

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE EFFECT OF 40 YEARS OF EFFLUENT IRRIGATION ON
SOIL AND PASTURE PROPERTIES OF THE LACTOSE NEW
ZEALAND LAND TREATMENT SITE**

BLAIR P. ROBINSON

2000

**THE EFFECT OF 40 YEARS OF EFFLUENT IRRIGATION ON
SOIL AND PASTURE PROPERTIES OF THE LACTOSE NEW
ZEALAND LAND TREATMENT SITE**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Masters of Applied Science, Soil and Earth Sciences
Group, Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University



Massey University

BLAIR P. ROBINSON

2000

*This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my grandfather,
B.J. Powell.*

ABSTRACT

The Lactose New Zealand (LNZ) manufacturing plant, situated in South Taranaki, has an annual average daily effluent output of 1400-1600 m³, over 11 months of the year. Total effluent loading rates are approximately 5 000 m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Effluent composition is extremely variable and characterised by high levels of suspended solids, BOD₅, COD, K, total P and Na and low pH. Land treatment of effluent has been occurring for approximately forty years and currently effluent is irrigated onto three dairy farms in the vicinity of the manufacturing plant.

LNZ has experienced some difficulties in operating and managing both the land treatment system and the dairy farms. Problems have related to the degradation of soil, pasture, surface water and groundwater quality.

This study aims to describe the current status of the land treatment system through characterisation of the soil and pasture resource. Factors examined included soil physical, chemical and biological properties, the quality of pasture and the effect of a grazing event on some soil properties.

The soil type on the three land treatment farms is the Egmont brown loam, characterised by high P fixation and moisture retention, strongly developed soil structure and large microbial communities. Paddocks with varying years of effluent application (0, 9, 14, 30 and 40 years) were used in the characterisation of the soil and pasture resource. A range of soil physical, chemical and biological analyses were undertaken. Chemical soil samples were collected from 5 depths down the soil profile. A grazing trial studied the effect of grazing stock on some soil physical properties shortly after effluent irrigation.

Bulk density values in the topsoil of paddocks irrigated for 40 years are very low (0.52 g cm^{-3}) compared with non-irrigated soils (0.89 g cm^{-3}). Bare patches within paddocks irrigated for 40 years had extremely low bulk density values (0.42 g cm^{-3}). Penetration resistance is significantly lower ($P \leq 0.0001$) on irrigated paddocks compared to non-irrigated paddocks, however aggregate stability levels are similar. Soil water retention and moisture contents of the irrigated soils have increased compared to the non-irrigated soils. Effluent irrigation has had no consistent effect on the infiltration rate of the irrigated paddocks.

The effluent irrigation has resulted in a marked increase in the level of soil chemical fertility. Increases of some nutrient levels have not only occurred in the 0-7.5 cm soil depth, but at lower depths. Total carbon levels have increased slightly in all irrigated study paddocks. The largest increase in total carbon was measured at the 15-30 cm soil depth. This indicates that there was a movement of the soluble and fine particulate carbon added through effluent irrigation down the soil profile. Phosphorus adsorption isotherms demonstrated that effluent irrigation has increased the null point concentration of irrigated soils (30 and 40 years) from $1-2 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ to approximately $100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$, indicating phosphate desorption is likely to occur at shallow depths. Soil solution extract and suction cup solution analysed for P showed that up to an Olsen P level of $130 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ of soil, P was retained strongly in the soil and there were negligible concentrations of P in the soil solution. Above this, P concentrations in soil solution were significantly higher enhancing the potential for leaching losses. However, below 30 cm depth, effluent irrigation has had little effect on soil solution P, indicating these soils have the ability to adsorb additional phosphate at depth. Exchangeable sodium and potassium levels have increased significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) on irrigated soils compared with non-irrigated soils at 0-7.5 cm depth. This may lead to soil physical deterioration.

Effluent irrigation has had little influence on earthworm populations and soil respiration rates.

