

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE IN VITRO ATTACHMENT OF  
ESCHERICHIA COLI TO HUMAN UROEPITHELIAL CELLS:  
INVESTIGATION OF HOST AND BACTERIAL CELL FACTORS.

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT  
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
IN MICROBIOLOGY AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY,  
PALMERSTON NORTH, NEW ZEALAND.

GREGOR REID

1982

TO

MUM

AND

DAD

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to the Department of Microbiology and Genetics, Massey University, New Zealand for providing me with the opportunity and facilities for this study. In particular, I thank Dr. Heather J.L. Brooks for her encouragement and expertise, and for the use of her collection of E.coli isolates. Also, many thanks to Professor D.F. Bacon, Head of Department, for his friendly and scientific guidance.

I acknowledge the grateful receipt of a Rotary International Foundation Scholarship, and the support of a Medical Research Grant of New Zealand.

Thanks to Drs. Svanborg Edén, Parsons, Beachey, Ørskov and Sugarman for their kind donation of reference strains. Also to Dr. K.A. Bettelheim, National Health Institute, Wellington, for serotyping a number of isolates, and to Dr. A.A. Corbett, Grey Street Medical Laboratory, for use of his facilities.

Special thanks to the following people:

Mr Mervyn J. Birtles and Irene Hall in the Department of Physiology and Anatomy, for friendly advice on histology and for assistance with a reproducibility study.

Mr Doug H. Hopcroft and Mr R. Bennett of the Electron Microscope laboratory, D.S.I.R. and Mr Nick Ellison, for assistance with sectioning of samples and for providing photographs.

Dr. Ian G. Andrews for donation of compounds.

Mr W.D. Stirling for patient direction of statistical analysis.

The Immunohaematology Department, Palmerston North Hospital, for supplying human erythrocytes.

My many true friends, especially Catherine, Kerry, Pat, Kay, Lesley, Sarah, John, Davie, Craig, Dougie, Ronnie Scruff, Dr. Roy Thornton, Drs. Vaughan and Cathy Crow, and the staff of the Department of Microbiology and Genetics.

I am indebted to Kirsty Stalker for her patience and diligence in typing this manuscript.

Finally, to the women who so ably filled the bottles: in order of volume, Catherine, Wendy, Margaret, Fiona, Jayne, Sarah, Lesley, Isabel, Jocelyn, Mrs C,G,H and Z, plus the single donors! This study would not have been possible but for their drinking habits the night before!

## ABSTRACT

Escherichia coli is the main causative organism in urinary tract infections (UTI) in adult women. Attachment of E.coli to the uroepithelium is believed to be an important step in the onset of infection, as it enables the bacteria to resist hydrokinetic clearance mechanisms. In the present study, a reproducible *in vitro* test system was used to assay E.coli attachment to uroepithelial cells harvested from the urine of premenopausal women.

Type specific antisera and an indirect fluorescent antibody technique were also used to detect E.coli attached to uroepithelial cells. The results from this study were comparable to those obtained using methylene blue as a bacterial stain. The fluorescent antibody technique was applied to the study of uroepithelial cells from women with symptomatic UTI, and E.coli were seen attached in varying numbers to these cells. Uroepithelial target cells with large numbers of E.coli attached, were found to exist within any given cell population. The capacity to attach to uroepithelial cells appeared to be a property held by the majority of E.coli strains isolated from urinary tract infections.

Receptivity of uroepithelial cells to attachment of certain E.coli strains varied over the menstrual cycle in a repetitive, cyclical pattern, similar to expected oestrogen levels. Highest attachment values were obtained between days 9 and 13, 18 and 28, and lowest values between days 14 and 17 of the menstrual cycle. Uroepithelial cells collected from six women in the first few months of pregnancy were highly receptive to E.coli attachment; whereas cells collected in the later months were less receptive. These results did not follow expected oestrogen levels.

The bladders of a pig, a lamb and a group of mice were examined for the presence of mucopolysaccharides. Periodic acid Schiff's

(PAS) reagent and alcian blue were used to identify a wide range of mucus substances. Several techniques were devised incorporating these two stains in combination with viability or bacterial stains to examine the mucopolysaccharides coating human uroepithelial cells from the urine sediment. These double-staining techniques proved to be highly reproducible. Significantly more viable than nonviable uroepithelial cells were coated with mucus. Attachment of one E.coli strain was greater to mucus coated than to non-coated cells; while attachment of a second strain was apparently unaffected by the presence of a mucus coat.

