentials for copper reduction couples range from -0.01 V for the $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+} / \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$ couple, to +1.12 V for the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{4}^{2-} / \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{4}^{3-}$ couple (7), although they are normally in the region of 0.16 V . The highest values are observed for the softest ligands, and for sites with low symmetry, for example copper co-ordinated to the highly hindered ligand bis-2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline, for which complex the redox potential is 0.59 V (14). Thus the asymmetry of the copper binding site, and the presence of "soft" ligands such as sulphur which tend to stabilize $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, are proposed as the major reasons for these high potentials. Table 1.2 shows some of these properties for various blue copper proteins $(13,27,28)$.

## Type II Copper

This form of copper is present in all blue multi-copper oxidases, where it is essential to the protein function. Type II copper atoms are often referred to as "non blue" having spectroscopic and E.S.R. parameters similar to those found in low molecular weight $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes (13). Apart from their lack of the unusual spectroscopic properties characteristic of type I copper, the Type II copper atoms do have chemical properties which distinguish them from other forms of copper. For example they have an unusual anion affinity, which may enable them to stabilize intermediates such as peroxides that may be formed during the reduction of oxygen. Type II copper atoms are thought to be bound solely to nitrogen ligands, as in superoxide dismutase, where an X-ray structure determination has shown the copper to be bound to 4 histidine residues (29). Type II sites show a considerable variability in redox behaviour, and their function in proteins is not yet fully understood (7).

## Type III Copper

This form of copper is found in all multi-copper oxidases where it

Table 1.2 The Properties of Some Blue Copper Proteins

| Protein | Source | Mol.Wt. | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{pH})$ <br> (V) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blue Band } \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{-1}{ }_{\mathrm{cm}-1}^{\varepsilon}$ | ESR parameters $g_{\\|} g_{\perp} A_{\\|}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azurin | Bacteria | 13,900 | $0.330(6.4)$ | 625 | 5700 | $2.260,2.052,0.0064$ |
| Plastocyanin | Plants <br> Green Algae | 10,700 | 0.350 | 598 | 4500 | $2.226,2.053,0.0063$ |
| Stellacyanin | Lacquer Tree | 20.000 | 0.184 (7.1) | 617 | 3550 | $2.287,2.077,0.0035$ |
| Umecyanin | Horse radish roots | 14,600 | 0.283(7.0) | 606 |  | $2.317,2.050,0.0035$ |
| Rusticyanin | Thio. ferrooxidans | 16,500 | 0.680(2.0) | 597,450 | 1950,1060 | $2.229,2.064,0.0045$ |
| Laccase | Fungi | 62,000 | 0.767 | 610 | 4600 |  |
| Ascorbate Oxidase | Squash Cucumber | 140,000 |  | 610 | 9400 |  |
| Ceruloplasmin | Blood Serum (Human) | 134,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.490 \\ & 0.580 \end{aligned}$ | 610 | 10,000 |  |

is essential to the reduction of oxygen. Type III copper atoms are characterized by an absorption band at 330 nm , and in particular by their lack of any E.S.R. spectrum, remaining non-paramagnetic over a wide range of temperatures (13). They have therefore of ten been referred to as E.S.R. non-detectable. Type III copper atoms appear to always be found in pairs, probably forming a centre in which the two copper atoms are in close proximity and are strongly anti-ferromagnetically coupled. They can thus act as two-electron donor or acceptor units (10).

The copper in tyrosinase, which is considered to be type III, is E.S.R. non-detectable in the resting, reduced, or oxygenated enzyme. However, upon addition of mercaptoethanol, a paramagnetic species, probably a mixed valence $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ pair, is formed, suggesting the involvement of sulphur ligands (30). Table 1.3 summarises the number and nature of the copper atoms found in each molecule of some copper proteins.

Table 1.3 The Nature and Number of Copper Atoms in Copper Proteins

| Protein |  | Copper Content (Atoms/molecule) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Type I Type II |  |  | Type |
| Azurin, Plastocyanin, | Stellacyanin | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Laccase |  | 4 | 1 | , | 1 | 2 |
| Ascorbate Oxidase |  | 8-10 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Cytochrome c oxidase |  | 2 | typ | pe u | a |  |
| Tyrosinase |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Haemocyanin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Ceruloplasmin |  | 6-8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2-4 |
| Superoxide Dismutase |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |

(a) per subunit

### 1.4 The "Blue" Copper Site

A great deal of the early speculation on the nature of the "blue" copper binding sites was derived from spectroscopic studies. Thus the oxidised form (Cu(II)) of Type I sites (especially those of azurin, plastocyanin and stellacyanin) has been extensively studied using chemical ( 21,31 ), amino acid sequence $(31,32,33)$, resonance Raman $(34,35)$, E.S.R. $(36,11)$, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (37, $38,39)$, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (20,40), electronic spectral, circular dichroism, magnetic circular dichroism (19), infrared (41) and metal (cobalt, nickel and manganese) substitution (17,18,42,43) methods. From such studies three basic structural models were proposed, these being shown in Figure 1.1.
(a) $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$
(b) $\mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$
(c) $\mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$


Figure 1.1 Proposed Models for Copper Co-ordination in Blue Copper

## Proteins

All of these models emphasise the importance of $N$ from the imidazole group of the histidine side-chain, and $S$ from the side-chain of cysteine as possible ligands in the binding of copper. Some studies have implicated a peptide oxygen or nitrogen as being involved in the co-ordination of copper $(34,41,44)$. More recently, results of resonance Raman studies suggested methionine might provide a thioether ligand for the copper (45).

The Raman spectra of some of the blue proteins have been interpreted in terms of 5-co-ordinate geometry ((b) in Figure 1.1) around the copper atom, because of the multiplicity of the bands (35). Thus a trigonal bipyramidal geometry is proposed with the two axial ligands being more weakly bound than the three equatorial ligands, which include the thiolate sulphur. Such molecular symmetry ( $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ ) may be lowered by non-equivalent axial ligands or distortions to the bond angles, so that it becomes more compatible with the observed Raman intensity patterns of these proteins. Variability among the different blue copper proteins with respect to these "weakly" bound axial ligands, but not to the equatorial ligands, may then account for differences observed in their Raman intensities as well as their redox potentials (see Table 1.2) (35). Five co-ordinate geometry has also been suggested for the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ state of $b l u e$ copper proteins from $a$ comparative study of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$-substituted anion complexes of bovine carbonic anhydrase (46). E.S.R. data, however, appear to be in conflict with such a model (22). Compressed trigonal bipyramids which possess $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, such as $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2} I\right]^{+},\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{tu})\right]^{2+}$ (where bpy is 2,2'-bipyridyl, and tu is thiourea) are well-characterized and are particularly relevant because they are analogous to the model, $\mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$, proposed for the blue proteins, with the $S$ in the trigonal plane. In contrast to the E.S.R. spectra of the blue copper proteins, which show $g_{\|}>g_{\perp}$, such complexes show $g_{\perp}>g_{\|}$. An elongated trigonal-bipyramidal structure, however, would show $g_{\|}>g_{\perp}$ (as would elongated octahedral, tetrahedral and planar structures when the singly occupied orbital is $d_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}$, or $d_{x y}, d_{y z}, d_{x z}$ ). A high degree of anisotropy would, however, be expected in the copper hyperfine coupling constants $A_{\|}$and $A_{\perp}$, whereas in blue copper proteins they are more isotropic (34). Such small A\| values relate to distorted structures (47), and as intermediate 5- co-ordinate geometries have received little attention as model complexes for blue copper proteins (48), it is felt they cannot be completely discounted.

Generally, spectra have been consistent with a distorted or flattened tetrahedral structure that involves at least one cysteine or thiolate ligand $(19,49)((a)$ or (c) of Figure 1.1). Such a model is compatible with both E.S.R. and other spectra of the blue copper proteins (22,34). X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) methods have predicted unusually short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths of $2.10 \pm 0.02 \AA$, as well as shorter than normal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in the first co-ordination sphere of the copper (50). Such a Cu-S bond length approaches that predicted for a hypothetical tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ bis(thiosemicarbazonato) complex, $2.16 \AA$, providing further evidence for an approximately tetrahedral co-ordination geometry for $C u$ in blue copper proteins (50).

### 1.5 Azurin

Azurins are "blue" proteins that contain a single Type I copper atom, and have a molecular weight of approximately 14,000 . They are found mainly in Pseudomonas (Ps.) and Alcaligenes (Alc.) bacteria, in addition to two species of Bordetella, and a Paracoccus species, both of which are closely related to Pseudomonas and Alcaligenes (51,52,53). Although they are known to have an electron cransfer function, it is not entirely clear what that function is. It is generally believed that azurin receives an electron from a small c-type cytochrome, cytochrome c-551 (a small acidic cytochrome of 82 residues which is generally found in bacterial species possessing azurins (54)), and passes it on to a cytochrome oxidase (55). Thus the sequence for electron transfer may be

$$
\text { cytochrome c-551 } \longrightarrow \text { azurin } \longrightarrow \text { cytochrome oxidase } \rightarrow 0_{2}
$$

Such a role for azurin would be analogous to that for plastocyanin, which receives an electron from the membrane-bound cytochrome $f$ (a small c-type cytochrome), and passes it on to $\mathrm{P}_{700}$, the double chlorophyll pigment of photosystem I (56). Although azurin and cytochrome c-551 have been shown to interact in vitro, with rates that
are among the fastest known for protein-protein electron exchange $(55,57)$, the biological significance of this has been queried on the grounds that azurin interacts with other acidic cytochromes, for example cytochrome c-553 from the red algae Plocamium coccineum, and cytochrome f from parsley, at least as fast as with cytochrome c-551 (53). Azurin and cytochrome $c-551$ have also been shown to be able to donate electrons to a nitrate reductase, found in Pseudomonads, which can accomplish both a four-electron reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the single-electron reduction of nitrite $\rightarrow$ NO, the latter process being considered as the physiological function (59).

Comparison of the amino acid sequences of 9 different azurins show that they all have either 128 or 129 amino acids, and that out of these, 47 are invariant and 32 conservatively substituted (32). As they all have similar spectroscopic and redox properties, and the same metabolic function, they clearly belong to one homologous family of protein molecules.

Chemical and Spectroscopic Studies on Azurin
Azurins have the characteristic optical and E.S.R. spectra shown by other blue copper proteins. As is shown in Table 1.4, however, the azurins from different bacterial species show minor variations in both spectral parameters and redox potentials, which may reflect minor variations in the ligand co-ordination geometry of the" $b 1 u e^{"}$ copper site $(13,60)$.

A variety of spectroscopic techniques have been invoked to probe the nature of the copper site in"blue"proteins as a whole, as is discussed in section 1.4. Many of them have focussed on azurin, and together with other probes of the general structure of azurin are summarised in Table 1.5.

Table 1.4 Properties of some Azurins from Different Bacterial Species

| Source | Molecular Weight | $\varepsilon$ at $625 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{pH}) \mathrm{V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ps. aeruginosa | 13,900 | 5700 | 0.330 (6.4) |
|  |  |  | 0.330 (7.0) |
| Ps. fluorescens | 13,944 | 3500 |  |
| Bordetella pertussis | 14,600 | 3500 | 0.395 |
| Paracoccus denitrificans | 13,790 |  | 0.230 |
| Alc. sp. | 14,000 | 5400 | 0.230 (6.8) |
|  |  |  | 0.260 |
| Alc. faecalis | 13,900 | 4600 | 0.266 |

At the time this work was begun, no X-ray crystallographic studies had been carried out on azurin, nor on any of the blue copper proteins. The objectives of this work were therefore to determine the three dimensional structure of azurin by X-ray crystallography, in order to
(i) elucidate the nature of the copper site in a blue copper protein and its functional significance, and
(ii) try to identify possible macromolecular binding sites on the azurin molecule and obtain some insight into the electron transfer process.

### 1.6 The Special Requirements of Electron Transfer Proteins

As the primary function of azurin is to transfer electrons from one centre to another, it must have specifically evolved to carry out this task efficiently. The copper atom is essential to this function, and the protein may modify its properties by:
(i) using specific ligand groups and/or co-ordination geometry to tune the $E^{0}$ value of the metal to the required value, or

Table 1.5 Chemical and Spectroscopic Studies on Azurin

| Residue | Interpretation with respect to the Structure | Method | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cys 112 | Cu ligand. Hydrophobic site - delocalised $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ bond | XPS. <br> Hg binding, metal replacement, $1_{\mathrm{H}}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & 41,42 \\ & 21 \\ & 18,61 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cys 3-Cys 26 | Buried disulphide bridge | Not reduced by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 64 |
| His 35, His 83 | CG of one < $7.5 \AA$ from Cu and inaccessible to solvent. Other is remote from Cu and accessible to solvent. | $1_{H}$ NMR ${ }^{13}$ C NMR | $\begin{aligned} & 62,64 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ |
| His 46, His 117 | Cu ligand; bound through ND1 of imidazole | $\begin{array}{ll} 13 \\ 1_{\mathrm{H}} & \text { NMR } \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 37,62 \end{aligned}$ |
| Trp 48 | CG < 8.4,$~ C D 2<9.8 \AA$ from $C u$, located in hydrocarbon-like environment | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \\ & 1_{\mathrm{H}} \text { NMR } \\ & \text { phosphorescence } \\ & \text { ODMR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 62 \\ & 65 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1.5 (Continued)

| Residue | Interpretation with respect to the Structure | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

(ii) creating a specific environment for the metal, which may affect its properties (for example a hydrophobic environment).

The protein must be able to provide a co-ordination geometry which can accommodate the two different oxidation states of the metal ion (and their possibly different steric requirements) with a minimum of structural change (14). To do this, it may enforce a co-ordination geometry on the metal near to that of the transition state between the two oxidation states, not only decreasing the need for structural rearrangement during redox activity, but also reducing the activation energy required for electron transfer, thereby increasing the rate. Such a state has been termed the "entatic" state (69). As well as this, the protein must provide a specific site or sites for the ready donation and acceptance of an electron to and from its physiological partners, plus a pathway for that electron which is directional and can be controlled. Thus it may have unusual sırface structural features, such as an acidic or basic "patch", to ensure specificity of interaction.

At present, the current theories of electron transfer mechanisms are:- inner sphere transfer, via a ligand common to both electron centres (i.e. the formation of an intermediate complex in which the two metal centres are connected by a common bridging group) ; outer sphere, via ligands on each of the reactants (i.e. ligands are not directly shared between the two redox centres but rather must overlap or be connected in some way to allow the delocalization of an electron from one centre to another) ; and quantum mechanical tunneling (71) (the reactants have sufficiently close low-lying energy levels and are of a distance such that a finite probability of electron transfer occurs - this distance being recently computed as approximately $8 \AA$ (70)). Outer sphere mechanisms seem more likely if the protein metal centre is buried and does not include a ligand which reaches the protein
surface. Ligands to the metal centre that are able to delocalize the electron that is to be transferred are advantageous, and the larger the ligand, the larger the possible electron transfer distance. For example, in cytochromes [porphyrin] ${ }^{2-}$ is an ideal ligand for the spreading of charge away from the central metal, and orbital overlap with another protein metal ligand may allow electron transfer over distances up to $15 \AA$. Other possible ligands able to spread charge over long distances are thiolate and disulphide groups, imidazole, and the aromatic side-chains of tyrosine and tryptophan. The advantages of such ligands for metal centres involved in electron transfer are:-
(a) Smaller local charge changes on the transfer of an electron.
(b) Smaller bond length changes on redox change.
(c) Less requirement for the close approach of the reacting metal atoms.

It is also possible to consider electron motion over relatively long distances via a rapid series of hops. Thus the transfer of an electron from metal atom to metal atom would involve the jumping of an electron from one organic group to another along a chain of groups such as quinones, or the aromatic side-chains of tyrosine, phenylalanine and tryptophan (14). The hop mechanism is like that suggested by Dickerson et al. for cytochrome $c(72,73)$. Electrons may also be able to pass through hydrophobic regions of proteins, as is likely in cytochrome $b_{5}$, cytochrome $c$, and the $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)_{2}$ proteins. All these proteins possess hydrophobic channels where the electron may move effectively in a vacuum (14). Thus the observation of extended relays of parallel or near-parallel aromatic side-chains, hydrophobic channels, surface structural features, some of which may or may not be conserved in proteins with the same function, may provide a clue as to the mechanism of electron transfer.

### 1.7 The Relationship of Azurin to other Blue Copper Froteins

Amino acid sequence data is available for blue copper proteins other than azurin. The most extensively studied is plastocyanin, the small (molecular weight $\sim 10,700$ ) electron transfer protein found in the photosynthetic electron transfer chain of plants, green algae, and some blue-green algae. Altogether the amino acid sequences for 12 species of plastocyanin (including 2 algal sequences) are known, in addition to the 9 species of azurin ( 32,74 ). Other blue copper proteins for which full or partial sequence data is available include stellacyanin (75), human ceruloplasmin (76,77), and bovine mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (78).

Several analytical and statistical comparisons of the amino acid sequences of azurins and plastocyanins have beєn carried out, aimed at estimating the amount of structural similarity between the two proteins ( $32,56,74$ ). These suggested that the two families of proteins were probably monophyletic, and also suggested certain amino acids as ligands, these being subsequently confirmed by crystallographic studies (see section 1.8). Although the azurin and plastocyanin families are individually highly homologous ( $36 \%$ of residues in azurins are invariant, and $28 \%$ of residues in plastocyanin are invariant), even the best alignment of 9 azurin species with 8 plastocyanin species reveals very little homology; only 9 residues are common to both families, and a further 17 conservatively substituted (32,56,74). Furthermore, substantial deletions from the azurin sequence are required for it to match that of plastocyanin, as azurins have about 30 more residues than plastocyanins. Of course some of the differences may arise from functional differences, since although both are electron transfer proteins, they have different physiological partners with which to interact.

When, in the past, functionally-similar but distantly-related proteins have been compared, similarities have not always been apparent
at the level of primary structure, yet have been demonstrated by the comparison of three dimensional structures. For example, without the three dimensional structures, the problem of where to place the massive deletions in the cytochrome c sequence to align it with the much smaller cytochrome c-551 sequence was insurmountable, but with the structure in hand, it became trivial. It was the folding pattern revealed by the electron density map, following X-ray studies, that made it clear which part of the cytochrome $c$ molecule had to be "removed" to make it fit the c-551 map (79). The structure of plastocyanin was reported after this work on azurin was begun, and it was then clear that a comparison of the crystal structures of azurin and plastocyanin should enable a proper alignment of the amino acid sequences to be made, taking into account their three dimensional structures. It should also clarify whether the two proteins have a common ancestor, or whether their similarities have arisen from convergence, where the same functional requirements have led to the evolution of similar active sites.

Comparison of the amino acid sequences of azurins and plastocyanins with other copper proteins has also yielded some interesting observations. Figure 1.2 shows the homology found between the sequences of segments from azurin, subunit II of cytochrome oxidase, the 19 K dalton and 50 K dalton fragments of ceruloplasmin (ceruloplasmin 1 and 2 respectively), stellacyanin and plastocyanin. The sequences in the upper half of the figure are found near the carboxy terminus, both in the multi-copper oxidases, and the single-copper blue proteins, and include 3 of the residues shown subsequently to be liganded to Cu in plastocyanin and azurin. A second region of similarity, including the fourth ligand for copper (His 37 in plastocyanin), is shown in the lower half of the figure. Analyses have suggested that, overall, subunit II of cytochrome oxidase is more like azurin, and the segments of ceruloplasmin more like plastocyanin $(80,81)$. It is thus proposed that the binding
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Figure 1-2 Copper-Binding Sequences
site for type $I$ copper is similar in all the small blue electron transfer proteins and large multi-copper oxidases, and that all these enzymes may have evolved from the same ancestral gene ( 80,81 ).

### 1.8 Recent X-ray Studies on Blue Copper Proteins

While this work was in progress, successful X-ray crystallographic analyses were reported on both plastocyanin from poplar leaves (56) and azurin from Ps. aeruginosa (82). While these results reduced some of the novelty of the present work, they also offered the opportunity for valuable comparative studies.

### 1.8.1 P1astocyanin

The shape of the plastocyanin molecule resembles a slightly flattened cylinder, the walls of which are formed by 8 strands of polypeptide chain drawn roughly parallel to the cylinder axis, to form a so-called $\beta$-barrel structure. The core of the molecule is hydrophobic, with a high proportion of aromatic sidechains, 6 out of the 7 phenylalanine residues in the sequence being found there. Charged sidechains are unevenly distributed on the surface of the molecule, with a net negative charge (at physiological pH ) being located more on one side of the molecule than the other. The copper binding site is embedded between the ends of strands 3,7 and 8 of the protein backbone. In addition to the widely predicted ND1 nitrogen atoms of His 37 and 87, the sulphur atoms of Cys 84 and Met 92 turned out to be ligands to the copper atom. Three of the four ligand-donating residues belong to a short stretch in the amino acid sequence (-Cys-XX-His-XXX-Met-) which forms a tight loop between strands 7 and 8. This loop, or variations on it, can be seen repeated in the amino acid sequences of other copper proteins in Figure 1.2. The co-ordination geometry is irregular with bond angles deviating by as much as 23 degrees from tetrahedral values. Accessibility of the solvent to the metal is limited to one direction only, and blocked by the sidechain of His 87 ,


Figure 1.3 The Polypeptide Chain Folding of Poplar Plastocyanin
from X-ray Diffraction Studies at $2.7 \AA$ Resolution.

Residues marked represent a hydrophobic patch found on the surface of the molecule near the copper site, and those marked an aromatic channel that runs from the base of the copper site down the front of the molecule.
one of the copper ligands, so that the metal-to-surface distance is approximately $6 \AA$. This geometry represents a compromise between the requirements of copper in its two oxidation states, which may mean that the reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ can proceed without any changes in co-ordination. Figure 1.3 shows the polypeptide chain folding of plastocyanin.

### 1.8.2 The Structure of Azurin from Ps. aeruginosa

More recently, the structure of azurin from Ps. aeruginosa has been reported at a resolution of $3 \AA$ (82). The shape of the molecule was found to be that of a flattened pear of approximate dimensions $25 \times 30 \times 45 \AA$, with the copper atom at the small end of the pear. In both shape and dimensions, it closely resembles poplar plastocyanin. The single polypeptide chain folds to form an eight-stranded, somewhatdistorted $B$-barrel. The most striking departure from the plastocyanin structure is the addition of a flap between residues 53 and 78, which contains some helical turns and hangs outside the body of the rest of the molecule. The copper atom is co-ordinated by four residues which are the exact analogues of the ligands in plastocyanin, His 46, Cys 112, His 117, and Met 121. It lies in a hydrophobic pocket near an extensive hydrophobic surface which clearly is analogous to the hydrophobic patch in plastocyanin.

The initial $3 \AA$ map was not, however, easily interpretable, and in order to improve the map, the electron densities of the 4 independent molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit were averaged. Although this led to some improvement, the two $N$-terminal residues could not be seen, and the presence of a disulphide bridge between residues 3 and 26 made the connectivity of the $\beta$ strands difficult to establish unambiguously. A discrepancy between the topologies of azurin and plastocyanin thus resulted (i.e. in the folding patterns of the polypeptide chains), that would be unusual if the two proteins had a common ancestor. Phasing was extended to $2.7 \AA$ by a method based on
direct-space averaging of the electron density of the 4 molecules, which much improved the quality of the map (83). The density could now be interpreted in terms of the plastocyanin topology, although the ambiguity remained since either folding pattern could be fitted reasonably well (see Figure 1.4).

c


Figure 1.4 A schematic representation of the topologies of azurin and plastocyanin showing the different folding patterns.
(a) and (b) are the two alternative folding patterns for azurin
(c) is the folding pattern for plastocyanin represents a disulphide bridge between Cys 3 and Cys 26.

### 1.9 Comparative Studies on Azurin

One of the challenges osed by proteins is the difficulty in establishing the significance of different parts of such complex molecules. Some residues may be able to be changed without affecting structure or function; others may not. Some geometrical features may also be essential, either for activity or for maintenance of the three dimensional structure, or both. This problem is magnified for proteins such as hormones or electron transfer proteins which interact with macromolecular species rather than small molecules, and for which there may be no obvious active site. Binding surfaces may be extensive, and difficult to recognise.

Determination of the structure of azurin from another species should resolve the ambiguity in the structure of Ps. aeruginosa azurin, and establish whether or not azurins and plastocyanins have the same folding pattern. Comparison with the Ps. aeruginosa azurin should also help to establish which structural and geometrical features are important for the biological activity of azurin. Although $35 \%$ of amino acid residues in Alc. denitrificans azurin, for example, differ from those in Ps. aeruginosa azurin, the two proteins have very similar activities, and presumably similar binding sites; comparison may help to identify the latter. On the other hand, there are differences in $E^{\circ}$ values between different azurins, and recent work has suggested kinetic differences $(13,84,85)$. Reports have been published which show variations in the kinetics of low pH decolourisation of azurins from Ps. aeruginosa and Alc. faecalis (84), as well as in the electron transfer between Alc. and Ps. azurins with Ps. cytochrome c-551 (85). Such differences may be the direct result of small changes to the co-ordination geometry of the metal, and/or differences in the molecular envelope of the protein, or other localised parts of the structure. Again, a close comparison of the two may lead to a better understanding of these aspects of their functions.

Comparison of the structure of azurin with that of poplar plastocyanin should allow a proper alignment of the two sequences, based not on just statistical considerations, but on the three dimensional structures. This may throw further light on possible evolutionary relationships (including those with other copper proteins). It may also be possible to relate the differences in structure to the differences in activity, since azurin and plastocyanin have different electron transfer partners. It is hoped that the structure of azurin can ultimately be refined at high resolution, thus permitting a very detailed comparison of the copper site with that in plastocyanin, which has already been refined.
-

## EXPERIMENTAL

### 2.1 The Growth of the Bacteria

Alcaligenes denitrificans (Alc. denitrificans) and Alcaligenes
sp. (sometimes referred to as Pseudomonas denitrificans (86)) are both members of a large and varied group of nitrate reducing bacteria. While Alcaligenes is a strictly aerobic genera, Pseudomonads appear to be able to grow under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions when the growth medium is nitrate enriched (87). Azurin was extracted from both these bacteria, the culture of Alc. denitrificans, strain N.C.T.C. 8582 being obtained from the National Collection of Type Cultures, Colindale, London, NW9 5HT, U.K., and the Alcaligenes sp. (Alc. sp.) strain from Dr R.P. Ambler, Department of Molecular Biology, University of Edinburgh, Ei99 3JR, U.K.

For Alc. denitrificans, the growth medium used contained, per litre : 10 g Trypticase peptone; 7 g yeast extract; 10 g sodium glutamate; $\operatorname{lg~} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} ; 0.002 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{FeSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} ; 0.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; and $0.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO} 4.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This differed from the media previously reported for the growth of this type of bacteria in the proportions of yeast extract, trypticase peptone (casamino acids), sodium glutamate, and $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ used $(51,88)$. The ratio used was the optimum determined by comparing yields of cell paste from 100 ml cultures grown in media containing varying proportions of these four ingredients. The initial pH of the solution was adjusted to 6.9 with 1 M NaOH (approximately $10 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{litre}$ ). A 1 litre shaken culture inoculum was grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and used to seed the bulk medium ( 35 litres) in a New Brunswick 50 litre Ferma Cell fermentor. The culture was stirred at a rate of 200 r.p.m. while sterile air was being passed through it at a rate of 0.19 litres per second, and was maintained at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell growth was monitored at regular intervals by measuring the absorbance of the cell suspension
at 540 nm and subculturing the sample. The cells were harvested after 16 hours (when the culture was in the log phase) using Sorvall centrifuges, and could either be stored as a frozen wet paste $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or processed immediately. In the latter case yields of purified azurin were much greater.

For Alc. sp. the culture medium and growth conditions were the same as that used for denitrifying Pseudomonads $(89,90)$. The organism was grown in 30 litre batches in large carboys under nearly anaerobic conditions at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, harvested as above, and stored frozen.

### 2.2 The Purification of Azurin

Azurin fromboth species was purified using a method developed from those of Parr et al. (1976), Cusanovich et al. (1970), Ambler (1973) and Ambler and Brown (1967) (86,88,91,92).
(i) Initial Extraction.

The wet cells, once harvested, were immediately suspended in 0.02 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using approximately 1 litre for every 300 g of paste. They were then homogenized using an I.K.A. Ultra Turex T-45 with a 24 mm head at full speed for 6 minutes before being completely broken by a single passage through an Aminco French Pressure Cell ( $387 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ). This was found to be the most efficient way to disrupt the cell membrane, as both Biuret and atomic absorption tests carried out on the supernatants of cell suspensions disrupted with ultrasonication, homogenization alone, or the above method showed the latter to contain the most protein as well as the highest concentration of copper. The resulting suspension, black and viscous, was collected in a cooled vessel. It was returned to room temperature before the addition of deoxyribonuclease ( $4 \mathrm{mg} /$ litre of suspension) to break down the nucleic acids, and thus reduce the viscosity of the suspension. This occurred quite rapidly, after which the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and centrifuged at 34800 g for 30 minutes. The very dark green
supernatant was decanted and saved, while the precipitated cell debris was extracted a second time as above. After centrifugation the supernatant was again decanted, and combined with the first extract, the precipitate being discarded.

## (ii) Ammonium Sulphate fractionation.

The combined extracts were brought to $45 \%$ saturation with finely powdered ammonium sulphate over a period of 2 hours, centrifuged, and the pellet discarded. The supernatant was saturated with ammonium sulphate and left tostand overnight with stirring. Before centrifugation, the solution was made $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ which changed the reddish brown colour to blue green. After centrifugation, the resulting pellet was dissolved in a minimum of distilled water, and dialysed against distilled water made $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ until the conductivity of the protein solution was comparable to that of water. The supernatant from the precipitation, blue-green in colour and still containing some azurin, was passed through a pad of carboxy-methyl-cellulose (Whatman CM-32) in a Buchner funnel. Azurin was precipitated on the surface of the cellulose, remaining as a band that could then be washed off with distilled water This eluate was also dialyzed against distilled water as above, the two fractions then being combined. Stepwise fractionation of the $45 \%$ saturated supernatant was tried out in earlier preparations where finely powdered ammonium sulphate was added slowly with stirring until the solution became cloudy. It was then centrifuged, and both the resulting pellet and supernatant were retained, the process being repeated till $100 \%$ saturation was reached. However, when the pellets were dissolved in distilled water and oxidised with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$, all retained some blue colour, indicating the presence of azurin, in all fractions above 45\% saturation.

## (iii)

## Acidification of the Extract.

Fifty percent (v/v) acetic acid was added to the desalted, cooled ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution with thorough mixing until the pH reached 4.1. A white precipitate had begun to form at approximately pH 5.5 , and this was removed by centrifugation at $14,600 \mathrm{~g}$ for 30 minutes. The conductivity of the clear supernatant was then measured and compared to that of 0.05 M ammonium acetate buffer, pH 4.1 . The solution was diluted with distilled water until the conductivities were the same, and if any precipitate formed it was removed by centrifugation.

## (iv) Chromatography on Carboxymethyl-cellulose.

The pale green solution was loaded on to a CM-32 column (5 cm diameter x 20 cm ) which had been pre-equilibrated with 0.05 M ammonium acetate buffer pH 4.1. Three coloured bands formed at the top of the column as the solution was passed through. These were red, blue and then a second, very narrow, lower red band (Fig. 2-1). When loading had finished, the column was washed with the equilibrating buffer until no protein could be detected in the eluate, as judged by the absorbance at 280 nm . It was found that the final purification steps were much more effective if care was taken at this step, the quantity of buffer required for complete washing of the bands being in the vicinity of 4 litres. The choice of column is also important, as overloading of the bed will result if the pad of cellulose is too small, because of the high concentration of proteins in the cell extract, and the respiratory proteins will not adsorb. The lower red band was usually more obvious for the Alc. sp. extract than for the Alc. denitrificans where in some preparations it was hardly visible.

The column was then washed with 0.05 M ammonium acetate buffer, pH 4.5 , the eluate being constantly monitored for protein as above. For Alc. denitrificans, the lower red and blue bands began to diffuse very slowly through the column although they still adsorbed to the


Figure $2 \cdot 1$ Carboxymethyl-cellulose chromatography during the purification of Azurin.
Three bands are evident:

1. an upper red band, cytochrome $c^{\prime}$,
2. a blue band, Azurin,
3. a lower faint red band, a c-type cytochrome.
cellulose, and colourless protein was eluted. After the passage of approximately 1 litre of buffer, no further protein could be detected in the eluate. The pH of the buffer was then raised to 4.7 and the procedure repeated. This time, more colourless protein was washed off the column before the lower red band was eluted. Electronic spectra of thiaredeluate, recorded on a Shimadzu M.P.S.-5000 spectrophotometer using 10 mm quartz cells, showed it to contain c-type cytochromes which were identified by their characteristic spectra (54). The blue band was immediately behind the lower red one, but was not eluted until the pH of the buffer was raised to 5.1 , when it was eluted as a broad dilute band ( 800 ml ). The remaining red band had become more diffuse by this time, and it was removed from the column by raising the pll of the buffer to 7.0. Electronic spectra of this eluate, recorded as above, showed it to contain a mixture of e-type cytochromes, including $c^{*}$, wich is distinguishable by its split Soret peak (91). For Alc. Sp. the procedures were much the same as above, except that once the column was loaded, it was washed with buffer at pH 4.3 , since the first red band was eluted at pH 4.5 rather than 4.7 as for Alc. denitrificans. The blue band was eluted as a broad band at pH 4.7 and the remaining red band at pH 7.0 as above. Electronic spectra of the two red eluates showed the solution at pH 4.5 to contain c-type cytochromes, and the solution at pH 7.0 to contain mainly cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ as above. The cytochrome $c$ solution from both bacterial species was discarded, while that containing cytochrome c' was kept for further purification (see Appendix I).

## (v) Chromatography on Diethylaminoethyl-cellulose.

The azurin solutions were concentrated by ultrafiltration using a Dia-flow ultrafiltration cell and PM-10 membrane, before being reequilibrated in 10 mM tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.7 , by gel filtration on medium grade Sephadex G-25. Chromatography on diethylaminoethyl-
cellulose (Whatman DEAE-52) was then carried out twice to remove any nucleic acids and remaining respiratory enzyme components $(66,89,92)$. The blue protein (azurin) did not bind to the column, being merely retarded by it, although some cytochromes remained as a red band at the top of the column. This step proved to be quite important as it removed contaminants that were difficult to remove by ammonium su" hate precipitation, chromatography on CMC-32, and standard gel filtration techniques.
(vi) Precipitation With Ammonium Sulphate.

The blue solutions from step (v) were again concentrated by Ultrafiltration, before finely powdered ammonium sulphate was added to them very slowlywth stirring. When the solutions became cloudy they were left standing for 2 hours before the precipitates were removed by centrifugation. At $95 \%$ saturation most of the azurin precipitated, the resulting blue pellets being redissolved in a minimum of distilled water before being subjected to gel filtration.
(vii) Gel Eiltration.

Gel filtration was carried out on Biogel P-10 (100-200 mesh) equilibrated in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. The columns were 100 cm $x 2.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ in diameter (Pharmacia $\mathrm{K}_{26 / 100}$ ) and a flow rate of $0.2 \mathrm{ml} /$ minute was used. Usually $4-8 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquots of the protein solution were applied, the eluate being collected by a fraction collector and monitored by a $u . v$. absorption recorder (L.K.B. Uvicord-II measuring at 280 nm ). The fractions associated with the blue peak were assessed for purity by acrylamide gel electrophoresis (93), those showing no impurities being pooled.

The homogeneity of the protein was tested during the purification by measuring the ratio of the absorbance of the oxidised protein at 625 nm to that at 280 nm . Chromatography on CM-cellulose, ammonium sulphate precipitation and gel filtration were repeated until the
spectral ratio $E_{625} / \mathrm{E}_{280}$ reached $0.27-0.30$ for Alc. denitrificans azurin, and $0.45-0.47$ for Alc. sp. Reported values of this spectral ratio for other azurins have ranged from $0.47-0.6$ for P . fluorescens, Ps. a ruginosa and Alc. faecalis $(60,88,89,94)$ and 0.35 for Alc. sp. (60). It is well known that the absorbance at 280 nm is mainly due to the aromatic side chains in the protein. These are tryptophan (which contributes the most), tyrosine, and phenylalanine (whose contribution is negligible) (95). Comparison of the sequences of these azurins shows that Ps. Eluorescens, Ps. aeruginosa and Alc. faecalis all have 1 tryptophan, and 2 tyrosines in their sequences, while Alc. sp. has 1 tryptophan and 3 tyrosines, and Alc. denitrificans has 2 tryptophans and 4 tyrosines (32). On this basis the absorbance at 280 nm should be greater, and hence the ratio of $\mathrm{E}_{625} / \mathrm{E}_{280}$ lower than an equal concentration of azurin of the same purity from Alc. sp., Alc. faecalis, and the two Ps. species. This will be reflected in the spectral ratios, which are found to vary between different azurins, that for Alc. denitrificans being one of the lowest. Table 2.1 gives the ratio of $E_{625}$ to $E_{280}$ at various stages of the purification of azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

Table 2.1 Extinction Ratios of Azurin at Various Stages of Purification.

| Step | $E_{625^{o x} / E_{280}^{o x}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (1) Eluate from the C.M.C. column | 0.175 |
| (2) Eluate from the D.E.A.E. column | 0.213 |
| (3) Eluate from gel filtration after | 0.273 |
| ammonium sulphate precipitation |  |

All steps were carried out at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the concentration of the protein was calculated either by using an extinction coefficient of $3.5 \mathrm{mM}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (96) or by measuring the concentration of the Cu present in the sample by atomic absorption spectroscopy, assuming a ratio of 1 copper atom per molecule of protein.

The purified protein solution had a spectrum characteristic of azurin as shown in Fig. $2.2(51,97)$, and was homogeneous by S.D.S. acrylamide gel electrophoresis. It was found that the protein could be freeze-dried, and that this process could actually be used as a purification step. A samplemade up of the fractions of blue protein rejected after gel filtration procedures on the basis of acrylamide gel electrophoresis was concentrated by ultrafiltration before being freeze-dried. When the resulting blue powder was placed in distilled water, the azurin cedissolved immediately to form a clear blue solution leaving an insoluble white precipitate in the bottom of the flask. The resulting protein solution had a spectral ratio of 0.27 and was successfully crystallized. The yield of azurin from Alc. denitrificans was approximately 8 mg per litre of culture.

### 2.3 Crystallization.

Crystallizations of azurin from Alc. sp. have previously been carried out by Suzuki and Iwasaki (97) and Strahs (98). In both cases the azurin was crystallized from amonium sulphate solutions, the crystals of Suzuki and Iwasaki being blue needles that were too small for X-ray analysis, while those of Strahs were of sufficient size for preliminary X-ray examination. This showed them to belong to the tetragonal space group $P_{4} 22$ with $a=b=53.2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $c=101 \mathrm{~A}$, with one molecule per asymmetric unit. Azurin from Ps. fluorescens has also been crystallized, although again the crystals were small and unsuitable for X -ray analysis (92). Azurin from Ps. aeruginosa had previously been crystallized in this department (99), the crystals being orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{P}^{2} 1^{2} 1^{2} 1$


Figure 2.2 Absorption Spectra of Azurin from Alcaligenes denitrificans.
with $\mathrm{a}=49.2 \AA, \mathrm{~b}=98.5 \%$ and $\mathrm{c}=109 \mathrm{~A}$, and 4 molecules per asymmetric unit. Attempts to prepare suitable heavy atom derivatives were, however, unsuccessful. While the present work was in progress, the structure of azurin from Ps. aeruginosa was reported at a resolution of 3.0 A (82). Here, the space group was again $\mathrm{P}_{2} 1_{1} 1_{1}^{2}$, with dimensions $a=58.85 \AA, b=78.98 \AA, c=108.47 \AA$ and 4 molecules per asymmetric unit.

### 2.3.1 Crystallization Techniques Used.

Before setting up the crystallizatiens, care was taken to filter all solutions and centrifuge them at high speed for at least 30 minutes to remove any dust particles or other debris. All glassware was acid-washed, well rinsed in de-ionized water and oven-dried immediately before use, while the plastic tubes sometimes used for crystallizations were "dusted" with a jet of compressed air.
(a) Free Interface Diffusion

When a protein is soluble in solution A and insoluble in solution $B$, and the solution containing the dissolved sample can be layered very carefully on top of the other, then transient conditions of supersaturation will be achieved in the region of the interface. As the two layers diffuse into one another toward equilibrium, nuclei are formed. If,at equilibrium, the total precipitant concentration is less than that required to produce immediate precipitation of the protein, but high enough to support the growth of crystals from the nuclei that have formed, large single crystals should result.


Figure 2.3 A Schematic Diagram of the Free Interface Diffusion
Technique.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. protein solution } & \text { 3. plastic tube ( } 4 \mathrm{ml}) \\ \text { 2. precipitant } & \text { 4. parafilm seal }\end{array}$
(b) Microdialysis

A protein solution may be brought slowly toward its precipitation point bydialysis against a specific salt solution or organic solvent. The advantage of this technique is that as the differential between concentrations inside and outside the membrane decreases, the rate of equilibration also decreases. As azurin could pass through 10 mm dialysis tubing, special care had to be taken with this method. Small samples of protein solution ( $10-50 \mathrm{Hl}$ ) were injected very carefully, so that no bubbles formed, into short glass capillaries or tubes which had previously been siliconized (100). The thick walled glass tubes, open at both ends, had a double piece of 2.5 m dialysis tubing, which had been prepared in the usual way (101), stretched over one end and secured by a collar of plastic tubing cut to provide support legs. The other end was covered by a piece of parafilm held on with another collar. The entire assembly was then submerged in a sealed tube containing the precipitating solution. The apparatus
could be modified to use capillaries with polyacrylamide gel plugs, polymerized in situ, instead of dialysis membranes. The advantage of this method is that the concentration of the acrylamide in the plug can be used to control the rates of diffusion (102,103).


Figure 2.4 A Schematic IIagram of che Mictodialysis Methods Used.

1. Protein Solution $\quad$ Collar
2. X-ray capillary tube ( 1.5 mm ) 8. Thick walled glass
3. Wax seal tubing
4. Polyacrylamide gel plug 9. Dialysis membrane
5. Sealed container 10. Support
6. Parafilm seal 11. Precipitant.
(c) Evaporation

This method is a most primitive approach, but is one which has been used successfully for a few protefn crystallizations (104). The mother liquor was allowed to slowly evaporate at rom temperature, so that the precipitant and/or protein concentration attained super saturation. The rate at which evaporation occurred was controlled to
some extent by covering the plastic tube containing the protein solution with parafilm, and making one hole or a number of holes in it to provide a passage for water loss.

## (d) The Batch Method

In this method, small plastic or siliconized glass vials were filled with 0.5 to 1.0 ml of the protein solution, usually at a concentration of $10-20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, and a salt concentration slighty less than that at which azurin precipitated or was thought to precipitate. The vials were then sealedwith screw caps or rubber stoppers and set aside. A range of precipitant concentrations were investigated, accurate additions of precipitant solution being made with a Hamilton micro-syringe.
(e) Vapour Diffusion

Evaporation of water from the protein solution is controlled in this technique by equilibration with a more concentrated salt solution. Thus a solution of the protein, containing a salt concentration approximately $10 \%$ below the concentration needed for precipitation, is equilibrated through the vapour phase with a large volume of a more concentrated salt solution, where both solutions are in a sealed system. Multiple depression spot plates afforded a convenient way of testing this method on micro quantities of protein solutions under a variety of conditions (such as pH). A diagram of such an apparatus is shown in Figure 2.5. The technique could also be effected by placing small quantities (100-500 $\mu$ ) of mother liquor in plastic tubes which were then sealed in small bottles containing the precipitant solution, as shown in Figure 2.5 also.


Figure 2.5 A Schematic Diagram of the Vapour Diffusion Arrangements Used in the Crystallization of Azurin.

1. plastic sandwich box
2. holes sealed by sellotape to allow addition or removal of the precipitant
3. seal (tape)
4. precipitant solution
5. perspex depression plate on support legs
6. screw cap
7. glass vial
8. plastic tube
9. protein solution.

These methods have been reviewed in detail by McPherson (100)

### 2.3.2 The Conditions Used for the Crystallization of Azurin.

(a) pH

With the exception of the concentration and mature of the precipitating agent, the most important variable to be investigated in the search for crystallization conditions is pH (100). It has been
pointed out that the probability of obtaining an anorphous precipitate or micro crystals rather than large single crystals may depend on only a few tenths of a pH unit (102). The pH of solutions used in the crystallization of azurin from both organisms varied between 4.4 and 6.8. They were made up in either 0.1 M amonfum acetate buffers, pH $4.4,5.0,5.4$ and 6.0, ox 0.2 M and 0.1 M phosphate buffers, pH 6.0 , $6.4,7.0,7.1,7.2,7.3,7.5$ and 7.8 . When these buffers were saturated with ammonium sulphate the pH of the solutions made up with phosphate buffer were measured as $5.0,5.4,6.0,6.1,6.2,6.3,6.5$ and 6.8 , while that of the solutions made up with ammonium acetate buffer showed no change. (All measurements were made with standard electrodes).

## (b) Temperature

Crystallizations of proteins have been reported over a wide range of temperatures, Erom $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Many of the factors that govern the solubility of proteins have a marked temperature dependence, and at high ionic strength most proteins have a negative temperature coefficient of solubility, i.e. they are less soluble at higher temperatures than they are at lower ones (105). Thus crystallizations were set up at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and roon temperature.

## (c) Precipitants

Precipitants fall into two categories: salts such as amonium sulphate, and organic solvents such as ethanol. Because ammonium sulphate had previously been used with some success in the crystallization of azurin $(92,97,98)$, efforts were concentrated on optimizing conditions of crystallization using it, although some experiments were carried out using sodium citrate, sodium and potassium phosphates, and polyethylene glycol as precipitating agents. These are summarised in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 A Surmary of the Precipitants and their Concentrations used in the Crystallization of Azurin from Alc. denitrificans and Alc. Sp.

| Precipitant | Method | Conc. of precipitant in reservoir <br> (\%) (a) | Conc. of precipitant in protein solution | Conc. of precipitant overall (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ammonium sulphate | Vapour diffusion | $\begin{aligned} & 62,63,64,65 \\ & 66,67,68,69, \\ & 70,71 \end{aligned}$ | 50\% | $\begin{aligned} & 62,63,64,65,66, \\ & 67,68,69,70,71 \end{aligned}$ |
| ammonium sulphate | Batch |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60,61,62,63,64 \\ & 65,66,67,68,69, \\ & 70,71,72 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60,61,62,63,64, \\ & 65,66,67,68,69, \\ & 70,71,72 \end{aligned}$ |
| ammonium sulphate | Free <br> interface <br> difeusion | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60,62,64,66 \\ & 68,70,72,74 \end{aligned}$ |
| ammonium sulphate | microdialysis | 100,90,80 | - $60 \%$ | 100,90,80 |
| sodium <br> citrate | micro- <br> dialysis | 100 | - | 100 |
| sodium citrate | Batch <br> (b) | - | - | - |
| phosphate buffer (mixed sodium \& potassium salts) | Evaporation | - | 2.4 M | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { polyethy- } \\ & \text { lene } \\ & \text { glycol } \end{aligned}$ | Vapour diffusion | 20 | 8\% | 20 |

(a) Concentrations of the precipitants are expressed as percentages of saturation.
(b) Einely powdered sodium citrate was added with stirring to the protein solution until it became slightly turbid. Micro-droplets of distilled water were then added to the solution till it became
clear again, and after centrifugation it was carefully decanted into a screw-capped vial and left at roon temperature.
(c) the polyethylene glycol used was PEG-6000. Before use it was dissolved in 15 volumes of acetone, then precipitated from 20 volumes of ether, and dried under vacuum (107).
(d) Concentration of the Protein

The concentration of the protein molecules in the mother liquor is often critical, and crystals have been reported grown at concentrations from one to several hundred milligrams per ml, although the most common range seems to be from 5 to $30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ (100). In these experiments protein concentrations between 10 and $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m} 1^{-1}$ were used.
(e) Additives

Additions of small quantities of organic solvents such as ethanol (100), dioxane $(108,109)$ and other salts such as Licl (82), NaC1, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and sodium citrate to the mother liquor were tried in order to induce the growth of large single crystals. Although there appears to be no obvious reason why such additives should enhance the crystallizations of proteins, many proteins have been crystallized with the presence of small amounts of such compounds in their mother Liquor (110,111).

### 2.3.3 The crystallization of Azurin from Alc. Sp.

Azurin from this organism could be crystallized under a great variety of conditions, including nearly all combinations of the conditions described in sections 2.3 .1 and 2.3.2. It could not be crystallized using polyethylene glycol as a precipitating agent, and the use of both sodium citrate and mixed phosphate salts produced micro crystals only. The best crystals were obtained from protein solutions made up in phosphate buffers of pH 6.4-7.0, with a protein
concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$, and ammonium sulphate as the precipitant. Vapour diffusion was the most successful method of crystallization, the crystals taking the form of either very fine needles or dark blue rectangular plates, both forms often occurring together as shown in Figure 2.6. The largest plates were grown in plastic tubes, and had dimensions up to $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Although they appeared to be single crystals, magnification showed them to be aggregates of very thin plates, and all attempts to increase the size of the needle form, or to obtain large single crystals of the plate form, failed.
2.3.4 The Crystallization of Azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

Initial screening using the crystallization techniques and conditions discu sed in sections 2.3 .1 and 2.3 .2 , at room temperature only, showed small but nicely formed crystals could be obtained from protein solutions made up in 0.2 M phosphate buffer, pH 5.0 , and a protein concentration of $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mi} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ with amonium sulphate as a precipitant. The best crystals were obtained using vapour and free interface diffusion techniques, the reservoir solutions being $85 \%$ saturated with ammonium sulphate (Figure 2.7). The same crystal form could be obtained using mixed sodium and potassium phosphates, or sodium citrate as the precipitating agents, although the crystals were again small. No crystals were obtained using polyethylene glycol as the precipitating agent, the azurin forming an oily amorphous precipitate in its presence. In an attempt to increase the size of the crystals, the temperature, final concentration of precipitant, and the concentration of the protein in the mother liquor were varied. The best crystals were grown in two ways:
(a) by free interface diffusion, where 200 山l of protein solution ( $14 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mi}^{-1}$ ), in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 6.0 , made $40 \%$ saturated with anmonium sulphate, was layered on to $240-370 \mu l$ of $80 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate made up with the same buffer and left at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, or


Figure 2.6 Crystals of Azurin from Alcaligenes sp.
Two crystal forms are visible (needles and plates). ( $63 \times$ ).


Figure 2.7 Crystals of Azurin from Alcaligenes denitrificans showing Spherulitic Growth Habit ( $63 \times$ ).