The extensive use of all three farms for effluent irrigation has impacted on shallow groundwater to varying degrees. Interpretation of Taranaki Regional Council data indicated that sodium, nitrate, conductivity and occasionally filtered COD levels in the groundwater of most of the impact bores had increased. However, the groundwater is not used for a potable supply and since the introduction of new management philosophies in 1995, groundwater nitrate levels have decreased.

Irrigated paddocks have similar values for most pasture quality parameters analysed. There is considerable difference between the dietary cation-anion difference (DCAD) of the irrigated and non-irrigated paddocks. Animal diets with high DCAD values tend to increase the incidence of milk fever mainly due to Ca deficiency. This suggests that there is a need to supplement the Ca levels in the forage. Nutrient status of the pasture on the irrigation farms is in the normal to high range recommended by AgResearch for adequate pasture nutrition.

The grazing trial showed grazing of pasture shortly after effluent irrigation had little effect on soil physical properties, however soil moisture levels were low during the trial.

The study has identified some trends that can be used to estimate the rate of change in soil properties due to the addition of effluent under the present conditions. There is the risk of a further decrease in soil bulk density, combined with increased soil moisture content, resulting in treading damage by grazing animals. The soil system still has a very large capacity to fix phosphate. However, of some concern is the likelihood of surface runoff containing high concentrations of P as a result of high levels of available P in the top 0-7.5 cm. The current policy of planting riparian strips should reduce this environmental threat.

The study suggests that forty years of effluent irrigation has had a considerable effect on soil, pasture and groundwater and surface water quality at the LNZ site. The system will require careful management to ensure the sustainable land treatment of LNZ effluent. Alternative management options are discussed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to a number of people and organisations for their help and support, which allowed me to complete this study.

My supervisors, Dr Dave Horne, Associate Professors Nanthi Bolan and Paul Gregg, for their valuable guidance, help, encouragement, patience and support.

The Lactose New Zealand Company (LNZ) for providing the opportunity to be involved in such a unique and interesting project. Special thanks must be extended to Mr Warren Climo, for his many hours of help and organisation. Also, the three sharemilkers on the irrigation farms, for their assistance and cooperation during fieldwork.

The New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for the use of their expertise and experience in monitoring land treatment sites. Also, the use of their literature records and Dr John Russell for his input into the study.

Landcare Research, for the use of their laboratory equipment and John Dando for his time and assistance.

Lab technicians, Bob Toes, Anne West and Ian Furkert for the many hours of assistance that they provided in the analytical aspects at Massey University. Mr Mike Bretherton for his assistance with computing problems.

My friends and colleagues, in particular Raymond Willemsen for their support through all aspects of university life.

Most of all my family who have offered great support, morally and financially throughout my varsity career and have helped to steer me in the right direction. Without them none of this would have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	I
Acknowledgments	IV
Table of Contents	V
List of Tables	XI
List of Figures.....	XV
List of Appendices	XVII

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction to the company	1
1.2	History of effluent treatment	3
1.3	Current land treatment system	4
1.4	Historical problems associated with the land treatment system	7
1.5	Current management goals of the land treatment system	8
1.6	Climate and environmental setting	10
1.7	Objectives of the investigation	11

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction.....	14
-----	-------------------	----

2.2	Land treatment of manufacturing site effluent in New Zealand	14
2.3	Effluent characteristics.....	15
2.4	Effect of effluent on soil physical properties.....	16
2.4.1	Soil moisture content.....	17
2.4.2	Bulk density	17
2.4.3	Soil infiltration rates	18
2.4.4	Soil strength.....	21
2.4.5	Aggregate stability	22
2.5	Effect of effluent on soil chemical properties.....	22
2.5.1	Soil pH.....	24
2.5.2	Carbon.....	24
2.5.3	Nitrogen	26
2.5.4	Cation exchange capacity.....	27
2.5.5	Exchangeable sodium percentage	28
2.5.6	Phosphorus	28
2.5.7	Phosphate retention	30
2.6	Effect of effluent on soil biological properties.....	31
2.6.1	Earthworm populations.....	31
2.6.2	Soil respiration rates.....	33
2.7	Effect of effluent on water quality	34
2.7.1	Effect of effluent on surface water quality.....	35
2.7.2	Effect of effluent on groundwater quality.....	35
2.8	Effect of effluent on pasture	37