A proportion of E.coli strains produce a mannose sensitive haemagglutinin (MSHA) detected with guinea pig erythrocytes; while a proportion of E.coli strains produce a mannose resistant haemagglutinin (MRHA) detected with human erythrocytes. The role of these bacterial haemagglutinins in the attachment of E.coli to uroepithelial cells was investigated. The expression of a MSHA was in some cases affected by storage of E.coli for 12 months; while expression of a MRHA was not affected by storage. The presence of a MSHA did not enhance attachment to uroepithelial cells. However, after growth on trypticase soy agar, a number of strains expressed a MRHA and attached in significantly greater numbers to uroepithelial cells. Several experiments were designed to investigate the nature of the MRHA.

Electron microscopy was used to examine E.coli cells for the presence of pili. Strains expressing a MSHA were highly piliated; strains possessing a MRHA were highly piliated, poorly piliated or non-piliated. Further studies were carried out using transmission electron microscopy and scanning electron microscopy to examine bacterial attachment to the uroepithelial cell surface. In the former, ruthenium red was used in one instance to stain the polysaccharides which coat the bacterial and uroepithelial cells.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	1
ABSTRACT .....	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	5
LIST OF TABLES .....	16
LIST OF FIGURES .....	21
LIST OF PLATES .....	22

## INTRODUCTION

1.1 THE EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PATHOGENESIS OF URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS .....	28
1.2 BACTERIAL ENTRY INTO THE URINARY TRACT .....	30
1.3 THE HOST IMMUNE RESPONSE .....	31
1.4 THE USE OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS IN THE TREATMENT OR PREVENTION OF URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS .....	32
1.5 UROPATHOGENIC PROPERTIES OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATED FROM CASES OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION .....	34
2.1 THE PHENOMENON OF ATTACHMENT .....	37
2.2 <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	41
2.3 THE IMPORTANCE OF BACTERIAL AND HOST CELL PROPERTIES IN THE ATTACHMENT PROCESS .....	44

## MATERIALS

A. BACTERIA .....	47
B. BACTERIAL GROWTH MEDIA .....	48
C. UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	48



MATERIALS Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
D. BUFFER SOLUTIONS .....	48
E. ERYTHROCYTES .....	51
F. STAINS .....	51
G. CHEMICALS USED .....	52
H. MATERIALS FOR CELL CULTURE .....	53

METHODS

SECTION 1. ATTACHMENT OF E.COLI TO HUMAN URINARY TRACT  
EPITHELIAL CELLS IN VITRO.

1.1 ASSAY METHOD FOR <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	54
1.2 DETECTION OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS BY AN INDIRECT FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY TECHNIQUE ....	57

SECTION 2. HISTOLOGICAL STAINING TECHNIQUES USED TO  
INVESTIGATE MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE-COATED EPITHELIAL CELLS.

2.1 DETECTION OF MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES ON SECTIONS FROM LAMB AND PIG BLADDERS .....	63
2.2 DOUBLE STAINING TECHNIQUES TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VIABILITY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS AND THE PRESENCE OF A MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COAT .....	65
2.3 DOUBLE STAINING TECHNIQUES TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CELL MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COAT AND BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT .....	69
2.4 EFFECT OF OESTROGEN ON BLADDER MUCUS COAT .....	72

METHODS Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>SECTION 3.</u> THE ROLE OF BACTERIAL HAEMAGGLUTININS IN THE <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS	
3.1 HAEMAGGLUTINATION TEST .....	74
 <u>SECTION 4.</u> THE USE OF ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO INVESTIGATE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS	
4.1 INTRODUCTION .....	80
4.2 THE USE OF A NEGATIVE STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTION OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS .....	80
4.3 THE USE OF TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO STUDY ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS..	81
4.3.1 COLLECTION OF SPECIMENS .....	85
4.3.2 PRIMARY FIXATION .....	85
4.3.3 SECONDARY FIXATION .....	85
4.3.4 DEHYDRATION .....	86
4.3.5 INFILTRATION AND EMBEDDING .....	86
4.3.6 SECTIONING OF SPECIMENS .....	87
4.3.7 STAINING AND E.M. ANALYSIS .....	91
4.4 RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATIONS FOR TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY .....	91
4.5 THE USE OF SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO STUDY ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	97
 <u>SECTION 5.</u> THE USE OF CELL CULTURE TECHNIQUES TO STUDY <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT.	
5.1 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO TISSUE CULTURE VERO CELLS..	102
5.2 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO TISSUE CULTURE CELLS DERIVED FROM NEW BORN MOUSE KIDNEY .....	103

RESULTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>SECTION 1.</u>	
1.1 ASSAY METHOD FOR <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	106
1.1.1 UROEPITHELIAL CELL VIABILITY DURING THE ASSAY .....	106
1.1.2 VARIATION IN ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITHIN ANY ONE SAMPLE..	108
1.1.3 VARIATION BETWEEN DUPLICATE EXPERIMENTS USING THE SAME SUSPENSIONS EACH OF <u>E.COLI</u> AND UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	110
1.1.4 VARIATION BETWEEN UROEPITHELIAL CELL SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT WOMEN ON THE SAME DAY .....	110
1.1.5 VARIATION BETWEEN UROEPITHELIAL CELL SAMPLES FROM ONE WOMEN ON DIFFERENT DAYS .....	113
1.1.6 COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO VIABLE AND NONVIALE UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	113
1.1.7 COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO SQUAMOUS AND TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	117
1.1.8 DETERMINATION OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH HIGH OR LOW NUMBERS OF INDIGENOUS BACTERIA ON THE CELL.	120
1.1.9 NUMBER OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ASSESSED FOR <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT .....	123
1.1.10 NUMBER OF WASHES REQUIRED TO REMOVE UNATTACHED <u>E.COLI</u> FROM UROEPITHELIAL CELLS.	123
1.1.11 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS SUBJECTED TO A VARYING NUMBER OF WASHES, PRIOR TO ASSAY .....	126

RESULTS Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
1.1.12 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS DERIVED FROM ALIQUOTS OF SINGLE URINE SPECIMENS .....	126
1.1.13 ASSESSMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ON FOUR SEGMENTS OF A PREPARED SLIDE .....	128
1.1.14 ASSESSMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS FOR PREPARATIONS EXAMINED ON THREE OCCASIONS .....	128
1.1.15 VARIATION OF BACTERIAL GROWTH TIME .....	131
1.1.16 GROWTH OF <u>E.COLI</u> USING DIFFERENT MEDIA ...	133
1.1.17 VARIATION IN THE DENSITY OF <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS EMPLOYED IN THE ASSAY FOR ATTACHMENT TO $1 \times 10^5$ UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	135
1.1.18 VARIATION OF THE CONDITIONS OF INCUBATION FOR THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	138
1.1.19 VARIATION OF THE BUFFER pH .....	
1.1.20 COMPARISON OF THREE DIFFERENT CATION CONTAINING BUFFER SOLUTIONS FOR AN EFFECT ON ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	140
1.1.21 COMPARISON OF ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS SUSPENDED IN URINE AND IN PBS.....	142
1.1.22 ATTACHMENT OF NON-URINARY BACTERIA TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS: COMPARISON WITH ATTACHMENT OF URINARY <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS TO DEFINE A NON-SPECIFIC BASAL LEVEL FOR THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	146

RESULTS Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
1.2 DETECTION OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS BY AN INDIRECT FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY TECHNIQUE .....	147
1.3 THE PRESENCE OF ATTACHED <u>E.COLI</u> ON UROEPITHELIAL CELLS COLLECTED FROM WOMEN WITH SYMPTOMATIC URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: DETECTION BY USE OF FLUORESCENT- LABELLED IMMUNOGLOBULIN AND BY USE OF METHYLENE BLUE .....	149
1.4 VARIATION IN UROEPITHELIAL CELL RECEPTIVITY OVER THE MENSTRUAL CYCLE .....	154
1.5 VARIATION IN UROEPITHELIAL CELL RECEPTIVITY DURING PREGNANCY .....	157

SECTION 2.

2.1 DETECTION OF MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES ON SECTIONS FROM LAMB AND PIG BLADDERS .....	163
2.2 DOUBLE STAINING TECHNIQUES TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VIABILITY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS AND THE PRESENCE OF A MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COAT .....	163
2.3 DOUBLE STAINING TECHNIQUES TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CELL MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COAT AND BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT .....	166
2.4 EFFECT OF OESTROGEN ON BLADDER MUCUS COAT .....	170

SECTION 3.

3.1 HAEMAGGLUTINATION TEST .....	171
3.2 EFFECT OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL STORAGE AT 4°C AND RECEPTIVITY TO <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT .....	173

RESULTS    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
3.3    ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS POSSESSING A MSHA, A MRHA, OR NEITHER HAEMAGGLUTININ .....	175
3.4    THE LEVELS OF ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS, OF A LARGER GROUP OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS WITH DIFFERENT HAEMAGGLUTINATION PROPERTIES .....	179
3.5    ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS WHICH POSSESS THE K1 ANTIGEN .....	182
3.6    ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> FAECAL ISOLATES TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	185
3.7    PRODUCTION OF A MRHA BY <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207 GROWN ON TSA .....	187

SECTION 4.