Figure 2.8 Crystals of Azurin used for data collection. (63 x).
(b) by vapour diffusion, where the protein solution (12 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, in $50 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate solution made up in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 6.0 ) was equilibrated against 62-66\% saturated ammonium sulphate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In both cases plastic tubes produced the best results, and crystals appeared in 6-7 weeks. The same crystal form was always obtained, i.e. very intensely coloured blue needles of rectangular or square crosssection at one end, tapering to the other. The crystals tended to grow in clusters, as shown in Figure 2.7 ,joined at their square ends, but individual crystals up to $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length could be separated from the clusters. Sometimes large single crystals grew with their square ends attached to the tube. Figure 2.8 is a photograph of part of such a crystal. As shown below, the needle axis was found to correspond to the crystallographic c axis, while the a and b axes lie along the diagonals of the cross section.


## Figure 2.9 A schematic diagramof a crystal of azurin from Alc. denitrificans, showing the relationship between the crystal morphology_ and the crystallographic axes.

### 2.4 Characterization of the Crystals of Azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

The crystals grown as described above were mounted in thin-walled glass capillaries, which contained a small reservoir of mother liquor at one end and were sealed with wax. Zero and first level precession photographs $\left(\mu=16^{\circ}\right)$ were taken using Ni-filtered $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}$ radiation from
a Phillips 1130/00 X-ray generator operated at 20 mA and 40 kV . Exposures varied from 20-40 hours depending on the size of the crystal.

The crystals were found to belong to the orthorhombic space group $\mathrm{C} 222{ }_{1}$ with cell dimensions $\mathrm{a}=75.0 \AA, \mathrm{~b}=74.1 \AA$ and $\mathrm{c}=99.5 \AA$. The density, measured in a water-saturated bromobenzene/kerosene gradient column (112) was $1.26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Assuming the asymmetric unit contains two molecules of weight 14,000 daltons, the crystal volume per unit of protein molecular weight, Vm,is calculated as 2.47 , a value comparable with other protein crystals (113). If the partial specific volume is assumed to be $0.74 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, the fraction of the volume of the unit cell occupied by the solvent is thus calculated to be $50.2 \%$. The crystals diffract to at least $2 \AA$, and are radiation stable. An interesting feature of the diffraction patterns is the fact that they show strong tetragonal pseudosymmetry at low resolution. The pseudotetragonal cell $\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}=^{\prime} \mathrm{b}^{\prime}=52.4 \AA, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}=99.5 \AA\right.$ ) is approximately half the volume of the true unit cell, and has axes which are at $45^{\circ}$ to the true $a$ and $b$ axes. It is very similar to that reported by Strahs (98) for azurin from Ps. denitrificans ( ( 1 lc . sp. of this work) (viz $a=b=53.2 \AA, c=101 \AA$, space group $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ 22). Figure 2.10 is a schematic drawing of the relationship between these two space groups. Figure 2.11 shows precession photographs $\left(\mu=16^{\circ}\right)$ of the $h C l, 0 k \ell$, and hkO zones. Systematic absences for this space group are 00l absent when $\ell=2 n+1$, and hk absent when $h+k=2 n+1$.

### 2.5 The Preparation of Heavy Atom Derivatives.

A three dimensional crystal can be represented by a Fourier series $\rho(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{h k \ell}^{\sum \sum_{h k \ell}\left[\exp i \alpha_{h k \ell}\right] \exp [-2 \pi i(h x+k y+\ell z)]}$
where $\rho$ is the electron density at a point $x, y, z$
$V$ is the volume of the unit cell


Figure 2-10. A schematic representation showing the relationship between the space group C 222, (—) and the tetragonal space group $\mathrm{PL}_{4} 22$ (---). The two cells are aligned when the origin of the tetragonal cell is shifted to $z=1 / 8$ and the other two axes are redefined along the face diagonals.


Figure 2.11 Precession photographs $\left(\mu=16^{\circ}\right)$ of (a) the hOI, (b) the hk0, and (c) the 0 kl zones of native azurin crystals. The hk0 zone clearly shows the peusdo-tetragonal symmetry at low resolution.
$F_{h k \ell}$ is the amplitude of the wave described by the indices hkl $\alpha_{h k \ell}$ is the phase of the wave.

The amplitude of the wave is simply the structure factor magnitude and can be derived directly from the intensity of the reflection hkl. However the phase of the reflection cannot be observed directly, this being the basis of the so called "phase problem", the major difficulty in structure determination.

In this study, as in other protein structure analyses, the method of multiple isomorphous replacement $(114,115,116,117)$, supplemented by anomalous scattering measurements $(118,119,120)$, was used to obtain phases. This involves the preparation of derivatives of the protein, in which additional ions or small molecules containing at least one "heavy" atom (i.e. an atom of high atomic number) are bound to the protein without disturbing the structure of the protein or the crystal packing. The space group and cell dimensions should then be unchanged, and the intensity differences in the X-ray diffraction pattern will arise solely from the additional atoms in the structure. The heavy atom species may be covalently bound to the protein, or held by noncovalent forces, the important thing being that they residue in exactly the same place on each protein molecule (i.e. are well ordered), and that the occupancy is high (i.e. that they bind to a high proportion of the protein molecules in the crystal). The intensities of the diffraction pattern are then measured for both parent and derivative crystals to the desired resolution, and the position of the heavy atom(s) found, usually by a Patterson synthesis (see Chapter 3).

Heavy atom derivative screening was carried out on medium sized crystals, the largest crystals being saved for diffractometer data collection. Early attempts to remove the copper atom, with a view to replacing it with heavier metal atoms, did not appear to be
successful (see Appendix III), and the commonly-used trial and error method was therefore employed in searching for suitable isomorphous heavy atom derivatives. In this method protein crystals are soaked in solutions of a heavy atom reagent, so that the latter can diffuse into them to possible,unspecified,binding sites. Initially, azurin crystals were transferred to capped vials containing $75 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate solutions,made up with 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 6.0 and approximately $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ of heavy atom reagent. After a soaking period of 1 week, a zero level precession photograph obtained from the crystal was compared with an appropriate photograph from a native crystal. If intensity differences were present, but were small, three steps could be taken to try to increase the amount of substitution. Firstly, the concentration of the reagent was increased to levels of up to $2.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ as this sometimes will give rise to further binding (105). Secondly, where the heavy atom reagent was partly or completely insoluble in the mother liquor, a change of buffer from phosphate to acetate often effected an improvement in substitution. This is because many of the heavy metal ions found in such reagents are more soluble in acetate than in phosphate buffer (105). As some heavy atom reagents, for example uranyl or lanthanide complexes, form insoluble salts with phosphate ions, acetate buffers were also used with these reagents. The buffer used was 0.05 M ammonium acetate pH 5.0 , and the protein crystals were always pre-soaked in at least two changes of buffer before being introduced to the soaking solutions. Where the heavy atom reagents remained insoluble, they were left as precipitates in the bottom of the tube, in most cases in contact with the crystals for longer periods of time. This was because even when a reagent appears to be insoluble, it may dissolve very slowly, allowing "heavy" atoms to diffuse into the protein. Finally, the temperature of the soaking solution was raised to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an attempt to increase both the rate of reaction, and the solubility of the heavy atom reagent.

Generally it was found that increasing the temperature did not increase substitution, but merely increased the rate at which it occurred, and thus was of no real advantage.

Where damage to the crystal, such as cracking, a change in cell dimensions, or a deterioration in the quality of the diffraction pattern was observed following soaking, the concentration of the reagent used was reduced, as was the time of soaking. Where this failed to reduce the damage, soaking was carried out at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to try to control the amount of substitution by slowing down the rate of diffusion of the reagent into the crystal. However, it was found that this procedure generally offered no advantage, as crystal damage still occurred. Table 2.3 is a summary of the heavy atom soaking experiments, the middle column indicating the extent of the intensity changes, the last column giving an estimation of the amount of non-isomorphism with the native crystals. This can refer to either physical damage to the crystal itself, or to a change in the dimensions of the crystal lattice. A change in cell dimensions of $0.5 \%$ was the maximum allowable before the crystal was considered to be non-isomorphous with the native (121).

Table 2.3 Results of the Heavy Atom Soaking Experiments.

| Compound | Intensity Change | Crystal Damage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mercuric Acetate | 0 | 0 |
| Baker's Mercurial ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| PCMBS b | 0 | 0 |
| Thiomersal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | + + + + | $x x^{g}$ |
| Mercuric thiocyanate | + + + + | xxx ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| DMA ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | + | xxx |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HgI}_{4}$ | - | xxxx |
| PHMB | 0 | 0 |
| phenyl mercury nitrate | 0 | 0 |
| ethyl mercury phosphate | 0 | 0 |
| mercuric chloride | + | 0 |

Table 2.3 (Continued)

| Compound | Intensity Change | Crystal Damage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| methyl mercury iodide | $+$ | xx |
| ethyl mercury chloride | + + | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | + + + | $0^{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ | + + + | 0 |
| Pten (C1) $2^{\text {f }}$ | - | x |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{6}$ | - | x |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | + + | x $x$ |
| cis- $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | - | x |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{SCN})_{4}$ | - | xxxx |
| $\mathrm{NaAuCl}_{4}$ | + + + + | xxx |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | $++++$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | 0 | 0 |
| uranyl acetate | + + | 0 |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ di pyro * | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ pyro | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{SCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{CNO}{ }^{*}$ | - | x |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}+$ DPSO ${ }^{*}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+$ uranyl acetate | $++++$ | 0 |
| Samarium acetate | + | 0 |
| Lanthanum acetate | 0 | 0 |
| Lanthanum nitrate | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl} 6_{6}$ | + + | 0 |
| Lead nitrate | $+$ | 0 |
| Lead acetate | + | 0 |
| Lead chloride | 0 | 0 |
| Silver sulphate | - | xxxx |
| Silver nitrate | - | xxxx |
| Thallous chloride | + | 0 |


| 0 | none | 0 | none |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| + | weak | $\mathbf{x}$ | no visible damage but did not diffract |
| ++ | moderate | $\mathbf{x x}$ | changes in axial lengths |
| +++ | strong | xxx | crystal cracked |
| +++ very strong | xxxx | crystal completely broken up |  |

Table 2.3 (Continued)
(a)

(b)


(c)

(d) dimercury acetate $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{Hg}_{\triangle}$

(e) p-hydroxy-mercuri-benzoate

(f) en ethylene diamine

* reagents kindly donated by Dr C.E.F. Rickard, Chemistry Department, University of Auckland.
(g) the crystal became colourless.

Some heavy atom compounds led to a fading out of the diffraction pattern at low resolution, and some led to the physical destruction of the crystal. This was the case for almost all of the platinum compounds. In retrospect, this was probably due to the large number of methionine residues on the surface of the molecule in one particular region which formed a close contact with another molecule in the crystallographic asymmetric unit. Platinum compounds are known to bind to methionine side chains (122), and in this case such binding would
disrupt the lattice.
When the reagent $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ was first used, no substitution occurred, probably because of the insolubility of the compound. However, it was found that the reagent dissolved very slowly, and after six months, a crystal which had been left soaking was very cracked, indicating possible substitution. In order to accelerate and control substitution, 2 mg of the reagent was heated in a $75 \%$ saturated solution of ammonium sulphate made up with acetate buffer until the solution became quite brown. This was cooled and decanted into a fresh tube before introduction of the crystal. Soaking times of 1 to 6 weeks produced only minor intensity changes, so the experiment was repeated, this time leaving the undissolved crystals of $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ in contact with the protein crystals. Substitution was much improved after only 8 days, but the crystals were cracked, possibly because of the abrasive effect of the hard $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ crystals. In an attempt to protect the protein crystals, the heavy atom reagent was placed in a small dialysis bag, which was suspended in the mother liquor above the crystals during the soaking period, allowing any further "heavy atom" species to diffuse into the solution, and hence into the protein crystals. This had the desired effect, moderate substitution being achieved in the crystals without any cracking. Derivatives finally selected for data collection are shown in Table 2.4 , together with the conditions under which they were prepared.

### 2.6 Data Collection.

Only a small number of azurin crystals were large enough for diffractometer data collection. In the early stages, therefore, photographic data was collected using medium sized crystals with a view to deciding which derivatives were likely to be worth collecting diffractometer data on.

Table 2.4 Preparation of the Heavy Atom Derivatives Used for Three Dimensional Data Collection.

| Reagent | Concentration | Buffer | pH | Time of Soak | Temperature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | 0.1 M phosphate | 6.0 | 20 days | room |
| uranyl acetate | 2.0 mg/m1 | 0.05 M amm. | 5.0 | 7 weeks | room |
|  |  | acetate |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+$ | $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}+$ | 0.05 M amm . | 5.0 | 3 weeks | room |
| uranyl acetate | $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | acetate |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | 0.05 M amm . | 5.0 | 11 weeks | room |
|  |  | acetate |  |  |  |
| thiomersal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | 0.05M amm. | 5.0 | 7 days | room |
|  |  | acetate |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NaFS}_{5} \mathrm{CrCl}_{6} \mathrm{~b}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | 0.05M amm. | 5.0 | 8 days | room |
|  |  | acetate |  |  |  |
| EtHgC1 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | saturated | 0.05 M amm . | 5.0 | 7 weeks | room |
|  |  | acetate |  |  |  |

(a) the reagents caused the crystals to become colourless.
(b) the reagent was dialysed into the solution.
(c) ethyl mercury chloride

### 2.6.1 Photographic Data Collection.

Only the data for the centric zones hOl and Okl were collected photographically for the native and various heavy atom derivative crystals, as the hkO zone was not easily obtained due to the difficulty of mounting needle shaped crystals with the needle axis across the capillary tube. Precession photographs were taken in 2-film packs so that the second film had a much weaker exposure than the first. The photographs were scanned using a rotating-drum OPTRONICS P1000 microdensitometer, the raster size being set at $100 \mathrm{x} 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The resulting density data for each film was written on to magnetic tape for processing on a Burroughs B6700 computer. Intensities were then extracted using the program DENSFOR (appendix II) which predicts the position of each reflection, integrates its intensity, and makes an appropriate background correction. Film-to-film scale factors were calculated for each $2-f i l m$ pack, and the films merged. Symmetryrelated reflections were also merged and Lorentz and polarisation factors applied,giving a set of unique corrected intensities. Derivative data were scaled to the native data set, using a Wilson-type plot of $\log \left(\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{2} / \sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}\right)$ versus $(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}$, giving a list of native and derivative structure amplitudes on the same scale.

### 2.6.2 Diffractometer Data Collection.

For diffractometer data collections, the largest available crystals were used. These were typically 0.5 mm by 0.5 mm in cross section, and were always cut (with a scalpel blade) so that the length did not exceed about 1.0 mm . The crystals were mounted in thin-walled glass capillaries in the usual way. One set of data (a second set of native data) was collected on a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer in the Chemistry Department, University of Auckland. For all other data sets, the instrument used was a Hilger and Watts four circle X-ray diffractometer controlled by a PDP-8I computer at the Chemistry Division,

Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, Wellington. The X-ray tube was operated at 40 kV and 25 mA , the radiation used being CuK $\alpha$.

For all crystals, a large incident beam collimator (1.4 mm) was used, in order to ensure that the whole of the crystal was fully bathed in the X-ray beam. The diffracted beam collimator was the smallest that could be used without significant loss of intensity from reflection peaks. This was either 2.5 or 3.5 mm depending on the size of the crystal. Integrated intensities were collected by an $\omega$-scan $(105,123)$, the width of the scan being typically 0.5 to 0.6 degrees, depending on the mosaic spread of the crystal. Counting times were deliberately kept short in order to minimise radiation damage, and to allow as much data as possible to be obtained for each crystal; typically 40 to 50 seconds was spent counting each peak, and 5 seconds either side of it for the background.

For orthorhombic space groups, $F_{h k \ell} \equiv F_{\bar{h} \bar{k} \ell} \equiv F_{h \bar{k} \bar{\ell}} \equiv F_{\bar{h} k \bar{\ell}}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} \ell}^{--\bar{l}} \equiv \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{hk} \ell}^{-} \equiv \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk} \ell} \equiv \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{hk}} \overline{1}$. The data collection scheme used by the Hilger and Watts diffractometer was such that $\ell$ was the fastest varying index; thus the Friedel pairs hkl and hk $\bar{\ell}$ were collected so that the two measurements would be made close together in time. The choice of the particular quadrant of reciprocal space within which data was collected was made with some care. This was because the use of long needle-shaped crystals, adhering to the wall of a glass capillary, gave rise to a variation of peak shape in different regions of reciprocal space; in some regions, reflection widths might be as great as $1.0^{\circ}$ compared with as little as $0.3^{\circ}$ in others. Where possible, data collection utilized the quadrants for which reflections were the sharpest, thus allowing more accurate setting and smaller scans. The data was collected in shells of reciprocal space, chosen to contain approximately the same number of reflections.

For the native data collection, 2 crystals were used, one for data covering the range $\theta=1^{\circ}$ to $14.5^{\circ}$ (i.e. data to $3.08 \AA$ ) and the other for data between $\theta=13.5^{\circ}$ to $16.5^{\circ}$ (i.e. data to $2.7 \AA$ ). For each derivative, a complete high resolution data set was collected from one crystal (except for the double derivative (KAu(CN) ${ }_{2}+$ uranyl acetate) for which two were used). Although the use of only one crystal to collect a whole set of high resolution data leads to greater radiation damage, errors caused by scaling data from different crystals together no longer arise. This was,in any case, forced to some extent by the need to travel to another centre to set up crystals for the data collection. The intensity measurements plus two backgrounds were written on to paper tape and subsequently transferred to magnetic tape for storage and processing. Table 2.5 shows the unit cell parameters for the native and derivative crystals,derived from diffractometer measurements.

Radiation Damage.
Radiation damage to the crystals was monitored by comparing the intensities of three strong standard reflections which were measured every 100 general reflections. These were chosen so that they were well distributed in reciprocal space. Generally, two of the reflections had $X \approx 0$ and $\phi$ values separated by $90^{\circ}$, while the third one had $X$ near $90^{\circ}$. This ensured that if crystal movement occurred it would show as uneven backgrounds in one or other of the standards. For high resolution data collections, the standard reflections were chosen from an outer shell where possible, since it was felt that high angle reflections might be the first affected by radiation damage. The corrected intensities of these reflections were plotted as a function of exposure times, and a best straight line (or series of straight lines, since discontinuities sometimes occurred) was drawn through the points. An example of such a

Table 2.5 Unit Cell Parameters for the Native and Eight Heavy Atom Derivatives for which Diffractometer Data were Cc Lected.

| Derivative | $x(\AA)$ | $y(\AA)$ | $z(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native | 75.0 | 74.1 | 99.5 |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ | 75.0 | 74.4 | 99.65 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ | 74.95 | 74.1 | 99.75 |
| uranyl acetate | 74.9 | 74.2 | 99.7 |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+$ uranyl acetate | 74.9 | 74.55 | 99.5 |
| Thiomersal | 75.0 | 74.15 | $99.75-100.1^{\text {a }}$ |
| EtHgCl | 75.20 | 74.20 | 99.55 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ | 75.20 | 74.25 | 99.60 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 75.27 | 74.56 | 99.50 |

(a) See section 3.1 .6

Flot, that for the gold derivative, is shown in Fig. 2.12, which shows how the fall-off is calculated as a function of the number of reflections measured, or the number of hours of exposure. Such a correction factor was calculated for each standard reflection in all data sets, the mean of the three being taken as the correction function. For the native crystals, the fall-off in the three reflections was roughly equal ( $15.9 \%, 12.9 \%$ and $15 \%$ ) while the derivative crystals showed much greater sensitivity to radiation damage with more variation in falloff between standards (Table 2.6).


Figure 2-12. Intensity loss for three standard reflections due to radiation damage to the gold derivative.
The vertical lines represent realignment of the crystal during data collection.
$+=[14,0,17] \quad \bullet=[0,22,0] \quad x=[20,0,0]$

Table 2.6 Statistics of Intensity Losses for the Native and and Derivative Crystals.

| Derivative | Resolution Range | No. of measurements* | Radiation for Three | Decay <br> Stand | $\begin{aligned} & (\%) \\ & \mathrm{d} s \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native | to $3.0 \AA$ | 10075 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 15.0 |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | to 2.98 | 13499 | 27.5 | 21.6 | 31.7 |
| uranyl acetate | to 3.18 | 10625 | 25.7 | 31.9 | 27.3 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ | to 2.98 | 11701 | 35.7 | 36.0 | 32.9 |
| EtHgCl | to $3.3 \AA$ | 9452 | 28.1 | 33.9 | 39.0 |
| Double derivative | to $4.0 \AA$ | 5319 | 26.6 | 32.0 | 19.5 |
|  | 4.08-3.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7027 | 18.9 | 24.6 | 10.8 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}{ }_{6}$ | to 4.08 | 3915 | 14.3 | 19.4 | 11.1 |
| Thiomersal | to $3.5 \AA$ | 8159 | 34.1 | 32.8 | 34.4 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | to $5.0 \AA$ | 2576 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 15.8 |
| Chromium(II) ${ }^{\dagger}$ | to 4.08 | 3929 | 10.5 | 7.9 | 10.1 |

* In some data collections (e.g. $\operatorname{KAu}(C N)_{2}$ ) substantial portions of the data had to be remeasured as a result of crystal movement.
$\neq$ see chapter 6 .

From the table it can be seen that for some reflections, in some of the derivatives, the overall decay in diffraction intensity exceeds $30 \%$. It has been shown that the effects of radiation on protein crystals are not always continuous with time, as some reflections can show either increases or decreases in intensity with exposure $(124,125)$. Thus it is not possible to correct for all the effects of irradiation by simply applying an overall correction factor.

For this reason some workers prefer to discard the crystals once the intensity of a set of reference reflections has decreased by approximately $10 \%(126,127)$. However it is advantageous if the useful life of a crystal can be extended by radiation damage corrections, since if a derivative crystal is replaced by another, which appears identical, but which actually differs significantly due to differences in substitution, errors larger than those due to the approximate corrections will be introduced into the calculations (128). As successful applications of empirical radiation decay functions ranging up to $33 \%$ have been reported $(128,129)$, the correction functions were applied, and the data used in structure determination.

## Absorption Corrections

Absorption corrections were made by the semi-empirical method of North et al. (130). At the beginning of each data set, an empirical absorption curve was obtained from an azimuthal reflection (i.e. one at $X=90^{\circ}$ in the Hilger and Watts 4-circle geometry). $\omega$-scans were made through this reflection at intervals of $10^{\circ}$ from $\phi=0^{\circ}$ to $\phi=360^{\circ}$, and integrated intensities obtained, thus allowing a correction function to be calculated for the loss of X-ray intensity caused by passage through the crystal in a mean direction perpendicular to the rotation axis. Such a correction takes into account the crystal shape, the adhering liquid, and the glass capillary tube. Since the crystals were oriented with the $c$ axis along the $\phi$ direction, a c axial reflection was always used. No significant difference was noted on using the low-angle 008 , or the much weaker high-angle 0020 reflection. For each crystal, $I_{\max } / I_{\phi}$ was plotted against $\phi$, the resulting curve being used to calculate the absorption of any reflection hkl (130). Maximum absorption corrections ranged from 1.20 1.59.

Data Processing.
Data processing was carried out on a Burroughs B6700 computer at the Massey University computer centre. The programs used in processing are outlined in Appendix II. Background correction of the raw diffractometer data made use of a background averaging routine, developed by Dr P.E. Nixon, and similar to that suggested by Krieger et a1. (131). A subset of the backgrounds was taken that consisted of the background measurements for reflections whose ratio of total counts to total background was less than a factor $k$ (typically between 1.2 and 1.5). Thus only the weaker reflections (between 30-50\% of the reflections in a data set) were used, so that the background measurements would not contain significant contributions from mis-set strong peaks. These backgrounds were then averaged as a function of $\theta$, $\phi$ and $X$, the averaged values being applied to all reflections in making background corrections. The relability of the data was greatly improved by this procedure, as judged by comparison of the symmetry related reflections and by comparison with photographs. Data were then corrected for Lorentz-polarization factors, absorption and the fall-off in intensity due to radiation damage.

Equivalent reflections, and reflections which had been measured more than once, were merged by taking their weighted mean. Where more than one crystal was used for data collection (i.e. for the native and the double derivative), the data from different crystals were first put on to a common scale using the reflections from overlapping shells. Reflections with negative intensities were retained at this stage, being given intensities equal to half their standard deviation; later, for heavy atom refinement and phase calculation, all reflections for which $I_{h k \ell}<1.5 \sigma\left(I_{h k \ell}\right)$ were omitted. Friedel pairs were kept separate for heavy atom derivatives and the extent of Friedel differences were monitored by calculating reliability factors for agreement between the Friedel pairs. These are shown in Table 2.7.

Table 2.7 Reliability Factors for Agreement Between Friedel Pairs in $\operatorname{Sin}^{2} \theta$ Ranges.

| $\sin ^{2} \theta$ | . 005 | . 010 | . 015 | . 020 | . 025 | . 030 | . 035 | . 040 | . 045 | . 050 | . 055 | . 060 | . 065 | . 070 | . 075 | . 080 | . 085 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| native * | . 037 | . 023 | . 039 | . 051 | . 034 | . 036 | . 033 | . 041 | . 041 | . 049 | . 067 | . 153 | . 186 | . 137 | . 091 | . 108 | . 107 |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | . 028 | . 029 | . 034 | . 039 | . 035 | . 024 | . 029 | . 035 | . 037 | . 045 | . 051 | . 058 | . 075 | . 098 | . 129 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ | . 025 | . 023 | . 060 | . 057 | . 066 | . 073 | . 076 | . 043 | . 037 | . 039 |  | . 068 | . 084 | . 119 | . 131 |  |  |
| uranyl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 033 | . 026 | . 030 | . 034 | . 029 | . 024 | . 024 | . 034 | . 038 | . 050 | . 068 | . 074 | . 099 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Double } \\ & \text { Deriv. } \end{aligned}$ | . 046 | . 041 | . 049 | . 053 | . 049 | . 039 | . 043 | . 049 | . 056 | . 062 | . 067 | . 080 | . 101 | . 146 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | . 078 | . 074 | . 077 | . 089 | . 094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EtHgCl | . 020 | . 018 | . 022 | . 022 | . 022 | . 020 | . 022 | . 027 | . 032 | . 040 | . 052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ | . 029 | . 024 | . 039 | . 044 | . 044 | . 038 | . 045 | . 058 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thiomersal | . 032 | . 026 | . 035 | . 040 | . 040 | . 0 | . 036 | . 045 | . 051 | . 070 | . 074 | . 099 |  |  |  |  |  |

$R_{F}=\Sigma\left|F^{+}-F^{-}\right| / \Sigma \frac{1}{2}\left|F^{+}+F^{-}\right| \quad$ where $F^{+}$and $F^{-}$are the amplitudes of reflections hkl and hk $\bar{\ell}$
(a) Scaling of the Friedel pairs was carried out by making the mean values of $I_{h k \ell}$ and $I_{h k} \overline{1}$ equal in small blocks of reciprocal space.

* For native crystals, $\mathrm{F}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$are of course, equivalent reflections.

The increase in $R_{F}$ value with increasing $\theta$ is probably due mostly to the larger number of weak reflections at higher resolution. It can also be seen that for the native data equivalent reflections agree very well up to $\theta=13.6^{\circ}\left(\sin ^{2} \theta=0.055\right)$. The poor agreement between $14.2^{\circ}$ and $15.3^{\circ}\left(\sin ^{2} \theta=0.060-0.070\right)$ arises from the merging of the data from two data sets, one measured on H Hilger and Watts 4-circle diffractometer, and the other on a CAD-4 diffractometer, and appears to be a consequence of differences in the measurement of the weaker reflections. Also calculated was the average intensity for reflections as a function of resolution. In Figure 2.13 these values are plotted for some of the heavy atom derivatives that were used in the final structure determination.
(F)


Figure 2.13 The average intensities for reflections as a function of resolution for the native and three derivative sets of data.

Native and heavy atom derivative data were scaled together following the method of Wyckoff et al. (132). In this method, derivative intensity data are scaled by a factor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{I}}$, where

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{I}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}}\left[1+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}\right]
$$

and $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}=\Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right| / \Sigma \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}} \quad\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right. & =\text { protein structure amplitude) } \\ \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}\right. & =\text { derivative structure amplitude })\end{aligned}$
The latter index also gives some idea of the overall change in intensity between native and derivative crystals and hence of the amount of substitution. Values for the various heavy atom derivatives are shown in Table 2.8. It can be seen that the uranyl, ethyl mercury chloride and iridium derivatives showed very little substitution, suggesting that they might be of limited value in any phase calculation.

Table 2.8 Statistics for the Derivative Data Collections.

| Derivative | No. of reflections | Scale Factor | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Native | 6991 | 1.0000 | - |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | 6414 | 1.2093 | 0.164 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ | 6510 | 1.0653 | 0.147 |
| uranyl acetate | 5113 | 0.9958 | 0.095 |
| double derivative | 5946 | 1.0994 | 0.231 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1337 | 1.5712 | 0.217 |
| ethyl mercury chloride | 4293 | 0.9006 | 0.065 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}{ }_{6}$ | 2003 | 1.2305 | 0.059 |
| Thiomersal | 3933 | 1.0649 | 0.195 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}=\Sigma\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right\| / \Sigma \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Scale Facto | $=\frac{\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}}$ | $+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{2}$ ) |

## CHAPTER 3

## RESULTS

### 3.1 Determination of the Heavy Atom Parameters

Before any heavy atom isomorph can be used for phase determination, the sites of substitution of the heavy atoms themselves must be determined,from the observed differences in structure amplitudes, caused by their introduction into the protein.

### 3.1.1 The Patterson Function

Patterson syntheses calculated using the function

$$
P(u, v, w)=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{h k} \sum \ell_{H} F_{(h k l)}^{2} \quad \cos 2 \pi(h u+k v+\ell w)
$$

where $V$ is the volume of the unit cell and
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is the structure amplitude due to the heavy atom. do not yield the atomic sites directly, but give the distribution of vectors between pairs of heavy atoms (133). The essential probler is to obtain the best representation of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}$ from the differences between native and derivative diffraction patterns.

The relationships between the structure factors for the protein, $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F}}$, the derivative, ${\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{PH}}}$, and the heavy atom $(\mathrm{s}),{ }_{\sim}^{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}$, are shown in Figure 3.1. From the diagram, the amplitude of $\underset{\sim}{F}$ is given by the cosine rule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \cos \alpha \\
& =\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}(1-\cos \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Figure 3.1 A Vector Diagram Illustrating, for an Arbitrary_

Reflection, the Relationship Between $\underset{\sim}{F}, \underbrace{F}_{\sim} P H$ and ${ }_{\sim}^{F} H$. $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is the anomalous contribution to the heavy atom scattering, while $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F}}{ }_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}$and $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{-}{ }^{-}$are the Friede1related structure factors.

Centric data
For centrosymmetric reflections, $\alpha$ is likely to be 0 for all but some weak reflections, so that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}=\Delta_{\text {iso }}{ }^{2}$, where $\Delta_{\text {iso }}$ is the isomorphous difference. Thus,for a protein with one or more centrosymmetric projections, a difference Patterson map calculated with the above coefficients should contain only peaks representing vectors between the heavy atoms. Patterson maps for centrosymmetric projections may therefore allow an initial evaluation of potential derivatives, although the overlap of peaks makes them harder to interpret for derivatives with multiple sites.

## Non-Centric Data

For non-centric data $\alpha$ is not known. However, using the coefficients $\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right)^{2}$ as an approximation for $F_{H}{ }^{2}$ in the Patterson synthesis still produces peaks at the expected heavy atom-heavy atom vector positions, although the peaks will be smaller and there will be more background features due to protein-protein and heavy atomprotein vectors (134-137). It has been found that three dimensional Patterson syntheses,in which information about anomalous scattering is included,give improved heavy atom vector maps (135,138-140). Frọm Figure 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+) 2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{" 2}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\prime \prime} \cos (90+\beta) \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-) 2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\prime 2}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \cos (90-\beta) \quad-\quad$ (iv)

$$
\therefore \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+) 2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-) 2}=4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime \prime} \cos (90-\beta) \quad-\quad \text { (v) }
$$

But $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+) 2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-) 2}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-)}\right)\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+)}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-)}\right)$

$$
\approx 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(+)}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-)}\right)
$$

Combining these results, the anomalous difference $\Delta_{\text {ano }}$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{\text {ano }} \approx(2 / k) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \cos (90-\beta) \approx(2 / k) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \sin \beta \quad-(v i)
$$

where $k=F_{H} / F_{H}{ }^{\prime \prime}$
As $F_{P} \sin \alpha=F_{H} \sin \beta, \Delta_{\text {ano }} \approx(2 / k) F_{P} \sin \alpha$.

Thus, it can be seen, from the diagram, that the term $\left|F_{P H}-F_{P}\right|^{2}$ will be a poor estimate of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}$ when $\alpha \approx 90^{\circ}$, while that of $\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{(+)}{ }_{-} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{(-)}\right|^{2}$ will be good in this situation. The two syntheses may then be combined to good advantage provided appropriate weights are used $(134,135,139,140)$. Since $\cos \alpha= \pm \sqrt{1-\sin ^{2} \alpha}$, combining equations (i) and (vi) gives

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \approx \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}\left[1-\left(\mathrm{k} \Delta_{\mathrm{ano}} / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-(\mathrm{vii})
$$

or, assuming $(1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1-\frac{1}{2} x$, equation (vii) gives

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}^{2} \approx \Delta_{\text {iso }}{ }^{2}+\left(\mathrm{k} \Delta_{\text {ano }} / 2\right)^{2}
$$

HLE refers to one of the two solutions for $F_{H}$, known as the lower estimate. For centric reflections when $\Delta_{\text {ano }}=0, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}$ and $F_{\text {HUE }}=\left(F_{P H}+F_{P}\right)^{2}$ where HUE refers to the other of the two solutions, the upper estimate.

In this work, conventional difference Patterson maps were calculated, using coefficients $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}$, as well as "combined" difference Patterson maps (or $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HLE }}^{2}$ difference Pattersons). For the latter, coefficients were calculated using an empirical value of $k$, given by

$$
\mathrm{k}=2<\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {iso }}>/<\Delta \mathrm{F}_{\text {ano }}>
$$

where the averaging is over non-centric reflections only (139).
For a protein molecule containing $N$ heavy atoms in the unit cell, the Patterson map should contain $N^{2}$ peaks arising from the $N$ possible vectors which can be drawn from each of the $N$ atoms. Of these, $N$ will be self vectors and concentrated in a very large peak at the origin, while the remaining $N^{2}-N$ will be distributed throughout the cell. Obviously the interpretation of the Patterson synthesis of a multisite derivative, especially in a high symmetry space group, will be difficult owing to the large number of peaks. Also, if there are errors in the measured intensities, or if the parent and derivative data sets have been improperly scaled to each other, spurious peaks may appear. Where the binding of a heavy atom distorts the position of the atoms in the protein, partially destroying the isomorphism, some of the intensity changes will result from this distortion rather than from the presence of the heavy atom. Thus although the major peaks in a difference Patterson map should,in principle,be due to the heavy atom-heavy atom vectors, this is not always the case.

### 3.1.2 Difference Fourier Syntheses.

As it is especially difficult to detect binding sites of low occupancy, other methods such as cross-phased difference Fourier syntheses, and double difference Fourier syntheses may be used.

Cross-phased Difference Fouriers.
Co-ordinates which have been determined for one or more derivatives,by methods such as difference Patterson syntheses, are used to calculate approximate protein phase angles $\alpha_{P}$, which are in turn used to calculate a difference synthesis with coefficients

$$
m\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right) \exp \left(i \alpha_{P}\right)
$$

where $m$ is the mean figure of merit (see section 3.2.1) (141). The resulting electron density map should give,to a reasonable approximation, the difference between the electron density of the substituted and native protein. If the two crystal structures are isomorphous, the protein density will cancel leaving the desired heavy atom density relative to the same origin adopted for the phase calculation.

Double Difference Fouriers.
These may be calculated with the coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{obs})}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{calc})}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \alpha_{\mathrm{Hc}}\right) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a synthesis should reveal any differences between the true heavy atom density and the approximation to that density calculated in terms of the heavy atom parameters determined by other methods. Additional minor sites should appear as positive peaks, and incorrect sites should be indicated by negative regions of density.
3.1.3 Heavy Atom Vectors in the Space Group C 2221

For the space group $\mathrm{C} 222_{1}$, the equivalent positions are:-

| $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ | $\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{x}$, | $\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ | $\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{x}$, | $\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{y}$, | $\bar{z}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \overline{\mathrm{y}}, \frac{1}{2}+z & \frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{x}, & \frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{y}, \\
\hline \frac{1}{2}+z \\
\overline{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{y}, \frac{1}{2}-z & \frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{x}, & \frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{y}, \\
\frac{1}{2}-z
\end{array}
$$

In Patterson maps, these give rise to a set of 6 heavy atom-heavy atom self vectors, at positions

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0, & \pm 2 y, & \pm 2 z & \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 y, & \pm 2 z \\
\pm 2 \mathrm{x}, & \pm 2 \mathrm{y}, & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{x}, & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{y} & , \\
\pm 2 \mathrm{x}, & 0 & , & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{z} & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{x}, & \frac{1}{2} \\
\pm & \frac{1}{2} \pm 2 \mathrm{z}
\end{array}
$$

i.e all the self vectors for a given heavy atom site are found on the Harker sections

$$
\text { 0,v,w } ; \frac{1}{2}, v, w ; u, v, \frac{1}{2} ; u, 0, w ; \text { and } u, \frac{1}{2}, w .
$$

The asymmetric unit calculated was $\frac{1}{8}$ of the unit cell, i.e. $x=0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, $y=0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$, and $z=0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$. Where more than one heavy atom position was found, all sites were referred to a common origin by checking the cross vectors.

### 3.1.4 Refinement of the Heavy Atom Positions.

The heavy atom positions were refined using all centric data (h0l +hk0 + Okl) combined together to form a pseudo-three dimensional data set. For centric data, for most reflections

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right| \equiv \Delta_{\text {iso }} \text { assuming } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \text { and } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}} \text { are on the }
$$

same scale. For a very small number of reflections for which $F_{H}>F_{P}$,

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right|
$$

Reflections in the latter category are often referred to as "crossovers" because the sign of $\underset{\sim}{F} \underset{P H}{ }$ is opposite to that of $\underset{\sim}{\underset{P}{F}}$ (138). A full matrix least squares program,CUCLS,was used (see Appendix II), the function minimised being

$$
E=\sum_{h k \ell}\left[\left|\Delta_{i s o}\right|-F_{H}\right]^{2}
$$

where $\Delta_{\text {iso }}$ is the observed isomorphous difference and $F_{H}$ is the
calculated heavy atom structure amplitude. A typical centric $R$ factor was calculated where

$$
R=\frac{\left.\sum| | \Delta_{\text {iso }}\right|^{-\mathrm{F}_{\text {Hcalc }} \mid}}{\sum\left|\Delta_{\text {iso }}\right|}
$$

and is summed over centric data only. Since no allowance is made for the few "crossover" terms for which $\Delta_{\text {iso }}$ is an incorrect estimation of $F_{H}$, this $R$ value will always make the agreement for a given heavy atom derivative appear worse than it really is. Values of $R$ for heavy atom derivatives will also be inevitably higher than $R$ values obtained for small molecule structures because of the small size of $\Delta_{\text {iso }}$ and the relatively large error. A good solution may give $R=40 \%$, but quite useable ones may give $R=60 \%$ or even higher. A totally incorrect one will give $R$ values of approximately $83 \%$ or higher (138).

All reflections were given an equal weight in the refinements, and occupancies and the $x, y$, and $z$ co-ordinates were refined first, assuming an initial isotropic temperature factor B of $25 \AA^{2}$ for each site, a value similar to that commonly found for heavy atoms bound to proteins $(105,143,144,145)$. A refinement cycle in which the $x, y$ and $z$ co-ordinates and the temperature factors were varied was usually carried out, but in most cases there was little or no change in the value of $B$, and difference Fourier syntheses calculated rarely revealed any major under- or over-estimates of B (123).

### 3.1.5 Patterson Syntheses and Refinement from Projection Data.

From difference Patterson syntheses of the h0l and Okl projections it should be possible to derive all three co-ordinates $x, y$ and $z$ of a heavy atom site. The results of attempts to determine and refine heavy atom positions from such syntheses, calculated using photographic data, are shown in Table 3.1. Although all 5 derivatives looked promising from these results, especially the gold and $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ derivatives, in retrospect these were the only two which were correctly
interpreted when compared with parameters obtained later from three dimensional data sets. For the gold derivative, the same main site was deduced from both data sets, but the minor site was not correctly identified in the projection Patterson map. The two sites determined for $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were approximately the same for both data sets, but the interpretation was assisted by the fact that the relationship between the two protein molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit was then known and that the mercury had probably replaced the copper (see section 3.11). For all other derivatives, the sites obtained from these projection Patterson syntheses were different from those determined from full three dimensional data sets. Of these both the platinum $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right)$ and ethyl mercury chloride (EtHgCl) derivatives proved difficult to interpret satisfactorily in three dimensions, the first because of probable non-isomorphism and the second because of inadequate substitution. The uranyl acetate derivative, however, was successfully interpreted from a three dimensional difference Patterson synthesis, with 4 sites, 2 major and 2 minor, and was subsequently used in the final phase calculation. These results suggest that projection difference Patterson syntheses are mainly of use in the interpretation of heavy atom sites in derivatives which have one, or at the most two, well-occupied sites of substitution. This is because the overlap of both self and cross vectors,arising from a number of sites, makes interpretation virtually impossible in projection.

### 3.1.6 Patterson Syntheses and Refinement in Three Dimensions

For each heavy atom derivative, two difference Patterson maps were calculated, a conventional difference Patterson using coefficients $\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right)^{2}$, and an HLE difference Patterson, based on the combination of isomorphous and anomalous differences. For the latter, coefficients were calculated as $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}^{2}=\Delta_{\text {iso }}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ano}} / 2\right)^{2} \quad$ (see section 3.1.1)

Table 3.1 Heavy Atom Parameters from Projection Difference Patterson Syntheses.

| Derivative | Occupancy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $x / \mathrm{a}$ | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | R |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no. of } \\ & \text { reflections } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | h0 \& | 0k\& | h0l | 0k |
| $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{2}$ | 0.289 | 0.108, | 0.090, | 0.054 | 25.0 | 0.543 | 0.616 | 153 | 161 |
|  | 0.120 | 0.473, | 0.151, | 0.102 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN}){ }_{4}$ | 0.167 | 0.063, | 0.106, | 0.182 | 25.0 | 0.634 | 0.671 | 158 | 169 |
|  | 0.078 | 0.050, | 0.203, | 0.102 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |


| acetate | 0.150 | 0.120, | 0.155, | 0.185 | 25.0 | 0.6610 .755 | 154 | 154 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Et HgCl | 0.026 | 0.077, | 0.100, | 0.128 | 25.0 | 0.594 | 167 | 188 |
|  | 0.105 | 0.240, | 0.150, | 0.097 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.098 | 0.070, | 0.238, | 0.276 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.053 | 0.238, | 0.230, | 0.156 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  | 0.161, | 0.020, | 0.121 | 25.0 | 0.5810 .591 | 174 | 164 |
|  |  | 0.021, | 0.159, | 0.126 | 25.0 |  |  |  |

(a) arbitrary units

In most cases, difference Pattersons calculated with HLE coefficients had fewer peaks (i.e. were less noisy), making them easier to interpret, as the peaks corresponding to the heavy atom vectors were not so confused by other features. This was because although isomorphous differences
$F_{P H}-F_{P}$ are larger than those arising from the anomalous scattering $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}$, and can thus be measured with greater precision, they are influenced by lack of isomorphism, by scaling errors, and by inadequacies such as those arising from absorption effects in the different crystals used
for measurement of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$. Anomalous differences, however, although of ten of the same order as their standard deviations, are affected by fewer systematic errors and enhance the reliability of the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HLE }}$ values.

The $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ Derivative

This derivative was initially interpreted in terms of one major site. The six highest non-origin peaks in the three dimensional difference Patterson maps correspond to the self vectors of the same major heavy atom site deduced originally from the projection Patterson maps, at $(0.146,0.137,0.054)$. Figure 3.2 shows the Harker sections Ovw, $u 0 w$ and $u v \frac{1}{2}$ of the difference Patterson map for the gold derivative. The identified vectors are indicated (for details see legend). The site was refined by least squares using the 694 centric reflections to $2.9 \AA$ resolution, and subsequent cycles of refinement, followed by double difference Fourier syntheses, led to the identification of two minor sites. Table 3.2 shows the improvement in $R$, as the heavy atom model was improved.

Table 3.2 Reliability Factors During Heavy Atom Refinement of the $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ Derivative.

| No of <br> sites | Resolution | No. <br> reflns. | occ $^{(a)}$ | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B^{(b)}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2.9 \AA$ | 694 | 0.410 | 0.145 | 0.132 | 0.053 | 25.0 | 0.570 |
| 2 | $"$ | $" 1$ | 0.105 | 0.128 | 0.154 | 0.197 | 25.0 | 0.552 |
| 3 | $"$ | $"$ | 0.057 | 0.382 | 0.411 | 0.501 | 25.0 | $0.545(c)$ |

(a) occupancy in arbitrary units.
(b) $B$ is the isotropic temperature factor of the site, and is of the
form $\exp \left[-B\left(\sin ^{2} \theta\right) / \lambda^{2}\right]$ where $B=8 \pi^{2-2}$
and $\bar{u}^{2}$ is the mean square amplitude of vibration.
(c) when allowance was made for "cross-over" terms, the final R factor


Figure 3-2. Harker sections of the difference Patterson map for the gold derivative. The three self vectors of the major site (A) are shown with some of the cross vectors between this site and the two minor sites ( $B$ and $C$ ).

Positions determined from double difference Fourier syntheses were always cross checked with the difference Patterson maps by looking for cross vectors between the proposed site and the one major site. Only those for which such vectors could be found were accepted as minor sites. Fifteen of the twenty-two highest peaks in the Patterson map could be explained by this 3 -site model, with cross vectors appearing as peaks smaller than the self vectors of site 1 , and the self vectors of the minor sites hardly above the general background level of the map.

Because there are 2 molecules in the asymmetric unit, and because the diffraction pattern at low resolution showed evidence of tetragonal pseudo-symmetry, it was assumed there must be some non-crystallographic symmetry relationship between them. If heavy atoms are substituted on each protein molecule at identical sites, then they too should be related by such symmetry. Examination of the heavy atom positions showed that sites 1 and 2 were in fact related by an approximate 2 -fold axis along the diagonal between $x$ and $y$, at $z=1 / 8$, although the occupancies of these sites were uneven (one approximately 4 times the other (Table 3.2)). Similarly uneven occupancies have been noted in other cases where heavy atom sites are related by non-crystallographic symmetry (146). Assuming such a local symmetry, site 3 should be related to a fourth site at (.086, .109, . 261 ), but no peak was found at this position. When $B$ was refined either isotropically or anisotropically for each or all of the gold sites there was no improvement in $R$ factor.

The absolute configuration of the gold sites was found by calculating protein phases based on the gold derivative, using the combined isomorphous and anomalous differences (see Section 3.2.4), and sites with co-ordinates first $x, y, z$, then $x, \bar{y}, z .(147)$. Phases from each of these calculations were then used to calculate difference Fourier syntheses for the uranyl derivative. Figure 3.3 shows difference Fourier sections for this derivative using the two configurations, that giving rise to the


Figure 3-3. Cross-sections of two difference Fouriers $\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right)$ showing one of the uranyl sites. The phases were calculated using the $\mathrm{AuCN} \mathrm{N}_{2}^{-}$solution for the anomalous component with: (a) the correct hand ; (b) the wrong hand.
more clearly defined peaks being taken to represent the correct hand (in this case $x, y, z$ ).

The Uranyl Acetate Derivative.
The quality of the difference Patterson map calculated with coefficients $\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right)^{2}$ for this derivative was obviously not as good as for the gold derivative, probably because of the smaller isomorphous differences $\left(R_{I}=.095\right.$, see Table 2.8). Although the difference Patterson map calculated with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HLE }}^{2}$ coefficients was an improvement, it was not immediately interpretable, and a difference Fourier was therefore calculated using phases derived from the combined anomalous and isomorphous differences of the gold derivative. This map revealed two major sites with approximately equal occupancies, that seemed to be related by the same non-crystallographic symmetry that related the two main sites in the $K A u(C N)_{2}$ derivative, viz. an approximate 2 -fold axis along the diagonal between the $x$ and $y$ axes, at $z=1 / 8$. They were refined, and a subsequent double difference Fourier synthesis calculated to check for minor sites. Table 3.3 is a summary of the refinement as the heavy atom model improved.

Table 3.3 Reliability Factors During Heavy Atom Refinement of the Uranyl Acetate Derivative.

| No of sites | R | resolution | No. of reflns | occ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | x/a | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 0.113 | 0.023 | 0.264 | 0.262 | 25.0 |
| 2 | 0.585 | 3.18 | 563 | 0.138 | 0.261 | -0.001 | 0.007 | 25.0 |
| 4 | $0.560^{\text {b }}$ | 3.18 | 563 | 0.029 | 0.478 | 0.272 | 0.132 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.039 | 0.278 | 0.480 | 0.122 | 25.0 |

a occupancy in arbitrary units.
b when cross-overs were taken into account, the $R$ factor was 0.558 When these positions were compared with both the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{HLE}}^{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)^{2}$

Patterson syntheses, it was seen that,for the two major sites, self vectors occurred on the Harker sections $0, v, w, u, 0, w$ and $u, v, \frac{1}{2}$, and that the cross vectors between them fell on areas of very high density in the maps. Although the self vectors of the minor sites could not be identified above the background level of the maps, they were related by the local two-fold axis, and cross vectors between them and the two main sites were compatible with the difference Patterson maps. Figure 3.4 shows some of these sections from the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HLE }}^{2}$ Patterson map, the peaks representing self and cross vectors being indicated. It was noted that site $2(.261,-.001, .007)$ was very close to the crystallographic 2 -fold axis parallel to $x$ at $y=0, z=0$, so that a crystallographically-related site would be at (.261, .001, -.007), only 1.4 $\AA$ away. Double difference maps showed residual density around site 2 and various attempts were made to improve the description of the site. These included: splitting it into 2 sites, one on the crystallographic 2 -fold axis and one a short way from it; refining its temperature factor anisotropically; refinement of the $x, y$ or $z$ co-ordinates individually. All attempts, however, only increased the value of $R$.

