Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SURFACE EROSION CHARACTERISTICS

OF THREE MANAWATU SOILS

A THESIS

PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE

OF

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

IN SOIL SCIENCE

AT

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

LACHLAN GRANT

1992

551-302099356 Gra

Abstract

Sheet erosion is the most extensively mapped erosion type in New Zealand. With the current financial returns from pastoral framing, land which was previously unaffected by sheet erosion is being cultivated and therefore becoming more susceptible to sheet erosion.

The main objective of this study was to assess quantitatively, under the same conditions of slope, cover, and rainfall, the erodibility of three soils which are suitable for either arable farming or market gardening. Whether the eroded sediment consisted of sand, silt or clay particles, or more predominantly aggregates of these primary particles was also determined.

The three soils examined were from the Manawatu region and included the Kiwitea silt loam (Dystrochrept), Levin silt loam (Dystrochrept) and the Tokomaru silt loam (Fragiaqualf). A portable rainfall simulator was used to generate runoff and sediment from soil packed in 0.2 m² trays. All "storms" were for 60 minutes in which 65 mm of rain was applied. Particle selectively was determined using pipette analysis methods and a settling tube.

Quantitatively comparing the erodibility of the three soils, it was found that the Levin soil was the most erodible and the Kiwitea was the least erodible. If the same storm intensity and soil conditions were to occur over a large area, one hectare could produce 6.4, 17.6 and 10.3 tonnes of sediment from the Kiwitea, Levin and Tokomaru soils respectively. Soil particles and aggregates were selectively removed by rainsplash and overland flow. The proportion of sand particles present in the eroded sediment was always lower than the original soil due to the inability of sand particles to be entrained by overland flow. Silt particles were easily detached and were most commonly eroded as individual particles. Clay particles were eroded and transported in the form of aggregates, a result of their binding properties. The size distribution of eroded sediment became progressively coarser over the rainfall period. This was because initially there was insufficient runoff energy available to transport the larger particles. A vegetative cover severely reduces the volume of runoff and the amount of sediment eroded by cushioning the raindrop impact.

i

Acknowledgements

I express my sincere thanks to my supervisor Mr. M.P. Tuohy for his guidance and assistance during all the stages of my work.

I am also grateful for the assistance given to me by the following:

-Doug Grant for his time and effort during the preparation of this manuscript

-The Technical Staff from the Department of Soil Science -Kevin Harris, Senior Technical Officer for the Faculty of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, for the use of his office and computer resources.

Finally, I would like to Acknowledge the never-ending tolerance, encouragement and support from my family and friends, especially my parents.

Table of Contents

Abstracti
Acknowledgements ii
Table of Contents iii
List of Figures
List of Plates ix
List of Tables x
Chapter One: Introduction 1-
Introduction 1
Definitions
Sheet erosion 1
Rill erosion 1
The extent of Sheet and Rill erosion in New Zealand 2
Objectives
Soil types 6
Kiwitea silt loam 6
Levin silt loam
Tokomaru silt loam
Apparatus
12
Chapter Two: Surface erosion experiments
Introduction 10
Processes which occur in Sheet erosion
Theoretical aspects of sheet erosion
Factors affecting rainfall detachment of the soil 12
Rainfall simulators
Characteristics of rainfall simulators
Raindrop size distribution
Raindrop impact velocities
Raindrop intensities

	Types of rainfall simulators	15
	Pressurized systems	15
	Non-pressurized systems	17
	Massey's portable rainfall simulator	17
	Difficulties with intermittent rainfall	21
	Experimental objectives	23
7	Experimental methods	24
	Rainfall uniformity	24
	Rainfall simulation experiments	24
	The collection of soil material	25
	Packing of soil containers	25
	Rainfall intensity, duration and slope	25
	The collection of sediment and runoff	26
	Processing of sediment and runoff	26
	Preparation of mulch covered plots	26
	Sediment-runoff concentration	27
	Infiltration rate	27
	Data Analysis methods	27
	Rainfall uniformity	27
	Rainfall volume and rate of runoff	28
	Mass of sediment eroded over time	29
e."	Results and discussion	30
	Rainfall uniformity	30
	Volume of rain applied	30
	Runoff volume over time	31
	Mass of sediment eroded over time	35
	Infiltration rate	39
	Sediment-runoff concentration	40
	Mulch cover and bare soil comparisons	41
	Summary	43
Chapter Thr	ee: Surface Erosion and Particle Selectivity	45
(*)	Introduction	45
	Experimental objectives	46
		1000