4.1    ANALYSIS OF 145 <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY ISOLATES AND 38 FAECAL ISOLATES FOR ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS AND HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF HUMAN AND GUINEA PIG ERYTHROCYTES .....	189
4.1.1    ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS	190
4.1.2    HAEMAGGLUTINATION RESULTS .....	192
4.2    PROPERTIES OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS RELATED TO POSSESSION OF A MRHA OR A MSHA .....	194
4.2.1    ANALYSIS OF SIX PROPERTIES .....	194
4.2.2    COMMON 0 SEROTYPES .....	197
4.2.3    MOTILITY .....	197
4.3    EFFECT OF STORAGE OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATES ON HAEMAGGLUTINATION ACTIVITY AND ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	200
4.3.1    ATTACHMENT OF FRESHLY ISOLATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS .....	200

RESULTS Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
4.3.2 STORAGE OF FOUR <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS OVER 12 MONTHS .....	202
4.4 TWO CULTURE CONDITIONS REPORTED TO AFFECT THE EXPRESSION OF A MRHA FOR <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS .....	215
4.5 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS TO BUCCAL EPITHELIAL CELLS .....	217
4.6 ALTERNATIVE HAEMAGGLUTINATION TESTS USED TO DETECT THE MRHA OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATES .....	222
A. STRAINS WHICH EXPRESSED A MRHA UNDER THE STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS .....	224
B. STRAINS WHICH DID NOT EXPRESS A MRHA UNDER THE STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS .....	226
4.7 EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE, pH AND FORMALDEHYDE TREATMENT ON THE MRHA OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATES .....	228
I. STANDARD HAEMAGGLUTINATION TEST .....	228
II. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE MRHA .....	228
III. EFFECT OF BUFFER pH ON THE MRHA .....	228
IV. EFFECT OF FORMALDEHYDE TREATMENT ON THE MRHA.	230
4.8 EFFECT OF FORMALDEHYDE TREATMENT ON THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	231
4.9 TITRATION AND INHIBITION OF THE MRHA .....	233
4.9.1 TITRATION OF THE MRHA .....	233
4.9.2 INHIBITION OF THE MRHA .....	236

SECTION 5.

5.1 THE USE OF A NEGATIVE STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTION OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS .....	241
---	-----

RESULTS Cont'd

	<u>Page</u>
5.2 THE USE OF TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO STUDY ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ...	248
5.3 THE USE OF SCANNING ELECTION MICROSCOPY TO STUDY ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	249

SECTION 6.

6.1 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO TISSUE CULTURE VERO CELLS ...	251
6.2 ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO TISSUE CULTURE CELLS DERIVED FROM NEW BORN MOUSE KIDNEY .....	253

DISCUSSIONSECTION 1.

1.1 ASSAY METHOD FOR <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	254
1.2 VARIABILITY OF THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	258
1.3 REPRODUCABILITY OF THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	261
1.4 EFFECT OF VARYING CONDITIONS OF THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY.	262
1.5 THE USE OF FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY TO DETECT <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	263
1.6 POSSIBLE INFLUENCE OF CATIONS IN ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	265
1.7 THE POSSIBLE INFLUENCE OF HORMONES ON THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	267
1.8 VARIATIONS IN THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS COLLECTED FROM PREGNANT WOMEN .....	271
1.9 THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL MUCOPOLY- SACCHARIDES IN THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	273



DISCUSSION    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
1.10    THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN <u>IN VITRO</u> ATTACHMENT RESULTS AND THE PATHOGENESIS OF URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS.....	276
 <u>SECTION 2</u>	
2.1    THE ROLE OF A MANNOSE SENSITIVE HAEMAGGLUTININ IN THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	281
2.2    THE ROLE OF A MANNOSE RESISTANT HAEMAGGLUTININ IN THE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	284
2.3    FURTHER ANALYSIS OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS POSSESSING A MRHA .....	287
2.3.1    IMPORTANCE OF THE K1 ANTIGEN IN ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	288
2.3.2    IMPORTANCE OF BACTERIAL MOTILITY IN ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	289
2.3.3    CULTURE CONDITIONS WHICH AFFECT THE PRODUCTION OF A MRHA .....	289
2.4    EFFECT OF STORAGE OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS OVER 12 MONTHS ..	290
2.5    SPECIFICITY OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO EPITHELIAL CELLS	292
2.6    ALTERNATIVE HAEMAGGLUTINATION TESTS FOR DETECTION OF THE MRHA .....	295
2.7    EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE, pH AND FORMALDEHYDE TREATMENT ON THE MRHA OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATES .....	296
2.8    TITRATION AND INHIBITION OF THE MRHA .....	298
2.9    THE PRESENCE OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS EXPRESSING A MRHA .....	299
2.10   POSSIBLE NATURE OF THE MANNOSE RESISTANT HAEM- AGGLUTININ .....	302