The Double Derivative (uranyl acetate $+\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ )

Where there are two successful derivatives which have heavy atoms attached to the protein molecule at a single site or a few sites, soaking the crystals in solutions containing both reagents often produces a diffraction pattern which shows strong intensity changes compared to the native, but which has a different intensity distribution to that of either derivative. Such a derivative was produced by soaking azurin crystals in solutions of $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+$ uranyl acetate. The intensity changes were very strong, but the total changes in axial lengths were slightly greater than $0.5 \%$ (Table 2.5), indicating a possible lack of isomorphism. Data was first collected to $4.7 \AA$ resolution and a difference Patterson synthesis calculated using all data, and


$$
w=1 / 2
$$



Figure 3-4. The three Harker sections ( $u=0, v=0, w=1 / 2$ ) of the $F_{\text {HLE }}^{2}$ difference Patterson map for the uranyl derivative. The three self vectors for each of the two major sites ( A and B ) are clearly defined. However the self vectors representing the minor sites ( $C$ and $D$ ) are not well resolved from the background and only three of the six can be seen. Cross vectors which fall on these sections and the section $v=1 / 4$ are also shown.
coefficients $\left(\left|F_{P H}-F_{P}\right|\right)^{2}$. The self vectors of the main gold site and two principal uranyl sites were immediately obvious, as were the cross vectors between the two uranyl sites, these being in the same position as in the original maps. Although there were a large number of background peaks, the self peaks for the second gold site were just detectable, and this 4-site model gave a centric $R$ value, $R=0.585$. Cross vectors between the gold and uranyl sites all fell on areas of high density. A double difference Fourier synthesis calculated with phases from these 4 positions gave a map which had many peaks of similar height. Cross checking with the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {HLE }}^{2}$ difference Patterson map, for cross vectors between major and minor sites, gave 4 possible minor sites which, when included in refinement,gave $R=0.540$. As it was not known whether they were gold or uranyl sites, they were designated $X$ and given gold scattering factors. Their co-ordinates were:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}_{1} & (.228, .002, .165) \\
\mathrm{X}_{2} & (.161, . .351,-.001) \\
\mathrm{X}_{3} & (.163, . .370, .489) \\
X_{4} & (.422,
\end{array}
$$

None of these sites are related by the non-crystallographic two-fold axis, and two of the sites, $X_{1}$ and $X_{4}$,had higher occupancies than the remaining two ( 0.151 and $0.120, c$. 0.076 and 0.066 respectively).

Three dimensional data to $2.9 \AA$ was then collected on a different, larger crystal, after soaking in a fresh heavy atom solution, and a difference Patterson map was calculated. A combined difference Patterson synthesis was also calculated. These both confirmed the presence of the two main gold and uranyl sites found in the low resolution data set. Cross phased difference Fourier syntheses were not calculated because the heavy atom positions used for phasing were the same as some of those in the double derivative $(105,149)$. Thus
cycles of refinement and subsequent double difference Fourier syntheses were used,as already described,to improve the heavy atom model, minor sites being accepted only if they proved to be compatible with the difference Patterson maps. Table 3.4 shows how the $R$ factor improved as new minor sites were introduced into the refinement.

Table 3.4 The Reliability Factor During Heavy Atom Refinement of the Double Derivative.

| No of sites | R | Resolution | No. of reflns | occ ${ }^{(a)}$ | $x / \mathrm{a}$ | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 |  |  | 635 | . 441 | . 144 | . 130 | . 054 | 25.0 |
|  | 0.640 | 2.98 |  | . 129 | . 132 | . 141 | . 196 | 25.0 |
|  | 0.530 | 4.78 |  | . 159 | . 027 | . 250 | . 261 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  | . 215 | . 254 | -. 019 | . 011 | 25.0 |
| 8 |  |  | " | . 074 | . 146 | . 351 | . 020 | 25.0 |
|  | 0.612 | 2.98 |  | . 063 | . 120 | . 077 | . 420 | 25.0 |
|  | 0.483 | 4.78 |  | . 119 | . 423 | . 383 | . 280 | 25.0 |
| 10 |  |  |  | . 056 | . 143 | . 358 | . 505 | 25.0 |
|  | . 594 | 2.98 | " | . 088 | . 393 | . 119 | . 245 | 25.0 |
|  | . 458 | 4.78 |  | . 066 | . 345 | . 123 | . 401 | 25.0 |

(a) occupancy in arbitrary units.

These minor, previously unidentified,positions were initially given $B$ values of $25 \AA^{2}$ as shown, and gold scattering factors. Of the six, four were related by the local 2 -fold axis, these being sites 5 and 9, and sites 7 and 8. A cycle of least squares refinement was carried out in which the temperature factors as well as the $x, y$ and $z$ co-ordinates were varied while the occupancies were held
constant. This resulted in a slight drop in the $R$ value to 0.591 , but the $B$ values of some of the minor positions became negative suggesting that the occupancies given to these atoms were too small. In the final cycle of refinement, the temperature factors were held at their refined values, apart from those that had become negative which were set at $5.0 \AA^{2}$, and the occupancies alone were refined. The final centric $R$ factor was 0.586 , which was reduced to 0.560 when the "cross-overs" were taken into account. All sites,together with their occupancies and temperature factors, are shown in Table 3.5.

The table shows that the major gold and uranyl sites have very similar co-ordinates to those found for the major sites in each of the individual derivatives. They differ only by 0.2 and $0.9 \AA$ respectively for the two major gold positions, and by 1.0 and $1.5 \AA$ respectively for the two major uranyl sites, although the degree of substitution for the uranyl sites in the double derivative is somewhat greater. The minor sites found in this derivative bear no similarity to those found in the individual derivatives, although they are consistent (with one exception) with the sites found from the initial, low resolution double derivative data set.

Such differences in binding can be due either to small differences in soaking conditions, such as small changes in the concentration of reagents or pH , or to local conformational changes that may occur when a heavy atom binds to the protein at a specific site and indirectly affects other regions in the protein, so that other potential binding sites become either exposed or removed.

The $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Derivative
Crystals soaked in solutions containing $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, at a concentration of approximately $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, became gradually colourless over a period of up to 2 months. Photographic comparison showed changes of $0.36 \%$ in the a axial length, and $0.62 \%$ in the $b$ axial
length, indicating possible non-isomorphism. Difference Pattersons of the h0l and 0 kl projections obtained from photographic data showed, however, two major sites of substitution, and the change of colour suggested these might be the copper sites, the copper being replaced by mercury. Accordingly it was felt that the collection of a three dimensional data set was warranted, even if it only proved useful at low resolution. Data was collected to $5 \AA$ resolution, and a difference Fourier synthesis was calculated using phases calculated from the combined isomorphous and anomalous differences of the gold and uranyl derivatives. The main peaks of the resulting map had the following co-ordinates.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
(.018, \\
(.158, \\
(.165, \\
(.190, \\
.290,
\end{array}\right)
$$

There was a suggestion that the third peak (.190, .290, .357) might consist of two overlapping peaks, but it was initially treated as a single peak. A difference Patterson map proved consistent with these sites; all self and cross vectors were present and 20 out of 26 of the highest peaks in the map were accounted for. Refinement of those three sites gave $R=0.62$, but a subsequent double difference Fourier synthesis showed a large peak of residual density adjacent to site 3 . It was noted that this site lay almost exactly on a non-crystallographic two-fold axis, and hence could be made up of two separate sites either side of it. When refinement was carried out,splitting site 3 into two, the centric $R$ factor dropped to 0.524. Subsequent calculation of a double difference Fourier synthesis failed to reveal any further minor sites. When cross-overs were taken into account, the centric $R$ factor reduced to 0.503 .

The $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}$ derivative
The difference Patterson synthesis showed no outstanding peaks, but rather a sea of peaks of about the same height. Heavy atom positions deduced from the HLE difference Patterson, which had many of the same features, were refined using centric data, but all gave similar R factors, approximately $0.78-0.80$. To help locate heavy atom sites, a difference Fourier map was calculated using phases calculated from the combined isomorphous and anomalous differences of the gold derivative. Although the highest peaks could be related to corresponding vectors in the HLE difference Patterson map, and cross vectors fell on areas of positive density, no single site refined satisfactorily. A second cross-phased difference Fourier synthesis, this time using combined phases from the uranyl and gold derivatives (mean figure of merit <m> $=0.70$ ), showed the same peaks as the previous map, and eventually a model with 12 sites, all of which were compatible with the difference Pattersons, was refined to give $R=0.66$. All sites were then examined for possible partners related by the non-crystallographic symmetry axis, and this suggested a further 7 sites. Introduction of these into the refinement reduced the $R$ value slightly, to 0.629. Because of the large number of sites and poor refinement, this derivative was viewed with mistrust (150) and was not eventually used in the phase determination. This was the only platinum compound of the many tried that did not cause the actual physical destruction of the protein crystals during soaking. The $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ion is quite stable, its ligands being less likely to be replaced by those from protein side chains than those of ions like $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Anionic binding thus becomes the most likely mode of interaction with the protein (105). However, it must be assumed that the binding of the ion to the azurin crystals either occurred at multiple sites with low occupancy, or caused some non-isomorphism with the native crystals.

Crystals placed in solutions containing thiomersal ( $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}{ }^{-1}$ ) turned colourless after soaking for approximately two weeks at room temperature. This suggested that the copper atom had either been reduced or replaced by the mercury. The crystals diffracted strongly, but the photographs showed that the $c$ axial length had increased from 99.5 to $100.1 \AA$. It was found that by soaking the crystals in more dilute solutions, for shorter times, the intensity distribution of the diffraction pattern showed changes that, while not so pronounced, were still considerable, and were not accompanied by such a big change in the c axial length. Using a crystal soaked under the conditions shown in Table 2.3, a three dimensional data set was collected. However, during data collection the crystals, which were initially light blue, became completely colourless, and when the axial lengths were remeasured after the data collection had been completed, it was found the $c$ axis had increased from 99.75 to $100.1 \AA$. (Not surprisingly the crystal had to be realigned during the data collection, and some reflections had uneven backgrounds). Despite these difficulties and the probable nonisomorphism, it was decided to calculate a difference Patterson to low resolution ( $\sim 6 \AA$ ), as well as a difference Fourier synthesis phased by other derivatives, to try to determine the heavy atom binding sites. The two main peaks in the cross phased Fourier map had co-ordinates $(-.01, .16, .095$ and $.17,-.01, .155)$. They were thus very near the copper sites (see sections 3.3 and 3.4 ) and were related by the noncrystallographic two-fold axis. No other major sites of substitution could be identified. Vectors corresponding to the 2 sites were found in the difference Patterson map, but refinement was unsatisfactory, R being 0.72 for data to $3.1 \AA$ and 0.68 for data to $6 \AA$.

The $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ Derivative.
Data to $4 \AA$ only was collected for this derivative, to provide an
initial evaluation. As can be seen from Table 2.7,the isomorphous differences were very small (smaller than had appeared likely in photographs). This was reflected in the difference Fourier synthesis phased by the uranyl and gold derivatives, which showed no outstanding peaks. Some of the peaks from this map could be related to vectors in the difference Patterson map for this derivative, but no refinement gave $R$ less than 0.70. This derivative was thus not used in structure determination.

## Ethy1 Mercury Chloride (EtHgC1)

When precession photographs from crystals soaked in EtHgC1 were compared with native photographs, there appeared to be significant intensity differences between the two isomorphs. However, when a three dimensional data set was collected from a crystal soaked under apparently identical conditions, the intensity differences proved to be very small, similar to those for the iridium derivative. It was assumed that slight variations in the soaking procedure,such as the number of crystals soaking, or the concentration of reagent,were responsible for the different results. A cross phased difference Fourier map (phased by the gold derivative) contained only one large peak. This had co-ordinates very similar to those of the main gold site, and so was treated with some scepticism. As it could be related to peaks in both the HLE and difference Patterson maps, it was refined, but refinement converged at $R=0.75$. No minor sites could be detected and the derivative was not used any further.

The refined heavy atom parameters for each of these derivatives are summarized in Table 3.5.

Table 3.5 The Refined Heavy Atom Parameters

| site | occ. | x/a | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | site | occ. | x/a | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 410 | . 1448 | . 1318 | . 0532 | 25.0 | $A^{\prime}$ | . 105 | . 1276 | . 1523 | . 1976 | 25.0 |
| B |  |  |  |  |  | $B^{\prime}$ | . 057 | . 3795 | . 4137 | . 4975 | 25.0 |
| Uranyl Acetate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 113 | . 023 | . 264 | . 262 | 25.0 | $A^{\prime}$ | . 138 | . 261 | -. 001 | . 007 | 25.0 |
| B | . 029 | . 478 | . 272 | . 132 | 25.0 | $B^{\prime}$ | . 039 | . 278 | . 480 | . 122 | 25.0 |
| Double Derivative (KAu(CN) 2 + uranyl acetate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 441 | . 144 | . 130 | . 0538 | 27.0 | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ | . 129 | . 132 | . 141 | . 196 | 11.0 |
| B | . 159 | . 027 | . 250 | . 261 | 19.0 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | . 215 | . 254 | -. 019 | . 0108 | 34.0 |
| C | . 074 | . 146 | . 351 | . 020 | 17.0 | $C^{\prime}$ | . 088 | . 393 | . 119 | . 245 | 5.0 |
| D | . 119 | . 423 | . 383 | . 280 | 6.0 | $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ | . 063 | . 120 | . 077 | . 420 | 5.0 |
| E | . 056 | . 143 | . 358 | . 505 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $F^{\prime}$ | . 066 | . 345 | . 123 | . 401 | 5.0 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 220 | . 019 | . 159 | . 115 | 25.0 | $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ | . 355 | . 172 | . 023 | . 133 | 25.0 |
| B | . 074 | . 199 | . 287 | . 354 | 25.0 | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | . 127 | . 218 | . 282 | . 349 | 25.0 |


| site | occ. | x/a | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | site | occ. | $x / a$ | y/b | z/c | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thiomersal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 307 | -. 018 | . 160 | . 095 | 25.0 | $A^{\prime}$ | . 239 | . 171 | -. 011 | . 159 | 25.0 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{IrCl}}_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | . 008 | . 032 | . 225 | . 231 | 25.0 | $A^{\prime}$ | . 057 | . 211 | . 026 | . 017 | 25.0 |
| B | . 088 | . 153 | . 297 | . 118 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

' denotes a site related by the non-crystallographic two-fold axis between the two molecules in the asymmetric unit.
$B$ is the isotropic temperature factor of the site, and is of the form $\exp \left[-B\left(\sin ^{2} \theta\right) / \lambda^{2}\right]$ where $B=8 \pi^{2-2}$ and $u^{-2}$ is the mean square amplitude of vibration. occ. is the relative occupancy of the site in arbitrary units.

### 3.2 Methods of Phase Determination

X-ray diffraction experiments yield only the amplitudes of scattered X-rays, but not their phase. Information from the change in scattering amplitude of the total structure, caused by the incorporation of one or more atoms of high atomic number and known position into the protein molecule, can be used to obtain partial or complete information about the phase angles (151).

### 3.2.1 The Isomorphous Replacement Method

The native crystal will give a total scattering amplitude $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ with a resultant phase angle $\alpha_{P}$. Additional scattering material,in known positions in the isomorphous crystal, results in a total scattering amplitude $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ and phase angle $\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}$. These amplitudes are experimentally measured while the phases are not. But, as the positions and occupancy of the sites of heavy atom substitution are known for a particular heavy atom derivative, the heavy atom scattering can be calculated from the equation

$$
\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F} k \ell} \mathrm{~F}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j} h \mathrm{~h} \ell} \exp 2 \pi i\left(h \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{ky} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}+\ell z_{j}\right)
$$

where the summation is over $N$ atoms in the unit cell, the $j^{\text {th }}$ atom having fractional co-ordinates $\left(x_{j} y_{j} z_{j}\right)$ and $f_{j}$ being proportional to the number of electrons associated with the $j^{\text {th }}$ atom.

The three vectors, $\underset{\sim}{F}$ (whose magnitude only is known), $\underset{\sim}{F} \underset{P H}{ }$ (whose magnitude only is known) and $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{F}}$ (whose magnitude and phase are known) are related as shown in Figure 3.5.

From Fig. 3.5

$$
{ }_{\sim}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{PH}={ }_{\sim}^{\mathrm{F}}+{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{H}}}^{\mathrm{F}}
$$


 known, the directions of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ can be deduced,
giving two ambiguous solutions arranged symmetrically about ${\underset{\sim}{F}}_{H}$.

As the lengths of the three sides of the triangle are known, the cosine rule gives
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}} \cos \theta$
Therefore $\cos \theta=-\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}}$
But $\cos \theta=\cos (180-\phi)=-\cos \phi$
From the diagram it can be seen that $\alpha_{P}=\alpha_{H}+\phi$

As it is possible to construct another vector solution by reflecting triangle $O A B$ about $F_{H}$ to give triangle $O A^{\prime} B$, there are two possible
solutions for $\alpha_{P}$,

$$
\text { i.e. } \alpha_{P}=\alpha_{H} \pm \phi
$$

which are symmetrical about $\alpha_{H}$, the phase of the replaceable group.

### 3.2.2 The Method of Multiple Isomorphous Replacement

Fur non-centrosymmetric crystals this ambiguity can be resolved by having another isomorphous crystal, with replaceable atoms in different positions. This is the basis of the multiple isomorphous replacement method, and Harker (115) introduced a convenient graphical solution which is shown in Figure 3.6. For two heavy atom derivatives, 1 and 2, the points of intersection of the circles with radii $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}_{2}}$ with the circle of radius $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ (the native protein) give possible phase angles. In the absence of all experimental errors, and assuming that the introduction of the heavy atom causes no perturbation of the protein structure, then the two derivative circles should intersect the parent circle at the same point, giving a unique solution for $\alpha$, the phase of the protein.

The main disadvantage of this method is that it does not take into account the various errors involved in the analysis, for the circles rarely intersect at a single point. Errors can arise from many sources, for example from measurements in intensities, a lack of isomorphism, or incomplete or imperfect refinement. Blow and Crick (153) showed how the probable error in such phase determinations could be assessed. They noted that each angle around the parent phase circle could be assigned a probability of being the true phase angle. The probability is high at a given angle if many derivative circles intersect nearby, and low if not. If an arbitrary protein phase angle $\alpha$ is chosen, and the vector triangle constructed by adding the known heavy atom component, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$, to the native protein component, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$, with phase $\alpha$ (Figure 3.7), the third side of the triangle is given by


Figure 3.6 Harker Construction for a Double Isomorphous Replacement. $\alpha_{m}$ is the "most probable" phase for $F_{P}$

$$
D_{H}(\alpha)=F_{P}^{2}+F_{H}^{2}+2 F_{P} F_{H} \cos \left(\alpha_{H}-\alpha\right)
$$

In general, this will not be equal to the observed value $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$, but will differ from it by a "lack of closure"

$$
\varepsilon_{H}(\alpha)=F_{P H}-D_{H}(\alpha)
$$

The probability of the phase angle being correct for a single isomorphous replacement is

$$
P(\alpha)=N \exp \left[-\varepsilon_{H}(\alpha)^{2} / 2 E^{2}\right]
$$

where $N$ is a normalizing factor, so that the sum of all the probabilities is unity, i.e. $\quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi} P(\alpha) d \alpha=1$.


Figure 3.7 Vector Diagram Illustrating the Lack of Closure $\varepsilon$ of an Isomorphous Replacement Phase Triangle.
and $E^{2}$ is the mean square error in $F_{P H}$. $E^{2}$ can be calculated from centric data by means of the equation

$$
\mathrm{E}^{2}=\sum\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}^{-}}-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}} \pm \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}\right|\right)^{2} / \mathrm{n}
$$

where the summation is over $n$ centric reflections. When a number, $j$, of heavy atoms are used, the total probability of a given phase angle $\alpha$ being correct is given by

$$
P(\alpha)=N \exp \left[-\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{j}(\alpha)^{2} / 2 E_{j}^{2}\right]
$$

The assumption that all errors reside in the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ values is a limitation that imposes excessive reliance on the accurate measurement of $F_{P}$. Thus care must be taken to obtain the most accurate data set possible for the native crystals.

From the above arguments it would seem the most obvious phase to choose would be the most probable,i.e. that $\alpha_{P}$ for each reflection should be the one with the highest value of $P(\alpha)$. Blow and Crick showed, however, that the centroid of the probability distribution is a much better choice, since it results in a Fourier synthesis having the least mean square error in electron density over the cell (153). Fig. 3.8 shows a native protein phase circle for a given reflection. Derivative circles intersect the native circle at points $A, B, C, D, E$ and $F$, and from the values of $F_{H}, F_{P H}$ and $F_{P}$ for


Figure 3.8 The Assessment of Errors in Isomorphous Replacement
these isomorphs, the probability that any phase angle is correct can be calculated and plotted around the phase circle. The probability is obviously highest near clusters of intersections, but the "best" phase angle is determined by drawing a vector from the origin to the centroid of the probability distribution, the vector having a magnitude mF , where m is the figure of merit for the reflection.

Coefficients required for the "best" Fourier synthesis are thus $\mathrm{mF}_{\mathrm{P}} \exp i \alpha_{B}$, where the figure of merit acts to down-weight contributions from reflections with poorly determined phases. It is the mean cosine of the uncertainty in the phase angle, and it is near unity if the intersections of the circles cluster near a single point.

$$
m=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} P(\alpha) \exp (i \alpha) d \alpha}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} P(\alpha) d \alpha}
$$

This means that it is a measure of the precision of a phase determination rather than its accuracy, and depends on the values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ used in the calculations (154).

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \cos \alpha_{B} & =\sum_{i} P\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \cos \alpha_{i} / \sum P\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \\
m \sin \alpha_{B} & =\sum_{i} P\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \sin \alpha_{i} / \sum P\left(\alpha_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.3 The Use of Anomalous Scattering Information.

The atomic scattering factor of an atom can be expressed as

$$
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}+\Delta \mathrm{f}^{\prime}+\mathrm{i} \Delta \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $f_{o}$ is the normal scattering factor, far from the absorption edge, and $\Delta \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}$ are correction terms which arise from dispersion effects. $\Delta f^{\prime}$ usually corresponds to a small reduction in the normal in-phase scattering, and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$, normally negative, corresponds to scattering with phases $\pi / 2$ ahead of the normal scattering. The way in which anomalcus scattering data are used to obtain information about the phase of $F_{P}$ is illustrated in Figure 3.9. Given the heavy atom parameters and the real and anomalous contributions to the heavy atom scattering, $F_{H}$ and $F_{H}$ ', circles of radii equal to the observed amplitudes $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}$are drawn with respective centres marked + and -.


Figure 3.9 Harker Construction for a Single Isomorphous Replacement with Anomalous Scattering in the Absence of Errors.

In the absence of all errors, the intersection of these circles will give 2 alternative solutions for the phase angle for $\underset{\sim}{\underset{P H}{F}}$. When the phase circle for the native protein is added to the diagram, $\underset{\sim}{F} \mathrm{P}$ is simply the vector from 0 to the intersection of $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{P}}{ }^{+}$and $\underset{\sim}{\underset{P H}{P}}{ }^{-}$, and the point where the three circles intersect therefore gives the phase angle, $\alpha$, for the protein. In practice, the circles usually do not coincide because of experimental errors and non-isomorphism. Figure 3.10 shows a vector diagram illustrating the treatment of errors in this method. To satisfy the anomalous scattering measurements, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}$must meet at a point, i.e. $\left(\varepsilon^{+}-\varepsilon^{-}\right)=0$, where $\varepsilon^{+}$and $\varepsilon^{-}$ represent the lack of closure for $\mathrm{F}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. Thus the overall phase


Figure 3.10 A Vector Diagram Illustrating the Lack of Closure in the Anomalous Scattering Method.
probability distribution for one isomorphous derivative, including the anomalous scattering data, is given by

$$
P(\alpha)=N \exp \left[-\left\{\left(\varepsilon^{+}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{2}\right\} / 2 E^{2}\right]
$$

where $E$ is the total r.m.s. error in determining $\varepsilon^{+}$and $\varepsilon^{-}$. Because this equation does not take into account the greater accuracy of anomalous differences, $(147,152,155)$ the lack of closure terms can be rewritten in the form

$$
\varepsilon^{+2}+\varepsilon^{-2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{+}+\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon^{+}-\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

In this expression, the total isomorphous lack of closure
$\varepsilon=\left(\varepsilon^{+}+\varepsilon^{-}\right)=2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PHcalc}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}\right)$ since $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}\right) / 2\right]$
The anomalous scattering lack of closure
$\varepsilon^{\prime}=\left(\varepsilon^{+}-\varepsilon^{-}\right)=-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}-\frac{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{F}^{\prime \prime}}{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}} \sin \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$
Thus the probability distribution for the phase of $F_{P}$, derived from anomalous scattering measurements from crystals containing heavy atoms of only one kind, is given by

$$
P_{\text {anom }}(\alpha)=\exp \left[-\left(\varepsilon^{+}-\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{2} / 2 E^{\prime 2}\right]
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is the root mean square error in the anomalous scattering measurements. Matthews (147) has shown that this analysis can be extended without difficulty to treat derivative crystals containing anomalously scattering heavy atoms of more than one kind. E' was generally estimated from agreement between equivalent reflections, and was usually found to be about $1 / 3 \mathrm{E}$, confirming the greater accuracy of the differences.

The combined expression is thus

$$
P(\alpha)=N \exp \left[-\frac{\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j}(\alpha)}{2 E_{j}^{2}}-\frac{\sum_{i}\left(\varepsilon^{+}-\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{2}}{2 E_{i}^{2}}\right]
$$

where $j$ is the total number of heavy atoms used in the phase calculation, and $i$ the number for which anomalous differences are to be considered.
3.2.4 The Determination of the Absolute Configuration of the Molecule.

Before using anomalous scattering data for phase determination, it is essential to have the co-ordinates of the heavy atom substituents in their correct absolute configuration $(139,156)$, since the use of the wrong hand will lead to nonsensical phase angles. That is, as well as the ambiguity in phase (corresponding to vectors $F_{H} \exp i \alpha_{H}$ or $F_{H}$ exp-i $\alpha_{H}$ in Figure 3.5), there is also an ambiguity arising from the two possible absolute configurations. This can be expressed analytically with the following equations. From Figure 3.5, using the cosine rule
thus $\cos \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}}$

Taking $\cos \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\cos \gamma$ (say) then,

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+\gamma \text { or } \alpha_{\mathrm{H}}-\gamma \text { corresponding to the phase ambiguity. }
$$

and $\quad \alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}=-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+\gamma$ or $-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}-\gamma$ corresponding to the configurational
ambiguity. The phase ambiguity is overcome by using more than one derivative, as discussed in Section 3.2.2 . The problem of configurational ambiguity may be overcome by consideration of the anomalous scattering information. Hence, when anomalous scattering information is incorporated into the equation, from equation (3-vi) and Figure 3.1.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sin \beta=\frac{\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{+}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{-}\right)}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\prime \prime}} \\
\text { or } \sin \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\frac{\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{-}\right)}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}
$$

where the absolute sign of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{-}$is fixed by the choice of the right-handed reciprocal lattice.

$$
\text { Taking } \begin{aligned}
& \sin \left(\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}\right)=\sin \gamma^{\prime}, \text { then } \\
\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}} & =\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+\gamma^{\prime} \text { or } \alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+180-\gamma^{\prime} \text { or } \\
- & \alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+\gamma^{\prime} \text { or }-\alpha_{\mathrm{H}}+180-\gamma^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha_{\mathrm{PH}}=\alpha_{H}+\gamma=\alpha_{H}+\gamma^{\prime}$ is the correct solution (i.e. $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}$ ) then these equations will also give $-\alpha_{H}+\gamma$ as a possible solution. The second solution is totally wrong, but the phase equations allow no way of distinguishing the true solution from the false one. In this work, the ambiguity in phase was resolved by using cross-phased difference Fourier syntheses to relate all derivative sites to the same origin in the unit cell once the "hand" of one derivative had been established (105,128) (See section 3.1.6).

### 3.2.5 Phase Calculation.

"Best" phases for the native protein data were calculated by means of the computer program PHASE (see appendix II) using the method of Blow and Crick $(153,158)$, with anomalous scattering measurements for each derivative (apart from the $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ derivative) also being taken into account $(147,155)$. The probability distribution was calculated at ten degree intervals around the phase circle, and a reflection was omitted from the output file when the root mean square (r.m.s) value of $\varepsilon / E$ at the most probable phase exceeded 3.0 , (where $\varepsilon=$ the lack of closure, and $E$ is the r.m.s. lack of closure). This ensured that reflections with improbable phase angles were excluded from any Fourier summation that might follow. The figure of merit, m, was calculated for each reflection, and as an overall check on the accuracy of the estimation of the $E$ values, and therefore on the values of $m$, the overall ratio, $Q$, of the lack of closure to $E$ was calculated, where

$$
Q_{j}=\left\langle\varepsilon_{j} / E_{j}\right\rangle
$$

The mean was taken over all reflections, and over each contributor (isomorphous or anomalous) to the overall phase probability distribution. If the E values are correctly estimated, Q should be approximately equal to unity.

The phasing power of a derivative is often expressed in terms of the ratios $F_{H} / E$ and $F_{H}$ "/E' (where $F_{H}$ is the r.m.s. calculated heavy atom contribution, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$ " is the r.m.s. calculated anomalous contribution). These ratios for all derivatives used in the phase determination are shown plotted against resolution in Figure 3.11. The decrease in phasing power of a derivative with increasing resolution is shown by the decrease of these ratios. Over the whole $\theta$ range, the ratio of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}$ to E is larger than unity for the gold derivative up to approximately $3.4 \AA$, and for both the uranyl and the double derivative up to about $4 \AA$. Although the phasing power of these derivatives might seem inadequate beyond $3.4 \AA$ and $4 \AA$ respectively, it should be remembered that the ratios represent averages, so there will be a proportion of the reflections at higher resolution for which the ratio will be greater than unity, and for which significant contributions will then be made to the phasing (159). Similarly, values of $F_{H}{ }^{\prime \prime} / E^{\prime}$ are all low. However, evidence of the value of the anomalous contributions was shown in the case of the gold and uranyl derivatives, where "cross phasing" of other derivatives using the wrong "hand" produced difference Fourier maps containing spurious peaks, and in which the peak heights of known positions were greatly reduced (see section 3.1 .6 , Figure 3.3). Figure 3.12 shows a plot of the mean figure of merit <m> against resolution for phase calculations using each individual derivative, as well as for a double phase determination using the gold and the uranyl derivatives, and a "combined" phase determination using the uranyl, gold, mercury and double derivatives. A histogram showing the number of reflections in ranges of the figure of merit is given in Figure 3.13.


Figure 3-11. Some phasing parameters for azurin. The ratios $F_{H} / E$ and $F_{H}^{\prime \prime} / E^{\prime}$ (see text for definition of these terms) are plotted against resolution for each derivative ( - gold; ouranyl; $x$ double).


Figure 3-12. Variation of figure of merit with resolution for the phase calculations used in the structure determination.

- $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{UO}_{2}<\mathrm{m}>=0.655 ; \mathrm{Q}=0.84$
- $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{UO}_{2}+\mathrm{Hg}+$ double derivative $<\mathrm{m}>=0.614 ; \mathrm{Q}=0.82$


Figure 3-13. The figure of merit distribution resulting from phase calculation using four heavy atom derivatives.

### 3.3 The Calculation of an Electron Density Map at $6 \AA$ Resolution.

Phases weie calculated using combined isomorphous and anomalous differences from the gold and uranyl derivatives. For the 759 reflections in the $6 \AA$ data set, the mean figure of merit, <m>, for the "best" phases was 0.71 , with $Q=0.66$. This latter value suggests that the $E$ values were somewhat overestimated, and that <m> may therefore have been under-estimated. An electron density map at a nominal resolution of $6 \AA$ was then calculated, omitting the lowest resolution data (spacing $>20 \AA$ ) since this data is strongly influenced by solvent effects. The scale of the map was $4 \AA / \mathrm{cm}$ with sections calculated along the $z$ axis at intervals of $2 \AA$. The density was sampled approximately every $2 \AA$ in the $x$ and $y$ directions and the map contoured by means of the computer program CONTOUR (appendix II) at arbitrary electron density levels of $200,400,600,800$ and 900. These contours were transferred by hand to mylar sheets which were separated by balsa spacers. The maximum density was calculated to be $0.52 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$, which occurred at the position (.158,.023,.119). A peak with density $0.41 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ was found at $(.022, .158, .123)$, the non-crystallographic diad-related position, and in retrospect these two positions represented the copper site in each of the molecules in the asymmetric unit. A small portion of the electron density map is shown in Figure 3.14, where the density representing these two molecules has been coloured red and yellow to allow easier identification. They are seen as essentially separate entities, the boundaries being clear along the diagonal between $x$ and $y$, although their densities merge at two other points. Clear areas representing the solvent of crystallization are readily visible, these being taken as an indication that the phases were indeed reasonable (105).

A solid balsa wood model, on a scale of $2 \AA \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, was also built by transferring the contours of the "yellow molecule" of Figure 3.14 to appropriate thicknesses of balsa, which were then glued together.


Figure 3.14 A portion of the electron density map at $6 \AA$ resolution.
The two molecules in the asymmetric unit can clearly be seen (red and yellow).

A photograph of the model is shown in Figure 3.15. As the density of the two different molecules in each asymmetric unit seemed to merge, as mentioned above, arbitrary cuts in the density had to be made to separate them. The non-crystallographic two-fold axis along the diagonal between $x$ and $y$, at $z=1 / 8$, and the crystallographic symmetry elements of the cell, were all taken into account when choosing these points. When the two molecules were severed adjacent to what was later shown to be the "flap" region of the "yellow" one, all these symmetry requirements were fulfilled, and the molecules were closely similar.

The resulting molecular envelope has an exaggerated pear shape of approximate dimensions $38 \times 40 \times 28 \AA$, with the area of highest density in the neck region (Figure 3.15). This was assumed to be the position of the copper atom, and comparison of this position with that of the copper in azurin from Ps. aeruginosa (82), showed them both to be in similar regions of the molecule. Another feature of the model is the so-called"flap"region, which stood out from the main barrel of the molecule, and contained a short length of $\alpha$-helix (approximately $10 \AA$ ) which was visible as a rod of density about $5 \AA$ in diameter.

The map was extended in the $-z$ and $+z$ directions so that an overall impression of the packing of the protein molecules in the unit cell could be gained. A schematic diagram of this packing is shown in Figure 3.16 for the $x y$ projection. In these diagrams, the two molecules in each asymmetric unit are represented by shaded and plain shapes respectively. The shapes are approximate and have been chosen to display the packing to the best advantage. Each molecule of one kind, i.e. shaded or plain, appears to form close contacts with 6 molecules of the other kind, as well as with two of the same kind. Thus the unit cell seems to be arranged as clusters of protein molecules separated by relatively large areas of solvent. Solvent


Figure 3-15. Balsa model of structure at $6 \AA$


Figure $3 \cdot 16$ The packing of the molecules of Azurin within the crystal. The two molecules in each crytallographic asymmetric unit are cross-hatched and outlined. ( encloses asymmetric unit)
spaces between molecules in each cluster do exist, but are not readily visible,as the diagrams represent projections of the whole unit cell.

This map was also used to check possible heavy atom positions, which, when related to the map, could usually be found near areas of density on the exterior of the molecules (although one of the minor double derivative sites seemed to lie in a solvent region between two crystallographically-related molecules).

### 3.4 Calculation of the $3 \AA$ map.

The continuing search for derivatives to improve the phasing of the electron density map was discouraging, as derivatives which produced changes in the intensity distribution usually seemed to produce changes in the axial lengths as well. However with one fairly good derivative $\left(\operatorname{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\right)$, two less satisfactory derivatives (the uranyl and double derivatives), which nevertheless gave reasonable phasing to at least $4 \AA$ resolution, and a fourth derivative, $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, for which only low resolution data were available, it was decided to calculate a trial map at a nominal resolution of $3 \AA$ (the limit of the native, $\operatorname{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ and double derivative data). For the 5335 reflections of the final $3 \AA$ data set, $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ was 0.614 , and $Q=0.82$. This was equivalent to a weighted mean error in the phase angle of $52^{\circ}$ (158), with $58 \%$ of the reflections having an error in phasing equal to or less than this value.

A "mini-map" on a scale of $2 \AA \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was calculated for the molecule with the copper atom at (. $336, .525, .371$ ), referred to as molecule II. It was contoured as before and the contours were transferred to mylar sheets by hand. The sheets were separated by balsa wood spacers, the sections being calculated along the $z$ direction at intervals of $1 \AA$. The maximum density was $0.68 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ and the error in the electron density map,calculated by the method of Dickerson et al. (158), was
$0.06 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$. The map was surprisingly clear, and with the help of the amino acid sequence (32) appeared to permit a complete tracing of the polypeptide backbone, with the identification of most protein side chains. At one point, however, the chain was required to pass through very weak density between what were thought to be residues 45 and 46 , there also appeared to be breaks in the chaln around residues 75-77, and detailed interpretation of other parts (e.g. residues 22-28) was unclear.

For comparison, a "mini-map" of the other molecule in the asymmetric unit (with the copper at (.019,.160,.122), and referred to as molecule I) was calculated on the same scale. The quality of the second map seemed to be better around the copper site, but it was found that although the tracing of the main chain was again unambiguous for most of its course, there appeared to be breaks between residues 33 and 34 , and residues 96 and 99, and a few other regions were unclear. In general the mini-maps were sufficiently encouraging to calculate a large scale map for detailed interpretation.

It was decided that, rather than average the density for the two molecules in the asymmetric unit as was done, for example, for Ps. aeruginosa azurin (82), the density for each molecule should be interpreted in turn. While averaging the density might give a map with a better appearance, such an "averaged" map might obscure real differences in structure, and adversely affect regions for which the density was clear in one molecule, but distorted in the other. Thus an electron density map was calculated for molecule I on a scale of $2 \mathrm{~cm} \AA^{-1}$ with sections calculated along the $y$ direction at intervals of $0.75 \AA$. It was sampled every $0.507 \AA$ in $x$ and $0.480 \AA$ in $z$ to give a printout on the desired scale, and was contoured by hand at intervals of $0.102 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ starting from a minimum value of $0.102 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. The contours were transferred to acetate sheets which were hung in a Richards optical comparator (160) using a vertical mirror (161).

### 3.5 Interpretation of the Electron Density map.

A skeletal model of the molecule was built by fitting Kendrew model components (Cambridge Repetition Engineers, Cambridge, England) to the electron density, starting at the residues liganded to the copper atom, which was instantly recognisable as the highest density in the map $\left(0.68 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{-3}}\right)$. It was found that the map could really be divided into two parts; firstly the $\beta$-barrel structure, the loop around the copper atom (residues 112-121) and the a-helix, where both main chain and sidechains were clear and easy to fit to the density; and secondly the loops between the $B$ strands and between the flap and the $\beta$-barrel, for which the electron density was of ten poorly resolved and difficult to fit unequivocally. Many of the aromatic sidechains were easily identified as clearly-resolved flattened discs of electron density of the appropriate size for example, Phe 111 and Tyr 15 in Figure 3.17). The four histidine residues were also easily identified, as were most of the leucine and isoleucine sidechains. These acted as well defined "markers" in the model building. Sometimes contours corresponding to the lower density of $0.07 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ had to be drawn (as dotted lines) on to the sheets to aid the fitting of the residues to the density. Ambiguities could usually be solved by referring to the density of Molecule II in the mini-map, since often molecule II had good density where that of molecule I was poor. No reference was made to the conformation obtained for the azurin from Ps. aeruginosa (82) or that determined for plastocyanin (56). This was because of the apparent difference in the topologies of the two proteins, one of the objectives of this work being to provide an independent verification of any similarities or differences between them. Comments on the fit to the density are in Table 3.6.

Later, a second electron density map was calculated to cover the other molecule in the asymmetric unit (molecule II), and a complete Kendrew model was built for it also. As is discussed later,

## Figure 3.17 Some Sections of the Electron Density Map

Showing the Aromatic Sidechain of Phe 111

The density belonging to the sidechain of Phe 111 is clearly seen in the centre of the photograph as a £lattened disc.


Tabie 3.6 A Description of the Fitting of Residues to the Electron Density in Molecules
I and II.
Sidechains with
no Density

Sidechains with
Weak or
Discontinuous Density

MOLECULE I

| Ala 12 | Lys 18 | Mainchain | Mainchain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lys 34 (beyond CB) | Glu 19 (beyond CB) | residues 23-27 | residues 31-37 |
| Lys 38 (beyond CG) | Met 20 (no density CE) | 40-44 | 46-50 |
| Ala 40 | Lys 28 | 77-81 | 58-64 |
| Val 73 | Thr 51 | 99-104 | 82-96 |
| Val 80 | Glu 57 (beyond CB) |  | 108-112 |
| Asp 98 | Val 59 | Sidechains of | 114-117 |
| Leu 102 | Gln 70 (too close to | Ala 5 | 122-126 |
| Ala 109 | neighbour) | Leu 17 |  |
|  | Asp 77 | Asp 23 | Sidechains of |
|  | Thr 78 (very poor fit) | Ala 42 |  |
|  | Phe 97 | Val 43 | Glu 4, Glu 8 |
|  | Lys 101 | Met 44 | Ser 9, Asp 11 |
|  | Thr 103 | Ala 54 | Met 13, Tyr 15 |
|  | Trp 118 | Ala 66 | Phe 29, Val 31 |
|  | Lys 126 | Ala 69 (very poor fit) | His 32, Leu 33 |
|  | Asn 129 | Tyr 72 (ring too close | His 35, His 46 |
|  |  | to main chain) | Trp 48, Val 49 |
|  |  | Ala 75 | Leu 50, Asp 55 |
|  |  | Ile 81 | Asp 62, Met 64 |
|  |  | Val 99 | His 83, Val 86 |
|  |  | Ser 100 | Lys 85 |
|  |  |  | Residues 88-95 |


|  | Sidechains with |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidechains with |  |  |
| no Density | Weak or <br> Discontinuous <br> Density | Reasonable Density <br> but Hard to Fit |

MOLECULE I Continued

Glu 106 (2 possible conformations).

| Mainchain |  | Mainchain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residues | 23-26 | Residues | 4-10 |
|  | 36-38 |  | 29-35 |
|  | 40-43 |  | 46-50 |
|  | 52-54 |  | 83-99 |
|  | 80-82 |  | 108-117 |
|  | 123-124 |  |  |

Sidechains of $\overline{\text { Asp 11, Tyr } 15}$
Asp 16 (sidechain too close to mainchain). Leu 17
Residues 40-44
Residues 51-54
Val 86

Sidechains of
Tyr 110, Phe 111
Cys 112
Residues 114-117
Lys 122

| Asp 11, | Tyr 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lys 18, Glu 19 |  |

Val 22, Asp 23
Lys 24, Val 31
His 32, His 35
Lys 41, His 46
Asn 47, Trp 48
Leu 50, Val 59
Ala 60, Asp 62
Leu 68, Asp 77

| Sidechains with <br> no Density | Sidechains with <br> Weak or <br> Discontinuous <br> Density | Reasonable Density <br> but Hard to Fit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## MOLECULE II Continued

Thr 78, Arg 79
His 83, Lys 85
Ile 87, Glu 91
Residues 92-102
Tyr 108, A1a 109
Residues 111-121
Leu 125, Leu 127
the two interpretations are essentially in agreement. Figure 3.18 shows the electron density for a piece of extended chain (residues 96, 97 and 98) from this molecule.

Co-ordinates of the atoms in both molecules were obtained by placing markers on to the density on the appropriate sections of the electron density map. This was done by optimizing the fit of each residue to the density, and then placing markers where atoms in the residue were reflected on to the map. Two co-ordinates were read off the sections by the use of a standard grid, while the third co-ordinate (that in the direction along which sections were taken) was measured by
(i) estimating which section the reflection fell on, using the parallax effect, and
(ii) direct measurement from the model.

Throughout, a prime consideration was that the atoms should be as well placed in the density as possible. Figures 3.19 and 3.20 show photographs of the Kendrew skeletal model of Molecule II, and a smaller Labquip model of molecule $I$ built to a scale of $1 \mathrm{~cm} \AA^{-1}$ from co-ordinates measured from the larger model.

### 3.6 Regularization of the Co-ordinates.

Once the co-ordinates had been recorded, they were regularized by the computer program MODEIT (appendix II) which uses an iterative least squares procedure to optimize the agreement of bond lengths and angles in a protein molecule with standard values. Before regularization, the average deviation of bond lengths and angles from standard values was $0.095 \AA$ and $8.1^{\circ}$ respectively for Molecule II, and $0.111 \AA$ and $9.5^{\circ}$ respectively for Molecule I. After regularization, these values were $0.01 \AA$ and $1.5^{\circ}$ for both molecules. Table 3.7 gives the results of the regularization for both molecules.

Eigure 3.18 Some Sections of the Electron Density Map Showing Part of One of the $\beta$-strands in Azurin, Residues 96-99.

The superposition of this part of the structure shows how carbonyl groups fit into "bumps" in the mainchain density. The dotted line represents a hydrogen bond between the $C=0$ of residue 28 , and NH of residue 99. (The density below this belongs to the sidechain of Val 99).



Figure 3-19. A photograph of the Kendrew model.


Figure 3.20 Labquip model of Azurin at $3 \AA$ resolution.
Note the barrel shape of the molecule and external flap on the left-hand-side.

Table 3.7 Regularization of the Co-ordinates for Molecule I and
Molecule II

|  | Molecule I |  |  |  | Molecule II |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta x(\AA)$ | $\Delta y(\AA)$ | $\Delta z(\AA)$ | overal1 | $\Delta x(\AA)$ | $\Delta y(\AA)$ | $\Delta z(\AA)$ | overall |
| r.m.s. shift | 0.125 | 0.114 | 0.123 | $0.209 \AA$ | 0.099 | 0.104 | 0.099 | $0.175 \AA$ |
| maximum shift | 0.515 | 0.609 | 0.477 | 0.6998 | 0.585 | 0.480 | 0.454 | $0.633 \AA$ |

### 3.7 Breaks in the Main Chain.

In molecule $I$, breaks in the main chain density occurred between residues 29 and 30,97 and 98 , and 109 and 110, although the main chain was unbroken in molecule II at these points. Similarly, the density between residues 45 and 46 , and 87 and 88 , was very weak in molecule II, although good in molecule I.

### 3.8 Differences in Interpretation.

(a) Residues 68-72

These residues constitute the major disagreement between the two interpretations, and are shown schematically in Figure 3.21. In molecule I, the side chain of Leu 68 occupies the density assigned to the aromatic ring of Tyr 72 in molecule II . The side chain of Tyr 72 in Molecule I occupies (with a poor fit) density assigned to Asp 71 in molecule $I I$. There is a general readjustment of the chain between residues 67 and 73. Overall, the molecule II fit is better and appears structurally more likely.


Figure 3.21 A Schematic Diagram of the Differences in Interpretation For Residues 67-73.
(b) Residues 77-79

The sidechain of Asp 77 in molecule II occupies part of the site assigned to Arg 79 in molecule $I$ (although in each case the guanidinium group of Arg 79 is in the same place). Residue 78 is differently placed in the two molecules and there is readjustment of the mainchain. The molecule II interpretation appears better. The differences in interpretation are shown schematically in Figure 3.22.
(c) Residues 100-105

A major difference in the orientation of the sidechain of Pro 104 causes differences between the two mainchain conformations.


Molecule I Molecule II

77

Figure 3.22 A Schematic Diagram of the Differences in Interpretation For Residues 76-80.

### 3.9 Other difficult regions.

In addition to those mentioned in Table 3.6 , parts of the structure that were difficult to build included:
(a) Residues 23-26

In molecule I, the loop between residues 23 and 26 was very difficult to build. This was not helped by the fact that the mainchain density was very weak for residues 26-27. In molecule II, residues 23 and 24 fitted well, as did 27 and 28 , but the proper conformation for residues 25 and 26 was still uncertain, with no density for $C B$ of Cys 26 . Indeed, in both molecules the disulphide bridge, Cys $3-$ Cys 26 , was poorly resolved, although the density for the sulphur atoms was strong.
(b) Residues 40-44

This part of the structure had strong density in both molecules, but it proved very hard to fit the model to the density. The residues form a tight loop at the "top" of the molecule. In both molecules (I and II), this loop makes close contacts with neighbouring molecules; Ala 40 with Ala 40 from a molecule related by crystallegraphic symmetry, and Val 43 and Met 44 with residues $118-120$ of a non-crystallographically related molecule. In addition, the two main gold sites are near Val 43 (see Table 3.8 ), and may cause distortions in the density in this region.
(c) Residues 51-55

These residues are part of another loop,linking strand 4 of the $\beta$-barrel to the beginning of the $\alpha$-helix in the flap region. The density for the mainchain atoms was strong in both molecules, but difficult to interpret. In molecule II, some very strong density around Glu 53 remained unaccounted for.
(d) Residue 81

Great difficulty was experienced at Ile 81 in both molecules. This residue comes at a sharp turn linking the "flap" to strand 5 of the $\beta$-barrel. No really convincing fit could be achieved in either molecule.
3.10 The Nature of the Heavy atom Binding Sites.

Once the models for both molecules had been built, the heavy atom positions could be plotted on the electron density map and hence related to the actual protein sidechains or mainchain groups involved in binding. Table 3.8 shows the details of these sites. The occupancies listed here are absolute occupancies, calculated using the correct absolute scale factor for the native data, obtained from a structure factor calculation. If the heavy atom sites on
each molecule were exactly related by the non-crystallographic twofold symmetry the occupancies of each pair of sites on the two molecules in the asymmetric unit might be expected to be the same. For many sites there are, in fact, marked differences, both in occupancies and in the exactness with which the positional symmetry is obeyed. These differences may arise from local differences in sidechain orientations, due perhaps to packing effects, or even to small differences in the conformation of external groups far away from the copper site, and hence likely to affect the "working" parts of the molecule. It must be stressed,however, that some differences in occupancy may also be more apparent than real, arising simply from imperfect refinement of the heavy atom sites, non-isomorphism, or errors in the data.

The $\mathrm{KAu}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ Derivative.
(a) For the main gold site, the degree of substitution on molecule I is much greater than on molecule II. In the former, the sidechain of $\operatorname{Trp} 118$ adjoins the density of Val 43' from molecule II, to form a pocket which is further enclosed by density which appears to belong to the sidechain of Met $44^{\prime}$. In the equivalent site on molecule II (across the pseudo-axis), $\operatorname{Trp} 118^{\prime}$ and Val 43 appear to be oriented differently, and as Met 44 seems to have a slightly different conformation, a "pocket" is not formed.
(b) For the minor gold site, there is substitution on molecule II but not on molecule I. Again the gold site appears to be an intermolecular pocket formed by the close approach of two type II molecules, related by the two-fold axis parallel to $x$ at $y=\frac{1}{2}$, $z=\frac{1}{2}$, near the sidechain of Lys 38. This sidechain appears to have a different orientation in the two molecules, presumably arising from packing effects, the inexactness of the non-crystallographic twofold axis resulting in slight differences in the crystal packing of the


The Urany 1 Acetate Derivative.
(a) For the uranyl derivative, all sites involve sidechain carboxyl groups, a preference which has been noted before for the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ cation (105). The two major sites, which have very similar occupancies, are both formed by pairs of Glu 91 sidechains related by crystallographic two-fold symnetry. A schematic diagram of the two sites is shown below.


## Molecule I



## Molecule II

Figure 3.23 A Schematic Diagram Showing the Two Main Uranyl Binding Sites on Molecule I and Molecule II.

The fact that the carboxyl groups in the two molecules appear, at this stage, to be different distances from the diad probably arises from the inexactness of the non-crystallographic symmetry. That is, the carboxyl group of Glu 91 is (slightly) differently oriented with respect to the crystallographic 2 -fold axis (and hence to its
diad-related partner) in Molecule I compared with Molecule II.
(b) The two minor sites have markedly different substitution.

There appears to be a difference, however, in the orientation of the sidechain of Glu 106 in the two molecules (in one case it is hydrogen bonded to the hydroxyl group of Tyr 108), and since the carboxyl group of Glu 106 is the only protein group within bonding distance of the uranyl site, this difference may explain the uneven substitution.