ł,

iv

	Experiment methods	46
	Determining the textural composition	46
	The pre-treatment of samples	47
	Determining size distribution of eroded particles	
	and aggregates	48
	Determining the organic matter contents	48
	Statistical analysis	49
	Results and Discussion	49
	Organic matter content of the original soil	49
	Textural composition of the original soil	50
÷	Comparing the textural composition of eroded	
	sediment with the original soil	51
	The distribution of eroded sediment size with time .	54
	Summary	57
Chapter For	ur: Settling Velocity Distribution and Characteristics	59
	Introduction	59
	Objectives	65
	Materials and Methods	65
	Trial procedure	65
	Sample pre-treatment	65
	Sample collection from the settling tube	66
	Data analysis methods	67
	Results and Discussion	68
	Summary	75
Chapter Fiv	e: Aggregate Stability	76
	Introduction	76
	Forces involved in aggregation	76
	Weakening and disintegration of aggregates by wetting	77
	Previous tests for aggregate stability determination	78
8	Physical tests	78
	Chemical tests	80
		121

v

v	'i
Settling tube as a measure of aggregate stability 8	51
Experimental objectives	11
Experimental methods 8	12
Data analysis methods 8	32
Results and discussion	3
Summary 8	9
Chapter Six: Summary and conclusions	0
Appendix One: Sieving and Sedimentation Procedures	9
Appendix Two: The Massey Settling Tube)3
Bibliography	8

4

1

8

x

List of Figures

1.	The mapped distribution pattern of sheet erosion from the NZLRI for the North and South Islands
2.	The rainfall distribution pattern from the rainfall simulator 30
3.	The runoff volume distributions and the mean runoff rates over the rainfall period for the three soils
4.	The fitted function curves for runoff volume over time from the three soils
5.	The mass of eroded sediment and the depth of soil eroded in each 5 minute interval over the rainfall period for the three soils
6.	The fitted function curves for the mass of sediment eroded over time for the three soils
7.	The infiltration volume per 5 minute interval over the 60 minute rainfall period from the three soils
8.	The runoff sediment concentration over the rainfall period from the three soils
9.	A comparison of the runoff volume and mass of sediment eroded between a mulch cover and a bare soil surface from the Levin soil
10.	The textural composition of the original soils

-

11.	The size-distribution of undispersed eroded sediment over the entire rainfall period for each soil	55
12.	The measured settling velocity distribution curves of the original, treated, and the eroded soil samples at selected times for the Kiwitea soil.	69
13.	The measured settling velocity distribution curves of the original, treated, and the eroded soil samples at selected times for the Levin soil.	70
14.	The measured settling velocity distribution curves of the original, treated, and the eroded soil samples at selected times for the Tokomaru soil.	71
15.	The treated samples settling velocity distribution curves for the three soils.	73
16.	The settling velocity distribution curves of moist and air-dried soil samples from the Kiwitea soil used to determine the aggregate stability index.	84
17.	The settling velocity distribution curves of moist and air-dried soil samples from the Levin soil used to determine the aggregate stability index.	85
18.	The settling velocity distribution curves of moist and air-dried soil samples from the Tokomaru soil used to determine the aggregate stability index.	86

viii

List of Plates

1.	The rainfall simulator showing its various components	19
2.	The third plateau of the rainfall simulator.	20
3.	The sediment-runoff soil containers	21
4.	Catchcans laid across the tilting tray platform for determining rainfall uniformity.	24
5.	Straw mulch runoff plot	27
6.	The massey settling tube	61
7.	The settling tube's sample introduction device.	62
8.	The settling tube's perspex tube	63
9.	The settling tube's submerged sample collection table	64
10.	The particle-size variations from the different settling velocity classes.	67

List of Tables

1.	The area of NZLRI map units affected by the different types of erosion.	3
2.	The runoff volume curvature coefficients for the fitted functions .	33
3.	The runoff volume statistics.	34
4.	The calculated T* values for runoff volume when T=0.99 $V_{\mbox{\scriptsize max}}$	34
5.	The mass of sediment curvature coefficients for the fitted functions.	35
6.	The mass of sediment statistics.	35
7.	The calculated T* values for mass of sediment when T*= 0.99 S_{max}	38
8.	The equivalent depth of topsoil eroded in 60 minutes	38
9.	The organic carbon contents from the original soils.	49
10a.	Comparing the eroded sediment at the different rainfall periods with the original soil for the Kiwitea soil.	51
10b.	Comparing the eroded sediment at the different rainfall periods with the original soil for the Levin soil.	51
10c.	Comparing the eroded sediment at the different rainfall periods with the original soil for the Tokomaru soil.	51
11.	The dispersed clay enrichment ratios for the three sampling periods from each soil type.	53

12.	A comparison of the eroded sediment between the start and the end of the rainfall period for the three soils.	54
13.	A comparison of the dispersed and undispersed clay-size fractions between the eroded sediment and the dispersed fraction from the original soil.	56
14.	The aggregate stability index values for each soil type	87
15.	A comparison of the percentage of moist and air-dried material which has settled out the settling tube at similar settling velocities.	87
16.	The gravimetric moisture contents of both the moist and air-dried samples for each soil type.	88
17.	Summary of results.	91
18.	The settling tube calculation procedure	07

\$

ł

xi