DISCUSSION Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
2.11 THE USE OF ELECTRON MICROSCOPY TO INVESTIGATE ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	305
2.11.1 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY .....	305
2.11.2 SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY .....	307

APPENDICES

<u>APPENDIX 1.</u> ANALYSIS OF 145 <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY ISOLATES AND 38 FAECAL ISOLATES FOR ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS AND HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF HUMAN AND GUINEA PIG ERYTHROCYTES (RESULTS ADJUSTED RELATIVE TO REFERENCE STRAIN 87 FOR BHI BROTH GROWN CULTURES AND STRAIN 207 FOR TSA GROWN CULTURES) .....	309
<u>APPENDIX 2.</u> PROPERTIES OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS RELATED TO THE POSSESSION OF A MRHA .....	316
<u>APPENDIX 3.</u> PROPERTIES OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS RELATED TO THE POSSESSION OF A MSHA .....	318
<u>BIBLIOGRAPHY</u> .....	320

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>PAGE</u>
1A. EXAMPLES OF STUDIES ON MICROBIAL ATTACHMENT TO MUCOSAL SURFACES .....	38
1. UROEPITHELIAL CELL VIABILITY DURING ASSAY .....	107
2. VARIATION IN ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITHIN ANY ONE SAMPLE .....	109
3. VARIATION BETWEEN DUPLICATE EXPERIMENTS USING THE SAME SUSPENSIONS EACH OF <u>E.COLI</u> AND UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	111
4. VARIATION BETWEEN UROEPITHELIAL CELL SAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT WOMEN ON THE SAME DAY .....	112
5. VARIATION BETWEEN UROEPITHELIAL CELL SAMPLES FROM ONE WOMAN ON DIFFERENT DAYS .....	112
6. COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO VIABLE AND NONVIABLE UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	115
7. COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO SQUAMOUS AND TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	118
8. DETERMINATION OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH HIGH OR LOW NUMBERS OF INDIGENOUS BACTERIA ON THE CELL .....	122
9. NUMBER OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ASSESSED FOR <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT .....	124
10. NUMBER OF WASHES REQUIRED TO REMOVE UNATTACHED <u>E.COLI</u> FROM UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	125
11. ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS SUBJECTED TO A VARYING NUMBER OF WASHES PRIOR TO ASSAY .....	127
12. ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS DERIVED FROM ALIQUOTS OF SINGLE URINE SPECIMENS .....	129
13. ASSESSMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ON FOUR SEGMENTS OF A PREPARED SLIDE .....	130

LIST OF TABLES    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
14. ASSESSMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS FOR PREPARATIONS EXAMINED ON THREE OCCASIONS .....	132
15. VARIATION OF BACTERIAL GROWTH TIME .....	134
16. GROWTH OF <u>E.COLI</u> USING DIFFERENT MEDIA .....	136
17. VARIATION IN THE CONDITIONS OF INCUBATION FOR THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	139
18. VARIATION OF THE BUFFER pH .....	141
19. COMPARISON OF THREE DIFFERENT CATION CONTAINING BUFFER SOLUTIONS FOR AN EFFECT ON ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	143
20. COMPARISON OF ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS SUSPENDED IN URINE AND IN PBS .....	145
21. ATTACHMENT OF NON-URINARY BACTERIA TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS: COMPARISON WITH ATTACHMENT OF URINARY <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS TO DEFINE A NON-SPECIFIC BASAL LEVEL FOR THE ATTACHMENT ASSAY .....	148
22. DETECTION OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS BY AN INDIRECT FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY TECHNIQUE: COMPARISON WITH DOUBLE-STAINING TECHNIQUE .....	150
23. THE PRESENCE OF ATTACHED <u>E.COLI</u> ON UROEPITHELIAL CELLS COLLECTED FROM WOMEN WITH SYMPTOMATIC URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: DETECTION BY USE OF FLUORESCENT- LABELLED IMMUNOGLOBULIN AND BY USE OF METHYLENE BLUE .....	153
24. VARIATION IN UROEPITHELIAL CELL RECEPTIVITY OVER THE MENSTRUAL CYCLE .....	155
25. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO 100 UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ON TROUGH AND PEAK DAYS OF THE MENSTRUAL CYCLE .....	156