The $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Derivative.
(a) The difference in substitution of the major mercury site was unexpected, as the fact that the crystals became colourless suggested complete replacement of the copper atoms in both molecules. Allowing for the fact that a mercury atom $(Z=80)$ has replaced a copper atom $(Z=29)$, the occupancies correspond to about $71 \%$ replacement in molecule $I$ and $44 \%$ in molecule II. There is no obvious reason for this discrepancy, or for the different occupancies, but possible causes may be the non-isomorphism of the derivative, producing misleading results in the refinement, or a difference in the amount or rate of diffusion of the complex into the copper site for each of the molecules.
(b) The pair of minor sites is almost co-incident with a noncrystallographic two-fold axis, the distance between them being only 1.5 $\%$. They lie close to 2 histidine residues, His 32 , and His $32^{\prime}$, from two non-crystallographically related molecules, as shown schematically in Figure 3.24 .

From the diagram it is clear that both mercury sites are nearer molecule II than molecule $I$. This is probably a direct reflection of the slight differences in orientation of the two molecules in the asymmetric unit with respect to the non-crystallographic diad.


## Figure 3.24 A Schematic Diagram of the Minor Mercury Site for the $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Derivative.

The Double Derivative.
(a) The major gold and uranyl sites are very similar to those in the individual derivatives, although the substitution of the uranyl site is now somewhat greater. Reasons for the difference in occupancy of site 3 are not clear. In molecule II, where the occupancy is higher, the site lies between two Asp 77 side chains, of molecules related by crystallographic 2 -fold symmetry. In molecule I, no such relationship exists as there is a difference in interpretation around Asp 77 suggesting a structural difference which may or may not be real. The nature of the site, however, suggests that the heavy atom binding is the uranyl ion, which is commonly found bound to the carboxylate groups of glutamate or aspartate (105).
(b) Of the minor sites, site 4 , which has approximately equal substitution on both molecules, is most likely to be that of a uranyl
ion, while site 5 is more likely to be that of an $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}{ }^{-}$ion. The latter is found on Molecule I only, in the vicinity of Val 99 and Ser 100 which are part of a tight loop formed by residues 99-103. The site is at least $6 \AA$ away from any sidechain. It can only be assumed that differences in the enviroment of this loop in molecule II cause a disappearance of the potential binding site. Site 6 appears to be non-specific in that it has no near neighbours, but seems to be in a solvent space between different molecules.

The Nature of the Thiomersal Site.
Soaking the crystals in solutions of thiomersal renders them colourless as already described (section 3.1.6), indicating that the copper atom has either been reduced or removed. The former explanation was accepted after examination of a cross-phased difference Fourier map showed there was no negative density at or around the copper site. The binding site of the mercury compound was identified from this map as being approximately $3.4 \AA$ from the copper atom, and 2. $4 \AA$ from the $S G$ atom of Cys 112 in both molecules in the asymmetric unit. As this reagent has previously been shown to bind to cysteine residues $(105,162)$, it is assumed that the binding site on azurin is the thiolate sulphur of Cys 112 , despite the size and shape of the thiomersal molecules, and the internal hydrophobic nature of the $S^{-}$site. Some disruption does, however, occur as reflected in the increase of the $c$ axial length on the binding of the complex.
3.11 A Comparison of the Structures of the Two Molecules.

The two molecules were compared using a non-linear least squares procedure (program NOLIN6, see Appendix II) based on the method of Rao and Rossmann(163). A set of 3 atoms in each molecule was chosen to provide an initial orientation matrix. The rotational and translational parameters relating the two molecules were then refined by least squares, using 474 equivalent atoms ( $N, C_{\alpha}, C, O$ and $C_{\beta}$ )
from each molecule. Only the more reliable parts of the structure were used in this refinement, and,in addition, atom pairs deviating by more than three times the standard deviation were discarded during refinement. Overall, the r.m.s. deviation of the 474 atoms used in this refinement was 1.3 . Figure 3.25 is a histogram showing the differences between average mainchain and sidechain positions of each residue in the two molecules. The ordinate in the negative direction represents the average displacement of the sidechain atoms for each derivative divided by the mean of this figure for all 129 residues of the protein. Similarly in the positive direction it represents the average displacement of the 4 mainchain atoms divided by the mean of this figure summed over all 129 residues. The abscissa represents the residue number.

Many of the apparent differences between the two molecules undoubtedly represent minor errors in the structure at this stage and are likely to disappear or be greatly reduced on refinement. The major differences between the two molecules result from differences in interpretation during model building, and may or may not represent structural differences. The histogram may therefore give some indication of the reliability of different parts of the structure.

Major differences appear for residues $1-3,11-13,21-26,65-72$, 75-81 and 100-105. Differences at the chain termini are not unexpected, and the other differences are all associated with external loops reasonably distant from the copper atom, and generally with poorly resolved density which was difficult to interpret. None of these sections of mainchain are involved in extensive hydrogen bonding or other interactions with other parts of the structure. On the other hand, the sections of mainchain involved in strands of the $\beta$-barrel structure (shown cross-hatched in Figure 3.25) differ very little (mean deviation is $\sim 0.7 \AA$ ).


Figure 3-25. The relative displacement between corresponding residues in the two molecules of the asymmetric unit after superposition by the rotation function. Displacement parameter $=$ (mean displacement of main or side chain atoms in residue) / (average of mean displacement over all residues )
Hatched areas represent the main chain residues involved in $\beta$ structure Black areas represent the residues showing the greatest displacement

Sidechains which show the greatest differences in orientation, apart from those not included in the histogram (residues 70-72 and 100-102, for which the interpretations are different in the two molecules), are Ala 1, Gln 2, Ala 12, Met 13, Lys 18, Lys 38, Val 43, Lys 56, Leu 68, Ala 69, Asp 77, Val 80, Leu 102, Thr 103, G1u 106, Lys 122, Asn 129. Most of these are residues on the surface of the molecule. Some have well defined densities and orientations that are clearly different. These include Met 13 and Lys 18, and are presumably affected by lattice contacts. Others have pooxly resolved density and differences in conformation may not be real. Leu 68, Ala 69 and Val 80 are all hydrophobic, but are in parts of the structure where the interpretation is different for the two molecules. Leu 102 is internal, but there is no density for the sidechain in molecule $I$, so the difference is probably not real.

The Position of the Non-Crystallographic Two-fold Axis.
The above superposition of the molecules yields three rotation and three translation parameters which define the non-crystallographic symmetry relating the two molecules. In this case, the two molecules chosen were not part of the same asymmetric unit, so that these parameters reflect the four-fold symmetry of the pseudo-tetragonal cell.

If $X_{I i}$ and $X_{\text {IIi }}$ denote the position vectors of the ith equivalent atom in molecules $I$ and II, relative to two arbitrarily chosen axial systems, then

$$
X_{I I i}=C X_{I i}+t
$$

where $C$ is a rotation matrix expressed in terms of a rotation $k$ around an axis, the position of which is defined by two spherical co-ordinates $\psi$ and $\phi$. The relationship of these angles to the cartesian $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ axes is described by Rossmannand Blow (164). $X_{1}$ is parallel to $a, X_{2}$ parallel to $b$, and $X_{3}$ parallel to $c$. The
vector $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ where $t_{1}$ represents the translation along $X_{1}, t_{2}$ along $X_{2}$ and $t_{3}$ along $X_{3}$.

The rotation angles were

$$
k=85.16^{\circ}, \psi=89.323^{\circ} \text { and } \phi=88.257^{\circ} \text {, while the translations }
$$

were $t_{1}=-38.688, \quad t_{2}=34.99 \AA$, and $t_{3}=-24.64 \AA$. From Figure 3.16 and Figure 2.10 , it can be seen that if the non-crystallographic diad was positioned exactly on the diagonal between $x$ and $y$, at $z=1 / 8$, these parameters would be

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k=90.0^{\circ}, \quad \psi=90.0^{\circ}, & \text { and } \quad \phi=90.0^{\circ} \\
t_{1}=-37.58, \quad t_{2}=37.058, & \text { and } t_{3}=-24.888
\end{array}
$$

(where these translations represent $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{4}$ of the $a, b$ and $c$ axes respectively). The four-fold screw axis (Figure 2.10) generated by the action of crystallographic symmetry operations on the non-crystallographic diad, instead of passing through ( -0.5 , $0.5,0)$ and being parallel to the $z$ axis, passes through the point $(-0.526,0.468,0)$ and is at an angle of $1.87^{\circ}$ to the $z$ axis. The non-crystallographic diad passes through the points (.01, -.01 , .127) and (.51, .49, .127). The small differences in environment of each of the molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit is thus not surprising , as each of the molecules will be oriented slightly differently to the symmetry elements of the unit cell.

The azurin molecule is based on a B-barrel structure in which eight strands of the polypeptide chain are arranged parallel or antiparallel to form a cylindrical barrel. The B-sheet topology of the molecule (Figure 1.4b) is not identical with the $B$ topology of any of the proteins listed by Richardson (165) although such views of the $\beta$ topology are highly idealised - for instance, structural irregularities in the $B$ strands, and differences between lengths of corresponding $\beta$ strands in different structures are ignored. The core of the molecule is made up of hydrophobic sidechains, and there is a very distinctive hydrophobic patch around the edge of His 117 on the surface of the molecule (Figure 4.1).

The folding follows the same pattern as that of plastocyanin (56), rather than that suggested originally by Adman et al. for Ps. aeruginosa azurin (82). In the present structure, the two $N$-terminal residues were well-defined in both molecules as were the rest of the residues in strands $I$ and II. A1so, Tyr 15 and Met 13 fitted the density well, especially the former where the sidechain density was visible as a well-defined, flattened disc. With the alternative azurin folding of Adman et al. (82), Met 13 and Tyr 15 change places, as the ambiguity in interpreting the position of the disulphide bridge, Cys 3-Cys 26 causes strands I and II to change place. This ambiguity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa azurin appears to have arisen because no density was visible for the two N-terminal residues, bulky sidechain density appeared where Met 13 and Phe 15 were expected, and other hydrophobic sidechains had internal positions. When phases were extended to $2.7 \AA$, and the electron density improved by direct space averaging (83), however, the length of strand II in


Figure 4.1 Close view of the Hydrophobic Patch.
Arrows show carbonyls pointing towards His 117

Figure 1.4 a could not be spanned with the available chain. Although this led to the alternative interpretation,similar to the topology of plastocyanin (i.e. a reversal of the chain direction and numbering of the first two strands), the fit of the model to the density in the region of the middle of strand II was found to be less than satisfactory, introducing doubt about the interpretation. This present work has removed any doubt, as the good fit of most residues in strands $I$ and $I I$, with no ambiguity in interpretation, confirms the plastocyanin-type topology for azurin.

### 4.1 The Secondary Structure of Azurin

The term secondary structure refers to regular elements in the folding of a polypeptide chain, stabilized by hydrogen bonding.

A hydrogen bond between two appropriate groups in a protein molecule is likely when:
(a) the distance between the $H$ donor and $I 1$ acceptor lies in the approximate ranges:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0-H \ldots N, 2.6-3.0 \AA ; \quad N-H \ldots . \ldots, 2.6-3.3 \AA(167,168) \\
& N-H \ldots N, 2.8-3.2 \AA \quad ; \quad 0-H \ldots S \sim 3.3 \AA \quad(167,168)  \tag{167,168}\\
& N-H \ldots S \sim 3.6 \AA \quad(169) \tag{169}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) the angle $A-\hat{H}-\ldots . B$, where $A H$ is the proton donor, and $B$ the proton acceptor is between 140 and $180^{\circ}$. Ideally, bonding is most favoured when the angle is near $180^{\circ}$, although in proteins $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} . \ldots . \mathrm{O}$ angles are commonly about $160^{\circ}$. When the angle becomes less than $140^{\circ}$ effective hydrogen bonding will be much reduced (167).

Although the hydrogen bonding pattern is similar in both molecules, it must still be regarded as tentative at this stage since the structure is unrefined, and refinement may alter the positions and orientations of groups quite significantly. Mainchain to


Figure 4-2. A schematic represention of the mainchain hydrogen bonding in azurin.
mainchain hydrogen bonds are shown schematically in Figure 4.2. Table 4.1 lists mainchain to sidechain hydrogen bonds, while Table 4.2 lists sidechain to sidechain interactions.Apparent variations in sidechain interactions between the two molecules at this stage of the structure determination reflect either differences in interpretation (for instance between residues 68 and 72 , as mentioned in section 3.9 ) or local differences in the orientations of sidechains. These should be clarified on refinement.

### 4.1.1 B-Structure

A classical $\beta$ pleated sheet is made up of extended chains which can either run parallel or antiparallel, and which are laced together with hydrogen bonds between the carbonyl and amide groups. The need to make "good" hydrogen bonds keeps the chain from being fully extended, giving it a "pleated" look, the separation between the chains being typically 4.1-4.78 (170). Figure 4.3 is a schematic diagram of a portion of parallel and antiparallel pleated sheet.

antiparallel

parallel

Figure 4.3 A Schematic Representation of Antiparallel Chain, and
Parallel Chain Pleated Sheet Structure.

Table 4.1 Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonds; Mainchain-Sidechain

| MOLECULE I |  |  |  |  |  |  | MOLECULE II |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainchain |  | Sidechain |  |  | Mainchain |  |  | Sidechain |  |  |
| Glu | 8 | NH | Asp | 16 | OD2 | G1u | 8 | NH | Asp | 16 | OD2 |
| Asn | 10 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | His | 46 | NE2 | Asn | 10 | $C=0$ | His | 46 | NE2 |
| G1n | 14 | $C=0$ | Asn | 10 | ND2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Tyr | 15 | $C=0$ | Gln | 1.4 | NE2 |
| Lys | 18 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Tyr | 110 | OH | Lys | 18 | $C=0$ | Tyr | 110 | OH |
| Lys | 24 | NH | Asn | 129 | OD1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gly | 37 | WH | His | 35 | ND1 | G1y | 37 | NH | His | 35 | ND1 |
| Lys | 38 | NH | Asp | 11 | ODI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Met | 39 | NH | Asp | 11 | OD1 |
| Met | 44 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | His | 35 | NE2 | Met | 44 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | His | 35 | NE2 |
| Asn | 47 | $C=0$ | Tyr | 15 | OH | Asn | 47 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Tyr | 15 | OH |
| Asn | 47 | NH | Cys | 112 | SG | Asn | 47 | NH | Cys | 112 | SG |
| Trp | 48 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Thr | 84 | OG1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ala | 54 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Lys | 56 | NZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asp | 55 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Thr | 51 | OG1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Glu | 57 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Thr | 61 | OG1 | Glu | 57 | $C=0$ | Thr | 61 | OG1 |
| Ala | 66 | $C=0$ | Gln | 70 | NE2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arg | 79 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Thr | 78 | OG1 | Arg | 79 | $C=0$ | Thr | 51 | OG1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Arg | 79 | NH | Asp | 77 | OD1 |
| Thr | 84 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Asn | 47 | ND2 | Thr | 84 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Asn | 47 | ND2 |
| Lys | 85 | NH | Asp | 93 | OD2 | Lys | 85 | NH | Asp | 93 | OD2 |
| G1y | 88 | NH | Glu | 91 | OE1 | Gly | 88 | NH | Glu | 91 | OE1 |
| Val | 99 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Ser | 100 | OG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | 113 | NH | Asn | 47 | OD1 |
| Asn | 129 | $\mathrm{C}=0$ | Asp | 23 | OD1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2 Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonds; Sidechain-Sidechain

|  | MOLECULE I |  |  |  |  | MOLECULE II |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sidechain |  | Sidechain |  |  | Sidechain |  |  | Sidechain |  |  |
| G14 | 4 | OE2 | His | 32 | NE 2 | Glu | 4 | OE2 | Bis | 32 | NE2 |
| G1u | 19 | OE2 | Lys | 18 | NZ | G1u | 19 | OE2 | Lys | 18 | NZ |
| Asp | 23 | OD2 | Ser | 25 | OG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G1n | 28 | NE2 | Asp | 98 | OD2 | G1n | 28 | NE2 | Asp | 98 | OD2 |
| Thr | 30 | OG1 | Thr | 96 | OG1 | Thr | 30 | OG1 | Thr | 96 | OG1 |
| His | 32 | ND1 | Ser | 94 | OG | His | 32 | ND 1 | Ser | 94 | OG |
| Asn | 47 | OD1 | Ser | 113 | OG | Asn | 47 | OD1 | Ser | 113 | OG |
| Asp | 62 | OD1 | Lys | 74 | NZ | Asp | 62 | OD1 | Arg | 79 | NH1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Thr | 84 | -G1 | Asp | 93 | OD1 |
| Lys | 85 | NZ | Asp | 93 | OD2 | Lys | 85 | NZ | Asp | 93 | OD2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | G1u | 106 | OE1 | Tyr | 108 | OH |

In practice, $\beta$-sheets in proteins are racely found to be so regular. The hydrogen bonding pattern is often interrupted, for example, by so called B-bulges or kinks in the chain (171,172). The strands are almost always twisted, and Chothía (173) has shown that sheets made up from extended polypeptide chains, with a right handed twist about the axis running in the direction of the chain, have a lower free energy than sheets that are straight or have a left handed twist. The $\beta$ strands occurring in the known protein structures all have right-handed twists of between $5^{\circ}$ and $30^{\circ}$ per residue, i.e. they would make one full $360^{\circ}$ twist in from 12 to 72 residues. Refined protein structures have shown that the hydrogen bonds are seldom linear, the average $\mathbb{N}-\hat{H} . \ldots .0$ angle being about $163^{\circ}$ for antiparallel chains (174). Parallel sheets have been found in general to be less common than antiparallel or mixed sheets (165). No parallel B-structure occurs in sheets of less than five total strands, suggesting that considerable co-operativity is necessary to stabilise parallel B-structure. Examples of such structures are lactate dehydrogenase (175) and adenylate kinase (176). Antiparallel B-structure on the other hand, often occurs as a twisted ribbon of just two strands, for example in ferredoxin (177). Mixed sheets are often found, for example in carboxypeptidase (178) and thioredoxin (179). In such examples, the parallel portions of mixed sheets are usually found buried in the interior of the protein. This probably reflects a reduced stability with respect to the antiparallel sheets, which typically have one side exposed to the solvent (165).

In azurin, parts of the backbone have pronounced $\beta$ character with the three strands I, III, and VI of Figure $1.4 b$ forming $a$ classical $B$-sheet, with a right handed twist. Figure 4.4 is a stereo-plot of strands I, III and VI, while Figure 4.5 is a photograph of the Labquip model viewing this region.


## Figure 4.4 A Stereo Diagram of the $\beta$-Structure of Strands $I$, III and VI.

Strands I and III run parallel to each other, but rather than being found in the interior of the molecule, they are part of the surface. Figure 4.4 shows, however, that the hydrogen bonding between them is protected from the solvent by the sidechains of the residues which make up these strands, most of which are polar and protrudefrom the surface of the molecule. The remainder of the barrel is more distorted, with only short regions in each strand where the classical bonding of a $\beta$-sheet is seen. This is particularly obvious in strand II, which has a bulge between residues 16 and 19, and only forms hydrogen bonds at its beginning (residues $13-16$ hydrogen bonding to the anti-parallel strand I) and at its end (where residues 20-23


Figure 4.5 A view of the Labquip model showing the $\beta$-sheet structure of strands I, III and $V$.
The barrel shape of the molecule is clearly evident.
hydrogen bond to the parallel strand VIII). There is no hydrogen bonding at all between strands $V$ and VI, which run anti-parallel, but where local variation in sidechain conformation has resulted in the mainly hydrophobic sidechains pointing towards each other. The separation between the mainchain atoms of these strands is approximately $7 \AA$, compared to the more usual separation of $4.5 \%$ typical of $\beta$-sheet structure (170) and found for example between strands III and IV. Thus there appears at this stage to be a reasonable gap between the strands giving access to the hydrophobic interior of the molecule and ultimately to the copper site. It is interesting to note that the sidechains lining this "gap" are highly conserved in all azurins. Out of the 26 residues associated with this opening, 15 are invariant, and 7 are conservatively substituted, (i.e. retain their hydrophobic character). Of the remaining 4 residues, 100 is Ser in all but one species in which it is replaced by Ala, 85 is always Lys, except in one species where it is replaced by Ser, and 78 is always Ser or Thr except in two species where it is replaced by Ala or Glu. Bewause this "gap" between strands $V$ and VI is only partially blocked by sidechains near the top of the molecule (see Figure 4.6), there are some spaces, particularly around His 83, which may allow access to the hydrophobic core. This factor, combined with the highly conserved nature of the area, may point to some functional significance for this region of the protein. A stereo diagram is shown in Figure 4.6.

Connections between B strands may be either "hairpin" connections, in which the backbone chain re-enters the same end of the $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sheet it left, i.e.



Figure 4.6 A Stereo Diagram of the "Gap" Between Strands V and VI.
or cross-over connections, in which the chain loops around to reenter the sheet at the opposite end (165) i.e.


In azurin, there are no cross~over connections, but there are three hairpin bends between nearest neighbours, (i.e. between strands I
and II, V and VI, and VII and VIII), one with one intervening strand (i.e. between strand II and III), and two with 2 intervening strands (i.e. between strands III and IV, and VI and VII) (Figure 1.4b).

### 4.1.2 The $\alpha$-Helix.

The $\alpha$-helix involves residues 55-67, and is found in the flap region of the molecule. It is shown as a stereo diagram in Figure 4.7. It was a prominent feature of the low resolution map, and was


Figure 4.7 A Stereo Diagram of $\alpha$-Helix
clearly resolved in the high resolution map for both molecules. Although some of the hydrogen bonds appear a little long, the helix is regular within the present accuracy of the analysis. Slight distortions arise from the tilting outwards of the carbonyl oxygen of Glu 57 to form a bifurcated hydrogen bond with both the mainchain NH and sidechain OH atoms of Thr 61, of the carbony1 oxygen of Asn 65
to form an intermolecular hydrogen bond (see section 4.3), and of the carbonyl oxygen of Ala 66 to form a possible hydrogen bond with sidechain amide group of Gln 70. It is also possible that the carbonyl oxygen of Thr 61 may form a bifurcated hydrogen bond with both the mainchain and sidechain amide groups of Asn 65. Such distortions are not uncommon (171), especially near the begimnings and ends of helices as in this case. Residues whose sidechains are exposed to the solvent include Asp 55, Lys 56, G1u 57, Thr 61, Asp 62, Met 64, Asn 65, and Gly 67. All are polar, except for Met 64, which is an extension of the hydrophobic patch on the surface of the molecule (see section 4.2.3) , and Gly 67. Sidechains of the remaining residues making up the helix point inwards, linking the flap to the main $\beta$-barrel of the protein, and are, as expected, nonpolar, i.e. Val 59, Ala 60, and Gly 63.
4.1.3 Turns.

Hairpin bends, which involve chain reversals of approximately $180^{\circ}$ in the polypeptide chain direction, frequently fall into a small number of conformations. They often involve 4 residues with a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen of residue (i) and the amide nitrogen of residue $(i+3)$, and are classified as turns. Such turns are found not only between strands of $\beta$-structure, but also in less regular elements of polypeptide chain structure where tight loops are formed.

Venkatachalam has categorized them into three types, distinguished, in their general conformations, by the dihedral angles of the second and third residues (180). One of these is a helical type of turn, actually part of a $3_{10}$ helix, and is designated type III, while the non-helical types, designated types I and II, involve almost complete reversal in the direction of the polypeptide chain and are related by a $180^{\circ}$ twist in the second peptide unit. Venkatachalam also
found that any 4 residues which formed a type II turn necessarily had a glycine residue in the third position. The allowed angles are as follows, in the order $\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2} ; \phi_{3}, \psi_{3}\right)$.

Type I $\left(-60^{\circ},-30^{\circ}:-90^{\circ}, \quad 0^{\circ}\right) \quad I^{\prime}\left(60^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}: 90^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right)$ Type II $\left(-60^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}: 80^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right)$ II' $\left(60^{\circ},-120^{\circ}:-80^{\circ}, 0^{\circ}\right)$ Type III $\left(-60^{\circ},-30^{\circ}:-60^{\circ},-30^{\circ}\right)$ III' $\left(60^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}: 60^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}\right)$ Crawford et al. (181) have expanded these criteria to include other, less regular, chain reversals in the definition of a reverse turn. Following their approach, chain reversals in azurins have been identified where the following conditions apply:
(1) $\mathrm{CA}_{(i)} \ldots . . . \mathrm{CA}_{(i+3)}<6 \AA$
(2) $\phi_{2}, \psi_{2} ; \phi_{3}, \psi_{3}$ are within $20^{\circ}$ of the values above, except that one may be $30^{\circ}$ different.
(3) There is a possibility of a $4 \rightarrow 1$ hydrogen bond (i.e.
$O_{(i)}{ }^{-N}(i+3)<3.2 A$, and the geometry of the bond appears to be reasonable).

Turns are then designated as follows:

RT $=$ reverse turn; all three criteria hold.
$N R=$ near reverse turn; two of the three criteria hold.
$0=$ open turn; one of the three criteria h $\ell d$.

Chain reversals which appear to fall into these categories in the structure are shown in Table 4.3 for molecule $I$, with the values for molecule II in parentheses.

Three of the turns listed have similar dihedral angles in both molecules. These are between strands V and VI (88-91) and in the loops around the copper site, (114-117) and (117-120). The others, however, are all in regions of the molecule for which the electron density was poorly defined, and/or there are differences in

Table 4.3 Turns Found in the Structure of Azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

| Segment | Residues | d (A) | $\phi_{2}$ | $\psi$ |  | $\phi_{3}$ | $\psi_{3}$ | $H$ bond (A) | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52-55 | Lys-Glu-Ala-Asp | 5.0 (5.3) | -49(-46) | -24 | (129) | - 93(83) | 15(-8) | 2.9 (2.7) | RT I |
| 88-91 | Gly-Gly-Gly-Glu | 5.5 (5.9) | -28(-58) | 121 | (124) | 92 (116) | 19(-2) | 3.0 (3.1) | RT II |
| 100-103 | Ser-Lys-Leu-Thr | 4.8 (7.2) | -80 (-114) |  | (10) | 167(-108) | $39(70)$ | 3.5 (5.3) | 0 |
| Loops Around the Copper Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114-117 | Phe-Pro-Gly-His | 5.9 (5.4) | -50(-22) |  | (104) | 76(95) | 18(-22) | 3.1 (2.8) | RT II |
| 117-120 | His-Trp-Ala-Met | 5.5 (5.9) | -51(-56) | 8 |  | $-101(-104)$ | $-30(-24)$ | 2.7 (3.0) | NR I |
| Loops in the Flap Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71-74 | Asp-Tyr-Val-Lys | 5.7(7.4) | $-150(-118)$ | 79 | (51) | 138(-123) | -45 (-65) | 5.6 (5.3) | 0 |
| 68-71 | Leu-Ala-Gln-Asp | 5.4 (4.9) | $77(44)$ |  | (81) | - 45 (110) | -22(48) | 4.3 (4.6) | 0 |

interpretation between the two molecules, - for example the loops comprising residues $71-74$ and 68-71. It is not surprising, therefore, that the dihedral angles vary between the two molecules, and not too much should be read into these values. The remaining hairpin bend in the structure, that between strands $I$ and II, does not fit into any of the above categories.

### 4.2 The Tertiary Structure of Azurin.

Figure 4.8 is a stereo plot of the $\alpha$-carbon positions, showing the folding of the polypeptide chain. The molecule is shaped like a slightly flattened pear, with dimensions of approximately $45 \times 34 \times 37 \AA$. The B-barrel structure can be clearly seen, with the strands running approximately vertically, while the so called "flap" region sits away from the main body of the protein. The single disulphide bridge can be seen near the bottom of the molecule.


Figure 4.8 A Stereo Diagram of the $\alpha$-Carbon Positions. The Copper

### 4.2.1 The Copper Site.

The copper atoms in both molecules of the asymmetric unit are situated in the narrow end of the $\beta$-barrel, as seen in Figure 4.8 , their location being completely unambiguous since the peaks representing them were the highest in the electron density maps. The copper atom lies approximately $6 \AA$ below the surface of the molecular envelope, although at one point the imidazole group providing one of the ligands to the copper, His 117 , is all that separates it from the solvent (one edge of the ring being exposed). At 3 resolution, the electron density of the copper atom fuses with that from several other pieces of structure, as shown in Figure 4.9. After model building, these were identified as the sidechains of Cys 112, His 117, Met 121 and His 46. All these residues are invariant in all azurins so far sequenced. Thus three of the four probable ligands belong to the sequence - Cys $-x-x-x-H i s-x-x-x-x-M e t-$ which forms a tight loop between strands VII and VIII, incorporating two well-defined turns (see table 4.3). In the electron density map for molecule $I$, another piece of electron density fused with that of the copper atom, and was shown, after model building to belong to the mainchain between residues 45 and 46 . The only convincing fit to this density had the carbonyl oxygen of $G 1 y 45$ pointing towards the copper atom at a distance of 2.78. Although the density was not as strong in molecule II, it was interpreted in the same way, the distance between the oxygen and the copper atom being increased, but the same configuration being maintained. In view of the difficulty in interpreting peptide orientations with certainty at $3 \AA$ resolution, the question of whether this peptide provides a ligand for the copper atom or not cannot be answered unequivocally at present. It is interesting to note however, that Gly 45 is also invariant in all azurins.

