

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Dairy Farmers' Responses to Water Quality Interventions:**

## **A Case Study in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region of New Zealand**

---

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Agriculture and Environment



Palmerston North, New Zealand

**Heather Mildred Collins**

**2018**



## Abstract

New Zealand freshwater quality has declined, and dairy farming is one identified contributor to this decline. This research provides insight into dairy farmers responses to the water quality interventions introduced to mitigate diffuse pollution, and the socio-cultural dynamics that shaped their responses. Putnam's (2000) social capital theory was the theoretical framework used to explore how and why New Zealand dairy farmers responded to water quality interventions and the role of social capital in shaping dairy farmers' responses. A single qualitative case-study research design was undertaken in one Water Management Zone of the Manawatu-Wanganui Region. Data was drawn from semi-structured interviews with dairy farmers and key informants, and from documents.

Farmer response is identified as a multi-dimensional rather than a uni-dimensional phenomenon. The dairy farmers responded to water quality interventions as individuals and collectively, and these responses were linked and interwoven. Individual farmer awareness and understanding, emotion and behaviour changed. Collectively, resistance, social learning, formation of a farmer-led action group and changes in accepted farming practices occurred. In addition, social interactions through social networks, trust, social norms and being a 'good' farmer that uses 'best' farm practice (farmer identity) emerged as key influencers of the dairy farmers' individual and collective responses to water quality interventions.

The socially constructed collective agreements on accepted behaviour, or cultural, personal and practice norms, influenced farmers' individual and collective responses to interventions. The identified cultural norms associated with private property ownership, equity and fairness, social responsibility and relationships, and personal norms associated with the stewardship of land and water, reflected the farming culture of the farmers interviewed and the broader group to which they belong. A broad collective change in what farmers believe are the expected farm management practices around farming and water quality (practice norms) influenced individual farm practice change. In addition, informal farmer sanctioning of practice norm violation was found to be a key part of the process by which farm practices that had a negative effect on water quality were challenged, and new practice norms were fostered. The collective farmer resistance to regulation and the actions of a farmer-led collective action group were in fact resistance to an intervention that was perceived to challenge their social norms, their identity as 'good farmers'

## Abstract

---

and to disregard their local knowledge; not resistance to practice changes that will improve water quality.

Dairy farm management practice change is a social process of exchanging information and knowledge, questioning, challenging current practice and reinforcing what is considered accepted practice around farming and water quality. This understanding provides a valuable contribution to the design and implementation of environmental policy interventions.

# Acknowledgements

To my supervisors: Dr Dave Gray, Dr Janet Reid, Professor Nicola Shadbolt and Dr Liz Dooley.

Thank you for your patience, perseverance, knowledge and enthusiasm. You encouraged me to think deeply and critically. You helped me turn my ideas into reality. You started this journey as my coaches and you finished as my support crew cheering me on as I crossed the finish line. Special mention to AgriOne for supporting this research with a Centre of Excellence in Farm Business Management Doctoral Scholarship.

To the participants: The farmers - thank you for welcoming me into your homes and for sharing your experiences and ideas about a subject that was contentious for many. Thank you for trusting me with your stories. The key informants – thank you for your ideas, the resources and the contacts, and for many, the ongoing discussions after the initial interview.

I want to thank the many individuals who took an active role in this research. I particularly want to thank staff from AgResearch, Cawthron, DairyNZ, Federated Farmers, Fonterra, Horizons, and the universities who gave freely of their time and shared their resources, contacts, knowledge and experience. Special mention and thanks to Barry Gilliland, Horizons: I couldn't have done it without you. Thanks to Tanya Sloane for transcribing and Liz MacNeill for diagrams. Thanks to Denise Stewart (Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey) for everything I needed to know about studying at Massey, and for your support. Thanks to Chris Good, science librarian, Massey University (my 'go-to-man'). You taught me, fixed issues, and promptly answered every query; no matter how trivial or complicated. Denise and Chris: you made it possible for me to be a PhD scholar and a distance student who was infrequently on campus.

Thanks to the wonderful women in my Charles Sturt University writing group from Australia: Cassily Charles, Joyce Voerman, Natalie Thompson, Monique Shephard and Tawnie Chutikulrungsee. I enjoyed the laughter and I valued your support. You gave me confidence to express my writing voice and taught me how to critically analyse others' writing. In particular, your feedback helped me write for an audience who may have little New Zealand or dairy farming knowledge. Our fortnightly skype sessions showed there is no such thing as distance.