LIST OF TABLES Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
26. VARIATION IN UROEPITHELIAL CELL RECEPTIVITY DURING PREGNANCY .....	159
27. DESCRIPTIONS OF VIABLE AND NONVIABLE UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH AND WITHOUT MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COATING..	164
28. REPRODUCABILITY TEST RESULTS .....	165
29. DESCRIPTION OF MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COATED AND NON-COATED UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH ATTACHED BACTERIA STAINED WITH ALCIAN BLUE/SAFRANIN OR PAS/METHYLENE BLUE .....	167
30. DOUBLE STAINING TECHNIQUES TO DETERMINE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CELL MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COAT AND BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT .....	168
31. EFFECT OF STORAGE ON RECEPTIVITY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS TO <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT .....	174
32. ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS POSSESSING A MSHA, A MRHA OR NEITHER HAEMAGGLUTININ	176
33. THE LEVELS OF ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS, OF A LARGER GROUP OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS WITH DIFFERENT HAEMAGGLUTINATION PROPERTIES .....	181
34. ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS WHICH POSSESS THE K1 ANTIGEN .....	183
35. ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> FAECAL ISOLATES TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	186
36. SUMMARY OF MEAN NUMBER OF URINARY AND FAECAL <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS AFTER TESTING 111 URINARY STRAINS AND 23 FAECAL STRAINS .....	191
37. SUMMARY OF HAEMAGGLUTINATION TESTS FOR 145 <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS AND 38 FAECAL STRAINS .....	193

LIST OF TABLES Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
38. SUMMARY OF THE PROPERTIES OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS RELATED TO POSSESSION OF A MRHA OR A MSHA .....	196
39. <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS WITH 0 SEROTYPES COMMONLY FOUND IN UTI, AND STRAINS WITH OTHER 0 SEROTYPES NOT COMMONLY FOUND IN UTI: COMPARISON OF HAEMAGGLUTINATION PROPERTIES AND ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ..	198
40. EFFECT OF MOTILITY ON ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	199
41. EFFECT OF STORAGE OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS OVER 12 MONTHS: STRAINS GROWN IN BHI BROTH PRIOR TO ASSAY .....	203
42. EFFECT OF STORAGE OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS OVER 12 MONTHS: STRAINS GROWN ON TSA PRIOR TO ASSAY .....	211
43. TWO CULTURE CONDITIONS REPORTED TO AFFECT THE EXPRESSION OF A MRHA FOR <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS: HAEMAGGLUTINATION PROPERTIES AND ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS FOR <u>E.COLI</u> STRAINS GROWN UNDER THESE TWO CONDITIONS, COMPARED WITH RESULTS OBTAINED AFTER STANDARD GROWTH IN BHI BROTH AND ON TSA .....	216
44. ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS TO BUCCAL EPITHELIAL CELLS FROM THREE INDIVIDUALS .....	219
45. COMPARISON OF ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> URINARY STRAINS TO BUCCAL AND UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	220
46. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207 GROWN ON TSA, ATTACHED TO 100 VIABLE BUCCAL EPITHELIAL CELLS AND 100 VIABLE UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	221
47. ALTERNATIVE HAEMAGGLUTINATION TESTS FOR DETECTION OF THE MRHA. A. STRAINS WHICH EXPRESSED A MRHA UNDER THE STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS .....	225

LIST OF TABLES    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
48.    ALTERNATIVE HAEMAGGLUTINATION TESTS FOR DETECTION OF THE MRHA.    B. STRAINS WHICH DID NOT EXPRESS A MRHA UNDER THE STANDARD TEST CONDITIONS    . . . . .	227
49.    EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE, pH AND FORMALDEHYDE TREATMENT ON THE MRHA OF <u>E.COLI</u> ISOLATES    . . . . .	229
50.    THE EFFECT OF FORMALDEHYDE ON <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS    . . . . .	232
51.    TITRATION OF THE MRHA    . . . . .	235
52.    INHIBITION OF THE MRHA    . . . . .	237
53.    THE USE OF A NEGATIVE STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTION OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS: EXPERIMENT 1    . . .	242
54.    THE USE OF A NEGATIVE STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTION OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS: EXPERIMENT 2    . . .	244
55.    THE USE OF A NEGATIVE STAINING TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTION OF PILI ON <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS: EXPERIMENT 3    . . .	246
56.    ATTACHMENT OF <u>E.COLI</u> TO TISSUE CULTURE VERO CELLS : COMPARISON WITH ATTACHMENT TO UROEPITHELIAL CELLS <u>IN VITRO</u> . . . . .	252