## Figure 4.9 Some Sections of the Electron Density Map

 Showing the Copper Site.```
The density of His 46, Cys 112, Met 121 (at
the back) and His 117 (at the back) merge
with the Cu density. The density of the
peptide unit between residues 45 and 46 (at
the top) also merges with that of the Cu.
The planes of the imidazole rings of His 46
and His 35 are clearly defined (top left).
Adjacent to the Cu site, the sidechains of
Tyr 15 and Phe 114 can be seen end on.
```



Although atomic co-ordinates are unrefined, and therefore subject to some uncertainty, the geometry of the copper site seems to be very distorted in both molecules. Approximate bond lengths and angles are shown in Table 4.4 , while the geometry of the site is shown in stereo in Figure 4.10. Figure 4.11 is a stereo plot of the copper site for both molecules, with molecule II (green) superimposed on molecule I.



Figure 4.10 A Stereo Drawing of the Copper Binding Site in Azurin Showing the Five Possible Ligands.

As can be seen from the diagrams, the co-ordination sphere consists of three almost co-planar ligands, viz the ND1 atoms of His 46 and His 117, and the SG atom of Cys 112 , all of which have fairly normal bond lengths within the accuracy of the structure determination (2.0-2.4 $)$. The copper atom is displaced only $0.1 \AA$ out of the plane formed by these ligands, and the bond angles between them range between $109^{\circ}$ and $128^{\circ}$ for both molecules, the mean value being $120^{\circ} \pm 7^{\circ}$. A fourth ligand, Met 121 , is found considerably further


Figure 4.11 A Stereo Drawing of the Copper Binding Site of
Both Molecules in the Asymmetric Unit (Molecule Iblack, Molecule II-green).
from the copper. If these 4 ligands are taken to form the co-ordination sphere, the co-ordination geometry resembles a very distorted tetrahedron as found in plastocyanin (56). If the carbonyl oxygen of Gly 45 is regarded as a fifth ligand, however, the geometry is best described as trigonal bipyramidal, very similar to that proposed by Miskowski et al. from spectroscopic results (35). It must be stressed again, that at the present resolution it is impossible to say exactly how this peptide is oriented, or to give a precise $\mathrm{Cu}-0$ distance. All that can be said is that the best interpretation of the electron density has the peptide oriented so that the carbonyl oxygen points towards the copper, and is at a distance from the copper atom smaller than would be expected if no interaction (albeit weak) were occurring. Furthermore, when the

Table 4.4 The Bond Lengths and Angles of the Copper Co-ordination Sphere in Azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

| Ligand | Molecule I | Molecule II | Bond Angle | Molecule I | Molecule II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| His 117 (ND1) | $2.4 \AA$ | $2.3 \AA$ | $\mathrm{N}_{117} \hat{-N u}^{\text {Cu }} \mathrm{S}_{112}$ | $125^{\circ}$ | $128{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{117}{ }^{-\hat{\mathrm{Cu}}-\mathrm{N}_{46}}$ | $126^{\circ}$ | $118^{\circ}$ |
| 46 (ND1) | $2.4 \AA$ | 2.0 ¢ | $\mathrm{N}_{46}-{ }^{-\mathrm{Cu}}-\mathrm{S}_{112}$ | $109{ }^{\circ}$ | $114^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{N}_{46}{ }^{-\mathrm{Cu}} \mathrm{O}_{45}$ | $66^{\circ}$ | $77^{\circ}$ |
| Cys 112 (SG) | $2.3 \AA$ | 2.18 | $\mathrm{S}_{112}{ }^{-\hat{\mathrm{Cu}}} \mathrm{S}_{121}$ | $98^{\circ}$ | $99^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{112}{ }^{-\mathrm{Cu}-0} 45$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $110^{\circ}$ |
| Met 121 (SD) | 3.18 | $3.5 \AA$ | $\mathrm{S}_{121}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{46}$ | $63^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{121}{ }^{-\mathrm{Cu}} \mathrm{N}_{117}$ | $107^{\circ}$ | $98^{\circ}$ |
| 45 (0) | $2.7 \AA$ | $3.2 \AA$ | $0_{45}-\hat{\mathrm{Cu}}-\mathrm{S}_{121}$ | $125^{\circ}$ | $149^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $0_{45}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{117}$ | $86^{\circ}$ | $75^{\circ}$ |

carbonyl oxygen is oriented this way, the amide nitrogen is in a position to form a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen of residue 87.

The $\mathrm{Cu}^{-\mathrm{SD}_{121}}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{-\mathrm{O}_{45}}$ (if it exists) bonds are both exceptionally long, and can only be regarded as very weak. As tetrahedral complexes of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ are rarely found, predictions of "normal" bond lengths have been obtained from small molecule complexes with distorted square planar and tetragonal stereochemistries. $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)-S$ distances of between 2.28 A and $2.32 \AA$ are known for such complexes $(182,183)$. Recently, the structure of a tetrahedral $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex has been reported with $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)-\mathrm{S}$ bond lengths of 2.31 and $2.32 \AA$ (157). Thus a length of $\sim 2.3 \AA$ seems "normal" for $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are normally 1.9-2.18 in length (consistent with covalent radii of 1.358 for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}), 1.30 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, $0.66 \AA$ for 0 and $0.70 \AA$ for $N(185,186)$.

Compounds with a geometry similar to that seen in azurin are rare. One which is somewhat similar is an organic $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ chromophore (Cu(pma) $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$, where pma $=2$-pyridylmethylbis-(2-ethylthioethyl)amine (184)). This complex was found to have a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry, the three equatorial ligands, 2 sulphurs and 1 nitrogen having bond distances of $2.39,2.46$ and $2.02 \AA$ respectively, while the 2 axial ligands, nitrogen and oxygen had bond distances of 2.03 and 1.918 respectively. In addition, $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds as long as 2.85 A have been reported for complexes with different stereochemistries (187,188), as has at least one very long $C u(I)-N$ bond of $2.92 \AA$ (26).

Such long range bonds may be regarded as ion dipole bonds axising from the attraction of the $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)$ ion, which must have a residual positive charge, and the electron density of the lone pair of electrons on the oxygen or the sulphur. In this type of bond, appreciable mixing of the lone pair orbitals with those on the copper is not required, the overlap being small. The attraction arises mainly from an asymmetrical charge distribution within the separate
systems (189). The long bonds, if they are real, presumably arise because of the constraints of the protein structure, which do not allow the ligands to approach more closely. Since no small molecule complexes with a co-ordination number of three have yet been observed for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$, these weak interactions may act to stabilize the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ state of the protein with respect to the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ state. Such findings only serve to highlight the difficulties in comparing the stereochemistry of the "blue" copper sites with that of well characterized low molecular weight complexes. They also point out the problems in making low molecular weight complexes which mimic the inorganic properties of the blue copper sites.

### 4.2.2 The Environment of the Copper Site.

The conformation of the protein around the copper binding site is severely restrained by the formation of a large number of hydrogen bonds. These are sumarised in Figure 4.12 and are as follows.
(1) The NE2 atom of His 46 appears to form a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen of Asn 10. The amide nitrogen and carbonyl oxygen of His 46 form hydrogen bondswith the mainchain atoms of Ile 87.
(2) The thiolate sulphur of Cys 112 is in a position to form a hydrogen bond with the mainchain amide nitrogen of Asn 47. Its amide nitrogen is hydrogen bonded to the carbonyl oxygen of Met 121, and its carbonyl oxygen to the NH of $\operatorname{Trp} 118$, thus stabilizing the Cu-binding loop.
(3) The mainchain amide of His 117 hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl oxygen of Phe 114, while its carbonyl oxygen forms a bifurcated hydrogen bond with the amide nitrogens of both Met 120 and Met 121.


## Figure 4.12 A Schematic Representation of the Hydrogen Bonding Around the Copper Site.

The interconnecting system of hydrogen bonds between residues providing ligands for the metal ion is extended by the hydrogen bonding between the strands of the $\beta$-barrel, since His 46 lies at one end of strand IV, Cys 112 at the end of strand VII and Met 121 at the beginning of strand VIII.

The nature of the copper site is quite hydrophobic and largely conserved in all azurins that have been so far sequenced. Of the nearest neighbours to the copper atom, 9 residues including the 5 possible ligands are invariant. These plus the next nearest neighbours are listed in Table 4.5, and designated as invariant,
semi-conserved (i.e. replaced but retaining hydrophobic, armatic or polar character), or different. The list includes the copper ligands, a group of non polar residues which form a hydrophobic patch on the protein surface near the sidechain of His 117 , and a number of residues which contribute important hydrogen bonds to the structure. Together with other residues found in the structure which may or may not be functionally significant these are discussed more fully below.

Table 4.5 The Environment of the Copper Atom in Azurin from Alc. denitrificans.

|  | Nearest Neighbours (a) | Next Nearest Neighbours (b) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Invariant | Semi-Conserved | Variable | Invariant | Semi-Conserved | Variable |

The table shows that, of the 25 (26) residues with the centroids of their sidechains within approximately 10 A of the copper site, 14 (15) are invariant, while 9 are semi-conserved.
4.2.3 The Hydrophobic Patch around His 117.

One of the most notable features of the azurin molecule is the hydrophobic patch on the "northern" surface of the molecular envelope around the copper site and His 117 (Figure 4.13).



Figure 4.13 A Stereo Diagram of the Sidechains Making up the Hydrophobic Patch Around the Sidechain of His 117.

Of the 11 sidechains making up this surface, 5 are invariant (Met 13, Met 44, Phe 114, Pro 115 and Gly 116), and 5 are conservatively substituted (Met 39, Ala 42, Val 43, Met 64 and Met 120). The area is roughly circular with a radius in the vicinity of 7-88. The tendency of large hydrophobic sidechains such as Val, Leu and Phe to be buried rather than to be found on the surface of a protein molecule is well established $(105,171)$. The grouping together of such a large number of these residues on the surface of the azurin molecule may therefore have a functional significance,
as it might normally be expected to contribute to instability in the molecule as a whole (170). Such a view is encouraged by the fact that all that separates the copper from the solvent in this region is the sidechain of His 117 , the edge of the imidazole ring being situated at the base of a hollow formed by the sidechains of Met 13 , Met 44, Val 43, and Pro 115. Such a situation is reminiscent of the porphyrin ligand of cytochrome $c$ which is wrapped in a hydrophobic pocket with one edge of the heme group exposed.

Unusual features of the patch are the large number of methionine residues (5) in such a small area of structure, and the orientation of the carbonyl oxygens of residues $42,43,115$ and 116 , all of which point towards the centre of the patch and His 117 (Figure 4.1). Pro 115 and Gly 116 are part of a short segment of mainchain involved in a reverse turn, and thus subject to conformational restraints. This means the carbonyl oxygens of these residues are not likely to change their configurations. Residues 42 and 43 on the other hand are part of the loop between strands III and IV, which was difficult to fit to the density. Although there is a possibility of a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen of Ala 40 and the amide nitrogen of Ala 42, (a hairpin bend of only $3 \alpha$-carbon atoms (190)), the loop is not otherwise constrained. This loop could be rather flexible, and the conformation of the carbonyl oxygens of Ala 42 and Val 43 may change on refinement. As charge interactions have previously been implicated in the binding of small molecule redox couples to azurin (191,192), and are thought to play a part in the reaction with its physiological partner, cytochrome c-551 (55,58), the hydrophobic patch could form a binding site, with recognition perhaps aided by a concentration of electronegative carbonyl oxygens.

An interaction of this sort is in fact seen in the packing of the two azurin molecules in the crystallographic asymmetric unit, which are arranged so that these "patches" on each molecule match,


Figure 4.14 A Schematic Diagram of the Hydrophobic Patches on the Two Azurin Molecules in the Crystallographic

Asymmetric Unit as they Pack in the Unit Cell.

Such packing presumably lowers the energy of the system by removing this hydrophobic patch on the surface of the protein molecules from contact with the solvent. Kauzmann (193) has estimated that for every non-polar hydrophobic sidechain of a protein that is removed from an aqueous to a non-polar environment, 4 kcal of free energy stabilization is gained by the protein. It also suggests that azurin might dimerise in solution, although there have been no reports of this.
4.2.4 Individual Residues of Particular Interest.
(i) Histidine 35

His 35 is invariant in all azurins so far sequenced (32), and is of particular interest because it is oriented so that its
imidazole ring is almost parallel to the imidazole ring of His 46 (which provides a ligand for the Cu), although they do not quite overlap. The sidechains of both these His residues are constrained by hydrogen bonds, and, in the case of His 46 , the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{ND} 1$ bond. Thus His 35 is hydrogen bonded through NDI to the amide nitrogen of G1y 37 , and through NE2 to the carbonyl oxygen of Met 44 . His 46 is bonded to Cu through NDI, and hydrogen bonded to the carbonyl oxygen of Asn 10 through NE2. Figure 4.15 is a stereodiagram showing the orientation of these two imidazole rings with respect to each other.



Figure 4.15 A Stereo Diagram Showing the Relative Orientations of His 35 and His 46.

There have been suggestions that because of their relative orientations, passage of an electron would be possible through a conjugated system between the two His sidechains, and that His 35


Figure 4.16 A Stereo Diagram of the Environment of His 35. may be therefore involved in the mechanism of electron transfer for azurin (194). Such a hypothesis has received some supporting evidence from both kinetic and affinity labelling experiments carried out on Ps. aeruginosa azurin (192,194). In the present structure it appears that any interaction between these histidine sidechains through overlap of the $\pi$ orbitals of the two heterocyclic rings would be inefficient, as not only is the distance between the centroids of the rings approximately $4.2 \AA$ (the $\pi$ electron density is expected to extend 1.7-1.85 $\AA$ above the ring $(195,196))$, but the angle between the planes containing them is approximately $26^{\circ}$, so that overlap is restricted to one edge. Both sidechains are very well resolved in the electron density map, making it unlikely that their respective orientations will change very much on refinement. Also, His 35 is not exposed to the solvent, being covered by the mainchain atoms of
residues $36-39$ and $87-91$. It is possible, however, that the binding of an electron transfer partner to azurin could induce small structural changes that would allow the delocalization of an electron on to the imidazole ring of His 35 and effective transfer of the electron through the proposed $\pi$ relay system to the copper.

A further feature of the envirenment of His 35 is its highly conserved nature. Of its 12 nearest neighbours (whose sidechains are within approximately 78 ) 7 are invariant (Asp 11, Gly 37, Met 44, Gly 45 , His 46 , Gly 88, and Gly 90), 2 are conservatively substituted (Asn 10 and Met 39), and 3 vary (Ser 9, Val 36 and Gly 89). Of these three, Ser 9 and Gly 89, although not strictly conservatively substituted, are both always Gly, Ala or Ser. It is interesting to note that the only charged residue of this group, Asp 11, is one of two negatively charged residues on the surface of the molecular envelope which do not have a compensating positively charged sidechain nearby, in contrast to most of the other charged groups in the protein. Figure 4.16 is a stereo plot showing the relationship of His 35 to some of these residues around it. (ii) Histidine 83

Another residue which has been implicated in the electron transfer mechanisms of Ps. aeruginosa azurin is His 83 (192). It also is in a fairly conserved environment,for it is one of the residues comprising strand $V$, one side of the "gap" in the $\beta$-structure already discussed in Section 4.1.1. Of its immediate neighbours, two out of three, Val 73 and Val 80 , are only replaced by other nonpolar residues. The third is either Tyr 72 (in the Molecule I interpretation) or, more probably, Asp 71 (the Molecule II interpretation) Tyr 72 is invariant, but Asp 71 can be replaced by Asn, Glu or Gln. Of the next nearest neighbours (whose sidechains are within a radius of approximately $7-8 \AA$ ), Asn 47 , Val 49 , Ala 82 and Thr 84 are invariant, while the sidechain of $\operatorname{Trp} 48$ is semi-conserved, in that it is only replaced by hydrophobic sidechains, and Lys 85 is variable,
being replaced by Ser in only one of the nine azurins so far sequenced (32). Figure 4.17 is a stereo diagram of His 83 and its immediate environment.


Figure 4.17 A Stereo Diagram of Hís 83 and its Immediate Environment.

The orientation of the imidazole sidechain of His 83 is such that the ND1 and NE2 atoms are not in a position to form hydrogen bonds with any neighbouring groups. Thus it should be free to rotate about its CB-CG bond. Although it will be largely uncharged at physiological pH it has been shown that protonation of the His 83 sidechain has a dramatic effect on the rate of reaction of the oxidant $\left[\operatorname{Co}(\right.$ phen $) 3^{3+}$ with the reduced protein (192). Whether this effect is observed because the binding site is near to the sidechain, or because there is a conformational change associated with its protonation that is essential to the electron transfer mechanism, remains to be seen.

## (iii) Asparagine 47

Asn 47 is invariant in all azurins and plastocyanins so far sequenced (32), and because Asn sidechains are rarely found buried in protein structures (171), it may have some functional significance. It is in a unique position in the molecule, as it links the immediate environment of the copper atom with the opposite side of the molecule (the face containing His 83 and the "gap" between the $\beta$ strands V and VI) through a series of hydrogen bonds, including one to the thiolate sulphur of Cys 112. As well as this, hydrogen bonds between its sidechain atoms and the mainchain atoms of strands V and VII link these strands cogether, thus helping to maintain the tertiary structure of the molecule. A schematic representation of the hydrogen bonding of this residue is shown below.


Figure 4.18 A Schematic Diagram of the Hydrogen Bonds Formed by Asn 47.
interaction between the mainchain amide nitrogen of Asn 47 and the thiolate sulphur of Cys 112. This part of the structure was represented by well resolved density in both molecules, so is unlikely to alter to any great extent on refinement. The peptide involved in this proposed hydrogen bond has its configuration further restrained by a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen of His 46 (one of the Cu ligands), and the amide nitrogen of Ile 87. The sidechain of Asn 47 is also fixed in position by hydrogen bonds. The amide oxygen (OD1) is in a position to form a bifurcated hydrogen bond with both the NH and OH groups of Ser 113 , while the sidechain $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is hydrogen bonded to the carbonyl oxygen of Thr 84. Ser 113 is Ser in all azurins so far sequenced except one, in which it is replaced by Thr (32). This means that the hydrogen bond between the OD1 of Asn 47 and the OH group of residue 113 will probably be maintained in all azurins.

Previous spectroscopic evidence has indicated that an amino acid residue interacts with the cysteinyl sulphur (34). If the copper atom is in, or near, the transition state between a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ complex because of the particular co-ordination geometry and 1 igands enforced on it by the protein, the presence of such a hydrogen bond between the thiolate sulphur ( $\mathrm{S}^{-}$) and NH may act to stabilize the $C u(I I)$ state with respect to $C u(I)$. A similar interaction, between a tyrosine hydroxyl and the thioether sulphur of Met 91 , the sixth 1igand to the iron atom of Rhodospirillum rubrum cytochrome $c_{2}$, is thought to stabilize the oxidised state of the pretein with respect to the reduced state (197).

Another rigorously conserved residue in all azurins (and plastocyanins) is Tyr $108 . \quad$ From the diagram of the mainchain folding of azurin (Figure 4.8), it can be seen that Tyr 108 is situated at the "southern" end of the molecule. It is oriented so that its free hydroxyl group is at the molecular surface, and lies between a lysine sidechain (Lys 52) and a glutamic acid sidechain (Clu 106). The free hydroxyl, however, does not appear to form a hydrogen bond to elther of these groups in Molecule I, although in Molecule II it does appear to be hydrogen bonded to the carboxyl group of Glu 106. ©f the two neighbouring sidechains, Lys 52 is invariant in 6 out of 9 sequences published (32), being replaced by Thr in the remaining 3. Similarly, Glu 106 is conservatively substituted (being replaced only by Asp) in 8 of the 9 azurins, but is replaced by Gly in one species. Of the other neighbouring residues, Lys 101 which projects from the surface, and Leu 102 which is directed inwards to the non-polar core, are invariant, while Leu 50 and Ile 81 , which are both internal, are conservatively substituted. Figure 4.19 is a stereo plot of the environment of Tyr 108.

A hydrophobic "channel" exists between this tyrosine and the copper site, formed by the sidechains of residues 7, 15, 31, 33, $48,50,81,95,97,102,110,112,121,125$, which make up the hydrophobic core of the molecule. They are all invariant or conserved in their hydrophobic character in all azurins so far sequenced. The distance between the aromatic ring of Tyr 108 and the copper atom is, however, very long at approximately $20 \AA$ which makes it unlikely as an electron transfer locus. Despite this,it is interesting to note that Glu 106 appears to be one of the binding sites for $\operatorname{Cr}(I I)$ ions, which bind to the protein in the crystal and cause its reduction (see chapter 6).



Figure 4.19 A Stereo Diagram of the Environment of Tyr 108.

### 4.2.5 The Non Polar Core

Sidechains with hydrophobic character, such as those of Ala, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, and Trp, are usually found in the interior of protein structures (170,171). This is because they have little or no attraction for water molecules, and can closely approach each other with the exclusion of water to form a tightly bound structure. The current view is that the water structure around the protein molecule becomes less ordered when it is not disturbed by non-polar groups. Removal of the non-polar groups therefore results in an increase in entropy, owing to the increase in motion and the number of arrangements possible for the water molecules in the immediate vicinity of the protein molecule. Thus the stability of the system is increased, the phenomenon being known as "hydrophobic bonding" (105).

In the structure of azurin, all the residues in the interior of the $\beta$-barrel are invariant or conservatively replaced. A11 are hydrophobic, except for 2 Tyr and 6 neutral polar residues which are discussed in section 4.2 .6 . The residues making up the hydrophobic core are listed in Table 4.6 and are shown in a stereo diagram in Figure 4.20. This shows the arrangement of the sidechains within the hydrophobic core, and shows the hydrophobic channel consisting of the sidechains of residues $7,31,33,48,50,81,95,97,102$, 121 and 125.



Figure 4.20 A Stereo Diagram of the Sidechains Making up the Hydrophobic Core.

### 4.2.6 Internal Polar Sidechains

The tendency of polar residues to be found on the outside of the protein molcule is more pronounced than the tendency of
hydrophobic residues to be found only on the inside, but there are frequent exceptions, especially in the case of serine and threonine, where about one residue in five is found buried (171). Asn and Gin are found less frequently in protein interiors, while buried charged sidechains (Asp, Glu, Lys and Arg) are very rare. Where residues such as Ser, Thr, Asn, and Gln are found in the interior,

Table 4.6 Internal Residues in the $\beta$-barrel of Azurin.

| Invariant |  | Conservatively Substituted |  | Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phe 29 | Thr 84 | Ala 5 | Leu 50 | Ser 9 |
| Leu 33 | Val 99 | Ile 7 | Ile 81 |  |
| His 35 | Leu 102 | Tyr 15 | Ile 87 |  |
| His 46 | Met 121 | Met 20 | Val 95 |  |
| Asn 47 | Leu 127 | Va1 22 | Phe 97 |  |
| Ala 82 |  | Val 31 | Tyr 110 |  |
|  |  | Trp 48 | Ser 113 |  |
|  |  |  | Leu 125 |  |

they always appear to be hydrogen bonded (170) so that, together with Tyr, His, and Trp sidechains, they may augment the internal hydrogen bonding scheme by helping to saturate the hydrogen bonding potential of the mainchain carbonyl oxygen and amide nitrogen atoms. There are no buried charged sidechains in the azurin molecule, but there are 8 uncharged polar sidechains in the internal region.

## (i) Serine 9

The hydroxyl group of this residue does not appear to be in a position to form a hydrogen bond with any mainchain or sidechain atoms, although there are close approaches to both the imidazole ring of His 46 and the terminal carbon atom of the Met 121 sidechain.

This is unusual, but it should be noted that Ser 9 is not invariant, and can be replaced by Gly in other azurins (32).

## (ii) Tyrosine 15

The sidechain of Tyr 15 points towards the centre of the molecule, being hydrogen bonded through its hydroxyl group to the carbonyl oxygen of Asn 47.
(iii) Histidine 35

His 35 is hydrogen bonded to the amide nitrogen of Gly 37 through ND1 and to the carbonyl oxygen of Met 44 through NE2 (see previous discussion in Section 4.2.4).
(iv) Histidine 46

His 46 provides a ligand for the copper atom (through ND1) and is hydrogen bonded through NE2 to the carbony1 oxygen of Asn 10 (see also the previous discussions in sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2).
(v) Asparagine 47

Asn 47 is hydrogen bonded through its OD1 to both the NH and OH groups of Ser 113, and through its ND2 to the carbony1 oxygen of Thr 84 (see previous discussion in section 4.2.4).
(vi) Threonine 84

This residue is invariant in all azurins and is part of the highly conserved "gap" in the $\beta$ pleated sheet. The hydroxyl group appears to be in a position to form a hydrogen bond with the OD1 of Asp 93 which also is invariant in all azurins.

## (vii) Tyrosine 110

The hydroxyl group points towards the outside of the molecule, but is not exposed to the solvent, and is in fact hydrogen bonded to the peptide carbonyl of Lys 18 .
(viii) Serine 113

Ser 113 is invariant in 8 out of 9 azurin sequences, being replaced by Thr in the remaining one (32). The hydroxyl group forms a hydrogen bond with the sidechain amide group of Asn 47. 4.2.7 The Interface Between the B-barrel and the "Flap"

Most of the residues lying between the flap and the $\beta$-barrel are hydrophobic. Furthermore, out of 18 residues, 15 are either invariant or semi-conserved, these being listed in Table 4.7. The dotted lines indicate which residues make contact across the interface. Figure 4.21 is a stereo diagram of this region.

Table 4.7 Conserved Residues Between the B-barrel and the Flap.

| INVARIANT |  | CONSERVATIVELY SUBSTITUTED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From the Barrel | From the Flap | From the Barrel | Erom the Flap |
| Val 49 |  | - | --- Val 59 |
| His 83 |  |  | --- Val 73 |
|  | Asp 55 | -- Thr 51 |  |
|  |  | Val 80 |  |
|  |  | Leu 68 ---- | --- Val 86 |
| Phe 111 |  | --- | -- Ala 60 |
| Phe 114 --- | --- Tyr 72 |  |  |
| Pro 115 |  | ------ | --- Met 64 |
|  |  | Ser 113 |  |

In addition, Asp 71 and Lys 85, both external,face one another across the interface, but both these residues are quite variable in different species.

Because several of the loops in the flap region were difficult to build, being fitted with different conformations in the two molecules (i.e. residues $68-72$ and $77-79$ ), it is difficult to describe with certainty links between the flap and the barrel apart from
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Figure 4.21 A Stereo Diagram of the Residues Making up the Interface Between the $\beta$-Barrel and the Flap.
general hydrophobic interactions. At this stage, all that can be said is that two possible hydrogen bonds can be seen between the carbonyl oxygen of Tyr 72 and the hydroxyl group of Ser 113, and between the hydroxyl group of Thr 51 and the carbonyl oxygen of Arg 79.
4.2.8 The Disulphide Bridge.

There is one disulphide bridge in the structure, formed on the molecular surface between Cys 3 and Cys 26 at the ends of strands I and III of the $\beta$-barrel. These two residues are conserved in all azurins (32), the bridge probably acting to stabilize the rather wide loop between strands I and III (see Figure 4.8). The exact conformation of the disulphide bridge is not clear at this stage, since
the density in this region was somewhat distorted and difficult to fit.

### 4.2.9 Charged Sidechains.

There are a large number of charged sidechains in azurin, 15 acidic and 14 basic, out of a total of only 129 residues. All are found on the surface of the molecule where they can interact with the solvent. Although the exact orientation of many of these sidechains is by no means certain (particularly in the case of some lysine sidechains), a few of them appear to interact with each other to form salt bridges. Such contacts, which stabilize the conformation of the protein, are indicated in Table 4.8 by solid lines linking the residues. Dotted lines between residues indicate that they are too far apart for a hydrogen bond, but are in reasonable proximity (4-68 apart).

Table 4.8 The Surface Charged Residues


Table 4.8 Continued


From the table it can be seen that, apart from two very small patches of charge (those created by Asp 11 and Asp 16, and Lys 122 and Lys 126), there are no large concentrations of positive or negative surface charge as found in some other proteins (e.g. plastocyanin (56) and cytochrome c (197)). With the exception of Asp 11, and perhaps Asp 55 and Asp 93, all the invariant or semi-conserved residues occur in pairs. Of the residues that may form ion pairs with Asp 55 and Asp 93, Lys 52 is replaced by Thr in three other azurins, while Lys 85 is replaced by Ser in only one of the nine azurins sequenced (32). Of the unpaired charged residues, only Asp 11 is rigorously conserved, although Lys 122 is replaced by Thr in only one other azurin (32). Overall there does not seem to be any evidence for binding sites involving charged sidechains; their main function is presumably to enhance the solubility of the protein (counteracting the effect of the large non-polar surface patch around His 117).
4.2.10 The Distribution of Neutral Polar Sidechains on the Surface of the Molecule.

Most of the neutral polar sidechains found on the molecular surface of azurin may be substituted by other types of sidechains (non-polar or charged) in other azurins. Some, however, are invariant
and may be important to the structure of the protein. Table 4.9 lists the uncharged polar sidechains of azurin found on the molecular surface.

Table 4.9 The Uncharged Polar Sidechains on the Molecular Surface
of Azurin.

| Invariant or Conservatively Substituted |  |  | Variable |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ser 25 | Ser 94 | Thr | 6 | Ser | 92 |
| Thr 30 | Thr 96 | Gln | 28 | Ser | 100 |
|  |  | Thr | 61 | Thr | 103 |
| Asn 10 | Gln 14 | Asn | 65 | Thr | 124 |
|  |  | Gln | 70 | Ser |  |
|  | Thr 51 | Thr | 78 |  |  |

Asn 10 and Gin 14 are found to one side of the "hydrophobic patch" (section 4.2 .3 ), in close proximity to each other on opposite sides of the loop between strands I and II. Hydrogen bonding between the sidechain groups of these residues and mainchain atoms of the strands are important in maintaining the conformation of the loop. Hence ND2 of Asn 10 points towards the carbonyl oxygen of Gln 14 , While NE2 of Gln 14 can form a hydrogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen of Tyr 15. This latter hydrogen bond may be important to the maintenance of the kink observed in strand II of the B-structure near Tyr 15.

Thr 30, Ser 94 and Thr 96 are all residues involved in the regular $\beta$-structure between strands III and VI. There is a hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl groups of $\operatorname{Thr} 30$ and $\operatorname{Thr} 96$, and also between the hydroxyl group of Ser 94 and NE2 of the adjacent His 32 (which is always polar in other azurins). Such hydrogen bons may augment or protect the hydrogen bonding of the $\beta$-structure. Ser 25
is adjacent to the disulphide bridge (Cys 3-Cys 26). Its hydroxyl oxygen appears to be hydrogen bonded to the carboxyl group of Asp 23, possibly helping to stabilize the surface conformation. Thr 51 is at the interface between the $\beta$-barrel and the flap and discussed in section 4.2.9.

### 4.3 Intermolecular Contacts.

Once the structure had been completed, and all the residues identified on the electron density map, it was possible to identify the points of close contact between the molecules in the unit cell. This was done from inspection of the "mini-maps", and by calculating distances to other molecules. Figure 4.22 shows molecule $I$ and its eight nearest neighbours. These are identified by the number of their equivalent position in the crystallographic unit cell, i.e.
(1) $x, y, z$
(5) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}+y, z$
(2) $x, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$
(6) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \bar{z}$
(3) $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{1}{2}+z$
(7) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$
(4) $\bar{x}, y, 1 / 2-z$
(8) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$
and the translation of this equivalent position along the cell axes. For example, (4, $-11-1$ ) would indicate a molecule at $\bar{x}, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$, shifted to the next unit cell in the negative $x$ direction, the positive $y$ direction and the negative $z$ direction. All molecules of Type I are cross hatched, while Type II molecules are outlined only. The range of $z$ co-ordinates for each of these positions is as follows: For molecule I:
(1) $-.125 \rightarrow .25 ;(2) .125 \rightarrow-.25 ;(3) .375 \rightarrow .75 ;(4) .625 \rightarrow .25$. For molecule II:
$\left(1^{\prime}\right) .125 \rightarrow .5 ;\left(2^{\prime}\right)-.125 \rightarrow-.5 ;\left(3^{\prime}\right) .625 \rightarrow 1.0 ;\left(4^{\prime}\right) .375 \rightarrow 0$.

The diagram shows that each molecule of one kind is closely surrounded by six molecules of a different kind (non-crystallographically


Figure 422 A schematic diagram of Molecule I (cross-hatched) and its eight rearest neighbours. These are 6 Type II molecules (outlined) and 2 Type I molecules. The numbers refer to the equivalent positions in the unit cell, and are defined as follows:

| $1^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \prime\end{array} 0000\right)$ | $5^{\prime}\left(5^{\prime},-1-10\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\prime}\left(3^{\prime}, 0 \times 1-1\right)$ |  |
| $4^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \prime\end{array} 0000\right)$ | $8^{\prime}\left(8^{\prime}, 0-10\right)$ |
| $6(6,000)$ | $6(6,-1$ 0 0) |

related), and two of the same kind (crystallographically related), so that the crystal is made up of groups of closely packed molecules separated by larger solvent spaces. There are two main areas of contact between the molecules.

The first involves the hydrophobic patch surrounding the edge of the imidazole ring of His 117. Molecule I faces a type II molecule ( $\left.8^{\prime}, 0-10\right)$ across the non-crystallographic 2 -fold axis in such a way that the two hydrophobic patches are in contact. Contacts are both heterologous (i.e. involve residues of differing sequence number such as $: \operatorname{Met} 44$, Met $13 / \operatorname{Met} 120^{\prime} ;$ Val $43 / \operatorname{Trp} 118^{\prime} ;$ Met $120 / \mathrm{Met} 13^{\prime} ; \operatorname{Trp} 118 / \mathrm{Val} 43^{\prime}$ ) and homologous (i.e. involve residues of the same sequence number such as : Met $64 /$ Met 64 ; Pro $115 /$ Pro $115^{\prime}$ ). These contacts are all between 3.0 and $3.5 \AA$. This packing of the two molecules leads to a distance of $\sim 4.7 \AA$ between the two His 117 ring edges.

The second involves the flap region of the molecule. This is the region which was involved in the overlapping density between two non-crystallographically related molecules at low resolution, and where a cut had to be made to separate the two. Residues 65-70 of Molecule I lie adjacent to the loops between strands II' and III', and VI' and VII' (involving residues $23^{\prime}-25^{\prime}$ and 99́103') of a type II molecule ( $1^{\prime}, 000$ ), as well as Asp 23, Ser 25 and Asn 129 of another type I molecule (6, 00 0). Similarly, residues 23-25 and 99-103 of Molecule I are adjacent to residues $65^{\prime}-70^{\prime}$ of the flap of a type II molecule ( $3^{\prime}, 01-1$ ) while residues 23,25 and 129 are next to residues 65-68 of another type I molecule ( $6,-100$ ).

Other regions of contact between the molecules involve fairly small local areas with few contacts of less than 3.5R. Thus the sidechains of residues $34,91,92$ and 94 of the $\beta$-strands III and VI of Molecule I, which are found at the "northern"end of the structure (Figures 4.4 and 4.8) are adjacent to residues $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 27^{\prime}$ and $32^{\prime}$ of strands I' and III' near the "southern"end of a non-crystallographic-
ally related molecule ( $4^{\prime}, 000$ ). Ser 128 of Molecule $I$ is adjacent to Asn $129^{\prime}$ of ( $6^{\prime},-100$ ), both being carboxy-terminus residues, and Lys 38 , situated at the top of the molecule, is near Ala 119' and Lys 122' of ( $5^{\prime},-1-10$ ) which are found immediately below the hydrophobic patch of the type II molecule.

The contacts involving Molecule II are essentially the same as those for Molecule $I$ although there are differences in detail resulting from the inexactness of the non-crystallographic diad, which results in the two molecules in the asymmetric unit being slightly differently oriented with respect to the crystallographic symmetry elements. For example, residues 38-40 approach residues 40-38 of a molecule of the same type across a crystallographic two-fold axis. For molecule I, this distance is greater than $5 \AA$, whereas in Molecule II, the shortest contact is of the order of 3 . Similarly the approach of the sidechains of Glu 91 residues of molecules of the same type across a crystallographic diad is different in the twomolecules. Thus the shortest contact between these sidechains for Molecule I is greater than $8 \AA$, while for Molecule II it is $\approx 6 \AA$.

Intermolecular Salt Bridges.
There appears to be one salt bridge linking molecule I with a type II molecule, viz. that between the carboxyl group of Glu 91 of Molecule $I$ and the amino group of Lys $27^{\prime}$ from ( $4^{\prime}, 000$ ). There is not an equivalent link between Glu 91' of Molecule II and a Type I molecule, as again the inexactness of the non crystallographic symmetry results in the environment of the two molecules being slightly different. For Molecule II, the distance between the carboxyl group of Glu 91' and the amino group of Lys 27 from a diad-related type I molecule is greater than 4.5 .

Intermolecular Hydrogen Bonds.
Possible intermolecular hydrogen bonds are listed in Table 4.10. These are only tentative, as they are based on criteria of distance alone, without regard for the geometry of the bond.

Table 4.10 Possible Intermolecular Hydrogen Bonds

$N$ refers to the equivalent position in the unit cell, as follows:

| $1 '$ | ( 1,000$)$ | $5^{\prime}$ | ( $\left.5^{\prime},-1-10\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{\prime}$ | $\left(2^{\prime}, 011\right)$ | $6^{\prime}$ | $\left(6^{*},-100\right)$ |
| $3^{\prime}$ | $\left(3^{\prime}, 001-1\right)$ | $8^{\prime}$ | ( $\left.8^{\prime}, 00-10\right)$ |
| $4^{\prime}$ | $\left(4^{\prime}, 000\right)$ |  |  |
| 1 | $(1,000)$ | 5 | $(5,000)$ |
| 3 | (3, 0100$)$ | 6 | $(6,000)$ |
| 4 | (4, 0000$)$ | 8 | $(8,000)$ |

## CHAPTER 5

## A COMPARISON OF AZURIN AND PLASTOCYANIN

Apart from the azurins, the plastocyanins (molecular weight $10,500(56))$ are the best characterised group of blue Type I copper proteins. Plastocyanins are found in all higher plants, in many green algae, and in some blue-green algae (cyano-bacteria). They act as oxidants for a membrane-bound cytochrome (cytochrome f) and as reductants for P 700 , a double chlorophyll pigment in photosystem I (for a review see reference 198).
5.1 Sequence Homology.

Amino acid sequence homologies between the two blue proteins, azurin and plastocyanin, have previously been noted by several workers $(32,56,74)$. Most comparisons have, however, been made on purely statistical grounds, by aligning the sequences so that a significant number of identical or similar residues come into correspondence. As the azurin molecule is larger than that of plastocyanin ( 129 residues cf. 99 residues respectively), it is obvious that substantial deletions will have to be made in its sequence to align it with that of plastocyanin.

Now that the three dimensional structures of both azurin and plastocyanin are available, the sequences of the two proteins can be aligned on a proper structural basis. Comparison of the two three dimensional structures reveals that although the topologies of the two molecules are essentially the same, there are differences in the size and conformation of the loops between $\beta$-strands. These differences include the "flap" in azurin which connects strands IV and $V$, and whi ch is deleted in the plastocyanin structure. They are clearly seen in Figure 5.1, which is a stereo diagram of the two molecules superimposed.


To obtain the best alignment, the correspondence of residues around the copper site was first sought, since if the proteins are indeed monophyletic this region should be highly conserved in both proteins. In azurin, three of the ligands to the copper are provided by a loop formed between residues $112-121$, with the sequence -Cys- $x-x-x-x-H i s-x-x-x-M e t-. \quad$ Plastocyanin has a similar loop with the sequence -Cys $-x-x-H i s-x-x-x-x-M e t-$, which, despite the differences of length and sequence, must maintain a similar stereochemistry about the copper site to account for the similarities in absorption spectra, redox potential and ESR parameters (13). Other residues in the vicinity of the copper site, for example Tyr 15 (Phe 14), Asn 47 (Asn 38) Tyr 110 (Phe 82), Phe 111 (Tyr 83) and Pro 115 (Pro 86) are highly conserved in both structures and hence are likely to be found in


Figure 5-2. The secondary structure of azurin showing the deletions in the sequence required to give the best alignment with plastocyanin.
similar positions in both structures. Secondly, the integrity of the $B-s t r a n d s$ was taken into consideration, as, for example, it would be most unlikely for deletions to occur in the middle of a strand. Finally, the hydrophobic nature of the buried residues was taken into account, i.e. buried hydrophobic residues in azurin were aligned with buried hydrophobic residues in plastocyanin. Apart from the loops between the strands, the $\beta$-structure of both proteins could be superimposed unambiguously. The loops could not be superimposed, and as well as differing in size and conformation, they had little structural or sequence homology. Figure 5.2 is a diagram of the secondary structure of azurin, with the deletions in the sequence required to bring it into alignment with the plastocyanin structure shown as black dots.

The full sequence alignment is shown in Figure 5.3. It can be seen that the major deletion required in the azurin sequence to bring it structurally into alignment with plastocyanin is between residues 53 and 80 , which make up the flap between strands IV and V of the B-barrel. For the best alignment, however, a similar deletion is required in the plastocyanin sequence between residues 45 and 53 to account for the greater length of the fifth strand compared to that in azurin. Other major deletions occur between residues 36 and 46 , which form an external loop between strands III and IV (7 residues), and between residues 100 and 105 , which are part of an irregular loop linking strands VI and VII (3 residues). Overall, 9 residues are invariant between all azurins and all plastocyanins. These include Asn 47 (Asn 38) and Tyr 108 (Tyr 80), as well as the four residues providing ligands to the copper (His 46 (His 37), Cys 112 (Cys 84), His 117 (His 87), Met 121 (Met 92 )). A further 19 are conservatively substituted, retaining their hydrophobic or aromatic character. The alignment is different to those of Ryden and Lundgren (32), Dayhof $f$ (74) and Colman et al. (56), although all of these have a similar number of invariant residues.


Despite the difference in size, and the low level of sequence homology between azurin and plastocyanin (9\% invariant, $19 \%$ conservatively replaced, based on the 99 residues of plastocyanin), compared with other proteins thought to be related by common ancestry (199), extensive regions of the structure are very similar. This could be due to evolutionary convergence, i.e. the natural selection of a set of amino acids to provide a particular structure, or divergence from a common ancestor. As there are two aspects to the structural homology between the two proteins, viz. the mainchain conformation (topology) and the copper site (function), it is almost certain that azurin and plastocyanin are evolutionarily related by divergence from a common ancestor.

### 5.2 A Comparison of the General Structure.

The 129 amino acid residues of azurin from Alc. denitrificans form eight strands of polypeptide chain which are linked by loops of various size. The strands are arranged in the form of a barrel, most of the residues pointed inwards being hydrophobic, and most of those on the surface being polar. The strands have varying degrees of $B$ character, the most regular being strands I, III and VI, which have a right-handed twist, and a fairly regular pattern of hydrogen bonds between them. They contain 8 residues each, and are a distinctive feature of the structure. The hydrogen bonding between the remaining strands is less regular, with none at all between strands $V$ and VI. There is a "kink" in strand II between residues 15 and 18, and joining strands IV and V is a "flap" of approximately 28 residues, hanging outside the body of the molecule and containing a short piece of regular helix (approximately 3 turns).

The $\beta$-sheet structure of poplar leaf plastocyanin appears to be similar in topology and conformation to that of Alc. denitrificans
azurin, although, because no hydrogen bonding pattern for plastocyanin has yet been published, this cannot be verified. There appears to be a similar kink in strand II of plastocyanin (between residues 14 and 17), but there is no "flap" jeining strands IV and V. Table 5.1 is a comparison of the number of residues found in each of the 8 pleces of chain making up the strands of the B-barrel in each of the proteins.

Table 5.1 A Comparison of the Number of Residues in the Eight Strands of the Azurin and Plastocyanin B-Barrel.

| Strand | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azurin (Alc. denitrificans) | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| Plastocyanin (poplar leaf) | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 8 |

The elongation of the fourth, and particularly the fifth, strands in plastocyanin can best be correlated to the so-called "flap" of azurin, although, obviously, the number of residues and conformation are completely different in each structure. The fifth strand of plastocyanin, it should be noted, is very irregular and has no $B$ character (106). ther "kinks" in the plastocyanin structure, between residues 42 and 45 of strand IV, and 52 and 56 , and 57 and 61 of strand $V$, are not observed in azurin because of the lack of structural homology in these regions.

In both molecules, the copper atom is bound at the top of the molecule, between strands IV, VII and VIII (in the orientation of Figure 5.1). Azurin contains a disulphide bridge between residues 3 and 26 which is not present in plastocyanin.
(i) The Ligands

The copper atom in plastocyanin is co-ordinated by four residues, His 37, Cys 84, His 87 and Met 92 which are the exact analogues of the liganded residues in azurin, His 46, Cys 112, His 117, and Met 121. Unlike the situation in azurin, however, there is no possibility for any weak interaction between the carbonyl oxygen of Pro 36 (the analogue of Gly 45) in the plastocyanin structure, as it is further away from the copper atom ( $3.73 \AA$ ), Jespite being oriented in the same way. This can be seen in Figure 5.4, a stereo diagram showing the "best fit" of residues $30-48$ of azurin with the corresponding region of the structure in plastocyanin.


Figure 5.4 A Stere Plot of the Polypeptide Chain Between Residues 30 and 48 of Azurin (blue) and the Corresponding Residues of Plastocyanin (red).

The Cu atoms are represented by large circles.

The sidechains of His 46 , His 37 and the carbonyl groups of Gly 45 and Pro 36 are represented by filled circles.

Figure 5.4 shows that in plastocyanin the loop between strands III and IV is much shorter than that in azurin. In plastocyanin only 3 residues link His 37 to Asn 33, whereas in azurin, 10 residues link their analogues, His 46 and His 35. The obvious lack of structural and sequence homology in this region (Figure 5.3), makes it all the more remarkable that the peptide bonds linking residues 45 and 46 in azurin, and 36 and 37 in plastocyanin seem to maintain the same conformation. The conformation of this region of the structure in azurin, (the area around the copper site), is rigidly maintained by a network of mainchain-mainchain hydrogen bonds, including one between the peptide amide (46 NH) and the carbonyl oxygen of Ile 87. Although no hydrogen bonding details are available for plastocyanin, the presence of Pro at position 36 in plastocyanin probably directs the conformation of the loop between Asn 33 and His 37, resulting in this difference in the positions of the carbonyl oxygens in both structures. These small differences in the copper environment may be responsible for the differences in redox potentials and spectral properties noted for various blue copper proteins (see Table 1.2). It is known, for example, that replacing a sulphur ligand by oxygen or nitrogen reduces the redox potential of small molecule complexes (200).
(ii) The Co-ordination Sphere.

Figure 5.5 clearly shows that although the sidechain and ND1 atom of His 117 (azurin) and His 87 (plastocyanin), as well as SG of Cys 112 (azurin) and Cys 84 (plastocyanin), are virtually in the same positions with respect to the copper atom, Met 121 and His 46 in azurin appear to have been displaced around the co-ordination sphere (relative to Met 92 and His 37 in plastocyanin), to "make room" for the carbonyl oxygen of Gly 45. Although the resolution of the azurin map ( $3 \AA$ ) means that the positions of these residues are not precise,


Figure 5.5 A Stereo Diagram of the Co-ordination Spheres of Azurin (blue) and Plastocyanin (red).
it is unlikely that the geometry of the co-ordination sphere will alter markedly on refinement, as the electron density around the copper site in both molecules in the asymmetric unit was very well resolved. Apart from this, it is obvious that the bond lengths of tine four common ligands are comparable within the accuracy of the structure determination, and the geometry of the azurin site, if the carbonyl oxygen is not considered as a ligand, although more distorted than that of plastocyanin, could still be described as distorted tetrahedral. The degree of distortion is indicated by the fact that, for both azuriul and plastocyanin, the copper atom is virtually in the plane formed by the three shortest ligands, $\mathrm{N}_{46(37)}, \mathrm{S}_{112(84)}$, $N_{117(97)}(0.003 \AA$ out of the plane in molecule $I, 0.105 \AA$ in molecule II, and $0.343 \AA$ in plastocyanin).
(iii) The Environment of the Copper Atom.

As in azurin, the copper site in plastocyanin lies in a pocket between the three loops in the polypeptide backbone at the ends of strands IV, VII and VIII. The walls and rims of this pocket (in plastocyanin), are lined by the conserved hydrophobic sidechains of Phe 14, Met 92, Pro 36, Pro 86 and Leu 63. The copper atom of azurin is also found to be in a conserved hydrophobic environment (see section 4.2.2). At the molecular surface, all that separates the copper atom from the solvent in both molecules is the sidechain of His 117 (His 87). In azurin,however, the sidechains of Met 13, Met 44 and Phe 114 shield the edge of His 117 from the solvent, whereas in plastocyanin the edge of His 87 is more exposed, as structural results show that the edge of the imidazole sidechain lies level with the molecular boundary (106). This is in good accord with kinetic results, which have predicted that for plastocyanin the redox centre is approximately $2.6 \AA$ below the surface of the molecule, while for azurin the distance is approximately $6.5 \AA$ (201). A structural reason thus exists for the slower rates of reaction of azurin with most small molecule redox reagents compared to the corresponding reactions of plastocyanin (55,57,202).

### 5.4 The Hydrophobic Patch.

In both azurins and plastocyanins, one of the most distinctive regions in the structure is the hydrophobic patch on the "northern" surface of the molecule around the edge of His 117 (His 87). This is made up, in plastocyanin, of the conserved sidechains of Leu 12, Leu 62 and Ala 90, and the less rigorously conserved Ala 13, Phe 35, and Ala 65, together with the exposed edges of Pro 36 and Pro 86. In azurin, the hydrophobic patch is somewhat more extensive, involving the invariant residues Met 13 , Met 44 , Phe 114 , Pro 115 and Gly 116 , the conservatively substituted Met 39, Ala 42, Val 43, Met 64 and

Met 120, and the variable residues $\operatorname{Trp} 118$ and Ala 119. Because of the conservation of this region in both azurins and plastocyanins, and its relationship with the sidechains of His 117 and His 87 respectively, it is likely that this region is a locus for electron transfer in these proteins. Thus, the hydrophobic patch may act as a recognition site, used to orient a potential redox partner in such a way as to allow the maximum potential overlap between the orbitals of the semi-aromatic imidazole sidechain of both "blue" proteins with the ligands of another metalloprotein, e.g. cytochrome c-551 in the case of azurin, or cytochrome $f$ in the case of plastocyanin.
5.5 Asn 47 Asn(38).

Inspection of Figure 5.3, the alignment of the sequences, shows that apart from residues 108-123 in azurin (80-94 in plastocyanin), one of the most highly conserved regions of the structure in both of the molecules is the sequence of residues $46-50$ in azurin, (37-41 in plastocyanin). Both these peptides contain copper binding residues, but an additional unusual feature of the latter sequence is the invariance of the internal polar residue Asn 47 (Asn 38) in both molecules. In azurin, the sidechain of Asn 47 forms hydrogen bonds with mainchain atoms in strands $V$ and VII, and with the conserved hydroxyl group of residue 113 (Ser or Thr). Similar interactions seem likely in plastocyanin, suggesting that this residue plays an important part in stabilizing the three-dimensional structure by forming a link between strands $V$ and VII. The sidechain interactions may also help to position the mainchain,both to enable His 46 (His 37) to bind to the copper atom, and to orient the mainchain amide nitrogen of residue 47 (38) towards the thiolate sulphur ligand of Cys 112 (Cys 84). The distance between NH of Asn 47 (Asn 38) and SG of Cys 112 (Cys 84) appears to be the same in the two proteins ( $\sim 3.4 \AA$ ),
within the distance expected for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{S}$ hydrogen bonds found in proteins (177). It is thus possible that in both proteins this hydrogen bond provides an essential mechanism for stabilizing the $\mathrm{Cu}($ II ) state with respect to the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ state, in a situation reminiscent of that recently proposed for cytochrome c (197).

### 5.6 Conserved Aromatic Residues.

The interiors of both barrel-like molecules contain predominantly hydrophobic sidechains. In plastocyanin, two of the aromatics, Phe 35 and Tyr 83, have their sidechains pointing into the solvent. Phe 35 is part of the hydrophobic patch in plastocyanin, as is its counterpart, Met 39 , in azurin. The analogue of Tyr 83 in azurin, Phe 111, is no longer exposed, being part of the hydrophobic interface between the $\beta$-barrel and the flap, as well as part of the hydrophobic pocket for the copper atom.

In plastocyanin, a sequence of aromatics extends below the copper ligand, Met 92, to the "southern" end of the molecule: Phe 14, Phe 82 , Phe 19, Phe 41 and Tyr 80. Residues 14,41 and 80 are totally invariant in all plastocyanins, Phe 82 is replaced by Tyr in one algal plastocyanin, and Phe 19 is substituted by other hydrophobic residues in several plastocyanins. In azurins the residues analogous to Phe 14 and Phe 82 are always aromatic; those corresponding to Phe 19 and Phe 41 are conservatively hydrophobic; and that corresponding to Tyr 80 (Tyr 108) is totally invariant.