To my dear friends who were always interested in my research, always asked about my progress, and who say they want to read my thesis! Special thanks to Chris, Leanne, Isaac, Bridgit and Carmel. I really appreciate your interest and support. You kept me going.

Finally, love and heartfelt thanks to my special family. I can't thank you enough for your love, support and understanding. To Lauren and Vaughan: when I started this journey you were at high school, and as I finish, we are all at university. Your total and utter belief in me was my motivation to keep going. To Michael: it's been a long journey, but while doing my PhD, you have become a single figure golfer. What an amazing journey this has been.

I am an off-road endurance runner and off-road triathlete. I love mountains, the bush, the sea and being in the back country. Training for and competing in long distance endurance events was an integral part of my PhD. Endurance training, racing, and doing a PhD all require the same mental and physical endurance that keeps you going on the tough days, and makes your heart sing on the good days. A PhD is just like running an ultramarathon or doing an ironman – one foot in front of the other until you reach the finish line.

# Table of Contents

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES.....</b>	<b>XI</b>
LIST OF FIGURES .....	XI
LIST OF TABLES .....	XI
<b>ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS .....</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
AN INTRODUCTION TO NEW ZEALAND'S DAIRY INDUSTRY.....	1
AN INTRODUCTION TO FRESHWATER QUALITY .....	2
AN INTRODUCTION TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS: GOVERNMENT AND DAIRY INDUSTRY RESPONSES TO DECLINING WATER QUALITY .....	5
WHY EXPLORE DAIRY FARMERS' RESPONSES TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS? .....	6
RESEARCH AIM AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	6
INFLUENCE OF THE RESEARCHER .....	7
THESIS STRUCTURE .....	8
<b>CHAPTER TWO – SOCIAL CAPITAL .....</b>	<b>9</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	9
DEFINING SOCIAL CAPITAL.....	9
THE FORMS OF SOCIAL CAPITAL.....	12
NETWORKS, TRUST AND NORMS – THE ELEMENTS OF SOCIAL CAPITAL .....	14
<i>Networks</i> .....	14
<i>Trust</i> .....	15
<i>Norms</i> .....	18
TRANSITION THEORY.....	21
CONCLUSION.....	23

## Table of Contents

---

<b>CHAPTER THREE - FARMERS' RESPONSES TO INTERVENTIONS .....</b>	<b>25</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	25
A DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY INTERVENTIONS AND INDUSTRY INITIATIVES .....	25
<i>Introduction</i> .....	25
<i>Regulatory interventions</i> .....	26
<i>Economic interventions</i> .....	26
<i>Voluntary interventions</i> .....	27
<i>Educational interventions</i> .....	28
FARMER RESPONSE .....	29
<i>The diversity of individual farmers' responses to interventions</i> .....	29
<i>The diversity of farmers' collective responses to interventions</i> .....	33
<i>A socio-cultural approach</i> .....	34
<i>Social learning</i> .....	35
FARMERS' RESPONSES TO POLICY INSTRUMENTS AND INDUSTRY INITIATIVES .....	36
<i>Introduction</i> .....	36
<i>Farmers' responses to intervention programmes</i> .....	37
European agri-environment schemes .....	37
The Australian Landcare programme .....	39
<i>Farmers' responses to voluntary, educational, economic and regulatory interventions</i> .....	40
Farmers' responses to interventions that encourage change: voluntary, educational and economic .....	41
Farmers' responses to interventions that enforce change: regulation .....	42
Farmers' responses to industry initiatives.....	45
THE CONCEPT OF SOCIAL CAPITAL AND FARMERS' RESPONSES TO INTERVENTIONS .....	46
<i>How social networks shape farmers' responses to interventions</i> .....	47
<i>How trust shapes farmers' responses to interventions</i> .....	49
<i>How social norms shape farmers' responses to interventions</i> .....	51
CONCLUSION .....	53
 <b>CHAPTER FOUR - RESEARCH DESIGN .....</b>	 <b>55</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	55
PHILOSOPHICAL APPROACH .....	55
RESEARCH STRATEGY .....	57
RESEARCH INTEGRITY .....	59
CASE SELECTION .....	59
<i>Within-case selection</i> .....	61
Selecting a case study site within the Manawatu-Wanganui Region .....	61
Selecting dairy farmer participants .....	64
Selecting key informants .....	65
DATA COLLECTION METHODS .....	67
<i>Document collection</i> .....	67
<i>Semi-structured interviews</i> .....	68
Dairy farmer interviews .....	68
Developing the interview schedule.....	68
Piloting the farmer interview schedule.....	69
Conceptualising trust .....	69
Conceptualising norms.....	71
Conceptualising social networks .....	71