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>PAGE</u>
1A. HAEMAGGLUTINATION TEST .....	75
1. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS FOR COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO 100 VIABLE AND 100 NONVIABLE UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	116
2. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS FOR COMPARISON OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT TO 100 SQUAMOUS AND 100 TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	119
3. VARIATION IN THE DENSITY OF <u>E.COLI</u> CELLS EMPLOYED IN THE ASSAY FOR ATTACHMENT TO $1 \times 10^5$ UROEPITHELIAL CELLS .....	137
4. SUMMARY OF MEAN <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHMENT VALUES FOR 6 STUDIES WHICH USED UROEPITHELIAL CELLS COLLECTED FROM 6 PREGNANT WOMEN AT 2 TO 3 WEEKLY INTERVALS ..	160
5. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS OF <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED TO 100 UROEPITHELIAL CELLS ON DAYS WHEN RECEPTIVITY WAS HIGH OR WAS LOW DURING PREGNANCY .....	162



LIST OF PLATES

	<u>PAGE</u>
1. NONVIABLE TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH NO <u>E.COLI</u> ATTACHED: STAINED WITH ERYTHROSINE B AND METHYLENE BLUE .....	56
2. VIABLE SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 73 ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH ERYTHROSINE B AND METHYLENE BLUE..	56
3. SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 289 (01:H7) ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY .....	61
4. SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 322 (06:K2:H1) ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY .....	61
5. SQUAMOUS AND TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH AND WITHOUT <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 87 (075:HR) ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH IN BHI BROTH: STAINED WITH FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY .....	62
6. SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207 (075:H-) ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH FLUORESCENT ANTIBODY .....	62
7. TRANSVERSE SECTION OF PIG BLADDER WITH MUCOPOLYSACC- HARIDES PARTICULARLY EVIDENT AT PERIPHERAL SURFACE: STAINED WITH ALCIAN BLUE, HAEMATOXYLIN AND EOSIN...	66
8. TRANSVERSE SECTION OF LAMB BLADDER WITH MUCOPOLY- SACCHARIDES PARTICULARLY EVIDENT AT PERIPHERAL SURFACE : STAINED WITH PAS REAGENT AND HAEMATOXYLIN	66
9. NONVIABLE TRANSITIONAL UROEPITHELIAL CELL COATED WITH MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES: STAINED WITH ERYTHROSINE B AND ALCIAN BLUE .....	68

LIST OF PLATES Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
10. THREE SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELLS COATED OR NON-COATED WITH MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES: STAINED WITH TRYPAN BLUE AND PAS REAGENT .....	68
11. MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COATED SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 322 ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH ALCIAN BLUE AND SAFRANIN .....	71
12. MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDE COATED OR NON-COATED SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207 ATTACHED, FOLLOWING BACTERIAL GROWTH ON TSA: STAINED WITH PAS REAGENT AND METHYLENE BLUE .....	71
13. TRANSVERSE SECTION OF MOUSE BLADDER WITH MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES PARTICULARLY EVIDENT AT PERIPHERAL SURFACE, AFTER TREATMENT WITH 17 $\beta$ OESTRADIOL: STAINED WITH ALCIAN BLUE, HAEMATOXYLIN AND EOSIN ...	73
14. TRANSVERSE SECTION OF MOUSE BLADDER WITH MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDES PARTICULARLY EVIDENT AT PERIPHERAL SURFACE, WITHOUT OESTROGEN TREATMENT: STAINED WITH PAS REAGENT AND HAEMATOXYLIN .....	73
15. NEGATIVE HAEMAGGLUTINATION REACTION FOR HUMAN GROUP A ERYTHROCYTES: LEFT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND RIGHT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND $\alpha$ D MANNOSE .....	77
16. HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF GUINEA PIG ERYTHROCYTES BY BHI BROTH GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 67: LEFT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND RIGHT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND $\alpha$ D MANNOSE (i.e. EXPRESSION OF A MSHA) .....	77
17. HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF HUMAN GROUP A ERYTHROCYTES BY TSA GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 158: LEFT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND RIGHT SIDE WELL WITH PBS AND $\alpha$ D MANNOSE (i.e. EXPRESSION OF A MRHA) .....	78