Phe 14 (Tyr 15)is the first residue of the "kink" or "bulge" in strand II of both plastocyanin and azurin. The close resemblance between the backbone conformations of azurin and plastocyanin in this region (residues $15-18$ in azurin, and $14-17$ in plastocyanin) is remarkable, since apart from this aromatic residue the sequences are not homologous in the region of the bulge. This invariance clearly suggests that the aromatic character of this residue is important
in some way to the structures, but until further information becomes available from the structures of cther blue proteins, or from highly refined reduced and oxidised structures of both azurins (Alc. denitrificans and Ps. aeruginosa) which will provide a broader data base for comparison, no firm conclusions can be drawn.

The immediate environment of the sidechain of Tyr 80 in plastocyanin is conserved,and, as in azurin, its hydroxyl group points into the solvent. The invariance of this residue, Tyr 80 (Tyr 108), in the two structures, together with a similar conservation of its environment and location at the extremity of the molecule, might suggest some functional significance. Although involvement in electron transfer cannot be ruled out, the distances of the centroid of the sidechain from the copper in both molecules (approximately $15 \AA$ in plastocyanin and $20 \AA$ in azurin) would seem to preclude this.

### 5.7 The Distribution of Charged Sidechains.

In plastocyanin, the distribution of charged sidechains on the surface of the molecule is uneven, in striking contrast to that of azurin. Thus,in higher plant plastocyanins the three conserved basic residues (lysines) play a minor role compared to the ten conserved acidic ones (106). Of the latter, none lie in the top half of the molecule, and 6 are concentrated in two kinks in the backbone at residues 42-45 and 59-61. These two groups of residues have their negatively charged sidechains directed into the solvent, and form an elongated acidic patch. Near the middle of this patch a conserved tyrosine (Tyr 83) has its sidechain also directed into the solvent, and it has been postulated (56,106) that this striking feature of the structure may be functionally important. Kinetic, NMR, and affinity labelling experiments using small molecule redox reagents $(57,203,204,205)$ have supported such a theory. However, it should be noted that many algal plastocyanins do not have this acidic patch,
and in fact have only one conserved acidic residue, that of Asp 42 (32). In the closely related azurins, the region of structure corresponding to this acidic patch is the part of the $\beta$-barrel which faces the flap, so that the charged sidechains have been largely replaced by invariant or conservatively substituted hydrophobic residues. Since azurin and platocyanin are undoubtedly monophyletic, a functional significance of this patch in plastocyanin (e.g. the possibility that it is essential to the electron transfer mechanisms) seems unlikely.

Azurin contains 5 invariant basic residues (4 Lys and 1 Arg), and 7 invariant acidic residues ( 6 Asp and 1 Glu ). With the exception of Asp 11, these usually are paired with residues of opposite charge, (section 4.2.11) in marked contrast to the distribution of charged residues in poplar leaf plastocyanin. The remaining charged sidechains in azurin are mostly well distributed over the surface of the molecule, the resultant charge being +1.5 compared to -8 for plastocyanin (60).

### 5.8 Conclusions.

Comparison of proteins that are thought to have diverged from a common ancestor, but which, although having a similar function, are found in different biological systems, are different sizes and have limited sequence homology, may allow discrimination between possible electron transfer mechanisms. This was found to be the case for the wide variety of cytochrome c-type molecules from different sources. Comparison of the three dimensional structures of some of this diverse class of proteins has allowed the prediction of a hypothetical electron transfer complex, by the elimination of possibilities that are not common to all the structures that have so far been solved (71). This does, of course, assume that there are no major functional differences, an assumption that is not necessarily true for azurin and plastocyanin. While their electron transfer pathways should
presumably be the same, or similar, because of their monophyletic origin, the surface features of their binding sites may be different (since they interact with different partners).

For azurin and plastocyanin, comparison of the structures has revealed that one distinct region of the protein structures apart from the copper site is highly conserved in both structures. Thus a potential pathway for electron transfer exists at the "northern" end of the molecule, where the exposed edge of the His 117 imidazole ring seems to satisfy all the requirements of current electron transfer theory for contact between redox partners. The presence of the striking and highly conserved hydrophobic region on the surface of both molecules around His 117 (His 87) reinforces the impression that this part of the molecule is functionally important. This could act as a recognition patch used to specify a reaction with a particular redox partner by a process such as hydrophobic bonding, which will lower the energy of the system, providing a driving force for the formation of the complex. Such contact between the two redox partners would also reduce the dielectric constant between them, facilitating the transfer of an electron from one metal centre to another.

Although electrostatic interactions have been implicated in the enhancement of the rates of reaction of acidic cytochromes $c$ (e.g. cytochrome c-551) with azurin, as mentioned in section 4.2 .3 (58), they would seem unlikely when the overall charge on the azurin molecule is taken into account (-1 for azurin from Ps. aeruginosa, +1.5 for this azurin, cf. - 8 for plastocyanin (60)). Although it is possible that local patches of conserved acidic sidechains (as found in plastocyanin), or the delocalization of positive charge from the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion to the imidazole sidechain of His 117 (His 87) could be responsible for such interactions (203), the activation parameters for both the reduced cytochrome c - oxidised azurin (55) and the cytochrome f-plastocyanin reaction (58) clearly indicate that
the positive entropy term (perhaps linked to hydrophobic bonding between the molecules, or breakage of ordered water structure), outweighs any contribution from charge-charge interactions (55). This means that specific recognition through electrostatic interaction is probably not involved in the reaction(60).

The remaining unanswered question is whether oxidation and reduction proceed via the same or different pathways. It is conceivable that one site is capable of making specific and productive collisions with both cytochrome c-551 and cytochrome oxidase. Such a hypothesis has recently been proposed for the redox interactions of cytochrome $c(197)$. The observation of more than one region of the molecule with distinctive and conserved structural features, however, may point to some functional significance for such regions. There are many of these in the azurin structure (see chapter 4 ), but apart from the hydrophobic patch, the only one with a counterpart in the plastocyanin structure is the rigorously conserved Tyr 108 (Tyr 80) at the "southern" end of the molecule. Within current understanding of electron transfer mechanisms, however, it would seem that,for azurin at least, the distance of this sidechain from the copper site ( $\sim 20 \AA$ ) would preclude it from directly taking part in electron transfer processes.

## CHAPTER 6

## ELECTRON TRANSFER

### 6.1 Electron Transfer in Blue Proteins

In blue or type $I$ copper proteins, the fundamental mechanism of how electrons get to and from redox centres through the intervening protein matrix is not clear, although several theories have been put forward. A scheme that has gained general acceptance involves the association of protein and metal complexes prior to electron t-ansfer (206).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.Cu(I) }+ \text { oxidant } \stackrel{\mathrm{K}}{\rightleftarrows} \text { P.Cu(I). oxidant } \\
& \text { P.Cu(I).oxidant } \stackrel{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{et}}}{\rightleftarrows} \text { Products }
\end{aligned}
$$

Electron transfer probably involves an outersphere mechanism,as NMR (67,68) and fluorescence (21) evidence, coupled with the structural data from azurin and plastocyanin show that the metal atom lies within a solvent-inaccessible environment $5-7 \AA$ below the surface of the protein.
6.1.1 Experiments with Inorganic Redox Reagents.

The use of small inorganic complexes as redox partners for blue copper proteins has been firmly established as a useful experimental approach. By careful selection of such reagents, the redox potential, and hence the free energy change of the reaction, may be controlled, the size and the hydrophilic or hydrophobic nature of the reagent may be varied by introducing changes in the structure and the charge of the reagent may be altered. For example, use has been made of the tris-complexes of cobalt(III)with phenanthroline, $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{phen}) 3_{3}^{3+}\right.$ and its derivatives (1) 5-chloro- , (2) 5,6-dimethyl- , (3) 4,7-dimethyl- and (4) 4,7-diphenyl-4-sulphonate-([Co(4,7-DPS phen) $]^{3-}$ ) phenanthroline (57).


Figure 6.1 The numbering for cobalt-phenanthroline complexes.

Of these, the redox potentials of complexes (1) and (2) are higher, while those of (3) and (4) are lower than that of the parent complex. Substitution at the 4 and 7 positions blocks approach to the phenanthroline rings in the complexes, and this is likely to cause steric hindrance in the protein-complex interaction and hence affect the rate of reaction. Thus complexes (3) and (4) would be expected to have lower reactivities than complexes (1) and (2). Complex (4), as well as being the only negatively charged member of the set, is also by far the largest in size (57).

Generally, complexes with $\pi$-conducting ligands such as $\left.[\text { Co(phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ exhibit a much higher redox activity with metalloproteins than those like $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{EDTA})^{2-}$ or $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN}) 6^{3-}$ whose hydrophilicity hinders close approach between the reagent and the more hydrophobic active centre of the protein (55). There is also a large amount of information available from the enthalpies and entropies of reaction and activation $\left(\Delta H^{\ominus}, \Delta S^{\ominus}\right.$ and $\Delta H^{\dagger}, \Delta S^{\dagger}$ respectively). Thus the value of $\Delta S^{\theta}$ for a reaction can be discussed in terms of electrostatic interactions between pairs of similar or opposite charges, and large values of $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}$ indicate that binding during complex formation is highly specific. The activation parameters $\Delta H^{\dagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\dagger}$, on the other hand, are
independent of the charge on the redox complex (27). For example, the activation parameters for the $F e(E D T A)^{2-}$-azurin(II)reaction provide an important clue as to the nature of this pathway. The very small $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ value and large negative $\Delta S^{\neq}$have been taken to imply a very weak interaction between the donor and acceptor redox orbitals, necessitating transfer of the electron over a large distance (> $3 \AA$ ) to the buried copper site $(207,208)$.

Many such kinetic investigations have been carried out on blue copper proteins, especially on azurin, plastocyanin and stellacyanin (for reviews see references 55 and 57). These show that the accessibility of the metalloprotein active centre to outer sphere contact with reagents increases in the order azurin < plastocyanin < stellacyanin. Such results fit in with the findings of the comparison of the three dimensional structures of azurin and plastocyanin (Chapter 5), for interactions involving His 117 (His 87), viz. that in azurin the edge of the imidazole ring is more shielded from the solvent than in plastocyanin.

### 6.1.2 Evidence for More than One Binding Site on the Azurin and Plastocyanin Molecules.

Redox reactions of azurin and plastocyanin with small molecule reagents are affected by pH , and the different pH dependences for different reagents suggest that more than one binding site or mode of interaction exists $(192,208)$.

For example, the rate of oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ alters from $0.5 \times 10^{4} \ell \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at pH 9.0 to $1.8 \times 10^{4} \ell \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at pH 5.0 , the dependence of the second order rate constant on pH having a pKa of 7.1 , which is shifted to 6.1 for the reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Az}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ (192). For plastocyanin, the rate of reaction alters from $7.9 \times 10^{4} \ell \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at pH 7.0 down to zero at $\mathrm{pH} \leqslant 5.0$, the dependence of the second order rate constant on pH
having a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of approximately 6.0. The $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Pc}$ does not, however, respond to pH in the same way, since the rate of reaction remains constant between pH 7 and 6 , but increases rapidly with any further decrease in pH (209). As $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ probably use the same site on the protein, the protonation of the protein must be influenced by the oxidation state of the copper $(27,209)$. Decreases in the rates of reaction for both proteins are thought to be due to the decreasing ability of the protein to form productive protein-oxidant or protein-reductant complexes. For azurin the decrease occurs as the pH is increased, while for plastocyanin it accompanies a decrease in $\mathrm{pH}(192,209)$.

For oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]^{3+}$ the rate of reaction decreases with decreasing pH , the second order rate constant having a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 7.0 (6.0 for the corresponding oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ $P c)$, while the reaction with $\left[\operatorname{Co}\left(4,7 \text {-DPS phen) } 3_{3}\right]^{3+}\right.$ is independent of pH in the range 6.3 to 9.0 for both proteins $(192,209)$. Kinetic parameters for the reaction of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ suggest that electrostatics play a minor role compared to the corresponding reactions of plastocyanin, and that a different pathway is operating compared to that proposed for the plastocyanin reaction (192). Possible explanations for these pH effects are mainly based on protonation rendering the protein inactive by
(i) causing some modification in structure at the binding site which prevents complex formation
(ii) rendering the Cu ion redox inactive (e.g. by substantially stabilising or destabilising one redox state relative to the other)
(iii) preventing electron transfer by blocking the pathway between the bound reductant and the redox site of the protein.

### 6.2 Tentative Identification of the Binding Sites on Azurin and Plastocyanin.

The possibility of there being more than one binding site on azurin and plastocyanin, for small-molecule redox reagents at least, was suggested by the different $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained for their pH dependent reactions with azurin and plastocyanin. Some evidence as to the nature of these sites comes from NMR spectroscopy $(37,44$, 64).

All azurins so far sequenced contain four invariant histidine residues (32). From NMR studies of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$, one of the histidines has been shown to titrate with a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 7.57 , while the second has a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of approximately $7.0(37,62,64)$. The other two histidines protonate at much lower pH values because they are co-ordinated to the copper atom (44). A small shift in the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the first histidine has been observed on the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-$ $A z$, suggesting it is far removed from the Cu site (64). The second histidine, which also has an unusally slow acid - base exchange rate, is near enough to the copper atom to be affected by a change in its oxidation state. These $\mathrm{pK}_{a}$ values for $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ determined by NMR are sufficiently close to those detected in kinetic studies to suggest involvement of the same histidines in the reaction mechanism. Thus Co[phen] $3^{3+}$ may bind at a site on the protein close to the histidine with a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 7.6 , probably His 83, as it is farthest from the Cu , while $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ may use a site influenced by the histidine with a $p k_{a}$ of 7.1 , probably His 35 , since it is near the copper site ( $\sim 7.7 \AA$ away). As the binding site for $\left[\operatorname{Co}(4,7-\operatorname{DPS} \text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3-}$ is apparently pH independent, a different binding site is suggested for this reagent, which may be influenced by the hydrophobic nature of the complex. This site may be at the hydrophobic patch adjacent to His 117.

For plastocyanin, two sites, one in the vicinity of His 87, and
the other in the vicinity of Tyr 83 were suggested by Colman et al. (56). A patch of acidic sidechains near Tyr 83, around G1u 43 and Glu 49, would account for the observed $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of $\sim 6.0$ for the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Pc}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ (209).

### 6.2.1 Structural Interpretation of pH Effects.

The kinetic studies of Lappin et al. $(192,209)$ have suggested binding sites which involve, for different small molecule reagents, (viz. $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-},\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Co}(4,7-\mathrm{DPS} \text { phen })_{3}^{3-}\right)$ ) His 35, His 83 and the hydrophobic patch. The first two are predicted on the basis of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ measurements. Particular residues responsible for a pH transition with a given $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are, however, extremely difficult to assign because the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ s of individual sidechains are often shifted by their environment, and because of the problems associated with multiple equilibria.

This is clearly illustrated by plastocyanin. As discussed in section 6.1.2, kinetic studies have been interpreted in terms of modifications to potential binding sites in the region of Tyr 83 and the acidic patch as a result of pH changes. Crystallographic work has, however, characterised a low pH inactive form of plastocyanin in which the copper ligand, His 87 , is protonated and dissociates from the copper. This is the binding site predicted for the oxidant $[C o(4,7-\text { DPS phen } 3)]^{3-}$ from the same kinetic studies (209), on the basis of the independence of its reaction with azurin to changes in pH (in the range 5.2-7.5).

In azurin, there is no crystallographic evidence for similar structural changes with pH , although experiments on the decolourisation of azurin at very low $\mathrm{pH}(<3.0)$ have been interpreted in terms of the possible partial dissociation of the copper from its binding site, or rearrangement of the ligands (84).

An elegant way to study electron transfer pathways between metal complexes is to use chromous ions as a probe (210). This is because while strongly reducing $\operatorname{Cr}(I I)$ complexes are substitutionlabile, their single oxidation product, Cr(III) complexes, are generally substitution-inert. Thus the co-ordination sphere of the chromous ion in the transition state formed during the electron transfer process should remain the same in the product. One such example is the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ reduction of ferricytochrome c , where the $\operatorname{Cr}($ III ) produced was shown to be very tightly bound to the ferrocytochrome c (211). Further investigation by Grimes et al. (212), using proteolytic cleavage of the Cr(III)-ferrocytochrome c complex, resulted in the identification of the residues to which the chromium was bound in the product, and most probably during electron transfer.

Similar experiments have been recently carried out on azurin from Ps. aeruginosa (194) and plastocyanin from French Bean by Farver and Pecht (205). Both proteins, in solution, were stoichiometrically reduced by $C r(I I)$ ions to yield relatively inert colourless $\operatorname{Cr}($ III ) species. When these were proteolytically digested, with both trypsin and chymotrypsin in the case of azurin, or thermolysin in the case of plastocyanin, peptides labelled with Cr(III) could be separated by chromatographic methods. Amino acid analyses showed that for azurin, the tryptic peptide consisted of residues 80-92, while the chymotryptic peptide went from Thr 84 to Val 95. When the chemical nature of the amino acid sidechains of
these peptides and the crystallographic structure of azurin were taken into account, it looked probable that the chromium was co-ordinated to Lys 85 and Glu 91. The proposed electron transfer pathway involves an opening in the peptide sheath between Gly 88 and Glu 91 which exposes NDl of the imidazole sidechain of His 35. The hexaquochromium(II) ion approaches the opening and is bound to the carboxylate of Glu-91 and probably concomitantly to the NZ of Lys 85. From this position, it is proposed that the electron is transferred from $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$, most likely via a co-ordinated water molecule hydrogen bonded to ND1 of His 35, and flows through a conjugated pathway formed by the aligned imidazole sidechains of His 35 and His 46 to the copper atom (194).

For plastocyanin, the Cr-binding peptide included Asp 42, Glu 43, Asp 44, and Glu 45, some of the residues which make up the "acidic patch" on the molecule. Near the middle of this patch, the conserved Tyr 83 also has its mainchain directed into the solvent, and as the fluorescence emission intensity and pH dependence usually observed for tyrosines in proteins differed markedly in the $\operatorname{Cr}($ III ) adduct, some sort of interaction between the tyrosine and chromium was suggested. The proposed electron transfer pathway was through the interacting $\pi$-systems of a highly invariant array of aromatic residues between the copper and Tyr 83, the distance being approximately $10 \AA$ (205). High resolution NMR studies also point to this region as a binding site for the chromium analogue of $\left[\operatorname{Co}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+},\left[\operatorname{Cr}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]^{3+}(203,204)$. The effect of $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}^{3-}$ is clearly different. No effect is noted upon the tyrosine residue, but the resonances of both His 87 and Met 92, both Cu ligands, are broadened, indicating that the complex may bind to the hydrophobic patch over the copper site $(203,204)$.

Reduction with $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ has also been used to demonstrate that there may be two binding sites on the protein molecule for the
physiological electron transfer reactions of azurin (194). Thus it was found that while the cytochrome oxidase catalyzed oxidation of $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is unaffected by the presence of the bound $\operatorname{Cr}(I I I)$, the electron transfer reaction between Cr-labelled azurin and cytochrome $c-551$ is markedly slower than with the native azurin (194). These results suggest there may be two different sites for redox interactions, one of which is blocked by $\operatorname{Cr}(I I I)$.

### 6.3 Protein-Protein Interactions

Although the relevance of small-molecule studies can be questioned in terms of their relation to the interaction between macromolecular redox partners, $p H$ effects similar to those found in the small molecule oxidations of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Az}$ (by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[C o(p h e n)_{3}\right]^{3+}$ ) also influence the oxidation of Ps. aeruginosa azurin by cytochrome c-551. Thus two conformers of azurin have been identified, one inactive to oxidation, the other active $(213,214)$. The active species was determined to be a protonated species, and as the group involved had a positive enthalpy of ionization, and a pk ${ }_{a}$ of approximately 7, it was thought to be the histidine, probably His 35 , implicated in the oxidation of azurin by $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ (192,214). The very slow proton exchange rate of this histidine $\left(1<\mathrm{k}<35 \sec ^{-1}\right)(62,64)$, is consistent with the reciprocal relaxation time reported for the interconversion between $\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{r})$ and $A(r)$ (where $A(r)$ is reduced azurin), in the reaction between oxidised azurin (A(o)) and reduced cytochrome c-551 (C(r)).

(where * indicates an inactive conformer).

The pH independence of the overall equilibrium constant for the above reaction (214), indicates that redox inactive and active forms of cytochrome c-551 may also exist. This has been confirmed by two studies $(214,216)$. The nature of the transition in reduced cytochrome $\mathrm{c}-551\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx 7.2\right)$ is thought to be linked to the protonation of one of the heme propionates, which are more exposed in cytochrome $c-551$ than in cytochrome c. This may explain the absence of a similar pH transition in the reduced form of the mitochondrial protein. The transition is reported to be coupled to a redox potential change ( $300 \rightarrow 240 \mathrm{mV}(216)$ ) in going from pH $5 \rightarrow$ 9. A complementary pH dependence of azurin has been reported by Lappin et al. over the same pH range $(360 \rightarrow 300 \mathrm{mV})(192)$.

The above results clearly implicate the protonated form of His 35 in electron transfer between Ps. aeruginosa azurin and Ps. aeruginosa cytochrome $c-551$. The situation is less clearcut for other azurins. Investigation of the electron transfer equilibrium and kinetics between azurin from Alc. faecalis, and cytochrome c-551 from Ps. aeruginosa, has failed to detect a conformational change in this azurin (85). The specific rates for electron transfer, however, are still very fast, although slightly attenuated, and the activation parameters are quite different compared to those between azurin and cytochrome c-551 from the same organism, particularly for the azurin oxidation (85). These differences may be due to species specific interactions between the proteins, originating perhaps from either areas of complementary charge on the two proteins at neutral pH , or from hydrophobic interactions (213). There are sequence differences around His 35 in Alc. faecalis and Alc. denitrificans azurins compared with Ps. aeruginosa azurin.

Thus Pro 36 and Ser 34 of the Ps. azurin are replaced by a threonine and lysine respectively in the case of Alc. faecalis, and by a valine and lysine in the case of Alc. denitrificans. Such
substitutions could cause conformational differences around the imidazole of His 35, or solvent accessibility could be altered, affecting its reactivity and thus the protonation-dependent conformational transition. On the other hand, it may be that such a transition is only seen when cytochrome $c-551$ of the correct species is used.

To try and resolve this question, affinity labelling procedures using $\operatorname{Cr}(I I)$ were applied to Alc. denitrificans azurin in the crystal form in order to try to ascertain the binding site by crystallographic methods.
6.4 Crystallographic Studies on Reduction of Azurin

Because protein crystals dissolve or deteriorate out of their mother liquor, all work was undertaken in $75 \%$ saturated solutions of ammonium sulphate made up with 0.05 M ammonium acetate buffer pH 5.0. Furthermore, because of the ease with which chromous ions are oxidised in air, the entire procedure from the reduction of the crystals to their subsequent mounting and sealing in glass capillaries had to be undertaken in an oxygen-free atmosphere. To achieve this, a glove box continuously flushed with oxygen-free nitrogen was used. Great care was taken to ensure that all solutions were degassed, then flushed with nitrogen before being introduced into the system. The azurin crystals themselves, and all equipment used, were left to equilibrate in the nitrogen atmosphere overnight before the experiment was begun.

A stock solution of chromous material was prepared by reducing a 0.1 M solution of chromic sulphate made up in $75 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate solution using a Jones reductor column (194,217, 218 ). A few drops of the resulting pale blue solution were added to the mother liquor containing the crystals, and mixed by gently swirling the solution. The crystals, usually a very dark blue,
became colourless in just under 30 minutes. Two reductions were carried out simultaneously, the first on crystals suitable for X-ray analysis, the second on a large quantity of small crystals to be used for atomic absorption analysis. The larger crystals were then mounted in glass capillary tubes, and small amounts of the chromous solution drawn up into the bases of the capillaries before they were sealed with wax. They were then placed in screw cap jars which had also been equilibrated in nitrogen before being removed from the glove box and stored.

### 6.5 The Nature of the Binding Site

Precession photographs were taken of one of these crystals as already described. These showed that the crystals diffracted well, and that there appeared to be no obvious change in the axial lengths, and no large changes in intensities. However, it could be seen that under irradiation, the crystals gradually regained their blue colour. Farver and Pecht (194), in their work on azurin from Ps. aeruginosa, reported that the cytochrome oxidase catalysed oxidation of $\operatorname{Cr}(I T I)-A(r)$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is unaffected by the presence of bound $\operatorname{Cr}($ III). As azurin has been reported to be auto-oxidisable in the presence of molecular oxygen (51) it seems logical to expect that such oxidation of the chromium-reduced crystals may occur. It was thus assumed that some oxygen was present in the system at the time the crystals were mounted (despite the care taken to ensure oxygen-free conditions), or was somehow entering the capillary tubes. As the mother liquor in the bottom of these tubes showed no sign of evaporation or crystallization (it contained $75 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate solution), the latter was considered unlikely. It was observed later, however, that the crystals not subjected to irradiation by X-rays gradually regained their blue colour, i.e. became oxidised, over a period of approximately 8 weeks.

To try to establish whether chromium was in fact bound to the protein, analysis of the second batch of crystals (the smaller ones) was carried out by atomic absorption. To ensure that excess chromium was removed from the colourless crystals, they were first filtered, then washed with approximately 100 mls of $7,5 \%$ ammonium sulphate solution (made up with deionized water). This involved suspending the crystals in repeated amounts of the solution, waiting for them to settle (1-2 hours) and then decanting the supernatant. After several washings, approximately 10 ml of distilled water was added to the pellet in order to dissolve the crystals. It became apparent, however, that the solubility of the crystals had decreased, as they only partially dissolved, contrary to expectations (azurin crystals usually being very soluble in water). On standing, the supernatant gradually turned blue (overnight) although the remaining undissolved crystals did not regain their colour. Atomic absorption analysis of this blue solution showed that it contained approximately $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times as much chromium as copper, and assuming that all of the copper in the solution was associated with protein, this is a clear indication that there were some chromium atoms bound to the protein.

Three dimensional data were collected in the manner already described, to low resolution (5.0 $)$, using one of the larger crystals. During exposure to the X-rays, the colourless crystals gradually turned blue, as they had done previously. Because this change in colour did not seem to be associated with any obvious changes in cell dimensions, and as it had been shown that chromium was still bound to the reoxidised protein, the data was processed in the usual way. In order to determine the possible binding sites of the chromium species, both a difference Fourier map, phased by the $K A u(C N)_{2}$, uranyl acetate, and $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ derivatives, and a difference Patterson map using $\left(F_{P H}-F_{P}\right)^{2}$ coefficients were calculated. The
resulting difference Fourier map had 5 main peaks. These 5, which were compatible with the difference Patterson map, had co-ordinates as follows:-

| A $(.281, .497, .127)$ | A $^{\prime}(.492, .280, .119)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B $(.152, .088, .157)$ | B' $^{\prime}(.072, .189, .100)$ |  |
| C $(.342, .417, .059)$ |  |  |

When these positions were plotted on to the electron density map, sidechains providing the binding sites could be identified. The sites are:
A. A carboxyl oxygen of Glu lu6 (a site very similar to one occupied by the uranyl derivative). This site appears in both molecules
B. Near the carbonyl oxygen of Phe 114 , about $3.5 \AA$ from CB of His 117 and $4 \AA$ from the thiolate sulphur (approximately $5 \AA$ from the copper atom). Peaks appear at this position for both molecules, but binding at this site would involve some disturbance of the structure. Whether chromium is bound at this site, or whether, the peaks arise from some movement in the copper site (e.g. in the copper binding loop 112-117) is not clear.
C. Near the carboxyl oxygens of Glu 19.
6.6 The Significance of the Binding Sites

Because of the conditions under which the experiment was conducted, with $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$and acetate ions in solution, the species binding to the protein crystals is unknown. As the chromous solution gradually changed colour (from a pale sky-blue to a darker grey-blue) during the reaction, it is possible that different species, some of
which are probably not responsible for reducing the protein, may be binding at other sites (105). Inspection of the binding sites, however, show that the species binding at $A$ and $C$ are most likely to be positively charged as they are bound to negatively charged carboxyl groups. The species binding at the second site (near residues 117 and 112) may be different although it may still have some positive charge allowing a polar interaction to occur between it and the carbonyl oxygen of Phe 114. It may be possible that there is some interaction between co-ordinated groups on the chromium species and either the imidazole sidechain of His 117 or the thiolate sulphur of Cys 112.

Of the three sites, site B would seem to be the most likely to effect the reduction of the protein, as it is nearest to the copper, and in the vicinity of two copper ligands, His 117 and Cys 112. Although the other two sites cannot be completely dismissed, as electron transfer mechanisms are not yet fully understood, their distance from the copper atom should preclude them ( $\sim 20 \AA$ for site A, and ~ $19 \AA$ for site C). It is of interest, however, that the carboxyl oxygens of Glu 106 are adjacent to the free hydroxyl group of Tyr 108 which is invariant in all azurins (32). In plastocyanins, the corresponding residue is the invariant Tyr 80 , part of the highly conserved aromatic channel running from the base of the copper site down the front of the molecule (see Figure 1.3), suggested to be functionally important $(106,204)$. In contrast to the results of Farver and Pecht (194), there appears to be no binding of chromium at the carboxyl oxygens of Glu 91, the proposed electron transfer locus in azurin from Ps. aeruginosa, despite this being a major uranyl site in these crystals and therefore freely accessible to reaction.

Finally, the action of thiomersal on the protein merits comment. In binding to azurin, at a site approximately $6 \AA$ from the chromium site $B$ discussed above, but within $2 \AA$ of the thiolate sulphur of Cys 112 , it also effects a reduction of the copper site. As the derivative crystals show some non-isomorphism, indicating that the peaks representing this binding site may not be due entirely to the presence of an extra heavy atom, but also to some conformational change, the exact binding site is not certain. It is possible that there may be direct interaction of the sulphur orbitals of this complex with those of the copper atom (the mercury is situated approximately $3.4 \AA$ from the Cu ). However, the reduction seems to be permanent, unlike that effected by chromium, suggesting that a different mechanism may be operating. Although there is no certainty that inorganic redox reagents will use the same electron transfer sites, pathways or mechanisms as the biological partners of a metallo-protein, it may be significant that two quite different reagents that reduce the protein bind in a region of the protein, that, rather than being near to His 35 , or His 83 , is nearer to the copper site itself, and within the conserved hydrophobic patch.

## CHAPTER 7

## CONCLUSIONS

Many aspects of this work have been discussed in previous chapters. A few points, however, are worthy of restatement, or of further comment.

The structure analysis of azurin from Alc. denitrificans was not straightforward because of the difficulty in preparing high quality heavy atom derivatives. This was because, generally, heavy atom compounds that produced good changes in the intensity pattern of the crystals also caused changes in the axial lengths, so that the derivatives were not isomorphous. In retrospect, however, more could have been done in this area, such as attempting to replace the copper atom with heavier metals (see appendix III) and possibly in further refinement of the derivatives. For example, knowledge of the crystal packing obtained from the structure determination suggested that the uranyl sites close to the two-fold axis could have been better described. Nevertheless, the resulting electron density map had good solvent/protein contrast, and was interpretable. The presence of two copies of the structure in the asymmetric unit was of particular help in coming to a detailed interpretation, as areas of the structure that were ambiguous in one molecule were usually clear in the other. Several small differences in interpretation remained between the two molecules, but the structure determination showed unequivocally that the folding pattern of the polypeptide chain was the same as that of plastocyanin, rather than that of the alternative conformation originally proposed for Ps. aeruginosa azurin (82). It was also consistent with the theory that azurin and plastocyanin had diverged from a common ancestor, since both conformational (i.e. polypeptide chain folding) and functional (i.e. the copper site) elements are homologous.

A precise description of the copper site is not yet possible because of the need to refine the structure. It is clear that, as in plastocyanin, the copper has three ligands that are closely bound ( $\sim 2 \AA$ ), 2 His and 1 Cys, the copper atom being approximately coplanar with the ND1 atoms of the two histidine sidechains and SG of the cysteine sidechain. There is also no doubt that, in both structures, Met 121 provides a fourth ligand at a rather long bond distance ( $\sim 3 \AA$ ). A totally unexpected result, however, was the closeness of the carbonyl oxygen, belonging to the peptide bond between residues 45 and 46 , to the copper. (At $3 \AA$ resolution, the density of this group merged with that of the copper in both molecules). It is still not possible to say whether this oxygen should be regarded as a fifth ligand, but in any case it is probably close enough to the copper to affect the redox potential. The possibility also exists that it may be the carbonyl oxygen responsible for the unassigned peak "x" in the NMR work of Ugurbil et al. (44). The latter felt the peak might be due to a peptide carbonyl co-ordinated to the copper atom in the reduced form of the protein. Although in plastocyanin this peptide carbonyl is too far away ( $\sim 3.7 \AA$ ) to be considered bound to the copper, the report on the structure of Ps. aeruginosa azurin (83) notes that the plane of peptide 45 could be oriented satisfactorily with either the NH or the $\mathrm{C}=0$ pointing toward the copper at a distance of $3.3 \AA$, leaving open the question of any interaction.

Of all the predictions of stereochemistry for the "blue" copper sites in proteins, that of Miskowski et al., which was based on resonance Raman spectra (35), agrees best with the findings of this structure determination, despite the conflict between such stereochemistry and ESR data. Structurally, it is unlikely that the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}$ (Met 121) and the Cu-0 (Gly 45) (if it exists) bonds contribute much individually to the copper site. Together, however, these weak bonds, together with the hydrogen bond between the thiolate sulphur
of Cys 112 and the amide nitrogen of Asn 47 , may provide a mechanism by which the $C u(I I)$ state of the protein is stabilised relative to the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ state, and hence they may be functionally important. A recently reported copper co-ordination complex,with a thio-ether donor at the apex of a square pyramid whose base is the donor set $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, has ESR parameters typical of normal square planar geometry (185). It would thus appear that, for square planar geometry at least, the $g_{\|}$and $A_{\|}$values are relatively insensitive to even quite strong axial perturbations (219). If square pyramidal stereochemistry is considered a possibility for blue copper proteins, the basal plane should contain nitrogen and sulphur donors on the basis of the $g_{\|}$and $A_{\|}$values reported for "blue" centres. In azurin from Alc. denitrificans (this work), the three stronger bonds formed by ligands from His 46, His 117 and Cys 112 can be regarded as forming such a basal plane, except that the fourth ligand, Met 121 , is distorted from the plane so that the geometry becomes more trigonal bipyramidal. In model complexes,such a distortion of the basal plane of square pyramidal complexes produces small hyperfine splitting constants in the E.S.R. parameters ( $0.0065-0.0100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), comparable to those in blue copper proteins (47). All such complexes, however, including a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II}) \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ chromophore with a distorted trigonal bipyramidal stereochemistry similar to that found for the copper site in Alc. denitrificans azurin, have $g_{\|}<g_{\perp}$, in contrast to the blue copper centres in proteins, for which $g_{\|}>g_{\perp}$ (47). Unlike the azurin site, however, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ complex (184) has compressed rather than elongated axial bonds, and as no model complex with a stereochemistry and ligand set like those of azurin has yet been reported, the possibility of 5 -co-ordinate geometry cannot be completely discounted on ESR grounds.

The trigonal bipyramidal model itself is consistent with the suggestion that the peculiar spectroscopic properties of "blue"
copper centres reflect a co-ordination sphere which is intermediate between the normal co-ordination geometry of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, thereby allowing efficient electron transfer. Although $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ rarely shows tetragonal co-ordination, it is otherwise quite variable. Tetrahedral co-ordination is the most common, but trigonal co-ordination is also known $(220,221,222)$. In the trigonal bipyramidal model all that is required to generate a stable trigonal $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{SN}_{2}$ complex is the further weakening of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}_{121}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}_{45}$ bonds, which would require little reorganisation (in line with the Franck-Condon Principle). If the carbonyl oxygen is not in fact bound to the copper atom, the remaining four ligands form a distorted tetrahedron, a geometry which is again intermediate between normal geometries found for copper(I) and copper(II).

The chemical character of the ligands bound to copper is also intermediate between the requirements of copper in its two oxidation states. Thus in terms of the simple "hard-soft-acid-base" theory ( 1,2 ), the ligands in azurin include two groups which can be classified as "soft" ( $\mathrm{RS}^{-}$and $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) and prefer to bind to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$, and two groups which are intermediate between "hard" and "soft" (imidazole), and prefer to bind to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$. (Main chain carbonyl oxygens also prefer to bind to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ rather than $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ ). Such a combination of ligands should be advantageous for outer sphere electron transfer, which requires the first co-ordination sphere to remain intact.

The changes accompanying reduction can only be guessed at. Structure determinations of ascorbate-reduced plastocyanin at pH $3.8,4.4,5.1,5.9$ and 7.0 , and resolutions between 1.7 and $2.1 \AA$, have been carried out (106). These show scarcely any significant differences between the five structures of the reduced protein, or between them and the structure of the oxidised protein, except at the copper site. A comparison of the reduced and oxidised structures
at pH 6.0 shows that the reduction causes the copper atom to move away from His 87 toward Met 92 , so that the $\mathrm{Cu}^{-} \mathrm{N}_{87}$ bond increases from $2.10 \AA$ to $2.82 \AA$ while the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\left(S_{92}\right.}$ bond decreases in length from $2.90 \AA$ to $2.68 \AA$. The bond distances of the other two ligands remain essentially the same. As the pH decreases, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{87}$ bond length gradually increases with a concomitant reduction of the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{S}_{121}$ bond length until the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ (His 87) distance of $\sim 3.4 \AA$ is sufficient to accommodate a Van der Waals contact between the Cu atom and a proton on the imidazole ND1 atom. The Cu atom is,in this situation, co-planar with the $\mathrm{N}_{37} \mathrm{~S}_{84}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{92}$ atoms, and under such conditions is assumed to be the redox inactive form seen at low pH by Lappin et al. (192).

A similar change to the co-ordination sphere for azurin would require more reorganisation, as any movement of the His 117 imidazole ring toward the molecular surface would have to be accompanied by a change in conformation of the Met 13 and Met 44 sidechains, as these shield the edge of the His sidechain from the solvent, in contrast to the more open site in plastocyanin. As the response to a decrease in pH for both oxidations and reductions of azurin by some small molecule reagents is the opposite to that for plastocyanin, a different mechanism may be acting in azurins. Although the results of inorganic and kinetic experiments may be interpreted in terms of possible protein-protein interactions and electron transfer mechanisms, only a comparison of the refined oxidised and reduced structures, in conjunction with such results, is likely to provide a proper base for understanding such processes. The limited studies on reduction of the protein in this investigation, however, have shown that effecting a permanent reduction of the protein, especially under X-irradiation, may be difficult, and more work needs to be done in this area. Similar problems have been noted for plastocyanin (106) and dithionitereduced rubredoxin (223).

The observations discussed in Chapter 6 suggest a number of possible sites for electron transfer. These are:-
(i) His 117 and the hydrophobic patch around its sidechain. This is strongly suggested by comparison of the structures of azurin and plastocyanin, and the fact that the residues making up this "patch" are highly conserved in all azurins and plastocyanins so far sequenced $(32,74)$. NMR $(203,204)$ and kinetic $(192,209)$ experiments also implicate this area in electron transfer between certain small molecule reagents and both azurin and plastocyanin. Some support also comes from the $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ reduction experiments on crystals of azurin described in section 6.4 .
(ii) His 35 and its neighbouring sidechain His 46. These residues have been implicated in the electron transfer processes of Ps. aeruginosa azurin by the affinity labelling experiments of Farver and Pecht (194), and the kinetic experiments of Lappin et al. (192). His 35 is also thought to be the group involved in the transition between the active and inactive forms of reduced azurin observed in the electron transfer reaction between azurin and cytochrome c-551 from Ps. aeruginosa (214).
(iii) His 83 is implicated in electron transfer mechanisms by the pH dependence of kinetic experiments involving small molecule inorganic redox reagents (192).
(iv) Tyr 108. Suggestions that Tyr 108 may be functionally important to the protein have arisen mainly because of the rigorous conservation of both it and its analogue in plastocyanin, $\operatorname{Tyr} 80$, in all azurins and plastocyanins so far sequenced $(32,74,106)$.

These results focus on two main areas thought to be involved in electron transfer processes in azurin, viz. the hydrophobic patch and His 35. Of these, there is little doubt about the involvement of the hydrophobic patch and His 117, both from the point of view of the high degree of conservation and from its compatibility with current models for electron transfer. Both these points have been fully discussed in Chapters 4 and 5. It is interesting to speculate, however, on its role in the possible electron transfer pathway between azurin and cytochrome c-551, and/or cytochrome oxidase. Because the interactions of azurin with cytochromes $c-551, c-553$ and $f$ are three orders of magnitude faster than that with mammalian cytochrome $c$, (in contrast to expectations based on the consideration of overall charge), it has been proposed that azurin reacts specifically with acidic cytochromes (58). Thus specific electrostatic interactions between the two proteins (azurin and cytochrome c-551) are implicated in the rate enhancement. A comparison of the rates of reduction of azurin from Ps. aeruginosa with both cytochrome $c-551$ and cytochrome c-553 shows they differ only by a factor of about two (viz. $6.1 \times 10^{6}$ and $1.43 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively) (60), yet the difference in overall charge on the two cytochrome molecules is large, viz. -13 for cytochrome $c-553,-2$ for cytochrome $c-551$. For the reduction of azurin from Alc. faecalis with the same cytochromes, the rates were much lower (viz. $5.23 \times 10^{5}$ and $2.10 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ respectively) even though the overall charge on the two azurin molecules is the same, viz. -1 (60). Such results suggest that the role of electrostatics in the interaction is minor, that if they do play a part, only small localized areas of charge may be involved, and that species-specific interactions may be important.

The increasing number of three dimensional structure analyses of cytochromes c from a number of diverse sources, including cytochrome c-551 from Ps. aeruginosa (238), has enabled comparisons to be made which
suggest a structural reason for the rate enhancements observed above. Thus, cytochromes $\mathrm{c}-551, \mathrm{c}-553$, and f , although they come from bacterial as well as plant and eukaryotic algal sources, may all be classed as "smal1" compared to other cytochromes (79). The extensive deletions in their sequences compared to that of horse heart cytochrome $c, f o r ~ i n s t a n c e, ~ r e s u l t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ h e m e, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~$ situated in a hydrophobic pocket (similar to the copper in azurin), being more exposed. Hence its exposed edge, the one containing small non-polar groups, may be able to penetrate the hydropiobic patch around His 117 of the azurin molecule to a greater extent than that of a larger cytochrome $c$, allowing a more efficient overlap of the $\pi$ orbitals of the heme and the imidazole ring. This would allow the facile passage of an electron from one metal centre to the other through a conjugated system of liganded groups.

It is possible, however, that some of the resultant negative charge on the heme ( $\mathrm{Fe}, 3+/ 2+$; two deprotonated heme nitrogens, -2 ; two propionate sidechains, -2 ), may be delocalised over the conjugated system of the group. This may be sufficient to bring about an electrostatic interaction with the slight positive charge on the imidazole ring of His 117, possibly through delocalisation of some of the positive charge from the copper atom. Electrostatics, therefore, may add to the already favourable protein-protein interaction which is characterised by a positive activation entropy (55). The hydrophobic patch may thus be essential to the electron transfer mechanism of the protein through:
(a) allowing the close approach, and penetration of the heme
(b) orienting the metalloprotein in such a way as to allow maximum overlap of the $\pi$ orbitals of the heme with those of the His 117 sidechain
(c) possibly isolating any partial charge on the imidazole ring
from the variable charge distributed over the rest of the molecule.

The rates of reaction of plastocyanin with various metalloprotein reductants also do not appear to depend on the net charge carried by such a reagent (58) despite the large net charge on the molecule ( $\sim-9)$. A similar mechanism may thus be operating. It would be interesting to see whether there is a similar "hydrophobic" patch on the physiological oxidant reputed to interact with azurin, cytochrome oxidase, especially as the subunit containing copper is very similar to azurin in sequence, possessing residues analogous to many of those which make up the hydrophobic patch in azurin. For example, Met 39, Met 44, Phe 114 and Met 120 are analogous to Leu 95, Met 100, Ile 199 and Phe 206 in cytochrome c oxidase (81).

The involvement of His 35 is a little more difficult to rationalize. As well as suggestions that it may be in the vicinity of the electron transfer locus for small molecule redox reagents $(192,194)$, it is implicated in the pH dependent conformational change observed in reduced azurin (214). The possible nature of the change with pH can be guessed at from the structure since the imidazole ring can be oriented with its ND2 and NE2 atoms adjacent either to two proton acceptors (87 0 and $11 \mathrm{COO}^{-}$), or to one proton donor (37 NH) and one proton acceptor (44 0). Thus in the deprotonated inactive form, the sidechain may be oriented so that hydrogen bonds are formed between NE2 and the C=0 of Met 44, and ND1 and the NH of Gly 37. On the other hand, in its protonated or active form it may be oriented so that hydrogen bonds are formed between ND1 and the carboxyl sidechain of the invariant Asp 11, and NE2 and the $C=0$ of Ile 87. It is more difficult to see, however, how any direct interaction of the sidechain of His 35 with a macromolecular redox agent can occur, as in this structure the sidechain appears quite inaccessible. Although it is close to the surface, it is covered
by two adjacent mainchain loops, 88-90 and 36-39, which would have to move apart to allow access to the imidazole ring. Whether this occurs when a macromolecular complex is formed, or when the hydrogen bonding pattern is changed by a pH change, is a matter for speculation. The unusually slow acid-base exchange rate found for this residue in Ps. aeruginosa azurin (62) would suggest that the sidechain is normally inaccessible to solvent in that structure as well.

In plastocyanin, however, His 35 is replaced by Ala 33, and there is no suggestion that this residue is involved in electron transfer. It seems more likely, therefore, that the structure around His 35 is not a binding site for any redox partner, but that the conformational change involving His 35 has an indirect effect on the actual binding site, perhaps the hydrophobic patch, some 7-8 $\AA$ away. This could occur for example through movement of Asp 11, or, more probably, through movement of the structure around Met 44 (part of the hydrophobic patch) when the hydrogen bond between 35 NE2 and 440 is broken.

Control of the electron transfer mechanism, i.e. the signal that the protein is ready to donate or accept an electron may therefore be related to a pH dependent conformational change, either in the copper co-ordination sphere (as has been shown crystallographically to be the case for plastocyanin (106)), or in His 35 (as suggested here for azurin). As a similar pH dependent change has been observed for cytochrome $c-551(214,216)$, such equilibria may be acting as sensitive negative feed-back mechanisms for controlling the flux of elctrons down the electron transfer chain. In order to confirm the exact nature of such changes, however, a series of accurate three dimensional structure analyses of both oxidised and reduced azurin between pH 3.0 and pH 9.0 is necessary. Not only would the results of such a study have direct relevance to possible electron transfer
pathways,but they may elucidate the conformational changes required to bring about the decolourisation of the protein at the extremes of this range $(84,224)$.