---

## Table of Contents

---

Farmer interview process .....	72
Key informant interviews .....	74
Developing the interview schedule.....	74
Key informant interview process .....	75
DATA ANALYSIS.....	75
ACCESSION SOCIAL CAPITAL THROUGH THE RESEARCH PROCESS .....	78
CONCLUSION.....	80
 <b>CHAPTER FIVE - HISTORICAL CONTEXT .....</b>	<b>83</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	83
FACTORS INFLUENCING THE INTRODUCTION OF WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS IN NEW ZEALAND .....	84
<i>International factors</i> .....	85
<i>National factors influencing farming and the introduction of water quality interventions</i> ...88	88
Expansion and intensification of dairy farming .....	88
Is DAIRY FARMING AFFECTING FRESHWATER QUALITY IN NEW ZEALAND? THE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE .....	91
Is DAIRY FARMING AFFECTING FRESHWATER QUALITY IN NEW ZEALAND? PUBLIC PERCEPTIONS OF	
AGRICULTURE AND THE ENVIRONMENT .....	93
GOVERNMENT, STAKEHOLDER AND INDUSTRY RESPONSES TO DECLINING FRESHWATER QUALITY.....	95
<i>Central and local government's role: managing the impacts of land use on the</i>	
<i>environment</i> .....	95
<i>Stakeholders responses</i> .....	100
‘Dirty dairy’ media campaign.....	100
Land and Water Forum: A stakeholder-led collaborative process .....	100
<i>The dairy industry: a voluntary approach</i> .....	102
CONCLUSION.....	104
 <b>CHAPTER SIX - REGIONAL CONTEXT .....</b>	<b>107</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	107
THE MANAWATU-WANGANUI REGION .....	108
<i>Agricultural land use in the Manawatu-Wanganui Region</i> .....	110
<i>Regional water quality: identifying and quantifying the problem</i> .....	111
Water quality in the Manawatu River catchment .....	112
<i>The One Plan: Horizons' response to declining regional water quality</i> .....	113
Dairy farming under One Plan rules .....	115
GOOD MANAGEMENT PRACTICES: MITIGATION STRATEGIES.....	116
STUDY SITE: THE UPPER GORGE WATER MANAGEMENT ZONE .....	119
REGIONAL ACTORS .....	121
<i>DairyNZ</i> .....	121
<i>Federated Farmers</i> .....	123
<i>Fertiliser company representatives</i> .....	124
<i>Fish and Game New Zealand</i> .....	124
<i>Fonterra</i> .....	125
<i>Horizons Regional Council</i> .....	128
<i>Nutrient management consultants</i> .....	129
<i>The Tararua Community Economic Impact Society</i> .....	130
TIMELINE AND WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS .....	130