LIST OF PLATES Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
18. HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF HUMAN GROUP A ERYTHROCYTES BY TSA GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 158, IN PRESENCE OF PBS WITH $\alpha$ D MANNOSE (i.e. EXPRESSION OF A MRHA) .....	78
19. HAEMAGGLUTINATION OF HUMAN GROUP A ERYTHROCYTES BY TSA GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 322, IN PRESENCE OF PBS WITH $\alpha$ D MANNOSE (i.e. EXPRESSION OF A MRHA) .....	79
20. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF NON-PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207, POSSESSING A MRHA AFTER GROWTH ON TSA..	82
21. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF FLAGELLATED, NON- PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 66, NOT POSSESSING A HAEMAGGLUTININ AFTER GROWTH ON TSA .....	82
22. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF TYPE 1 PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 323, POSSESSING A MSHA AFTER GROWTH IN BHI BROTH .....	83
23. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 158, POSSESSING A MRHA AFTER GROWTH ON TSA..	83
24. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 104, POSSESSING A MRHA AFTER GROWTH ON TSA..	84
25. NEGATIVELY STAINED PREPARATION OF PILIATED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 158, POSSESSING A MRHA AFTER GROWTH ON TSA..	84
26. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM CONTROL SAMPLE: INDIGENOUS BACTERIUM CLOSE TO SURFACE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL .....	88
27. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM CONTROL SAMPLE: INDIGENOUS BACTERIUM CLOSE TO SURFACE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL .....	88
28. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM CONTROL SAMPLE: INDIGENOUS BACTERIA CLOSE TO SURFACE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL .....	89

LIST OF PLATES    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
29.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM CONTROL SAMPLE: INDIGENOUS BACTERIA CLOSE TO AND IN CONTACT WITH THE SURFACE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL    .....	89
30.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM A CELL SAMPLE INCUBATED WITH BHI BROTH GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 67: BACTERIUM CLOSE TO SURFACE OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL    .....	90
31.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATION: ELECTRON-DENSE GLYCOLALYX SURROUNDING THE UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE    .....	94
32.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207 BACTERIUM FROM A TSA GROWN CULTURE    .....	94
33.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATION FOLLOWING ASSAY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207, GROWN ON TSA: BACTERIA CLOSE TO UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE    ....	95
34.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATION FOLLOWING ASSAY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207, GROWN ON TSA: BACTERIA ATTACHED TO AND CLOSE TO UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE    .....	95
35.    TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATION FOLLOWING ASSAY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207, GROWN ON TSA: BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT TO CELL SURFACE    .....	96

LIST OF PLATES    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
36. TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF RUTHENIUM RED STAINED PREPARATION FOLLOWING ASSAY OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WITH <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207, GROWN ON TSA: BACTERIAL ATTACHMENT TO CELL SURFACE        .....	96
37. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF SQUAMOUS UROEPITHELIAL CELL        .....	98
38. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF MICROVILLI PROTRUDING FROM THE SURFACE OF A UROEPITHELIAL CELL	98
39. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS WHICH WERE ASSAYED IN THE ABSENCE OF <u>E.COLI</u> : INDIGENOUS BACTERIA PRESENT ON THE UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE        .....	99
40. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELLS FROM A SAMPLE WHICH WAS ASSAYED IN THE ABSENCE OF <u>E.COLI</u> : INDIGENOUS BACTERIUM PRESENT ON THE UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE WHICH IS COVERED WITH MICRORIDGES AND MICROVILLI        .....	99
41. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM A SAMPLE WHICH WAS ASSAYED IN THE PRESENCE OF BHI BROTH GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 87: <u>E.COLI</u> -LIKE ORGANISMS PRESENT ON THE UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE	100
42. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM A SAMPLE WHICH WAS ASSAYED IN THE PRESENCE OF BHI BROTH GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 87: <u>E.COLI</u> -LIKE BACTERIUM PRESENT ON THE UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE	100
43. SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPH OF UROEPITHELIAL CELL FROM A SAMPLE WHICH WAS ASSAYED IN THE PRESENCE OF TSA GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 207: UROEPITHELIAL CELL SURFACE COVERED WITH <u>E.COLI</u> -LIKE ORGANISMS        .....	101

LIST OF PLATES    Cont'd

	<u>PAGE</u>
44.    MONKEY KIDNEY (VERO) CELL MONOLAYER WITH TSA GROWN <u>E.COLI</u> STRAIN 116 ATTACHED TO THE CELLS: STAINED WITH METHYLENE BLUE    .....	104