It is possible that the electron transfer pathways of azurin and plastocyanin may have diverged somewhat, so that although they may, for instance, have similar binding sites and electron transfer mechanisms for oxidation (say the hydrophobic patch and His 117 or His 87), those for reduction may differ (for example,involve the acidic patch in plastocyanin, and His 35 in azurin). On evolutionary grounds, however, it is more likely that the binding sites and electron transfer mechanisms will be the same in both proteins. Thus comparison of the three dimensional structures of azurin and plastocyanin suggests that oxidation and reduction may proceed via the same electron transfer pathway, this being the exposed edge of His 117 (or His 87 for plastocyanin) with the hydrophobic patch acting as a binding site. The pH effects observed by other workers (192) are therefore more likely to be related to conformational changes remote from the active site, but in some way, as yet unknown, essential to its function.

Finally, it is interesting to extend the homologies between azurin and plastocyanin to other, larger, blue proteins such as laccase, ascorbate oxidase, and perhaps cytochrome oxidase (81). Although the latter is not usually considered as a blue protein, it is known to contain a Type $I$ copper in subunit II, and the amino acid sequence of this subunit is very similar to that of azurin, especially about the copper binding site. However, although the ESR spectrum of this copper (one of the two per molecule) is typical of a Type I copper, it has even narrower hyperfine structure (81), and its optical spectra cannot be studied due to the presence of the heme. The "best" alignment of the sequences shows that the extended loop between residues 37 and 46 of the azurin structure, which is
deleted in plastocyanin, is present in subunit II of cytochrome c oxidase, and the sequence around Gly 45 and His 46 in azurin, Met-Gly-His-Asn-Trp, is matched by Met-Gly-His-Gln-Trp in cytochrome c oxidase(see Figure 1.2.) Since the structural differences between plastocyanin and azurin in this region may be responsible for the observed difference in the co-ordination sphere of the copper (viz. the nearness of the carbonyl oxygen of Gly 45 to the copper atom in azurin), it may be that an analogous close contact between copper and a carbonyl oxygen also occurs in cytochrome oxidase.

Because of the great difference in size between subunit II of cytochrome oxidase and azurin, (227 residues c.f. 129), large additions to the azurin sequence have to be made in order to achieve proper alignment. The largest of these are at the beginning and end of the polypeptide chain. The part of the sequence which is aligned with that of azurin shows a surprising degree of homology, considering the diverse nature of the proteins involved. Thus, 11 residues are invariant between subunit $I I$ and all azurins, with a further 23 conservatively substituted (81). With this alignment, most of the insertions required, in addition to those at the N - and C- terminal ends, are in loops at the ends of $\beta$ strands, or in the "flap" region, and so are not important to the topology. It is thus reasonable to postulate a $\beta$-barrel-type structure for this subunit.

It will be interesting to see if further characterisation of the sequences of other multi-copper oxidases such as laccase and ascorbate oxidase, which contain at least one "Type I" copper, match those of either azurin or plastocyanin. If, when the full sequences are known, they do appear to have evolved from the same ancestral gene as azurin and plastocyanin, prediction of their three dimensional structures may be possible.

## APPENDIX I

The Purification and Crystallization of Cytochromes $c^{\prime}$
from Alcaligenes denitrificans and
Alcaligenes sp.

Cytochrome $c^{\prime}$, like azurin, is thought to be involved in the electron transport chain of photosynthetic and denitrifying bacteria (54, $225,226,227$ ). In cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ the iron atom is in a high spin state, like those in deoxy-haemoglobin and myoglobin, but unlike the iron in eukaryotic cytochrome c. Cytochrome c' also has very distinct magnetic properties, unlike any other haem protein (227, 228,229 ). All cytochromes $c^{\prime}$ so far investigated have shown unusual spectral properties, such as a split Soret band, and a dependence upon $\mathrm{pH}(54,91,225,228,230)$. They are water soluble, autooxidisable and exhibit intermediate oxidation-reduction potentials of between 0 and 150 mV , at or above pH 7.0 (54,91,225 ). The protein usually exists as a dimer (231), with identical subunits of molecular weight 14,000 , each containing a heme group bound to the protein through cysteine-thioether bonds. In contrast to other cytochromes c, the latter connections are near the carboxy rather than the amino terminus, with His as a fifth ligand and no sixth bond to Met $(86,91,232)$. Cytochrome $c$ ' $c$ an be involved in both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism in Pseudomonas species (91), but it appears to be mainly associated with anaerobic photosynthetic bacteria, where it has been shown to play an indirect role in the electron transport pathway (148).

## Purification

The solutions of cytochromes from the carboxy-methyl cellulose columns (Section $2.2(i v)$ ) were concentrated by ultra-filtration and
re-equilibrated in 25 mM tris-HC1 buffer, pH 8.5, by gel filtration (Sephadex G-25 medium). Chromatography on diethylaminoethylcellulose (Whatman DEAE-52) was then carried out to remove any nucleic acids remaining in solution. The cytochrome adsorbed weakly to the column and could be eluted with 0.05 M tris-HC1 buffer, pH 8.5. The resulting solution was concentrated by ultra-filtration before finely powdered ammonium sulphate was added slowly with stirring. During the addition, small amounts of other cytochromes were removed by centrifugation at approximately 38, 49 and 55\% saturation (the cytochromes being identified as c-type cytochromes by their spectra). At $60 \%$ saturation, cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ was precipitated, the resulting pellet being dissolved in a minimum amount of distilled water and subjected to gel filtration (Sephadex G-75 fine) under two different sets of conditions, as described by Ambler (86). The best material obtained had a characteristic spectrum (Figure I-1), and, as can be seen, could easily be distinguished from the c-type cytochromes. It was homogeneous by S.D.S. acrylamide gel electrophoresis (93) and had a spectral ratio, Soret to 280 nm , of 0.33 0.35 (91). The yield was approximately 0.3 mg of protein per litre of culture. Protein concentration was calculated using the extinction coefficients given by Cusanovich (91).

Crystallization of the cytochromes $c^{\prime}$.
Cytochromes c' from several bacterial sources have previously been crystallised. These include Ps. denitrificans (Alc. sp. of this work), Rhodospirillum rubrum, Rhodopseudomonas palustris, Rhodospirillum molischianum and Rhodopseudomonas capsulata (232, 233,234). Of these, the first crystallised as hexagonal bipyramids which were too small for X-ray work, the next three crystallised in the orthorhombic space group $\mathrm{P}_{2} 1^{2} 1^{2} 1$ with 1 or 4 dimer(s) per asymmetric unit, while the last crystallised in the hexagonal space


Figure I-1. Absorption spectra of cytochrome c'
-_ cytochrome c' reduced
---.-- cytochrome c' oxidised
...-.-. cytochrome c reduced
group $\mathrm{P} 6_{2}$ (or $\mathrm{P} 6_{4}$ ) with one subunit per asymmetric unit. While this work was in progress the structure of cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ from . molischianum was reported at $2.5 \AA$ resolution (235).

Cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ from both Alc. denitrificans and Alc. sp. could be crystallised in three ways.
(a) By vapour diffusion: Finely powdered ammonium sulphate was added slowly with stirring to the protein solution $\left(2-10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right.$ in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 8.0) until the solution showed a faint turbidity (approximately $50 \%$ saturated). Buffer was then added drop by drop until the turbidity vanished, and the solution was centrifuged at high speed before being left to equilibrate against $95 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate at room temperature.
(b) By microdialysis: The protein (approximately 25 mg ) was precipitated with ammonium sulphate, centrifuged, and the pellet made up to 1 ml with 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 8.0 . This solution was then dialysed against a $95 \%$ saturated ammonium sulphate solution made up with the same buffer and made 1 M with sodium chloride.
(c) By free interface diffusion: $50 \mu 1$ of protein solution made up as in (b) was carefully layered on $200 \mu 1$ of saturated ammonium sulphate solution made using 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 8.0 .

The largest crystals were obtained using the first method and usually appeared within 3-4 weeks. Those from Alc. denitrificans were chunky hexagonal bipyramids, very dark brown in colour and up to 3 mm in length. (Figure $\mathrm{I}-2$ ). Those from Alc. sp. were dark brown hexagonal needles up to 5 mm long with a cross section about $1 / 6$ their length at one end, tapering to the other (Figure I-3).


Figure I-2 Crystals of cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ from Alcaligenes denitrificans $(\times 63)$.


Figure I-3 Crystals of cytochrome c' from Alcaligenes $s p(\times 63)$.

## Characterization of the Crystals.

Both cytochromes $c^{\prime}$ crystallized in the same hexagonal space group $6_{1} 22$ (or its enantiomorph $P 6522$ ). The length of the nonunique axes and the intensity distribution in the diffraction pattern seemed to be identical in both protein crystals, so that apart from a small difference in the $c$ axis, they can be considered isomorphous. Table I-1 summarizes the unit cell parameters for both crystals.

Table I-1. The Unit Cell Parameters for Cytochrome $c^{\prime}$ from Alc. denitrificans and Alc. sp.

| Source | Alcaligenes denitrificans | Alcaligenes sp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a(\AA)$ | 54.7 | 54.7 |
| b ( $\AA$ ) | 54.7 | 54.7 |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 181.5 | 187.3 |
| $\alpha^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma^{0}$ | 120 503 | 120 503 |
| V | $4.703 \times 10^{5} \AA^{3}$ | $4.85 \times 10^{5} \AA^{3}$ |
| $\rho^{a}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2.8 \AA^{3}$ dalton ${ }^{-1}$ | $2.89 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$ dalton ${ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c}$ | 44\% | 43\% |
| nd | 1 subunit | 1 subunit |

a Crystal densities were measured by a density gradient column (112) made up with water-saturated bromobenzene/kerosene with a range of $1.059 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}-1.426 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$
b crystal volume per unit of protein molecular weight (113)
c fraction of the unit cell occupied by the protein (113)
d molecules of protein per asymmetric unit.

The crystals diffracted well, the diffraction pattern extending strongly to at least $2 \AA$. A value of $V_{m}$ very similar to that found for $R$. capsulata ( $2.77 \AA$ dalton ${ }^{-1}$ ) suggests a similar packing of the symmetry-related subunits for both proteins.

## APPENDIX II

## Computer Programs Used

The authors whose names are given in parentheses have made important modifications to the programs, and/or have adapted the programs to run on the B 6700 of the Massey University Computer Centre.

## 1. DENSFOR

C.E.Nockolds and R.H. Kretsinger, University of Virginia, U.S.A.
(B.F. Anderson and S.V. Rumball, Massey University, New Zealand).

This program extracts integrated, background-corrected intensities from density data output on magnetic tape by the Optronics rotating drum microdensitometer. A "fiducial hole" must be punched in each film at the approximate centre of the reciprocal lattice nett. It is assumed that one axis in the diffraction pattern lies within $0.5^{0}$ of the vertical direction of the film. Thus an initial transformation matrix can be derived, and this is then refined using a row of reflections parallel to the densitometer $x$ direction, and containing a reasonable number of strong reflections. This matrix is in turn used to predict the approximate positions of all reflections on the film. The intensity for each reflection is integrated over a box, the dimensions of which can be entered into the program to allow for variations in spot shape and size. The background is measured either side of the spot in the $x$ or $y$ direction and subtracted from the integrated intensity.

## 2. VENUS

B.W. Matthews,C.E. Klopfenstein, and P.M. Colman. J. Phys. E5 (1972) 353.
(B.F. Anderson, Massey University, New Zealand)

Converts the output from DENSFOR to structure factor amplitudes. In doing this it averages all synmetry-related reflections, calculating a merging $R$ factor for the latter, and ensures that systematically absent reflections are removed. Film-to-film scale factors and Lorentz-polarisation corrections are applied.

## 3. WPSCALE

B.F. Anderson, Massey University, New Zealand.

This program uses a Wilson-type ploi to scale the derivative intensities, $\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{PH}^{2}$ to the native intensities $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}$. Values of $\log \left(\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}{ }^{2} / \sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{2}\right)$ in blocks of $(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}$ are calculated using linear regression procedures to obtain $2 B$ (the slope) and the scale factor K (intercept). The derivatives are scaled using the following equation.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}(\text { corr })=\mathrm{KF}^{2} \mathrm{PH} \exp \left(2 \operatorname{Bsin}^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}\right)
$$

The output is a list of reflections with amplitudes $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}$, the derivative intensity $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}$ and the isomorphous difference $\Delta_{\text {iso }}$ $\left(\right.$ where $\Delta_{\text {iso }}=\left(F_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ ).
4. FOURIER
A. Zalkin, University of California, U.S.A.
R.J. Dellaca, Canterbury University, New Zealand.

A rewritten and expanded version of the program FORDAP by A. Zalkin, written by R.J. Dellaca, Canterbury University, New Zealand. It calculates Fourier syntheses, and Patterson maps.
5. CUCLS
W.R. Busing, K.O. Martin, and H.A. Levy. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S.A.
R.J. Dellaca, Canterbury University, New Zealand.

A modified version of ORFLS (by Busing, Martin and Levy) written by R.J. Dellaca. A full matrix least squares program for refinement.
6. HILGNIX
R.J. Dellaca, Canterbury University, New Zealand.
P.E. Nixon, Auckland University, New Zealand.
(E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand.)

HILGNIX is a processing program for data collected on a Hilger and Watts diffractometer. Parts of it are based on the program DRED (by J.F. Blount) and parts on the program PICKOUT (by R.J. Doedens). Key subroutines include the following.
(a) Input: Reads the data from the paper tape. Mis-setting of the crystal is frequently revealed by unequal backgrounds. Although the peak count is often relatively unaffected, the uneven backgrounds adversely affect the background-averaging routine. To avoid this, if one background is significantly greater than the other, both are set equal to the lower of the two.
(b) Stdiv: Corrects for radiation damage to the crystal. A linear correction is made, where the corrected intensity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\text {corr }}=I / K, \text { where } \\
& K=1-(N / N t) P / 100
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{P}=\%$ drop in intensity in a block of data
$\mathrm{N}=$ reflection number
Nt $=$ total number of reflections in the block of data.
(c) Backav: Averages the backgrounds, enabling them to be counted for a shorter time than is usual. This procedure is discussed fully in Section 2.6.2 under the heading "Data Processing".
(d) Bsublp: Applies background correction and Lp corrections.
(e) Empabs: Corrects for absorption using an empirical absorption curve as described by North, Phillips and Matthews (130). See Section 2.5.2.
7. MERGEDATA
E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand.

Shells of data are merged into one unique data set. A weighted mean is taken for reflections measured more than once, and for equivalent reflections. For heavy atom derivatives, Friedel pairs are kept separate. The average intensity is calculated in intervals of $\sin ^{2} \theta$, as is an $R$ index measuring the agreement between the Friedel pairs

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=\Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}^{+}-\mathrm{F}^{-}\right| / \Sigma \frac{1}{2}\left|\mathrm{~F}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-}\right|
$$

## 8. PHASIP

E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand.

This program scales the native and derivative data sets together using procedures described by Wyckoff et al. (132). All derivative data is scaled by a factor $k$, where

$$
\mathrm{k}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}}{\sum \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{PH}}^{2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{\sum\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{PH}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}\right|}{\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{P}}}\right)\right)
$$

The output is a file of reflections hkl with structure factor amplitudes for the native crystals and for each heavy atom derivative (with the anomalous data being retained for the derivatives).

## 9. PHASE

B.W. Matthews, Institute for Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, U.S.A.
(B.F. Anderson, E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand).

The program determines phases from isomorphous replacement measurements. Errors are taken into account using the method of Blow and Crick $(153,158)$. Both the "most probable" and "best" phases are calculated. Anomalous scattering measurements may be included in the phase determination as described by North (155) and Matthews (147).
10. MODFIT
N. Isaacs and E.J. Dodson, Chemistry Department, University
of York, United Kingdom.
(E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand).

A program which adjusts a set of protein co-ordinates by least squares to obtain an acceptable geometry. It uses an iterative conjugent gradient algorithm for the minimisation. (For details see Rollett "Computing Methods in Crystallography"). A complete description of the method and program is given by Dodson et al. (237).
11. NOLIN6
W.G.J. Hol, Department of Chemical Physics, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.
(E.N. Baker, Massey University, New Zealand).

This program enables all or part of one protein molecule to be superimposed on another. An iterative non-linear least squares method is used, based on that of Rao and Rossman (163). See also section 3.12
12. ORTEP
K.C. Johnson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report, ORNL-5138 (1976) U.S.A.
(B.F. Anderson, Massey University, New Zealand).

This program produces diagrams of molecular structures, either in mono or in stereo, from supplied atomic co-ordinates.
13. CONTOUR
E.J. Dodson. University of York, U.K.

## APPENDIX III

The Removal of Cu by KCN

In solution, the Cu atom can readily be removed by dialysis of the reduced protein against 0.5 M cyanide (236), and of the oxidised protein against 0.1 M cyanide (97). It was found that soaking the azurin crystals in 0.1 M KCN solution at room temperature caused the crystals to become colourless within 1 week. When this was originally discovered (when crystals were first obtained), it was observed, however, that after a period of time the blue colour of the crystals gradually returned, and it was thus assumed that the copper had been reduced rather than removed.

Near the end of the structure determination when interest was centred on finding an isomorphous reduced crystal, the effect of KCN was recalled, and the experiment repeated. In order to establish the fact that the copper had in fact been reduced and not removed, atomic absorption analysis for copper was carried out on some crystals that were treated in the following way: Some small crystals were soaked in a 0.1 M KCN solution, $75 \%$ saturated with ammonium sulphate, until they became colourless. They were then filtered, washed with a $75 \%$ saturated solution of ammonium sulphate, before being dissolved in deionized water and subjected to atomic absorption analysis. The results of this analysis showed the copper concentration to be approximately $10 \%$ of the protein concentration (as measured by the absorbance at 280 nm ), indicating that most of the copper had been removed from the protein.

Some crystals, large enough for photography, had been treated in the same way, and as these had no surface cracks,suggesting no major conformational changes in the protein, a precession photograph was taken of the hol zone of one of them. This showed that the
crystal diffracted well, although there appeared to be a slight increase in both the $a$ and $c$ axial lengths ( $a=75.3$ c.f. $75.0 \AA$; $\mathrm{c}=99.6 \AA$ c.f. 99.5母) .

If this had been realized when the search for heavy atom derivatives was being undertaken, metal replacement experiments would have been carried out with the hope of isomorphism being restored on the binding of another metal. Several such replacements have been successfully carried out in the process of spectral investigations into the blue copper site $(17,18,42,43)$ and although these have involved metals with atomic numbers smaller than that of copper, i.e. nickel, cobalt and manganese,it is possible that substitution of the metal site by mercury or cadmium might have been possible, resulting in a useful change of scattering material.

| ALA | 1 |  |  | ！ | －． 155 | 3.190 | 0．094 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | －． 317 | 0.223 | 0.024 | Cd | －． 145 | 0.173 | 0.093 |
| CA | －． 301 | 0.231 | 0.013 | C | －． 137 | 0.158 | C． 107 |
| C | －． 295 | 0.247 | 0.026 | 0 | －． 140 | 0． 179 | 0.117 |
| 0 | －． 287 | 0.245 | 0.037 | CR | －． 130 | 0.176 | 0.033 |
| CB | －． 304 | 0.235 | 0.003 | CC1 | －． 113 | 2.159 | 0.032 |
|  |  |  |  | CD1 | －． 101 | 0.164 | 0.073 |
| GLiN | 2 |  |  | CG2 | －． 120 | 0.193 | 0.085 |
| N | －． 299 | 0.263 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | －． 293 | 0.279 | 0.029 | （LL！ | 8 |  |  |
| C | －． 274 | 0.284 | 0.026 | ． | －． 129 | 0． 153 | －． 108 |
| $\bigcirc$ | －． 267 | 0.298 | 0.031 | CA | －． 122 | 0.146 | 0.121 |
| CB | －． 296 | 0.275 | 0.044 | c | －． 102 | 0.141 | 0.120 |
| CG | －． 311 | 0.261 | 0.045 | 0 | －． 096 | 0.135 | 0.109 |
| CD | －． 319 | 0.261 | 0.060 | CB | －． 134 | 0.131 | 0.126 |
| OE1 | －． 317 | 0.274 | 0.067 | CG | －． 147 | 0.138 | 0.136 |
| HE2 | －． 328 | 0.246 | 0.063 | CD | －． 162 | 0.124 | 0.139 |
|  |  |  |  | OE1 | －． 177 | 0.130 | 0.142 |
| CYS | 3 |  |  | OE2 | －． 158 | 0.108 | 0.137 |
| N | －． 266 | 0.273 | 0.017 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | －． 247 | 0.277 | 0.013 | SER | 9 |  |  |
| C | －． 235 | 0.283 | 0.025 | N | －． 094 | 0.141 | 0.132 |
| 0 | －． 234 | 0.297 | 0.028 | CA | －． 075 | 0.135 | 0.134 |
| CB | －． 245 | 0.288 | 0.000 | C | －． 075 | 0.123 | 0.146 |
| SG | －． 251 | 0.311 | 0.001 | 0 | －． 085 | 0.127 | 0.156 |
|  |  |  |  | c， | －． 064 | 0.152 | 0.136 |
| GLU | 4 |  |  | OG | －． 045 | 0.146 | 0.136 |
| $N$ | －． 227 | 0.269 | 0.031 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | －． 214 | 0.270 | 0.042 | ASA | 10 |  |  |
| c | －． 212 | 0.250 | 0.047 | id | －． 065 | 0.109 | 0.145 |
| 0 | －． 223 | 0.239 | 0.043 | CA | －． 064 | 0.096 | 0.157 |
| C3 | －． 219 | 0.283 | 0.053 | C | －． 045 | 0.096 | 0.163 |
| CG | －． 236 | 0.279 | 0.061 | 0 | －． 032 | 0.102 | 0.155 |
| CD | －． 231 | 0.270 | 0.074 | CB | －． 071 | 0.077 | 0.154 |
| OE1 | －． 227 | 0.254 | 0.074 | CS | －． 065 | 0.067 | 0．14？ |
| 0玉2 | －． 231 | 0.281 | 0.084 | 0 D 1 | －． 062 | 0.050 | 1． 143 |
|  |  |  |  | N1） 2 | －．064 | 0.076 | 0.131 |
| ALA | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | －． 197 | 0.247 | 0.054 | ASP | 11 |  |  |
| CA | －． 193 | 0.228 | 0.057 | $\because$ | －． 043 | 0.087 | 0.174 |
| C | －． 197 | 0.223 | 0.071 | CA | －． 025 | 0.084 | 0.180 |
| 0 | －． 212 | 0.226 | 0.077 | C | －． 018 | 0.066 | 0.174 |
| C13 | －． 174 | 0.223 | 0.053 | 0 | －． 002 | 0.0 .63 | 0.173 |
|  |  |  |  | CB | －． 025 | ว．034 | 0.195 |
| THR | 6 |  |  | CG | －． 038 | 0.070 | 0.201 |
| ． | －． 184 | 0.214 | 0.077 | 0 D 1 | －． 051 | 0.075 | 0.208 |
| CA | －． 182 | 0.207 | 0.090 | OD2 | －． 033 | 0.053 | 0．193 |
| C | －． 172 | 0.189 | 0.090 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | －． 180 | 0.175 | 0.086 | ALA | 12 |  |  |
| CB | －． 198 | 0.207 | 0.100 | N | －． 032 | 0.056 | 0.170 |
| OG1 | －． 211 | 0.220 | 0.096 | CA | －． 029 | 0.038 | 0.164 |
| CG2 | －． 192 | 0.210 | 0.114 | C | －． 019 | 0.039 | 0.151 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | －． 016 | 0.026 | 0.144 |
| LE | 7 |  |  | CB | ． 0 |  | 0.162 |

ILE 7
$\begin{array}{llll}1 . & -.155 & 3.190 & 0.0 \% 4\end{array}$
C $-.137 \quad 0.158 \quad 0.107$
－－． 1400.1790 .117
CR $C$ C $1=.113$ I． 1590.032
CD1－． 1010.1640 .073
（L！ 8
$\begin{array}{llll}11 & -.129 & 0.153 & 0.108\end{array}$
Ca－． 1220.1460 .121
O－． $096 \begin{array}{lll}0.135 & 0.109\end{array}$ CB -.1340 .1310 .126 CG－． 1.170 .1380 .136 OE1 -.1770 .1300 .142 OE2 -.158 0． 1080.137

SER 9
$-\begin{array}{lll}-.094 & 0.141 & 0.132\end{array}$
C $\begin{array}{llll} & -.075 & 0.135 & 0.134\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & -.075 & 0.123 & 0.146 \\ 0 & -.085 & 0.127 & 0.156\end{array}$
CB $-.064 \quad 0.152 \quad 0.136$
ASN 10
CA $-.065 \quad 0.1090 .145$
C $\quad .0450 .0960 .157$ $0 \quad-.032 \quad 0.102 \quad 0.155$ $C B \quad-.071 \quad 0.0770 .154$ OD1－． $062 \quad 0.050 \quad 0.143$ ASP 11
$\begin{array}{llll}-.043 & 0.087 & 0.174\end{array}$ CA－． 0250.0340 .180 C $-.0180 .066 \quad 0.171$ CB $\begin{array}{llll}-.025 & \text { j．} & 034 & 0.195\end{array}$ CG $-.038 \quad 0.070 \quad 0.201$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { OD1 } & -.051 & 0.075 & 0.208 \\ \text { OD2 } & -.033 & 0.053 & 0.193\end{array}$

ALA 12
CA 0.0290 .0380 .170 C $-.0190 .039 \quad 0.151$ CB－． 0470.0290 .162
：iET 13
-.0130 .0560 .148
－．002 2.057 2． 136
$\begin{array}{lll}-.013 & 0.057 & 0.123\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}-.013 & 0.043 & 0.117\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}-.013 & 0.043 & 0.117 \\ 0.014 & 0.045 & 0.136\end{array}$
0.0260 .0500 .119
D 0.0310 .0310 .159
C゚
$\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 14\end{aligned}$
$\begin{array}{llll}1: & -.021 & 0.072 & 0.119\end{array}$
CA -.0300 .073 ग． 106
$-.0430 .089 \quad 0.104$
-.0440 .1010 .112
－． 0370.0550 .100
－． 0560.0500 .105
D－．05¢ 0.0310 .112
OE1－． 0420.0220 .113
NE2－． $0720.027 \quad 0.117$
TYR 15
$\begin{array}{lll}-.052 & 0.088 & 0.092\end{array}$
CA -.0640 .1030 .083
c $\quad-.0810 .0940 .082$
－． 0800.0810 .075
CB -.0570 .1130 .076
C $C$ I -.0530 .1340 .078
CD1－． 0450.1430 .057
CE1－． 0410.1610 .069
CZ $\quad$－． 04300.1700 .081
CE2－． 0510.1600 .032
CD2－． 0560.1420 .090
OH -.0330 .1380 .083
aSP 16
id $-.095 \quad 0.1030 .085$
CA $-.1140 .100 \quad 0.081$
C $-.11 ; 0.1020 .066$
$\begin{array}{llll}-.117 & 0.117 & 0.060\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C B & -.125 & 0.113 & 0.089\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CI } & -.144 & 0.105 & 0.092 \\ \text { CDI } & -.147 & 0.091 & 0.085\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD1 } & -.147 & 0.091 & 0.085 \\ \text { OD2 } & -.153 & 0.112 & 0.101\end{array}$
LEU 17
－$-.128 \quad 0.083 \quad 0.061$
CA.$- .1380 .0 y 10.048$
C $\quad-.128 \quad 0.08,40.036$
$0 \quad-.1290 .06330 .033$
$C B \quad-.1410 .1120 .047$
CG -.1520 .1140 .059

CD2－．15： 0.1400 .057
LYS 16
$-.1210 .0970 .028$ $\begin{array}{lll}-.121 & 0.097 & 0.028 \\ -.115 & 0.093 & 0.014\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}-.115 & 0.073 & 0.014 \\ -.110 & 0.111 & 0.008\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}-.095 & 0.117 & 0.008\end{array}$ －． 1310.0840 .007 $\begin{array}{lll}-.14 .3 & 0.039 & 0.015\end{array}$ －． 1640.0860 .005 $\begin{array}{lll}. .1810 .053 & 0.015 \\ -.172 & 0.070 & 0.025\end{array}$ GLU 19 $\begin{array}{ll}-.1 ? .4 & 0.1200 .003\end{array}$ CA－． 123 0． $137-.004$ C $\quad-.1370 .151 \quad 0.000$ 0 －．15？ 0.1460 .003 CB $\quad-.12710 .132-.019$ CD $-.1490 .105-.018$ DE1－． 1520.088 －． 020 OE2－． $160 \quad 0.117-.014$

AET 20 H $\quad-.1310 .168-.001$ CA -.1430 .1830 .001 C $\quad-.149 \quad 0.191-.013$ $-\quad-.1410 .186-.023$ （8）－． 1340.1980 .009 cu－． 1220.1910 .020 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { SD } & -.129 & 0.195 & 0.037 \\ \text { CE } & -.131 & 0.173 & 0.044\end{array}$

VAL 21
．－． 162 0．20？－． 013 CA－． 170 0．211－．02 C $-.1800 .229-.021$ O－． $1910.229-.012$ CB－． $1840.158-.031$ CG1－． $1770.179-.033$ C§2－． $1510.205-.044$

VAL 22
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & -.176 & 0.243 & -.029 \\ C A & -.184 & 0.260 & -.027\end{array}$ CA $\begin{array}{llll}-.184 & 0.260 & -.027\end{array}$ C $-.200 \quad 0.266,-.035$ 0 －． $2090.279-.031$ CB－． $1700.275-.028$ CG1－． $1520.269-.023$ CG2－． 176 0．292－．0？0

| CA | -. 220 | 0.261 | -. 054 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -. 217 | 0.280 | -. 060 |
| 0 | -. 204 | 0.290 | -. 051 |
| CB | -. 237 | 0.261 | -. 046 |
| CG | -. 252 | 0.250 | -. 053 |
| 001 | -. 246 | 0.235 | -. 058 |
| 002 | -. 267 | 0.256 | -. 053 |
| LYS | 24 |  |  |
| N | -.220 | 0.284 | -. 071 |
| CA | -. 225 | 0.301 | -. 077 |
| C | -. 236 | 0.316 | -. 072 |
| 0 | -. 236 | 0.33 ? | -. 076 |
| CB | -. 225 | 0.299 | -. 093 |
| CG | -. 210 | 0.285 | -. 096 |
| CD | -. 202 | 0.288 | -. 110 |
| CE | -. 199 | 0.270 | -. 117 |
| NZ | -. 181 | 0.270 | -. 124 |
| SER | 25 |  |  |
| N | -. 249 | 0.310 | -. 063 |
| CA | -. 262 | c. 322 | -. 056 |
| C | -. 252 | 0.335 | -. 046 |
| 0 | -. 257 | 0.350 | -. 045 |
| CB | -. 275 | 0.310 | -. 048 |
| OG | -. 271 | 0.292 | -. 051 |
| CYS | 26 |  |  |
| N | -. 240 | 0.326 | -. 040 |
| C. | -. 230 | 0.334 | -. 028 |
| C | -. 215 | 0.346 | -. 034 |
| 0 | -. 204 | 0.340 | -. 042 |
| C 0 | -. 223 | 0.320 | -. 019 |
| SG | -. 226 | 0.323 | -.00 1 |
| LYS | 27 |  |  |
| :1 | -. 214 | 0.362 | -. 028 |
| CA | -. 193 | 0.373 | -. 032 |
| C | -. 185 | 0.373 | -. 020 |
| 0 | -. 168 | 10.374 | -. 022 |
| CB | -. 203 | 0.392 | -. 036 |
| C G | -. 198 | 0.405 | -. 030 |
| CD | -. 196 | 0.424 | -. 027 |
| CE | -. 182 | 0.438 | -. 030 |
| NZ | -. 190 | 0.456 | -. 029 |
| GL: | 28 |  |  |
| N | -. 192 | 0.372 | -. 008 |
| CA | -. 181 | 0.371 | 0.004 |
| C | -. 181 | 0.351 | 0.010 |
| 0 | -. 195 | 0.343 | 0.011 |
| CB | -. 189 | 0.382 | 0.016 |
| CG | -. 187 | 0.403 | 0.013 |
| CD | -. 190 | 0.413 | 0.026 |

OE1 -. 1910.4050 .037 NE2 -. 1910.4310 .024 Prife 29 $\begin{array}{llllll}11 & -.165 & 0.344 & 0.013\end{array}$ CA $-.164 \quad 0.326 \quad 0.010$ C.$- .160 \quad 0.3270 .035$ $0 \quad-.1520 .340 \quad 0.040$ CB.$- .150 \quad 0.314 \quad 0.012$ CG -.1570 .2950 .013 CD1 -. $1440.280 \quad 0.013$ CE1 -. 1500.2630 .015 $\begin{array}{llllll}C Z & -.158 & 0.259 & 0.018\end{array}$ CE. $2-.1310 .2740 .018$ CD2 -. 1750.2910 .016

THR 30
$4 \quad-.1650 .3120 .041$ Ca -. 1650.3110 .056 C -.1600 .2920 .062 ○ -. 1700.2790 .060 CB -. 1860.3130 .060 OG1-. 1920.3280 .052 CG2 -. $188 \quad 0.317 \quad 0.075$

VAL 31
N $\quad-.1450 .2910 .069$ CA -. 1390.2730 .074 C $-.1390 .269 \quad 0.039$ $0-.1330 .2800 .097$ CB -. $120 \quad 0.2700 .059$ CG1 -. $1160.250 \quad 0.069$ CG2 -. 1070.2800 .072

HIS 32
N $\quad-.1460 .2530 .073$
$\begin{array}{llll}C A & -.145 & 0.248 & 0.107\end{array}$
C $\quad-.1370 .230 \quad 0.110$
$0 \quad-.1430 .2150 .107$ CB.- .1670 .2460 .110 CG.- .1750 .2640 .108 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { ND } 1 & -.194 & 0.265 & 0.103\end{array}$ CE1 -. 1960.2830 .106 NE.2 -. 1810.2920 .104 CD2 -. $1670.280 \quad 0.105$

LEU 33
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & -.123 & 0.231 & 0.118\end{array}$
CA -.1130 .2150 .122
C $\quad-.118 \quad 0.210 \quad 0.137$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & -.118 & 0.221 & 0.146\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & -.092 & 0.219 & 0.123 \\ \text { CG } & -.081 & 0.206 & 0.114\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG } & -.081 & 0.206 & 0.114 \\ \text { CD1 } & -.081 & 0.186 & 0.119\end{array}$

CD2 -.0610 .2130 .115 LYS 34
N -. $120 \quad 0.1920 .132$ CA -. 1230.1360 .153 C -. 1070.1720 .158 $0 \quad-.1050 .1590 .151$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C B & -.142 & 0.179 & 0.155\end{array}$

HIS 35
$\begin{array}{lllll} \\ C\end{array} \quad-.1020 .1750 .170$ CA $-.039 \quad 0.161 \quad 0.175$ C -.0990 .1490 .185 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & -.097 & 0.150 & 0.197\end{array}$ CB $\begin{array}{lllll} & -.072 & 0.170 & 0.180\end{array}$ C.G $-.0550 .158 \quad 0.180$ HD1 -. 0550.1410 .186 CE1 -. $039 \quad 0.135 \quad 0.185$ 1はE2 -. ©2; 0. 1470.178 CD2 -. 039 1). 1620.175

VAL 36
$1 \quad-.1110 .138 \quad 0.179$ CA -. $1210.126 \quad 0.188$ C $\quad-.1080 .1120 .195$ $0 \quad-.1120 .0960 .196$ $\begin{array}{llll}C B & -.137 & 0.117 & 0.182 \\ C & -.146 & 0.104 & 0.192\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG1 } & -.146 & 0.104 & 0.192 \\ \text { CG2 } & -.151 & 0.131 & 0.178\end{array}$ GLY 3
$11 \quad-.093 \quad 0.120 \quad 0.197$
CA $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -.078 & 0.109 & 0.204\end{array}$
C $\quad-.072 \quad 0.1150 .218$
$0 \quad-. C 31 \quad 0.125 \quad 0.226$
LYS 30
CA $\quad-.055 \quad 0.108 \quad 0.221$
CA $-.043 \quad 0.108 \quad 0.234$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -.027 & 0.109 & 0.233 \\ 0 & -.019 & 0.095 & 0.231\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & -.019 & 0.095 & 0.231\end{array}$
C: $3-.05 ; \quad 0.0900 .241$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C L & -.051 & 0.092 & 0.256\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C D & -.053 & 0.077 & 0.263 \\ C E & -.057 & 0.059 & 0.256\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C E & -.057 & 0.059 & 0.256 \\ N Z & -.055 & 0.044 & 0.264\end{array}$
HET 3;
N $\quad-.021 \quad 0.1260 .234$
CA -. $002 \quad 0.130 \quad 0.232$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & -. & 0.02 & 0.150 & 0.229 \\ 0 & -.015 & 0.156 & 0.222\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & -. & 015 & 0.156 & 0.222\end{array}$
CG 0.Co7 0.098 0.228

SD 0.0260 .0840 .222
C'F. 0.0200 .0790 .205
nla 40
0.0100 .1600 .234 ca $0.0060 .180 \quad 0.234$ C 0.0130 .1930 .226
$0 \quad 0.020 \quad 0.2393 .230$
CE 0.0010 .1870 .243
LYS 41
N $0.027 \quad 0.1370 .215$ CA $0.038 \quad 0.201 \quad 0.209$ C $\quad 0.057 \quad 0.198 \quad 0.215$ 00.0580 .1950 .228 CB 0.0320 .2190 .216 CG 0.0170 .2290 .203 CD $0.014 \quad 0.2470 .214$ CE 0.0120 .2620 .203 NZ $0.0220 .278 \quad 0.207$

N $0.070 \quad 0.198 \quad 0.207$ CA $\quad 0.038 \quad 0.1920 .211$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.089 & 0.172 & 0.203 \\ 0 & 0.103 & 0.164 & 0.208\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.103 & 0.164 & 0.208 \\ \text { CB } & 0.088 & 0.194 & 0.227\end{array}$ VAL 43
0.0730 .1660 .204

CA $\quad 0.0710 .1470 .200$
C 0.05 ? 0.1430 .195
$0 \quad 0.0390 .1490 .203$ CB 0.0790 .1340 .210 CG1 0.0710 .1400 .224 CG2 0.0990 .1360 .210

$$
\text { UFT } 44
$$

* 0.0500 .1330 .185

CA $0.032 \quad 0.128 \quad 0.130$
c $\quad 0.021 \quad 0.145 \quad 0.177$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.005 & 0.144 & 0.176\end{array}$
CB 0.0210 .1130 .185
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG } & 0.012 & 0.104 & 0.174 \\ \text { SD } & 0.028 & 0.037 & 0.161\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SD } & 0.028 & 0.037 & 0.161 \\ \text { CE } & 0.033 & 0.0 .3 & 0.170\end{array}$ GLY i45

| N | 0.031 | 0.160 | 0.174 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA | C | 0.22 | 0.177 |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & \mathrm{C} .0 .22 & 0.177 & 0.1 \% 1 \\ \mathrm{C} & 0.014 & 0.176 & 0.157\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.014 & 0.176 & 0.157 \\ 0 & 0.019 & 0.1614 & 0.149\end{array}$
!145 46
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.003 & 0.185 & 0.154 \\ \mathrm{Ca} & -.005 & 0.159 & 0.140\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccccc}C . & -.005 & 0.133 & 0.14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { c } & -.008 & 0.208 & 0.134 \\ 0 & -.010 & 0.221 & 0.142\end{array}$ CB $\begin{array}{lllll}-.023 & 0.221 & 0.178 & 3.141\end{array}$ CG -.0190 .1580 .143 ND1 -.006 0.1490 .136 CE1 -. 007 0.132 0.140 NE2 -.019 0. 1290.149 CD2 -. 0270.1460 .151

AS:I 47
N $\quad-.0080 .2070 .121$ CA -. 0080.2230 .112 C $\quad-.0200 .2180 .100$ $0-0250.2030 .099$ CB 0.0110 .2240 .107 CG 0.0200 .2430 .106 OD1 0.0370 .2430 .10 ND2 $0.0100 .257 \quad 0.109$

TRP 40
N -. $0220.232 \quad 0.091$ CA -. 0310.2290 .078 C $\quad-.0250 .2450 .069$ $0-0310.2600 .071$ CB -. 0510.2260 .079 CG -. 0610.2290 .066 CD1 -. 0700.2170 .059 NE1 -. 0780.2260 .048 CE2 -. 0740.2430 .049 C22 -. 0790.2570 .040 CH2 -. 0720.2750 .043 CZ3-.062 0.2780 .054 CE3 -. $0570.264 \quad 0.053$ CD2 -. $0630.246 \quad 0.060$

VAL 49
N $\quad-.0130 .2410 .060$ CA $-.006 \quad 0.2560 .052$ C $\quad-.0090 .2520 .037$ $0 \quad-.008 \quad 0.2370 .032$ CB $\quad 0.0150 .2570 .053$ CG1 0.0200 .2760 .058 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG2 } & 0.024 & 0.253 & 0.039\end{array}$

LEU 50
N $\quad-.0130 .267 \quad 0.030$ CA -.0190 .2660 .016 C $\quad-.0030 .2700 .006$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.006 & 0.284 & 0.007 \\ C H & -034 & 0.279 & 0.013\end{array}$ CB -. 0340.2790 .013 CG -. 0470.2710 .003 CD1 -. 0560.2540 .008

ClD2 -.LS1 0.235 -.001 THR 51
i1 -. $002 \quad 0.259-.005$ CA $\quad 0.011 \quad 0.263-015$ C $0.0090 .254-.023$ $0 \quad 0.0020 .239-.02$ CB $0.0300 .257-.009$ OG1 $0.0430 .270-.014$ C.G2 $0.0350 .239-.016$

LYS 5 ?
N $0.0170 .263-.030$
CA 0.020 $0.254-.051$
C $0.0290 .236-.048$
$0 \quad 0.0410 .235-.040$
CB $0.0350 .266-.059$
CG $0.0250 .280-.068$
CD $0.0410 .289-.076$
CE $0.0380 .286-.091$
is $20.0430 .303-.093$
GLU 53
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0.023 & 0.223-.056\end{array}$
CA $0.030 \quad 0.204-.056$
C $0.0510 .203-.050$
$0 \quad 0.0580 .189-.052$
CB $0.022 \quad 0.194-.008$ CG 0.027 0.204-.081 CD $0.0190 .223-.082$ OE1 $0.0020 .225-.080$ OF. $20.0300 .236-.085$

ALA 54
H $0.0590 .217-.061$
CA $0.0790 .217-.06$
C. $0.038 \quad 0.226-.051$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.104 & 0.225 & -.04\end{array}$
CB $0.0840 .227-.076$
ASP 55
N $0.0760 .235-.043$ CA $0.0820 .246-.032$ C $\quad 0.079 \quad 0.235-.013$ $00.0770 .2112-.008$ CR $0.0710 .263-.031$ CG $0.080 \quad 0.279-.038$ OD1 0.076 כ. $281-.05$ $0020.090 \quad 0.288-.03$

LYS 56
N $0.080 \quad 0.217-.020$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.080 & 0.217 & -.020 \\ \mathrm{CA} & 0.075 & 0.205 & -.009\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.075 & 0.205 & -.009 \\ \text { C } & 0.092 & 0.201 & -.000\end{array}$
00.0930 .2070 .011 Cㄹ $0.0680 .187-.015$ CG $0.0820 .175-.021$
C) $0.0850 .160-.035$

CE $0.1060 .177-.038$
は $0.1110 .186-.051$

GLU 57
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0.104 & 0.150 & -.005\end{array}$
C. 0.1200 .1860 .003

C $\quad 0.1240 .2050 .005$
0.1350 .2080 .017
C. $0.1330 .174-.005$

CG $0.1530 .179-.003$
CD $0.160 \quad 0.187-.016$ DE1 $0.1580 .178-.026$ UE2 ?. 168 ग.2.02 -. 014

GLY 53
N $0.1293 .215-.005$
CA $0.1350 .234-.005$
C 0.1320 .2450 .007
$0 \quad 0.1450 .2520 .013$
VAL 3
$\therefore \quad 0.115 \quad 0.248 \quad 0.011$ CA 0.1120 .2590 .023 C $\quad 0.1140 .2480 .036$ 0.1200 .2550 .046 CB $0.0940 .270 \quad 0.023$ CG1 0.0940 .2860 .014 CG2 0.0920 .2760 .038
ala
ii $\quad 0.108 \quad 0.232 \quad 0.035$
CA $\quad 0.108 \quad 0.220 \quad 0.047$
C $\quad 0.126 \quad 0.222 \quad 0.054$
$\begin{array}{llll}0.127 & 0.222 & 0.067\end{array}$

THR is
0.11100 .2230 .046

CA $\quad 0.153 \quad 0.223 \quad 0.050$ C $0.165 \quad 0.2420 .056$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.173 & 0.242 & 0.066\end{array}$ CE 0.1700 .2180 .033 0 L 110.1620 .2040 .031

## Sp

$0.1620 .256 \quad 0.048$
C: 10.16800 .2740 .051
C $\quad 0.1610 .2790 .066$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.161 & 0.279 & 0.066 \\ 0 & 0.171 & 0.287 & 0.074\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll} & 0.161 & 0.283 & 0.041\end{array}$
CG 0.1760 .2990 .035
0.10 .1720 .3080 .025 0 ก2 0.1910 .2990 .041

GLY 63
is 0.1460 .2710 .069
CA 0.1370 .2750 .081
C $0.146 \quad 0.2660 .093$
$0 \quad 0.1510 .2740 .103$
MET 64
N 0.1490 .2480 .092
CA 0.1580 .2380 .103
C $0.1730 .250 \quad 0.10$ ?
$0 \quad 0.1770 .2480 .121$
$\begin{array}{llll}C B & 0.164 & 0.219 & 0.098\end{array}$
CG $0.167 \quad 0.207 \quad 0.110$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SD } & 0.169 & 0.183 & 0.105\end{array}$
CE $\quad 0.189 \quad 0.1750 .113$
ASM 65
N 0.1800 .2610 .100
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.194 & 0.274 & 0.105\end{array}$
C 0.1860 .2910 .111
$0 \quad 0.1920 .2990 .120$
CB $\quad 0.210 \quad 0.2770 .076$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.207 & 0.271 & 0.081\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll} & 021 & 0.211 & 0.282 & 0.072\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ND } & 0.202 \quad 0.255 & 0.079\end{array}$

ALA 66
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{N} & 0.172 & 0.297 & 0.104\end{array}$
CA $\quad 0.162 \quad 0.313 \quad 0.109$
c. $\quad 0.158 \quad 0.313 \quad 0.124$
0.16050 .3260 .131
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.144 & 0.315 & 0.101\end{array}$
GLy 67
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & 0.153 & 0.297 & 0.128\end{array}$
CA 0.1490 .2940 .143
C $\quad 0.137 \quad 0.278 \quad 0.145$
$0 \quad 0.140 \quad 10.2630 .141$
LF.U 68
0.12 .20 .2830 .152 CA $\quad 0.1070 .2710 .155$ C $\quad 0.100 \quad 0.278 \quad 0.169$ CB 0.1130 .2860 .176 CB 0.113 0.2510 .176
CO $0.112 \quad 0.2390 .145$
CD2 0.0820 .2410 .147
CD2 $0.108 \quad 0.243 \quad 0.130$
i. $0.032 \quad 0.2750 .170$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.073 & 0.287 & 0.180 \\ \text { C } & 0.073 & 0.307 & 0.176\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.073 & 0.307 & 0.176 \\ \text { O } & 0.061 & 0.313 & 0.169\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.081 & 0.285 & 0.194\end{array}$
GL! 70
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{C} & 087 & 0.316 & 0.179\end{array}$ CA $0.092 \quad 0.335 \quad 0.178$ C 0.0890 .3440 .164 $0 \quad 0.0290 .3610 .162$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.112 & 0.338 & 0.181\end{array}$ CG $\quad 0.122 \quad 0.323 \quad 0.173$ CD 0.1410 .3290 .170 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { OE1 } & 0.153 & 0.332 \quad 0.179\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { iVE2 } & 0.144 & 0.332 & 0.157\end{array}$

N $\quad 0.088 \quad 0.332 \quad 0.154$ $\begin{array}{llll}C A & 0.083 & 0.334 & 0.140\end{array}$ C 0.0860 .3130 .136 $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.093 & 0.303 & 0.144\end{array}$ CB $\quad 0.105 \quad 0.342 \quad 0.134$ CG 0.1030 .3620 .130 $0010.0870 .36 \quad 3.130$ OD2 0.1170 .3700 .126

TYR 72
N $0.0750 .309 \quad 0.127$ CA $0.0720 .289 \quad 0.125$ C $\quad 0.068 \quad 0.22660 .111$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.052 & 0.286 & 0.107\end{array}$ CB 0.0590 .2830 .136 CG $0.0450 .298 \quad 0.139$ CD1 $0.0340 .297 \quad 0.151$ CE1 0.0230 .3120 .153 C2 $0.0230 .327 \quad 0.145$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CE2 } & 0.034 & 0.328 & 0.134\end{array}$ CD2 0.0450 .3130 .131 OH 0.0110 .3410 .148

VAL 73
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{N} & 0.083 & 0.286 & 0.104\end{array}$
CA 0.0820 .2870 .089 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.095 & 0.301 & 0.083\end{array}$ ว 0.1040 .2980 .073 CB 0.0630 .2900 .084 CG1 0.0570 .2730 .076 CG2 $0.062 \quad 0.306 \quad 0.075$

Lys 74
iv 0.0950 .3180 .088 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.107 & 0.330 & 0.081\end{array}$

C $\quad 0.1040 .3510 .031$

$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 0.144 & 0.351 & 1.031\end{array}$ CB $\quad 0.103 \quad 0.324 \quad 0.065$ CG | CB | 0.126 | 0.324 | 0.065 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{CU} & 0.126 & 0.330 & 0.060 \\ \text { CD } & \mathrm{C} .134 & 0.3 \div 6 & 0.067\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD } & 0.134 & 0.346 & 0.067 \\ \text { CE } & 0.153 & 0.348 & 0.062\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CF. } & 0.153 & 0.348 & 0.052 \\ : H 2 & 0.154 & 0.350 & 0.047\end{array}$

ALA 75
$\begin{array}{llllll}1 / 2 & 0.119 & 0.360 & 0.093\end{array}$ C. $\quad 0.1210 .3790 .081$ C $0.106 \quad 0.387 \quad 0.072$ $0 \quad 0.0980 .37$ ô 0.063 $\begin{array}{llll}C B & 0.139 & 0.383 & 0.074\end{array}$

## GLY 76

$\begin{array}{llll}N & 0.103 & 0.405 & 0.074\end{array}$ CA $\quad 0.0920 .4160 .065$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.103 & 0.419 & 0.052 \\ 0 & 0.116 & 0.430 & 0.053\end{array}$

ASP 77
N $0.0990 .410 \quad 0.042$ CA 0.1090 .4090 .029 C 0.0970 .3990 .020 $0 \quad 0.0930 .3830 .018$ CB 0.1250 .3970 .033 CG $\quad 0.134 \quad 0.388 \quad 0.020$ OD1 $0.124 \quad 0.386 \quad 0.010$ OD2 $0.151 \quad 0.385 \quad 0.021$

THR 73
N 0.0830 .4090 .016 CA 0.0680 .3990 .010 C 0.0720 .3790 .014 $0 \quad 0.0550 .3710 .023$ CB $0.070 \quad 0.400-.006$ गG1 $0.056 \quad 0.339-.011$ CG2 0.088 〇. 391 -. 009

ARG 79
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.083 & 0.371 & 0.004\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.035 & 0.351 & 0.004\end{array}$ C $0.0560 .346-.000$ $0 \quad 0.057 \quad 0.358-.007$ CB 0.0890 .3440 .018 CG 0.0990 .3260 .018 CD 0.1150 .3250 .008 NE 0.1200 .3070 .005 CZ $0.1290 .302-.006$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.133 & 0.235 & -.008\end{array}$ HH2 $0.1340 .315-.014$

N 0.0590 .3310 .004
CA $0.0410 .326-.021$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c } & 0.026 & 0.335 & 0.007\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0.0290 .3490 .015$
B $0.039 \quad 0.306-.002$
CG1 $0.0420 .300-.016$ CG2 0.0530 .2970 .007

ILE 81
$0.010 \quad 0.32 \% 0.005$
A $\quad-.006 \quad 0.336 \quad 0.011$ $\begin{array}{lll}-.006 & 0.336 & 0.011 \\ -.010 & 0.334 & 0.027\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & \text {-. } \\ 0.002 & 0.330 & 0.035\end{array}$
CB $\begin{array}{llll}-.023 & 0.331 & 0.004\end{array}$
CG1 -. $020 \quad 0.315-.006$
CD1 -. 037 0.307-.011
CS $2-.0320 .347-.003$
ALA 02
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{H} & -.027 & 0.338 & 0.029\end{array}$ CA -.034:0.333 0.043 C $\quad-.031 \quad 0.3200 .050$
$0 \quad-.034 \quad 0.3050 .045$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C B & -.054 & 0.342 \quad 0.043\end{array}$
HIS 83
if -. 0260.3220 .063 CA -. 0220.3070 .072 C -.0290 .3090 .086
$0 \quad-.030 \quad 0.3240 .091$
CB -. 0020.3040 .073
CG 0.0060 .3200 .080 AD1 0.0110 .3200 .093 CE1 0.0180 .3360 .096 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { HE2 } 0.018 & 0.347 & 0.085\end{array}$ CD2 0.0110 .3370 .074

THR 84
N $\quad-.032 \quad 0.294 \quad 0.092$
CA $-.0390 .293 \quad 0.106$
C $\quad-.022 \quad 0.2910 .116$
$0 \quad-.0070 .290 \quad 0.111$
$\begin{array}{llll}C B & -.050 & 0.276 & 0.107\end{array}$
OG1-. $045 \quad 0.264 \quad 0.097$
CG2 $-.070 \quad 0.2810 .105$
LYS 85
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { i } & -.027 & 0.290 & 0.129\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C A & -.014 & 0.289 & 0.139\end{array}$
C $\quad-.010 \quad 0.2690 .143$
$0-10210.257 \quad 0.141$
CB
$\begin{array}{llll}-.021 & 0.299 & 0.152 \\ -.022 & 0.319 & 0.149\end{array}$
CG
$\begin{array}{llll}-.039 & 0.324 & 0.141\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C E & -.043 & 0.345 & 0.143 \\ .12 & -.062 & 0.343 & 0.141\end{array}$

VAL 56
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 0.004 & 0.267 & 0.151\end{array}$
CA $0.008 \quad 0.250 \quad 0.157$
C -.0050 .2490 .169
○ -.cos 0.2620 .176
CB 0.0270 .2470 .101
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C. } 10.031 & 0.227 & 0.164\end{array}$
CG2 $0.0390 .253 \quad$ C. 149

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ILF. } 87 \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
1 & -.014 & 0.233 & 0.170
\end{array} \\
& \text { CA }-.028 \text { ग. } 230 \quad 0.180 \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
\text { C. } & -.025 & 3.230 & 0.180 \\
\text { C } & -.025 & 0.211 & 0.185
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
-.025 & 0.211 & 0.185 \\
-.017 & 0.200 & 0.180
\end{array} \\
& \text { CB } \begin{array}{lllll}
-. & 047 & 0.233 & 0.174
\end{array} \\
& \text { CG1 -. } 0490.2210 .161 \\
& \text { CD1 -. } 070 \text { 0. } 2200.158 \\
& \text { CG2 }-.0510 .2530 .171 \\
& \text { GLY } 88 \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
1 / & -.031 & 0.210 & 0.199
\end{array} \\
& \text { CA -. } 0290.1920 .206 \\
& \text { C }-.0470 .1850 .210 \\
& 0 \quad-.060 \quad 0.1950 .212 \\
& \text { () }-.070 \quad 0.174 \quad 0.234 \\
& 0 \quad-.1120 .2120 .228 \\
& \text { OE1 -. } 1044 \begin{array}{lll}
\text { l } & 0.223 & 0.220
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
\text { oह. } & -.048 & 0.253 & 0.226
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{N} & -.101 & 0.236 & 0.183 \\
\mathrm{CA} & -.108 & 0.239 & 0.170
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

C $-.105 \quad 0.2590 .165$
$-.1030 .2710 .175$
CB $\quad-.128 \quad 0.2350 .170$
OG $\quad \begin{array}{llll}-.134 & 0.230 & 0.183\end{array}$
ASP 93
iN $\quad-.1050 .2630 .153$
CA -.1020 .2020 .149
C $\quad-.110 \quad 0.2860 .135$
0 O -.1150 .2740 .128
$-.082 \quad 0.285 \quad 0.150$

- -.0790 .3050 .146
$001-.092 \quad 0.316 \quad 0.148$
$032-.0640 .3090 .142$
SER 94
N $\quad-.1110 .3040 .1 j 3$
CA $-.120 \quad 0.3090 .120$
C -. $10 € 0.3190 .11$
$0 \quad-.0910 .3230 .114$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & -.135 & 0.322 & 0.123\end{array}$
OG $\quad \begin{array}{llll}-.144 & 0.317 & 0.135\end{array}$
VAL 95
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { N } & -.114 & 0.323 & 0.099\end{array}$
CA $-.105 \quad 0.334 \quad 0.089$
C $\quad-.1170 .340 \quad 0.077$
$\begin{array}{lllll} & -.126 & 0.330 & 0.071\end{array}$
CB -.087 0.323 0.08
CG1 -. 0880.3130 .07
CS2 - 0770.3450 .078
THR 96
il $\quad-.114 \quad 0.358 \quad 0.075$

CA $-.1230 .366 \quad 0.063$ C $\quad-.108 \quad 0.366 \quad 0.052$ с $\quad$-. 0920.3650 .05 CB -. 1290.385 0.06 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CGI | .- | 1170.3870 .05 PHE 97

N $\quad-.1150 .3670 .040$
CA $\begin{array}{llll}-.107 & 0.368 & 0.027\end{array}$
C -.1210 .3680 .016
CB -.0910 .3550 .025
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & -.091 & 0.355 & 0.025\end{array}$ CG -.098 0.3380 .017 CE1 -. 110 . 3070.018 Cz -. 1030.3070 .018 CZ -. 1030.3060 .00 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CE2 } & -.100 & 0.320 & -.003 \\ \text { CD2 } & -.095 & 0.336 & 0.004\end{array}$

ASP
38

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\therefore & -.113 & 0.379 & 0.005 \\
C A & -.132 & 0.381 & -.005 \\
C & -.123 & 0.378 & -.018 \\
0 & -.107 & 0.382 & -.020 \\
C B & -.140 & 0.400 & -.004
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { VAL } 99
$$

$$
N \quad-.13400 .372-.028
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
N & -.134 & 0.372 & -.028 \\
C A & -.128 & 0.356 & -.041 \\
& -125 & 081
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{CA} & -.120 & -.125 & 0.381 & -.052
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
0 & -.133 & 0.395 & -.051 \\
\mathrm{CB} & -.136 & 0.349 & -.046
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
C 1 \\
C G 1 & -.1360 .349 & -.046 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { CG1 -. } 1230.333-.049
$$

$$
\text { CG2 -. } 1430.352-.050
$$

$$
\text { SER } 100
$$

N -. $1140.375-.051$
CA $-.106 \quad 0.385-.072$
C $-.0870 .376-.072$
$-.0310 .369-.082$
CB -. $1010.404-.066$
OG -. $1140.417-.070$
LYS 101
N $-.0810 .376-.060$ CA $-.0550 .367-.055$ C $\quad-.0590 .346-.054$ CB $-.0610 .375-.040$ CB -. 064 0.375 -.04 CD $-.0580 .302-.027$ C) .0710 .423 CE -. $0710.423-.02$ $N Z \quad-.0920 .428-.039$

EU 102
N -. $0730.339-.056$ CA $-.078 \quad 0.320-.067$ C $\quad-.0870 .316-.080$ CB -. $0.020 .317-.086$ CG -. 095 0.306 -. 044 CD1 -. 091 .. 286 -. 045 CD2 -. $092 \quad 0.314$-. 030

TIIR 103
if -.098 $0.328-.084$ CA -. $1100.328-.096$ C $\quad-.1070 .318-.109$ CB $-.1210 .313-.115$ CB1 -. $1180.347-.09$ OG1 -. 118 O. 357 -. 0.00

PKO 104
N 1 -.091 $0.316-.114$
CA $-.090 \quad 2.309-.128$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & -.090 & 0.309 & -.128 \\ -.094 & 0.230 & -.129\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}-.094 & 0.2030 & -.129 \\ -.039 & 0.279 & -.139\end{array}$ B $\begin{array}{lll}-.039 & 0.279 & -.139 \\ -.071 & 0.312 & -.133\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { B } & -.071 & 0.312 & -.133 \\ \text { G } & -.060 & 0.315 & -.120\end{array}$ CD $\begin{array}{lllll}-.073 & 0.319 & -.109\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\text { GLY } & 105 & \\
\text { N } & -.105 & 0.281 & -.120 \\
\text { CA } & -.110 & 0.261 & -.122 \\
\text { C } & -.0 .33 & 0.249 & -.121 \\
0 & -.091 & 0.237 & -.129
\end{array}
$$

## GLU 106

1 -. $0820.253-.111$ CA $-.0560 .243-.109$ C $\begin{array}{llll}-.059 & 0.227 & -.099 \\ 0 & -.084 & 0.220 & -.043\end{array}$ CB -. $0510.255-.103$ CG $-.0360 .257-.114$ CD $-.0280 .238-.118$ E1 -. $0200.238-.129$ 0Е2-. $0310.225-.110$

ALA 107
if $-.0550 .224-.091$ CA -. $0550.210-.081$ C $\quad-.042 \quad 0.215-.070$ $0-.0260 .212-.071$ CB -. 0500.191 -. 087

TYR
1 - $10500.223-.050$ CA $-.040 \quad 0.228-.047$ C $\quad-.0420 .213-.037$ $0-.055 \quad 0.204-.036$ CB $-.050 \quad 0.245-.042$ CG $-.0430 .252-.050$ CD1 -. 027 :. $260-.057$ CE1 -. $0200.275-.054$ CZ -. $0280.292-.063$ CE. $2-.0440 .294-.055$ CD2 -. $0510.279-.049$ $0 \mathrm{H}-.0210 .307-.069$
ila 109
(1) -. $0270.210-.030$

CA -..027 $0.197-.019$
C $\quad-.025 \quad$ j. $208-.006$
0 -.020 $0.224-.007$ CB $-.0130 .182-.020$

TYR 110 N $\quad-.0310 .1997 .004$ $C_{\text {C }} \quad-.0300 .2080 .018$ | -.0180 .1960 .027 |
| :--- |
| -.019 |
| -.0 .180 | $\begin{array}{lll}-.019 & 0.180 & 1.026 \\ -.049 & 0.211 & 0.023\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{G} & -.062 & 0.194 & 0.022\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & -.072 & -.075 & 0.194 & 0.012\end{array}$ CE1 $-.0550 .178 \quad 0.010$ CZ -. 083 O. 1630.019 CE2 -. 0700.1640 .029 CD2 -. 0590.1790 .030 OH -. 094 C. 148 ก. 017

## PHE 111

II.$- .007 \quad 0.2050 .034$ CA $\quad 0.007 \quad 0.195 \quad 0.041$ C $\quad 0.0100 .201 \quad 0.056$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.007 & 0.216 & 0.060\end{array}$ CG $0.035 \quad 0.2120 .033$ CD1 0.0390 .2200 .020 CE1 0.0490 .2350 .020 $\begin{array}{llllll}C Z & 0.057 & 0.242 & 0.031\end{array}$ FF 20.0530 .2340 .044 CD2 0.0430 .2190 .044

CYS 112
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { N } & 0.017 & 0.188 & 0.064\end{array}$ CA $0.0210 .1 y 10.078$ C 0.0410 .1940 .079 $0 \quad 0.052 \quad 0.1820 .077$ CB $\quad 0.015 \quad 0.175 \quad 0.087$ SG $0.0170 .130 \quad 0.105$

SER 113
it 0.0450 .2110 .082 CA $0.054 \quad 0.2170 .083$ C 0.0690 .2170 .098 0.0700 .2310 .105 CB $\quad 0.067 \quad 0.236 \quad 0.078$ OG $0.050 \quad 0.243 \quad 0.074$

Pile 114
$110.073 \quad 10.200 \quad 0.103$ CA 0.0810 .1970 .116 0.0950 .1840 .113 $0 \quad 0.0950 .1730 .104$ CB 0.0680 .1900 .126 CG 0.0720 .1830 .140 CD1 0.0790 .1660 .142 GE1 0.0830 .1600 .155 $\begin{array}{llll}C Z & 0.081 & 0.172 & 0.165 \\ \text { CE2 } & 0.075 & 0.139 & 0.164\end{array}$

CD2 $0.070 \quad 0.1950 .151$ PRO 115

N $0.109 \quad 0.1350 .122$ CA $\quad 0.124 \quad 0.1720 .121$ C $\quad 0.118 \quad 0.1530 .118$ $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 0 & 0.112 & 0.143 & 0.127\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.133 & 0.173 & 0.135\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.122 & 0.186 & 0.144\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & 0.111 & 0.198 & 0.133\end{array}$ GLY 116

N 0.1220 .1470 .106 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.119 & 0.129 & 0.102\end{array}$ c $\quad 0.100 \quad 0.122 \quad 0.099$ 00.0960 .1060 .099

## HIS 117

ง 0.0870 .1350 .097 CA 0.0590 .1290 .09 C 0.0630 .1280 .08 $0 \quad 0.0520 .1170 .070$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.055 & 0.140 & 0.10\end{array}$ CG $0.0550 .133 \quad 0.117$ ND1 0.0460 .1430 .127 CE1 0.0490 .1330 .138 NE2 0.0600 .1190 .13 CD2 0.0640 .1190 .122

## TRP 118

N 0.0690 .1410 .072 CA 0.0630 .1440 .058 C 0.0650 .1280 .048 $0 \quad 0.0630 .1300 .036$ CB 0.0730 .1600 .052 CG 0.0910 .1550 .046 CD1 0.0970 .1610 .034 NE1 0.1140 .1530 .032 CE2 0.1170 .1420 .043 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CZ } & 0.133 & 0.131 & 0.045\end{array}$ С: H 20.1340 .1210 .057 C23 0.1190 .1220 .056 CE3 0.1040 .1320 .064 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { CD2 } & 0.1030 .1430 .052\end{array}$

ALA 119
N $\quad 0.068 \quad 0.1120 .054$
CA $0.070 \quad 0.097 \quad 0.044$
C 0.0530 .0860 .046
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.047 & 0.075 & 0.037\end{array}$
CB $\quad 0.086 \quad 0.0850 .048$
MET 120
N $0.0440 .088 \quad 0.057$

CA 0.0290 .0790 .051 C 0.0120 .0900 .064
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & -.003 & 0.033 & 0.063\end{array}$ CB $\quad 0.025 \quad 0.064 \quad 0.034$ SD 0.0310 .0440 .094 CE $\quad 0.036 \quad 0.027 \quad 0.082$ MET 121 $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { M } & 0.014 & C .108 & 0.055 \\ \text { CA } & -.002 & 0.119 & 0.056\end{array}$ C $\quad-.004 \quad 0.1290 .053$ $0 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.1440 .05$ CB -. 0020.1300 .079 CG 0.0030 .1180 .091 SD 0.0020 .1280 .107 CE -.020 0.1360 .109

LYS 122
N -. 0090.1130 .043 CA -. $008 \quad 0.1220 .029$ C $\quad-.0270 .1220 .022$ $0-.0380 .1100 .023$ CB 0.0030 .1070 .022 CG -. 0020.1040 .007 CD $0.0010 .122-.000$ CE -. 0020.119 -. 015 :12 -.000 0.137-.022

## GLY 123

N $\quad-.030 \quad 0.1370 .015$ CA $-.047 \quad 0.138 \quad 0.009$ C -.051 ก. $155-.000$
$0-.0470 .1700 .004$

## TRR 124

N -. 051 0.152-.011 CA -. $0650.165-.021$ C $-.0830 .176-.013$ $0 \quad-.0040 .173-.000^{\prime}$ CB $-.0570 .155-.035$ CG2 $-.048 \quad 0.151-.039$

LEU 125
V $-.084 \quad 0.190-.026$
CA $-.098 \quad 0.204-.026$
C $-.0930 .213-.039$
$0 \quad-.034 \quad 0.219-.044$
CB $-.0950 .217-.014$
CG -. $100 \quad 0.236-.017$
CD1 -. $0870.249-.000$ CD2 -. $1190.240-.013$