## Table of Contents

---

<i>Farming under the One Plan: Implementation of the plan .....</i>	137
THE FARMS AND FARM MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS .....	140
CONCLUSION .....	145
 <b>CHAPTER SEVEN - DAIRY FARMERS' RESPONSES TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS ..... : 147</b>	
INTRODUCTION .....	147
FARMERS' RESPONSES TO THE ONE PLAN: A SHIFT TO RULES AND REGULATIONS.....	148
<i>Factors contributing to farmer resistance to the POP .....</i>	150
'No discussion, no feedback, no engagement' .....	150
Hearing about the POP .....	151
'I don't know how the POP will impact on me and my farm' .....	152
'Horizons cares about the environment. They don't care about farmers' .....	152
'We're being unfairly targeted' .....	152
'We're going to court' .....	153
<i>Re-shaping and re-building relationships: The Tararua Community Economic Impact Society .....</i>	155
'What can we do?' .....	155
'The POP affects everyone – not just farmers' .....	156
Informing and involving the community .....	157
'The turning point' – a public meeting in May 2013 .....	159
Building relationships .....	160
FARMING UNDER THE ONE PLAN.....	161
<i>'Who needs a Land Use Consent?' .....</i>	161
<i>Awareness of and knowledge about Overseer.....</i>	162
<i>Awareness of and knowledge about nitrogen loss .....</i>	164
<i>'Will the One Plan affect the value of my farm and farm sales?' .....</i>	166
<i>Learning about nitrogen loss and Land Use Consents .....</i>	168
FARM MANAGEMENT PRACTICE CHANGE .....	171
<i>Introduction.....</i>	171
<i>The management of waterways .....</i>	171
<i>Nutrient management .....</i>	175
<i>Farm dairy effluent management .....</i>	179
<i>Livestock and forage crop management .....</i>	184
Livestock management.....	184
Forage crop management .....	186
CONCLUSION .....	187
 <b>CHAPTER EIGHT - RELATIONSHIPS, RELATIONSHIPS, RELATIONSHIPS ..... 189</b>	
INTRODUCTION .....	189
'I DON'T WANT TO WRECK WATER' - FARMERS' VALUES AND BELIEFS .....	190
THE DIVERSITY OF FARMERS' SOCIAL NETWORKS .....	191
<i>Finding out information about farming and water quality.....</i>	194
THE NATURE OF FARMER TO FARMER RELATIONSHIPS.....	196
<i>Introduction.....</i>	196
<i>Farmers talk to other farmers.....</i>	196
<i>Farmer to farmer influence .....</i>	198
Seeing and hearing about unacceptable farm practices – how do farmers respond? .....	200

## Table of Contents

---

‘Dobbing in’ .....	203
‘Tarred with the same brush’ .....	203
THE NATURE OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN FARMERS AND INDIVIDUALS FROM GROUPS AND ORGANISATIONS.....	204
<i>Introduction</i> .....	204
<i>Interactions between farmers and Horizons and between Horizons and other actors</i> .....	205
After the POP process – the state of relationships .....	205
Building and re-building relationships.....	206
Horizons and the other actors .....	206
Re-building the ‘broken’ relationship between Horizons and farmers.....	208
Farmers and Horizons – their current relationship .....	210
The impact of historical interactions on the current relationship between farmers and Horizons .....	212
<i>Farmers and the TCEIS</i> .....	215
<i>Farmers and DairyNZ</i> .....	216
<i>Farmers and Fish and Game</i> .....	218
<i>Farmers and farm systems consultants</i> .....	219
<i>Farmers and nutrient management consultants</i> .....	220
<i>Farmers and fertiliser company representatives</i> .....	222
Relationships that influence farmer decisions around nitrogen use .....	224
<i>Farmers and members of the public</i> .....	225
INDIVIDUALS WHO ‘TALK THE TALK’ .....	227
CONCLUSION.....	229
 <b>CHAPTER NINE - DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>231</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	231
THE SPECIFICS OF THE CASE .....	231
<i>Historical socio-cultural context of the case</i> .....	233
THE MULTI-DIMENSIONAL NATURE OF DAIRY FARMERS’ RESPONSES TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS .....	234
<i>The forms of social capital</i> .....	235
<i>Socio-cultural dynamics</i> .....	236
Social norms – the unwritten socio-cultural rules.....	237
DAIRY FARMERS’ COLLECTIVE RESPONSES TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS.....	239
<i>A collective farmer agreement on accepted practice</i> .....	241
Trust and social interactions through social networks – social learning.....	241
A collective farmer agreement - the influence of social norms .....	245
<i>Resistance to the POP - challenges to farmer autonomy, identity and knowledge</i> .....	248
Declining trust and perceived violations of social norms – contributions to resistance .....	250
Social interactions through social networks - contributions to resistance .....	252
<i>A community approach – formation of a farmer-led collective action group</i> .....	253
Social interactions through social networks – encouraging a community approach.....	255
Trust and social norms – enabling a collective response .....	256
DAIRY FARMERS’ INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES TO WATER QUALITY INTERVENTIONS.....	257
<i>Behavioural responses</i> .....	258
Practice changes.....	259
Uptake of economic incentives .....	262
Changes in information seeking behaviour .....	262
Changes in farmer interactions with the regional council.....	263
Changes in farm business decisions about the sale or purchase of land .....	264

