

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**WHEN PRUDENCE IS RECKLESS:  
RETHINKING THE ROLE OF PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT**

A 152.785 (25 point) research report  
presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of Master of Management at Massey University

ADRIAN DAVID BUSCH

2005

## **Abstract**

Despite the widespread use of project risk management, the results of such efforts are often underwhelming. Do project risk management practices somehow miss the point? To explore this idea I use a critical management studies framework to study project risk management. The approach prescribed in the Project Management Institute's Project Management Body of Knowledge is compared to the very different approach of a professional project manager. A theorised analysis of the difference between these approaches finds that they employ the logic of different knowledge-constitutive interests thereby making them suitable for different purposes. The study concludes with a discussion of how the results of this analysis can be presented to practitioners in a way consistent with the emancipatory agenda of critical management studies.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Introduction**

### **Literature Review**

Introducing project risk management

Practitioner-oriented research

Habermasian critical theory

Habermasian critique of project risk management

Poststructuralist analyses of project management

### **Method**

### **Analysis**

The PMBOK prescription for project risk management

Kevin's project risk management practices

Seeking shared understanding at the risk workshop

Evaluating risk impacts and response strategies

### **Discussion**

Implications for practice

### **Conclusion**

### **References**

## List of Figures and Tables

### Figures

Figure 1. *PMBOK project risk management processes*

Figure 2. *Cumulative probability distribution of project cost before and after risk treatment*

### Tables

Table 1. *Metaphors for project risk management*

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Craig Prichard, for his ongoing encouragement, his judgement at important junctures, and the occasional well-timed prod he gave to keep me going.

I would also like to thank 'Kevin', who freely shared his time, experiences and reflections on project risk management. The depth and clarity of Kevin's thought and professional practice has been an inspiration throughout this study.