```
LYS 126
    A -.1140.213-.045
        CA -. 117 0.220 -.059
        CA -.117 0.220 -.059
        C -.134 0.231-.058
        O -.144 0.230 -.043
        CB
        CG -.139 0.195 -.061
        CD -.147 0. -.136 0.162 -.069
        N2 -.137 0. 157 -.053
```

LEU 127
N $-.1350 .241-.069$
CA $-.1510 .254-.071$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{CA} & -.151 & 0.254 & -.071 \\ \text { C } & -.169 & 0.244 & -.074\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -.169 & 0.244 & -.074 \\ 0 & -. & 183 & 0.252\end{array}$

| CB | -.183 | -.146 | 0.266 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$-.083$

        CS -. \(1470.225-.079\)
        \(\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD1 } & -.166 & 0.291 & -.076 \\ \text { CD2 } & -.136 & 0.289 & -.065\end{array}\)
    SER 128
N -.169 $0.229-.081$
C^ $\quad-.1840 .219-.084$
C $-.1990 .229-.091$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -.199 & 0.229 & -.091 \\ 0 & -. & 05 & 0.224\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & -.205 & 0.224 & -.107 \\ \text { IB } & -.171 & 0.209 & -.072\end{array}$
OG -. $207 \quad 0.199-.075$
ASN $1 \angle 9$
N -. $2160.227-.084$
CA -. $2380.230-.092$
C $\quad-.2410 .250-.092$
$0 \quad-.2560 .255-.089$
$C B \quad-.250 \quad 0.213-.084$
CG $-.245 \quad 0.198-.084$
$\begin{array}{llll}001 & -.239 & 0.190 & -.075 \\ 1102 & -.2119 & 0.191 & -.096\end{array}$
DE -. $2330.261-.096$
MTL 1 in
CU $0.019 \quad 0.160 \quad 11.122$

CU 0.0190 .16011 .122

| ALA | 1 |  |  | V | 0.297 | 0.360 | 0.335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 0.244 | 0.245 | 0.277 | CA | 0.314 | 0.371 | 0.335 |
| CA | 0.230 | 0.235 | 0.283 | c | 0.317 | 0.377 | 0.350 |
| C | 0.212 | 0.241 | ग. 278 | 0 | 0.304 | 0. 382 | 0.356 |
| 0 | 0.208 | 0.257 | 0.278 | CB | 0.310 | 0.383 | 0.326 |
| CB | 0.231 | 0.236 | 0.299 | CG1 | 0.321 | 0.404 | 0.330 |
|  |  |  |  | CD1 | 0.323 | 0.417 | 0.318 |
| GLII | 2 |  |  | CG2 | 0.293 | 0.392 | 0.327 |
| : | 0.200 | 0.223 | 0.275 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | 0.182 | 0.232 | 0.270 | GLU | 8 |  |  |
| C | 0.182 | 0.251 | 0.264 | \% | 0.333 | 0.376 | 0.354 |
| 0 | 0.182 | 0.253 | 0.252 | CA | 0.337 | 0.380 | 0.309 |
| Cs | 0.169 | 0.230 | 0.282 | C | 0.348 | 0.397 | 0.370 |
| CG | 0.169 | 0.211 | 0.237 | c | 0.357 | 0.403 | 0.351 |
| CD | 0.171 | 0.210 | 0.302 | CB | 0.347 | 0.363 | 0.375 |
| OE1 | 0.158 | 0.210 | 0. 310 | CG | 0.334 | 0.351 | 0.383 |
| HE2 | 0.183 | 0.212 | 0.306 | CD | 0.345 | 0.335 | 0.383 |
|  |  |  |  | OF. 1 | 0.336 | 0.322 | 0.393 |
| CYS | 3 |  |  | -®2 | 0.362 | 0.336 | 0.337 |
| H | 0.183 | 0.264 | 0.273 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | 0.185 | 0.283 | 0.271 | SER | 9 |  |  |
| C | 0.191 | 0.292 | 0.284 | N | 0.348 | 0.404 | 0.382 |
| 0 | 0.189 | 0.309 | 0.286 | CA | 0.357 | 0.421 | 0.385 |
| CB | 0.169 | 0.294 | 0.265 | C | 0.374 | 0.419 | 0.393 |
| SG | 0.149 | 0.282 | 0.262 | 0 | 0.383 | 0.405 | 0.393 |
|  |  |  |  | C3 | 0.345 | 0.435 | 0.391 |
| GL! | 4 |  |  | CG | 0.328 | 0.434 | 0.384 |
| N | 0.198 | 0.281 | 0.293 |  |  |  |  |
| CA | 0.205 | 0.288 | 0.305 | ASN | 10 |  |  |
| C | 0.224 | 0.293 | 0.304 | H | 0.379 | 0.433 | 0.401 |
| 0 | 0.235 | 0.283 | 0.299 | CA | 0.395 | 0.433 | 0.409 |
| CB | 0.204 | 0.274 | 0.317 | C | 0.400 | 0.452 | 0.413 |
| CG | 0.194 | 0.282 | 0.329 | 0 | 0.401 | 0.455 | 0.406 |
| CD | 0.175 | 0.274 | 0.329 | CB | 0.410 | 0.423 | 0.402 |
| OE1 | 0.174 | 0.257 | 0.329 | CG | 0.424 | 0.436 | 0.395 |
| 0ミ2 | 0.162 | 0.235 | 1. 328 | 0.1 | 0.420 | 0.450 | 0.390 |
|  |  |  |  | :102 | 0.441 | 0.430 | 0.396 |
| ALa | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 0.229 | 0.309 | 0.309 | ASP | 11 |  |  |
| CA | 0.248 | 0.314 | 0.308 | N | 0.403 | 0.454 | 0.427 |
| C | 0.252 | 0.326 | 0.321 | CA | 0.407 | 0.472 | 0.432 |
| 0 | 0.241 | 0.333 | 0.328 | C | 0.415 | 0.484 | 0.421 |
| CB | 0.252 | 0.324 | 0.295 | 0 | 0.403 | 0.499 | 0.419 |
|  |  |  |  | C3 | 0.421 | 0.470 | 0.414 |
| THR | 6 |  |  | CG | 0.416 | 0.453 | 0.453 |
| N | 0.270 | 0.327 | 0.322 | 001 | 0.401 | 0.453 | 0.459 |
| CA | 0.277 | 0.336 | 0.334 | 0D2 | 0.428 | 0.441 | 0.453 |
| C | 0.296 | 0.344 | 0.331 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.307 | 0.335 | 0.325 | ALA | 12 |  |  |
| CB | 0.278 | 0.323 | 0.346 | , | 0.429 | 0.477 | 0.415 |
| OG 1 | 0.261 | 0.314 | 0.347 | CA | 0.437 | 0.437 | 0.404 |
| CG2 | 0.281 | 0.333 | O. 359 | C | 0.425 | 0.489 | 0.392 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.408 | 0.487 | 0.394 |

ILE 7
$10.2970 .360 \quad 0.335$ 0.3140 .3710 .335 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { c } & 0.317 & 0.377 & 0.350 \\ 0 & 0.304 & 0.382 & 0.356\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } \quad 0.310 & 0.383 & 0.326\end{array}$ CG1 0.3210 .4040 .330 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD1 } & 0.323 & 0.417 & 0.318\end{array}$ GLU 8
$\begin{array}{llll}11 & 0.333 & 0.376 & 0.354\end{array}$ CA $0.3370 .380 \quad 0.309$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.348 & 0.397 & 0.370 \\ \text { C } & 0.357 & 0.403 & 0.351\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.347 & 0.363 & 0.375 \\ \text { CG } & 0.334 & 0.351 & 0.383\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD } & 0.345 & 0.335 & 0.383\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { OE } 1 & 0.336 & 0.322 & 0.393\end{array}$ SER 9
$\checkmark \quad 0.348 \quad 0.4040 .382$ CA 0.3570 .4210 .385 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.374 & 0.419 & 0.393 \\ 0 & 0.383 & 0.405 & 0.393\end{array}$ C3 $0.345 \quad 0.435 \quad 0.391$ ASN 10

N $0.3790 .433 \quad 0.401$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.395 & 0.433 & 0.409\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.401 & 0.465 & 0.406\end{array}$ CB 0.4100 .4230 .402 CG $0.4240 .436 \quad 0.395$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { IID2 } & 0.441 & 0.430 & 0.396\end{array}$

ASP 11
CA 0.403 0.454 0.427 CA $0.407 \quad 0.472 \quad 0.432$ C 0.430 .4840 .421 $\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.421 & 0.470 & 0.414\end{array}$ CG $\quad 0.416 \quad 0.453 \quad 0.453$ 0010.4010 .4530 .459
10.4290 .4770 .415 CA 0.4370 .4370 .404 O 0.4080 .4870 .392 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.455 \quad 0.478 \quad 0.400\end{array}$

HET 13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.432 & 0.494 & 0.390\end{array}$ CA $\quad 0.4210 .4970 .364$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.424 & 0.483 & 0.358\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.425 & 0.487 & 0.346 \\ \text { CB } & 0.425 & 0.516 & 0.353\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.425 & 0.516 & 0.353 \\ \text { CG } & 0.410 & 0.530 & 0.367\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { SD } & 0.417 & 0.544 & 0.381\end{array}$ CE 0.4410 .5410 .38. GLN 14 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.426 & 0.466 & 0.352\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.420 & 0.460 & 0.362\end{array}$ c 0.4120 .4390 .35 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.405 & 0.432 & 0.361\end{array}$ CE $0.444 \quad 0.4400 .358$ CG 0.4620 .4460 .352 CD 0.4770 .4460 .36 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { IJE2 } & 0.480 & 0.430 & 0.36\end{array}$ TYR 15
i $0.4060 .4390 .33 \varepsilon$ CA $0.390 \quad 0.4270 .336$ C 0.3960 .4030 .334 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.409 & 0.404 & 0.325\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.380 & 0.434 & 0.323\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}C G & 0.360 & 0.438 & 0.327\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD1 } & 0.352 & 0.431 & 0.338\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CEI } & 0.334 & 0.436 & 0.34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C2 } & 0.325 & 0.449 & 0.333 \\ \text { CE2 } & 0.334 & 0.456 & 0.322\end{array}$ CD2 $0.3510 .451 \quad 0.31$ $\mathrm{OH} \quad 0.303 \quad 0.4540 .336$

ASP 16
il $0.385 \quad 0.3950 .339$
CA 0.3880 .3760 .335
C $\quad 0.378 \quad 0.3720 .322$ $0 \quad 0.3620 .3730 .321$ CB 0.3830 .3630 .346 CG C. 3650.3550 .344 OD1 0.3630 .3390 .348 OD2 0.3530 .3660 .335

LEU 17
$0.387 \quad 0.363 \quad 0.313$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.373 & 0.360 & 0.300\end{array}$ C $\quad 0.384 \quad 0.373 \quad 0.239$ $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.400 & 0.374 & 0.285\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.3158 & 0.361 & 0.302 \\ \text { CG } & 0.349 & 0.342 & 0.300\end{array}$ CG 0.3490 .3420 .300 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD1 } & 0.363 & 0.327 & 0.304\end{array}$

CI2 0.3330 .3408 .303
LY3 13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { it } & 0.371 & 0.383 & 0.223\end{array}$ CA 0.3760 .3950 .272 C $\quad 0.3640 .3910 .250$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 3.362 & 0.401 & 0.250\end{array}$ CG 10.4020 .410 . 26 C0 0.3070 .4110 .26 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE } & 0.397 & 0.411 & 0.246\end{array}$ CE 0.41410 .4080 O.23

## GLU 19

$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{N} & 0.357 & 0.374 & 0.260\end{array}$ CA $\quad 0.3460 .3670 .249$ C $\quad 0.328 \quad 0.360 \quad 0.256$ $0 \quad 0.3290 .3490 .26$ CG $\quad 0.350 \quad 0.343 \quad 0.22$ CD $0.362 \quad 0.334 \quad 0.222$ OE1 0.3770 .3310 .22 OE2 $0.357 \quad 0.3260 .21$
: ET 20
$\begin{array}{lllll}11 & 0.314 & 0.365 & 0.250\end{array}$ CA $\quad 0.296 \quad 0.350 \quad 0.253$ C. $0.288 \quad 0.354 \quad 0.239$ $0 \quad 0.2870 .3550 .232$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.284 & 0.374 & 0.25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.275 & 0.367 & 0.272\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SD } & 0.27,7 & 0.370 & 0.2 c \\ \text { CE } & 0.274 & 0.387 & 0.297\end{array}$

VAL 21
H 0.2870 .3350 .237 CA 0.2840 .3290 .22 C 0.2640 .3220 .224 () 0.2570 .3180 .235 CE 0.2960 .3110 .221 C61 1). 3080.3120 .211 CG2 0.309 0.313 0.237
$\therefore 0.2560 .3 ? 40.213$ cn 0.2370 .319 0.212 C $0.234 \quad 0.3050 .20$ 0.0 .2390 .3070 .190 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cl3 } & 0.225 & 0.335 & 0.21\end{array}$ C01 0.2350 .3530 .215 CG2 0.2080 .3330 .211

N 0.2260 .2890 .206

CA 0.2220 .2750 .196
C 0.2030 .2800 .191
$0 \quad 0.1970 .2950 .192$
CB 0.2230 .2570 .203 C＝ 0.2320 .2420 .195 0010.2490 .2420 .195 OD2 0.2220 .2310 .18

LYS 24
N 0.1330 .2670 .186 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.175 & 0.272 & 0.18\end{array}$ C 0.1620 .2570 .180 C 0.1590 .2470 .170 CB $\quad 0.1770 .2810 .16$ $\begin{array}{llllll}C D & 0.195 & 0.292 & 0.18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & 0.195 & 0.304 & 0.154 \\ \text { CE } & 0.200 & 0.293 & 0.141\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}1: 2 & 0.218 & 0.299 & 0.137\end{array}$

## SER． 25

N 0.1540 .2550 .142 CA 0.1390 .2410 .19 C 0.1270 .2510 .20 0.1120 .2570 .20 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.148 & 0.224 & 0.20 \\ \text { OG } & 0.166 & 0.223 & 0.20\end{array}$

CYS 26
N 0.1330 .2520 .217 CA $0.124 \quad 0.2620 .22$ C 0.1230 .2820 .22 $0 \quad 0.1360 .2890 .218$ CB 0.1350 .2600 .240 SG 0.1530 .2770 .24

## LYS 27

N 0．107 0.2900 .22
CA 0.1050 .3080 .21
C 0.1110 .3230 .227 $0 \quad 0.1130 .3380 .22$ CR 0.0870 .3120 .212 C 0.0740 .3190 .223
CD 0.0620 .3030 .22
CE 0.05 ठ 0.3060 .24
N2 0.0600 .2880 .250
GLN 28
N 0.1150 .3170 .240
CA 0.1190 .3310 .250
C $0.13 \% 0.3280 .257$
$\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.142 & 0.313 & 0.26\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.104 & 0.331 & 0.26\end{array}$
CG $0.1010 .350 \quad 0.266$ CD $0.0820 .350 \quad 0.273$

〕E1 0． 0720.3380 .271 HE2 1）． 0790.3650 .280

## PHE 29

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { He } & 29 \\ \text { ：} & 0.146 & 0.34! & 0.259\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.146 & 0.344 & 0.259 \\ \text { CA } & 0.163 & 0.345 & 0.266\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CA } & 0.163 & 0.345 & 0.26\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.162 & 0.355 & 0.279 \\ 0 & 0.157 & 0.371 & 0.279\end{array}$
CB $\quad 1.176 \quad 0.3550 .255$
CG $\quad 0.1960 .348 \quad 0.25$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD1 } & 0.210 & 0.361 & 0.25 \%\end{array}$
CE1 0.2270 .3550 .262
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cz } & 0.230 & 0.336 & 0.263\end{array}$
CF． 20.2160 .3240 .26
CD2 0.1990 .3300 .260
THR 30
$11 \quad 0.1690 .3460 .28$ CA 0.1710 .3550 .30 C $\quad 0.190 \quad 0.3530 .303$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.200 & 0.342 & 0.303\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.158 & 0.345 & 0.313\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { OG1 } & 0.142 & 0.355 & 0.313 \\ \text { CG2 } & 0.166 & 0.347 & 0.327\end{array}$

TAL 31
iN $0.194 \quad 0.3660 .317$
CA 0.2130 .3670 .322 C 0.2120 .3690 .338 $0 \quad 0.2020 .3790 .343$ CB 0.2190 .3860 .317 CG1 $0.240 \quad 0.3880 .313$ CG2 0.2120 .3890 .303
liis 32
$\therefore \quad 0.2240 .3590 .344$ CA 0.2260 .3620 .35 c 0.2440 .3700 .363 $0 \quad 0.2580 .3610 .352$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.219 & 0.349 & 0.369\end{array}$ CG 0.2130 .3310 .36 ND1 0.2240 .3170 .362 CF． 10.2140 .3040 .356 NE2 0． 1970.3090 .353 CD2 0．196 0.3270 .357

LEIU 33
N 0.2430 .3870 .367 CA $\quad 0.258 \quad 0.398 \quad 0.37$ C 0.2610 .3960 .386 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.248 & 0.397 & 0.394\end{array}$ CB 0.2530 .4180 .367 CG $0.270 \quad 0.4300 .367$ CD1 $0.280 \quad 0.4280 .354$

CD2 0.2640 .4500 .369

$$
\text { LYS } 34
$$

$\begin{array}{llll}8 & 0.277 & 0.392 & 0.390\end{array}$ Ct $\quad 0.2820 .390 \quad 0.404$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.300 & 0.400 & 0.406 \\ 0 & 0.311 & 0.402 & 0.396\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE } & 0.284 & 0.371 & 0.403\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { C．} & 0.282 & 0.353 & 0.397\end{array}$
C．） 0.285 0．3ミ3 0.402
C $\quad 0.268 \quad 0.3310 .409$ $\begin{array}{llll}12 & 0.273 & 0.321 & 0.421\end{array}$ HIS 35 iv $0.3030 .408 \quad 0.418$ CA 0.3190 .4190 .420 C $0.330 \quad 0.4090 .431$ 0.3240 .4050 .442 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.312 & 0.437 & 0.426\end{array}$ cu 0.3260 .4500 .429 $\begin{array}{llll} & 0.343 & 0.446 & 0.431\end{array}$ CE1 0.3520 .4610 .433 YE2 0.3410 .4750 .432 CD2 0.3240 .4690 .429

VAL 36
$0.347 \quad 0.4040 .427$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.357 & 0.393 & 0.437\end{array}$ C 0.3730 .4020 .444 0.38 0 0.3960 .443 $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ & 0.361 & 0.374 & 0.432\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG1 } & 0.377 & 0.374 & 0.422\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG2 } & 0.345 & 0.365 \quad 0.425\end{array}$
$1 \quad 0.3690 .4160 .452$
CA $\quad 0.382 \quad 0.425 \quad 0.460$
C $\quad 0.371 \quad 0.434 \quad 0.472$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.355 & 0.432 & 0.472\end{array}$
LYS 38
D．3E0 $0.444 \quad 0.431$
CA $0.370 \quad 1.4520 .492$
c $0.369 \quad 0.472 \quad 0.492$
0.3690 .4800 .503
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE } & 0.377 & 0.444 & 0.505\end{array}$ CG 0.3730 .4240 .507 CD 0.3910 .4140 .506 CE 0.3870 .3930 .506 $\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{N} 2 & 0.396 & 0.385 & 0.494\end{array}$ ：ET 39
$0.3690 .480 \quad 0.430$ $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.369 & 0.480 & 0.480 \\ \mathrm{CA} & 0.366 & 0.500 & 0.480\end{array}$
$0.346 \quad 0.5030 .475$
$0.341 \quad 7.496 \quad 0.465$
0.3790 .5090 .470
$\begin{array}{lll}0.398 & 0.5118 & 0.475\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}0.413 & 0.525 & 0.469\end{array}$
$0.4160 .519 \quad 0.451$
ALA 40
0.3370 .5140 .483
CA 0.3130 .5170 .481
C 0.3130 .5290 .469
$\begin{array}{lll}0.313 & 0.529 & 0.469 \\ 0.324 & 0.534 & 0.461\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.310 & 0.526 & 0.494\end{array}$
LYS 41
0.2960 .5330 .469
CA $\quad 0.288 \quad 0.5440 .453$
C 0.2910 .5640 .450
$0 \quad 0.2820 .5740 .452$
CB $\quad 0.263 \quad 0.540 \quad 0.456$
CG $\quad 0.263 \quad 0.521 \quad 0.460$
CD 0.2430 .5170 .456
CE $0.242 \quad 0.522 \quad 0.441$
$\begin{array}{llll}12 & 0.223 & 0.520 & 0.436\end{array}$
ALA 42
110.3040 .5690 .466
CA $0.308 \quad 0.5800 .465$
C $0.3180 .590 \quad 0.451$
〕 $0.3110 .537 \quad 0.440$
C3 $0.320 \quad 0.5950 .476$
VAL 43
0.3350 .5320 .453
CA 0.3490 .5900 .442
C 0.3550 .5700 .444
$0.350 \quad 0.5640 .455$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { cн } & 0.353 & 0.604 & 0.445\end{array}$
CG1 0.3300 .6310 .438
G2 0.3550 .6230 .442
MET 44
0.3550 .5610 .432 CA 0.3530 .5420 .431
c 0．341 0．5］ 0.427
0.3410 .5160 .424
$\begin{array}{llll}C 8 & 0.369 & 0.533 & 0.442\end{array}$
CG $0.382 \quad 0.5190 .436$
SD $0.3390 .529 \quad 0.426$
CE $0.390 \quad 0.520 \quad 0.409$
Gly 45
$0.326 \quad 0.542 \quad 0.428$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { c．} 1 & 0.309 & 0.536 & 0.423\end{array}$

| C | 0.311 | 0.529 | 0.409 | 0 | 0.205 | 0.504 | 0.252 | LYS | 56 |  |  | is | 0.258 | 0.658 | 0.302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.324 | 0.532 | 0.402 | Cr | 0.216 | 0.469 | 0.264 | id | 0.282 | 0.583 | 0.229 | CA | 0.242 | 0.671 | 0.310 |
|  |  |  |  | CG | 0.224 | 0.458 | 0.252 | C. | 0.292 | 0.551 | 0.242 | C | 0.242 | 0.658 | 0.322 |
| HIS | 46 |  |  | CD1 | 0.239 | 0.445 | 0.253 | C | 0.296 | 0.599 | 0.249 | 0 | 0.236 | 0.663 | 0.333 |
| : | 0.298 | 0.518 | 0.405 | CU2 | ग. 209 | 0.447 | 0.245 | 0 | 0.293 | 0.601 | 0.261 | CE | 0.225 | 0.672 | 0.302 |
| CA | 0.301 | 0.507 | 0.392 |  |  |  |  | Ce | 0.303 | 0.569 | 0.240 | CG | 0.229 | 0.681 | 0.239 |
| C | 0.283 | 0.503 | 0.386 | THR | 51 |  |  | Cu | 2. 323 | 0.577 | 0.232 | 0 O 1 | 0.230 | 0.670 | 0.279 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 0.271 | 0.498 | 0.392 | : | 0.234 | 0.508 | 0.247 | $C D$ | 0.341 | 0.567 | 0.234 | 012 | 0.230 | 0.693 | 0.288 |
| C3 | 0.312 | 0.491 | 0.397 | CA | 0.230 | 0.521 | 0.236 | CE | 0.342 | 0.551 | 0.224 |  |  |  |  |
| CG | 0.331 | 0.451 | 0.394 | C | 0.242 | 0.518 | 0.224 | : 2 | 0.361 | 0.545 | 0.222 | GLY | 53 |  |  |
| HD1 | 0.338 | 0.502 | 0.384 | , | 0.250 | 0.504 | 0.222 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 0.248 | 0.641 | 0.320 |
| CE1 | 0.356 | 0.498 | 0.384 | CL | 0.234 | 0.541 | 0.242 | ULU | 57 |  |  | CA | 0.249 | 0.629 | 0.332 |
| : E 2 | 0.360 | 0.435 | 0.393 | nG1 | 0.213 | 0.547 | 0.249 | : | 0.305 | 0.611 | 0.242 | C | 0.253 | 0.640 | 0.344 |
| CD2 | 0.344 | 0.480 | 0.339 | CS2 | 0.238 | 0.554 | 0.230 | Ca | 0.310 | 0.629 | 0.248 | 0 | 0.242 | 0.640 | 0.354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C | 0.293 | 0.637 | 0.254 |  |  |  |  |
| AS:1 | 47 |  |  | LYS | 52 |  |  | 0 | 0.291 | 0.640 | 0.265 | :IET | 64 |  |  |
| N | 0.282 | 0.507 | 0.373 | : | 0.241 | 0.531 | 0.215 | CB | 0.317 | 0.642 | 0.238 | 3 | 0.263 | 0.650 | 0.344 |
| CA | 0.266 | 0.504 | 0.365 | CA | 0.250 | 0.531 | 0.202 | CG | 0.333 | 0.635 | 0.230 | ca | 0.273 | 0.662 | 0.355 |
| C | 0.270 | 0.476 | 0.351 | C | 0.268 | 0.542 | 0.201 | CD | ग. 350 | 0.643 | 0.236 | C | 0.259 | 0.670 | 0.353 |
| 0 | 0.284 | 0.487 | 0.349 | 0 | 0.269 | 0.556 | 0.208 | OE1 | 0.360 | 0.632 | 0.243 | 0 | 0.255 | 0.679 | 0.370 |
| CB | 0.254 | 0.521 | 0.365 | CB | 0.238 | 0.537 | 0.191 | 052 | 0.353 | 0.659 | 0.233 | CB | 0.291 | 0.671 | 0.352 |
| CG | 0.256 | 0.531 | 0.351 | CG | 0.223 | 0.549 | 0.196 |  |  |  |  | CG | 0.295 | 0.685 | 0.363 |
| OD1 | 0.270 | 0.535 | 0.346 | CD | 0.207 | 0.550 | 0.186 | GLY | 58 |  |  | SD | 0.317 | 0.694 | 0.362 |
| ND2 | 0.240 | 0.534 | 0.346 | CE | 0.200 | 0.569 | 0.134 | is | 0.280 | 0.640 | 0.245 | CE | 0.314 | 0.718 | 0.352 |
|  |  |  |  | N2 | 0.197 | 0.573 | 0.170 | CA | 0.263 | 0.648 | 0.249 |  |  |  |  |
| TRP | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | C | -. 256 | 0.640 | 0.262 | AS: | 65 |  |  |
| N | 0.258 | 0.499 | 0.341 | GLU | 53 |  |  | 0 | 0.258 | 0.648 | 0.273 | H | 0.253 | 0.685 | 0.348 |
| CA | 0.262 | 0.489 | 0.328 | N | 0.280 | 0.535 | 0.193 |  |  |  |  | CA | 0.240 | 0.699 | 0.349 |
| C | 0.247 | 0.492 | 0.318 | CA | 0.297 | 0.543 | 0.190 | val | 59 |  |  | C | 0.224 | 0.696 | 0.359 |
| 0 | 0.233 | 0.432 | 0.319 | C | 0.295 | 0.563 | 0.187 | , | 0.249 | 0.623 | 0.261 | 0 | 0.218 | 0.738 | 0.365 |
| CB | 0.264 | 0.469 | 0.331 | 0 | 0.285 | 0.568 | 0.177 | C. | 0.243 | 0.614 | 0.273 | CB | 0.235 | 0.709 | 0.336 |
| CG | 0.267 | 0.456 | 0.320 | CB | 0.305 | 0.533 | 0.178 | C | 0.255 | 0.619 | 0.235 | Ci | 0.247 | 0.726 | 0.334 |
| CD1 | 0.232 | 0.449 | 0.316 | CG | 0.291 | 0.531 | 0.167 | 0 | 0.249 | 0.625 | 0.296 | 001 | 0.253 | 0.735 | 0.343 |
| HE1 | 0.279 | 0.437 | 0.305 | CD | 0.293 | 0.534 | 0.153 | CS | 0.242 | 0.594 | 0.271 | 1D2 | 0.253 | 0.729 | 0.321 |
| CE2 | 0.262 | 0.437 | 0.303 | OE1 | 0.303 | 0.519 | 0.147 | C31 | 0.234 | 0.589 | 0.257 |  |  |  |  |
| CZ2 | 0.252 | 0.427 | 0.294 | 0f. 2 | 0.300 | 0.550 | 0.149 | CG2 | 0.231 | 0.585 | 0.282 | ALA | 66 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH2}$ | 0.234 | 0.428 | 0.293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N | 0.219 | 0.679 | 0.360 |
| C23 | 0.225 | 0.440 | 0.302 | Al.A | 54 |  |  | A LA | 60 |  |  | CA | 0.204 | 0.675 | 0.370 |
| CE3 | 0.235 | 0.450 | 0.311 | $\cdots$ | 0.305 | 0.574 | 0.194 | N | 0. 272 | 0.616 | 0.283 | C | 0.212 | 0.668 | 0.383 |
| CD2 | 0.253 | 0.448 | 0.312 | CA | 0.304 | 0.594 | 0.192 | C: | 0.236 | 0.619 | 0.293 | 0 | 0.211 | 0.678 | 0.393 |
|  |  |  |  | \% | 0.288 | 0.602 | 0.200 | $\checkmark$ | 0.235 | 0.637 | 0.300 | CR | 0.183 | 0.663 | 0.364 |
| val | 49 |  |  | 0 | 0.286 | 0.619 | 0.201 | 0 | 2.279 | ग.639 | 0.311 |  |  |  |  |
| Cr | 0.249 | 0.505 | 0.309 | CB | 0.303 | 0.598 | 0.177 | CR | 0. 305 | 1. 617 | 0.286 | GL.Y | 67 |  |  |
| CA | 0.235 | 0.510 | 0.299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N | 0.220 | 0.652 | 0.383 |
| C | 0.239 | 0.502 | 0.285 | ASP | 55 |  |  | THP | ól |  |  | CA | 0.231 | 0.645 | 0.313 |
| 0 | 0.253 | 0.504 | 0.280 | N | 0.277 | 0.590 | 0.205 | 1 | $\bigcirc .291$ | 0.651 | 0.293 | c | 0.226 | 0.638 | 0.407 |
| CB | 0.234 | 0.531 | 0.298 | CA | 0.262 | 0.595 | 0.213 | C: | 0.290 | 0.670 | 0.298 | 0 | 0.222 | 0.649 | 0.416 |
| CG1 | 0.235 | 0.539 | 0.312 | C | 0.269 | 0.595 | 0.228 | , | 0.274 | 0.673 | 0.307 |  |  |  |  |
| CG2 | 0.250 | 0.537 | 0.290 | 0 | 0.264 | 0.606 | 0.236 | 0 | 0.275 | 0.631 | 0.318 | LEU | 68 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | CB | 0.247 | 0.582 | 0.211 | CB | 0.292 | 0.684 | $0.28 \% 7$ | N | 0.229 | 0.621 | 0.409 |
| LEU | 50 |  |  | CG | 0.231 | 0.592 | 0.204 | OG 1 | 0.236 | 0.676 | 0.275 | CN | 0.224 | 0.614 | 0.423 |
| N | 0.225 | 0.495 | 0.279 | 0111 | 0.236 | 0.602 | ก. 194 | Cis | 0.312 | 0.690 | 0.286 | C | 0.204 | 0.618 | 0.424 |
| CA | 0.227 | 0.487 | 0.265 | OD2 | 0.216 | 0.590 | 0.209 |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0.198 | 0.632 | 0.419 |
| C | 0.221 | 0.501 | 0.254 |  |  |  |  | ASP | 62 |  |  | CB | 0.235 | 0.623 | 0.434 |

0.2580 .6580 .302 C 0.2420 .658 3. 322 $0 \quad 02360.6630 .333$ CE 0.2250 .6720 .302 CG 0.2290 .6810 .239 ont 0.230 0.670 0.279 GLy 53
$0.248 \quad 0.6410 .320$
C. 0.2490 .6290 .332

- $0.242 \quad 0.640 \quad 0.354$

IET 64
$0.263 \quad 0.650 \quad 0.344$
$\begin{array}{llll}0.259 & 0.675 & 0.355\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0.2550 .6790 .370$
CB 0.2910 .6710 .352
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CG } & 0.295 & 0.685 & 0.363\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SD } & 0.317 & 0.694 & 0.362 \\ \text { CE } & 0.314 & 0.718 & 0.352\end{array}$
A.SN 65
0.2530 .6850 .348 CA $\quad 0.240 \quad 0.699 \quad 0.349$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.218 & 0.738 & 0.365\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.235 & 0.709 & 0.336 \\ \text { Ci } & 0.247 & 0.726 & 0.334\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cu } & 0.247 & 0.726 & 0.334\end{array}$
ID2 0.2500 .7290 .321

N 0.2190 .6790 .360
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{CH} & 0.204 & 0.675 & 0.370 \\ \mathrm{C} & 0.212 & 0.668 & 0.383\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0.2110 .6780 .393$

N 0.2200 .6520 .383
$\begin{array}{lllll}C A & 0.231 & 0.645 & 0.313\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0.2220 .6490 .416$
LEU G8
N 0.2290 .6210 .409
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.204 & 0.6183 & 0.424\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { СВ } & 0.198 & 0.632 & 0.419\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG } & 0.253 & 0.614 & 0.436 \\ \text { CD1 } & 0.250 & 0.597 & 0.445\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CD2 } & 0.265 & 0.627 & 0.444\end{array}$

ALA 69
if $0.194 \quad 0.506 \quad 0.431$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.175 & 0.6 \text { O9 } & 0.432\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.175 & 0.6 J 9 & 0.432 \\ \text { C } & 0.168 & 0.614 & 0.417\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c } & 0.168 & 0.614 & 0.417 \\ 0 & 0.166 & 0.63) & 0.414\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.166 & 0.63 J & 0.414\end{array}$

GL: 70
N 0.1660 .5990 .410
CA 0.1610 .6000 .396
C $\quad 0.178 \quad 0.5950 .388$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.185 & 0.605 & 0.379\end{array}$
CB $\quad 0.153 \quad 0.618 \quad 0.391$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.155 & 0.622 & 0.376\end{array}$ CD $\quad 0.138 \quad 0.625 \quad 0.369$ OE1 0.1310 .6400 .359 NE2 0.1300 .6110 .363

ASP 71
A 0.1850 .5900 .393 CA $0.202 \quad 0.5730 .388$ C $\quad 0.2110 .5850 .379$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.209 & 0.603 & 0.380\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}C B & 0.198 & 0.555 & 0.381\end{array}$ CG 0.1820 .5470 .388 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 D 1 & 0.185 & 0.542 & 0.400 \\ 0.2 & 0.167 & 0.547 & 0.382\end{array}$

TYR 72
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.221 & 0.579 & 0.369\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}C A & 0.233 & 0.591 & 0.362\end{array}$ C $0.230 \quad 0.5920 .346$ $0 \quad 0.2430 .5920 .338$ CB 0.2520 .5350 .365 CG 0.2590 .5990 .376 CD1 0.2630 .6160 .371 CE1 0.2700 .6300 .319 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CZ } & 0.274 & 0.625 & 0.393\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE2 } & 0.270 & 0.608 & 0.398\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD2 } & 0.262 \quad 0.595 & 0.389\end{array}$ OH 0.2310 .6380 .401

VAL 73
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.213 & 0.595 & 0.343\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}C A & 0.208 & 0.596 & 0.329\end{array}$ C $\quad 0.200 \quad 0.615 \quad 0.326$ $0 \quad 0.207 \quad 0.626 \quad 0.319$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { с } & 0.195 & 0.581 & 0.326\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG1 } & 0.204 & 0.567 & 0.317\end{array}$ CG2 $0.178 \quad 0.5890 .319$

LYS 7
0.1840 .6170 .332

CA 0.1730 .6330 .330 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.173 & 0.633 & 0.330 \\ \text { C } & 0.154 & 0.626 & 0.331\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.154 & 0.620 & 0.331 \\ 0 & 0.151 & 0.610 & 0.336\end{array}$ CB $\quad 0.176 \quad 0.639 \quad 0.315$ CG $\quad 0.1710 .30 \quad 0.312$ CD $0.177 \quad 0.6710 .323$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & 0.177 & 0.671 & 0.323 \\ \text { CE } & 0.179 & 0.691 & 0.317\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CE } & 0.179 & 0.691 & 0.317 \\ \text { iI2 } & 0.136 & 0.703 & 0.328\end{array}$

ALA 75
N 0.1410 .6370 .328 $\begin{array}{llll}C A & 0.122 & 0.629 & 0.328\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.122 & 0.618 & 0.315\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.136 & 0.616 & 0.308 \\ \text { CB } & 0.108 & 0.544 & 0.328\end{array}$ GLy 76
is 0.1060 .6110 .311
CA $0.104 \quad 0.599 \quad 0.300$
C $\quad 0.114 \quad 0.606 \quad 0.287$
$0 \quad 0.106 \quad 0.617 \quad 0.280$
ASP 77
N $\quad 0.1290 .5980 .284$ CA 0.1410 .6020 .273 C $\quad 0.137 \quad 0.5900 .261$ $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.138 & 0.573 & 0.262\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.160 & 0.598 & 0.278 \\ \text { CG } & 0.174 & 0.610 & 0.271\end{array}$ 0 O1 0.1700 .6150 .259 OD2 0.1870 .6130 .277

THR 73
N $0.133 \quad 0.598 \quad 0.249$
CA $\quad 0.130 \quad 0.587 \quad 0.237$
C 0.1480 .5300 .232 O 0.1500 .5740 .220 CB 0.1200 .5960 .226 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { OG1 } & 0.128 & 0.613 & 0.222 \\ \text { CG2 } & 0.101 & 0.601 & 0.231\end{array}$

ARG 79
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.162 \quad 0.532 & 0.241\end{array}$ CA $0.180 \quad 0.5770 .238$ C $\quad 0.187 \quad 0.550 \quad 0.245$ $0 \quad 0.2020 .5550 .243$ CB $0.1920 .593 \quad 0.241$ CG 0.1930 .6090 .231 CD $0.206 \quad 0.616 \quad 0.226$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { NE } & 0.214 & 0.627 & 0.237\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CZ } & 0.214 & 0.645 & 0.236\end{array}$

NH1 0.2210 .6550 .246 $\begin{array}{lll}11 & 0.203 & 0.653 \\ 0.225\end{array}$

VAL 80
N $\quad 0.1740 .5520 .253$ CA $\quad 0.1730 .5350 .200$ C $\quad 0.160 \quad 0.525 \quad 0.25$ $0 \quad 0.1470 .5310 .264$ CB C. 1830.5390 .274 CG1 0.1880 .5590 .275 $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { CG2 } & 0.167 & 0.534 & 0.283\end{array}$

## ILE OI

is $0.160 \quad 0.5090 .252$ CA $0.1430 .500 \quad 0.25$ C 0.1380 .4950 .266 $0 \quad 0.1230 .4950 .270$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.144 & 0.483 & 0.243\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG1 } & 0.153 & 0.467 & 0.25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CDI } & 0.173 & 0.467 & 0.247\end{array}$ CG2 0.1530 .4870 .224
ala 82
N $\quad 0.153 \quad 0.4920 .27$ CA 0.1520 .4390 .288 C $\quad 0.163 \quad 0.4950 .295$ $0 \quad 0.1840 .4930 .289$ CB 0.1490 .4680 .291 HIS 83

N 0.1670 .5020 .307 CA 0.1830 .5080 .31 C 0.1830 .4980 .329 $0 \quad 0.1720 .5030 .337$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.183 & 0.523 & 0.317\end{array}$ CG $0.166 \quad 0.5340 .323$ ND1 0.1650 .5430 .33 CE1 0.1470 .5470 .33 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { NE2 } 0.1370 .540 & 0.327\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD2 } & 0.149 \quad 0.533 & 0.318\end{array}$

THR 84
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.195 & 0.486 & 0.330\end{array}$
CA 0.1960 .4760 .343 C 0.1950 .4910 .35 00.1330 .5070 .35 ? C3 0.2140 .4660 .345 OG1 0.210 $0.450 \quad 0.35$ CG2 0.2270 .4780 .353

LYS 85
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.199 & 0.484 & 0.366\end{array}$
CA $0.200 \quad 0.4970 .373$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.220 & 0.498 & 0.382\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0.2310 .4370 .37$
Cl1 1). 1090.4910 .392 CG C. 171 - 5020.30 cd $0.1630 .503 \quad 1040$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD } & 0.163 & 0.503 & 13.404 \\ \text { CE } & 0.172 & 0.489 & 0.413\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { HZ } & 0.150 & 0.472 & 0.413\end{array}$

VA.L 35
if $0.2220 .510 \quad 0.391$ こA 0.2390 .5130 .39 C $\quad 0.237 \quad 0.5020 .41$ $0.2220 .497 \quad 0.415$ CB 0.2380 .5340 .402 CG1 0.2210 .5410 .395 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG2 } & 0.254 & 0.543 & 0.394\end{array}$

ILE 87
M 0.25? $0.498 \quad 0.418$
CA $0.251 \quad 0.487 \quad 0.430$
C 0.2690 .4890 .430
0.2820 .4940 .432
$\begin{array}{lllll}C G & 0.248 & 0.467 & 0.426\end{array}$
CD1 0.250 . 4 4 0.41
CG2 $0.230 \quad 3.460 \quad 0.430$

GLY 38
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0.269 & 0.434 & 0.450\end{array}$
CA 0.2850 .4840 .45
c 0.2930 .4650 .450
$0 \quad 0.2830 .4520 .456$
GLY 39
N 0.3100 .4640 .460
CA $0.320 \quad 0.1460 .45$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.312 & 0.433 & 17.470\end{array}$

GLY 90
v $0.306 \quad 0.418 \quad 0.465$
C.A 0.2930 .4040 .47

C $\quad 0.278 \quad 0.4020 .470$
$0 \quad 0.2700 .390 \quad 0.4 \%$

GLU 91
N $0.272 \quad 0.413 \quad 0.461$
CA 0.2540 .4130 .455
C 0.2530 .40411 .442
$0 \quad 0.2650 .394 \quad 10.438$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C . B & 0.21: 6 & 0.432 & 0.456\end{array}$
CG 0.2370 .4360 .470
CD $\quad 0.229 \quad 0.4550 .470$
OE1 0.2270 .4610 .48
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { OE2 } & 0.22 .2 & 0.463 & 0.459\end{array}$

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SER |  | 92 |  |  |
| N | 0.239 | 0.409 | 0.435 |  |
| CA | 0.230 | 0.402 | 0.421 |  |
| C | 0.218 | 0.404 | 0.415 |  |
| O | 0.205 | 0.406 | 0.423 |  |
| CB | 0.244 | 0.383 | 0.419 |  |
| OG | 0.231 | 0.370 | 0.422 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ASP | 93 |  |  |  |
| II | 0.216 | 0.406 | 0.402 |  |
| CA | 0.199 | 0.410 | 0.396 |  |
| C | 0.197 | 0.402 | 0.382 |  |
| O | 0.210 | 0.395 | 0.376 |  |
| CB | 0.198 | 0.431 | 0.394 |  |
| CG | 0.178 | 0.438 | 0.394 |  |
| OD1 | 0.172 | 0.439 | 0.382 |  |
| CD2 | 0.171 | 0.441 | 0.405 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SER | 94 |  |  |  |
| N | 0.181 | 0.403 | 0.377 |  |
| CA | 0.177 | 0.394 | 0.354 |  |
| C | 0.163 | 0.404 | 0.355 |  |
| O | 0.148 | 0.403 | 0.360 |  |
| CB | 0.169 | 0.375 | 0.367 |  |
| OG | 0.183 | 0.364 | 0.372 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| VAL | S5 |  |  |  |
| N | 0.167 | 0.406 | 0.342 |  |
| CA | 0.154 | 0.413 | 0.333 |  |
| C | 0.151 | 0.400 | 0.321 |  |
| O | 0.163 | 0.392 | 0.316 |  |
| CB | 0.160 | 0.432 | 0.327 |  |
| CG1 | 0.175 | 0.430 | 0.317 |  |
| CG2 | 0.144 | 0.442 | $n .321$ |  |

THR 95
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & 0.134 & 0.399 & 0.317\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}C A & 0.129 & 0.387 & 0.306\end{array}$ C 0.1230 .3990 .293 $0 \quad 0.112 \quad 0.412 \quad 0.295$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Св } & 0.114 & 0.375 & 0.310\end{array}$ OGI 10.1160 .3710 .324 $\begin{array}{llllll} & \text { CG2 } & 0.114 & 0.357 & 0.302\end{array}$ PIE 97
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.130 & 0.395 \quad 0.282\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.125 & 0.405 & 0.270\end{array}$ C $\quad 0.121 \quad 0.392 \quad 0.253$ $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.124 & 0.376 & 0.253\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.139 & 0.419 & 0.266\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.156 & 0.411 & 0.260\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD1 } & 0.171 & 0.407 & 0.269\end{array}$ CE1 0.1870 .4010 .263

Cz 0.1890 .3980 .250 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{CF} & 0.175 & 0.403 & 0.241 \\ \text { CD2 } & 0.153 & 0.409 & 0.246\end{array}$

15P 93
0.1130 .4010 .247 CA 0.1070 .3920 .235 C $0.120 \quad 0.3950 .223$ 00.1260 .4100 .221 $\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.099 & 0.400 & 0.231\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.075 & 0.399 & 0.242\end{array}$ 0020.0310 .3960 .254

VAL 99
c. $0.1220 .380 \quad 0.216$ c. $0.134 \quad 0.3800 .204$ c 0.1250 .3850 .191 $\begin{array}{llll}0.134 & 0.384 & 0.181\end{array}$ CB $0.140 \quad 0.3530 .204$ CG1 0.144 0.3540 .212 CG2 0.165 0. 3630.202

SER 100
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { N } & 0.108 & 0.390 & 0.192\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C A & 0.100 & 0.397 & 0.179\end{array}$ C 0.1090 .4140 .175 0.1080 .4210 .164 CB $0.080 \quad 0.4010 .182$ OG $0.070 \quad 0.3840 .179$

Lis
(i) 0.1180 .4210 .186
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.127 & 0.439 & 0.185\end{array}$
C $\quad 0.148 \quad 0.438 \quad 0.137$
$0 \quad 0.157 \quad 0.4510 .189$
CR $0.1190 .452 \quad 0.155$
CG $\quad 0.120 \quad 0.4430 .209$
CD 0.1200 .4530 .220
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE } & 0.102 & 0.458 & 0.227\end{array}$
NZ C. $105 \quad 0.463 \quad 0.242$
LEU 10?
$11 \quad 0.154 \quad 0.421 \quad 0.185$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C A & 0.174 & 0.419 & n .188\end{array}$
C $\quad 0.1810 .112 \quad 0.174$
$0 \quad 0.185 \quad 0.397 \quad 10.17 ?$
CR $\quad 0.179 \quad 0.405 \quad 0.199$
CG 0.1940 .4130 .207
CD1

$$
0.4060 .222
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { HR } \quad 103
\end{array}
$$

N 0.1790 .4260 .165

CA 0.1830 .4230 .150
C 0.2020 .4160 .148
o 0.2150 .4230 .154
C:3 $\quad 0.1790 .4410 .143$
OG 10.162 J.440 0.147
Cज2 $0.180 \quad 0.43$ E 0.128
PRO 104
iv 0.2030 .4030 .139
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.203 & 0.403 & 0.139\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CA } & 0.215 & 0.392 & 0.137 \\ \text { C } & 0.235 & 0.401 & 0.130\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.235 & 0.404 & 0.112\end{array}$
Св 0.2130 .3760 .129
C © 50.1930 .3730 .126
CD 0.1890 .3980 .130

GLY 105
$N \quad 0.2490 .4050 .138$
CA 0.2650 .4100 .132
C 0.2710 .4300 .136
$0 \quad 0.236 \quad 0.435 \quad 0.132$

LU 106
N $0.2600 .440 \quad 0.143$
CA 0.2670 .4530 .147
c 0.2730 .4530 .160
0.2720 .4420 .16 a

CB 0.2520 .4710 .15
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CG } & 0.234 & 0.467 & 0.144\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & 0.220 & 0.481 & 0.14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll} & 0.214 & 0.431 & 0.159\end{array}$ כE2 0.2150 .4920 .138
fiLA 107
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { if } & 0.293 & 0.463 & 0.162\end{array}$
CA 0.3020 .4590 .17
C 0.2900 .4060 .18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.320 & 0.469 & 0.175\end{array}$

TYR 108
H $\quad 3.285 \quad 0.453 \quad 7.194$ CA $\quad 0.2720 .460 \quad 0.205$ C $\quad 0.2820 .4630 .217$ $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0.295 & 0.454 & 0.221\end{array}$ CB $\quad 0.256 \quad 0.4470 .206$ $\begin{array}{lllll}C G & 0.240 & 0.453 & 0.198\end{array}$ CD1 0.231 0.469 0.202 CE. $10.2170 .475 \quad$ C. 194 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C2 } & 0.211 & 0.466 & 0.183\end{array}$ CE2 $0.220 \quad 0.4500 .179$ CD2 0.2340 .4440 .187 $\mathrm{OH} \quad 0.197 \quad 0.472 \quad 0.17$

ALA 109
N $0.2770 .478 \quad 0.224$
C. 0.2870 .4850 .236
c 0.2770 .4830 .249
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.262 & 0.489 & 0.251\end{array}$
CB $0.293 \quad 0.504 \quad 0.233$

TYR 110
N 0.2360 .4740 .258 CA 0.2820 .4730 .272 C 0.2980 .4810 .279 $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.313 & 0.475 & 0.276\end{array}$ CR $\quad 0.2760 .4540 .276$ CD 0.2930 .4410 .276 CE1 0.29810 .4210 .266 C2 $0.3240 .422 \quad 0.27$ ? CF. 20.3190 .4320 .239 CD2 0.3030 .4420 .238 $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{OH} & 0.340 & 0.4120 .279\end{array}$

## PHE 11

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { N } & 0.295 & 0.495 & 0.257\end{array}$ CA 0.3100 .5050 .29 $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 0.303 & 0.514 & 0.307\end{array}$ $0 \quad 0.2880 .5120 .310$ CB $\quad 0.3190 .520 \quad 0.285$ CG 0.3040 .5330 .279 CD1 $0.3060 .540 \quad 0.266$ CE1 0.2940 .5530 .262 CL 0.2800 .5500 .270 CE2 0.2780 .5510 .283 CD2 0.2900 .5380 .288

CYS 112
N 0.3160 .5220 .314
CA 0.3110 .5280 .328
C 0.3120 .5490 .329
$0 \quad 0.3260 .5580 .328$
CB $\quad 0.325 \quad 0.520 \quad 0.338$
SG $\quad 0.3210 .528 \quad 0.355$
SER 113
N 0.2960 .5570 .331
CA $0.295 \quad 0.5760 .333$
c $\quad 0.3010 .5330 .346$
$0 \quad 0.304 \quad 0.599 \quad 0.348$
CB 0.2760 .5830 .329
OG $\quad 0.263 \quad 0.5 \% \quad 0.332$
PHE 114
$N \quad 0.3020 .5710 .356$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.309 & 0.579 & 0.369\end{array}$
C 0.3250 .5930 .366
$0 \quad 0.3390 .5800 .362$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C3 } & 0.309 & 0.565 & 0.381\end{array}$ CG $\quad 0.3150 .573 \quad 0.394$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CD1 } & 0.333 & 0.580 & 0.396\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE1 } & 0.338 & 0.588 & 0.407\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cz } & 0.326 & 0.591 & 0.418\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CE2 } & 0.308 & 0.584 & 0.416\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } 20 & 0.303 & 0.576 & 0.404\end{array}$

PRO 115
N 0.3250 .6060 .368 CA $0.3380 .61 y 0.363$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.358 & 0.614 & 0.361\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CB } & 0.336 & 0.636 & 0.371\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG } & 0.317 & 0.634 & 0.378\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CD } & 0.311 & 0.615 & 0.376\end{array}$ GLY 116
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 0.363 & 0.613 & 0.348\end{array}$
CA 0.3820 .6100 .345 C $\quad 0.385 \quad 0.590 \quad 0.342$ $0 \quad 0.397 \quad 0.585 \quad 0.335$ HIS 117
$\begin{array}{llll}\because & 0.372 & 0.580 & 0.348\end{array}$ CA 0.3720 .5600 .346 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & 0.370 & 0.555 & 0.331\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0.378 & 0.542 & 0.327\end{array}$ C 0.3560 .5530 .355 $\begin{array}{lllll}1 D 1 & 0.354 & 0.543 & 0.379\end{array}$ CE1 0.3650 .5450 .390 NE.2 0.3800 .5540 .388 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CD2 } & 0.379 & 0.559 & 0.374\end{array}$

TRP 118
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ii } & 0.359 & 0.566 & 0.325\end{array}$ CA $0.3550 .565 \quad 0.310$ C $\quad 0.372 \quad 0.556 \quad 0.302$ $0 \quad 0.370 \quad 0.5670 .289$ C3 $0.3420 .580 \quad 0.307$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CG } & 0.344 & 0.589 & 0.294\end{array}$ CD1 $0.3320 .590 \quad 0.284$ NE1 0.3380 .5980 .273 CE2 0.3550 .6030 .275 C22 0.36\% 0.6110 .267 CH2 0.3850 .6150 .272 $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ \text { C2 } & 0.390 & 0.609 & 0.234\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CE3 } & 0.378 & 0.600 & 0.293\end{array}$ CD2 $0.360 \quad 0.5970 .288$

## ALA 119

N 0.3870 .5660 .303
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CA } & 0.404 & 0.553 & 0.300 \\ \text { C } & 0.414 & 0.550 & 0.300\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 7 & 0.42 .5 & 0.546 & 0.392\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C B & 0.415 & 0.583 & 0.305\end{array}$ MET 120

N 0.4090 .5390 .310
CA 0.4180 .5220 .313
c 0.404 c.505 0.312
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.409 & 0.497 & 0.312\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CB } & 0.426 & 0.522 & 0.327\end{array}$
CG 0.4420 .5350 .328

| SD | 0.452 | 0.536 | 0.344 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

HET 121
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { N } & 0.387 & 0.511 & 0.311\end{array}$
CA $\quad 0.374 \quad 0.497 \quad 0.311$
C 0.3640 .4990 .297
$0 \quad 0.349 \quad 0.505 \quad 0.296$
CR $0.3610 .499 \quad 0.323$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { CG } & 0.367 & 0.487 & 0.334\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SD } & 0.367 & 0.498 & 0.351\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CE } & 0.383 & 0.4920 .359\end{array}$
LYS 122
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0.373 & 0.492 \quad 0.237\end{array}$
CA 0.3670 .4930 .273 C $\quad 0.369 \quad 0.4750 .266$ $0 \quad 0.383$-. 4700.252 CB $\quad 0.3790 .507 \quad 0.256$ $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CG } & 0.397 & 0.509 & 0.274\end{array}$ CD 0.4120 .5080 .264
CE 0.4150 .4890 .258 $\begin{array}{llll}112 & 0.433 & 0.487 & 0.253\end{array}$ GLY 123
$\begin{array}{llll}11 & 0.353 & 0.457 & 0.264\end{array}$
CA 0.3540 .4500 .257
C $0.3390 .446 \quad 0.248$
$0 \quad 0.325 \quad 0.4560 .248$
In 124
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0.341 & 0.4320 .240\end{array}$
CA 0.3310 .4250 .229
c 0.3160 .4120 .233
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0.320 & 0.397 & 0.238\end{array}$
CB 0.3450 .4160 .219
OG1 0.3550 .4300 .213
CG2 $0.353 \quad 0.4050 .228$
EU 125
$1 \quad 0.3010 .4170 .228$
CA 0.2850 .4050 .228
$\therefore 0.2820 .4020 .212$
$0.0 .231 \quad 0.4150 .205$
CE $\quad 0.264 \quad 0.4140 .234$
CJ $0.254 \quad 0.3990 .234$
CD $0.236 \quad 0.4070 .224$
CD2 0.2510 .3930 .249

## LYS 126

if $\quad 0.2820 .3850 .209$
CA $\quad 0.2810 .380 \quad 0.194$
$\begin{array}{llll}0.266 & 0.366 & 0.192 \\ 0.254 & 0.354 & 0.200\end{array}$
CB $\quad 0.299 \quad 0.372 \quad 0.189$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cư } & 0.315 & 0.382 & 0.195\end{array}$
CD 0.3290 .3590 .200
$C \equiv \quad 0.3430 .367 \quad 0.189$ $\begin{array}{lllll}1: 2 & 0.360 & 0.361 & 0.195\end{array}$

LEU 127
. 0.2570 .3680 .180 CA $\quad 0.2450 .3530 .177$ C $0.2570 .339 \quad 0.169$ $0 \quad 0.2650 .3430 .159$ CB $\quad 0.230 \quad 0.3590 .168$ CG $\quad 0.2110 .358 \quad 0.175$ CD1 0.2020 .3400 .170 CD2 $0.200 \quad 0.374 \quad 0.17$ ?

H $\quad 0.2570 .32$ 3 0.175
CA $\quad 0.268 \quad 0.3080 .170$
C $0.2580 .297 \quad 0.160$
00.2430 .2990 .153

Cfi 0.2750 .2960 .182
วG 0.2910 .30110 .187

AS: 129
$\begin{array}{llll}11 & 0.267 & 0.2820 .158\end{array}$ CA $\quad 0.260 \quad 0.2690 .147$ C 0.2670 .2520 .151 $0 \quad 0.253 \quad 0.267 \quad 0.150$ CB $\quad 0.264 \quad 0.275 \quad$ U. 133 CG $0.275 \quad 0.2910 .133$ 0210.2690 .3070 .132 1020.2920 .2890 .134 OE $0.2830 .250 \quad 0.151$

## TL 130

CU 0.3350 .52510 .37
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