## Table of Contents

---

<i>Factors influencing the diversity of individual farmer responses.....</i>	264
The influence of social networks on an individual farmer's responses.....	265
The influence of trust on an individual farmer's responses .....	266
The influence of social norms on an individual farmer's responses.....	268
CONCLUSIONS.....	269
<b>CHAPTER TEN - CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>271</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	271
RESEARCH CONCLUSIONS AND CONTRIBUTION.....	271
IMPLICATIONS FROM THIS RESEARCH.....	279
EVALUATION OF THE METHODOLOGY .....	282
<i>Limitations.....</i>	283
DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	284
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>285</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>297</b>
APPENDIX ONE: CONCEPTUALISATION OF TRUST .....	297
APPENDIX TWO – DOCUMENTED SOURCES OF DATA.....	298
<i>National level – central government.....</i>	298
<i>Science organisations.....</i>	298
<i>National and regional industry and farming organisations.....</i>	299
<i>Horizons Regional Council.....</i>	299
<i>International .....</i>	300
<i>Other .....</i>	300
APPENDIX THREE: INTERVIEW SCHEDULES .....	301
<i>Farmer Interview Schedule.....</i>	301
<i>Key informant interview schedule.....</i>	304
APPENDIX FOUR: INFORMATION SHEET .....	306
APPENDIX FIVE: PARTICIPANT CONSENT FORM.....	308

# List of Figures and Tables

## List of figures

Figure 1: Freshwater contaminants of concern in New Zealand.....	4
Figure 2: Factors influencing the development of water quality interventions in New Zealand.....	85
Figure 3: Trend in the total number of dairy cows in New Zealand from the 1974/75 to 2015/16 seasons.....	89
Figure 4: The regional distribution of dairy cows in New Zealand for the 1998/99 and 2015/16 seasons.....	90
Figure 5: Tararua District and Manawatu River catchment.....	109
Figure 6: Targeted and non-targeted water management zones for nutrient management in the Manawatu River catchment.....	114
Figure 7: Upper Gorge Water Management Zone.....	120
Figure 8: Timeline of national, industry and regional water quality interventions.....	132
Figure 9: The relationship between trust, social interactions, a change in collective agreement and individual change in farm management practice.....	243
Figure 10: Dairy farmers' responses to practice norm violation and the factors influencing their responses.....	246
Figure 11: The effect of 'distorted truth' on information flows through dairy farmer networks.....	253

## List of tables

Table 1: The number of Dairy Effluent Discharge Consents issued by Horizons Regional Council in 2015.....	62
Table 2: Targeted and non-targeted sub-zones in the Upper Gorge Water Management Zone.....	63
Table 3: Dairy farmer research participants.....	65
Table 4: Key informant research participants.....	67
Table 5: Mitigation strategies to reduce the impact of nutrients, sediment and faecal contaminants on water quality.....	117
Table 6: The farm management systems operated by the farmers in this study.....	141
Table 7: The farm management practice changes made around the management of waterways.....	173
Table 8: The farm management practice changes made around the management of nutrients.....	177
Table 9: The farm management practice changes made around the management of farm dairy effluent.....	181
Table 10: The farm management practice changes made around the management of livestock and forage crops.....	185
Table 11: The farmers' sources of information about farming and water quality.....	195
Table 12: Conceptualisations of trust.....	297

# Abbreviations and Symbols

AES	Agri-environment scheme
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy
cm	centimetre
DCSA	Dairying and Clean Streams Accord
EU	European Union
FDE	Farm dairy effluent
FDEA	Farm Dairy and Environmental Assessment
GPS	Global Positioning System
GST	Goods and services tax
ha	hectare
kg	kilogram
km	kilometre
km <sup>2</sup>	area measurement
LAWF	Land and Water Forum
l	litre
LUC	Land use capability
MS	Milk solid
M	million
m	metre
m <sup>3</sup>	volume measurement
mg	milligram
mm	millimetre
NPS	National policy statement
NPSFM	National Policy Statement Freshwater Management
NZ	New Zealand
NVZ	Nitrate Vulnerable Zone
N	Nitrogen
NIWA	National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research
OAD	Once a day
OECD	The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PCE	Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PKE	Palm kernel extract
P	Phosphorous
POP	Proposed One Plan
QCONZ	Quality Consultants New Zealand Ltd
RMA	Resource Management Act 1991
RPS	Regional policy statement
SDWA	Sustainable Dairying Water Accord
SOE	State of the Environment
SMP	Supplementary Minimum Price
TAD	Twice a day

## Abbreviations and Symbols

---

TCEIS Tararua Community Economic Impact Society

WMZ Water management zone

WMSZ Water management sub-zone

yr Year

<sup>®</sup> Registered trademark

™ Unregistered trademark