CHAPTER 3

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1	Site description.....	41
3.2	Soils of the land treatment farms	41

3.3	Climate	47
3.4	Effluent analysis	48
3.5	Characterisation of the soil resource – measurement of soil properties	48
3.6	Soil physical measurements	49
3.6.1	Soil moisture content	51
3.6.2	Moisture retentivity cores	51
3.6.3	Bulk density	52
3.6.4	Soil infiltration rates	52
3.6.5	Soil strength	53
3.6.6	Aggregate stability	54
3.7	Soil chemical measurements	55
3.7.1	Soil pH	55
3.7.2	Carbon	56
3.7.3	Nitrogen	56
3.7.4	Cation exchange capacity	57
3.7.5	Phosphorus	57
3.7.6	Phosphate retention	58
3.8	Soil solution phosphorus	58
3.9	Suction cups	59
3.10	Phosphate adsorption isotherms	60
3.11	Soil biological measurements	60
3.11.1	Earthworm populations	61
3.11.2	Soil respiration rates	61
3.12	Grazing trial	62
3.12.1	Bulk density	62
3.12.2	Soil infiltration rates	63
3.12.3	Surface roughness	63
3.13	Bare patches	64
3.13.1	Bulk density	64
3.13.2	Soil chemical analysis	65
3.13.3	Phosphate-extractable sulphate sulphur	65

3.14	Pasture analysis.....	65
3.15	Historical sacrifice area	66
3.16	Statistical Analysis.....	67

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction.....	68
4.2	Effluent characteristics.....	68
4.3	Effect of effluent on soil physical properties	71
4.3.1	Soil moisture content	72
4.3.2	Soil moisture retentivity cores.....	73
4.3.3	Bulk density	74
4.3.4	Soil infiltration rates	75
4.3.5	Soil strength.....	78
4.3.6	Aggregate stability	79
4.4	Effect of effluent on soil chemical properties.....	80
4.4.1	Soil pH.....	80
4.4.2	Carbon.....	81
4.4.3	Nitrogen.....	84
4.4.4	Cation exchange capacity and exchangeable cations	86
4.4.5	Exchangeable sodium percentage	93
4.4.6	Phosphorus	95
4.4.7	Phosphate retention	97
4.5	Phosphate adsorption isotherms.....	99
4.6	Soil solution phosphorus.....	103
4.7	Effect of effluent on soil biological properties.....	106
4.7.1	Earthworm populations.....	106
4.7.2	Soil respiration rates.....	108
4.8	Effect of effluent on water quality	109

4.8.1	Effect of effluent on surface water quality.....	109
4.8.2	Effect of effluent on groundwater quality.....	110
4.9	Grazing trial.....	115
4.9.1	Bulk density	116
4.9.2	Soil infiltration rates	117
4.9.3	Surface roughness	118
4.10	Bare patches.....	118
4.10.1	Bulk density	118
4.10.2	Soil chemical analysis.....	119
4.11	Effect of effluent irrigation on pasture	120
4.12	Historical sacrifice area	124

CHAPTER 5

THE FUTURE OF THE LAND TREATMENT SYSTEM

5.1	Introduction.....	126
5.2	Soil physical factors.....	126
5.3	Soil chemical factors	128
5.4	Soil biological factors	133
5.5	Pasture performance.....	134
5.6	Wider environmental considerations	134
5.7	Alternative crops	135
5.8	Management.....	136

CHAPTER 6

Conclusion

6.1	Introduction.....	137
6.2	Effect of effluent on soil physical properties	137
6.3	Effect of effluent on soil chemical properties	138
6.4	Effect of effluent on soil biological properties	140
6.5	Effect of effluent on water quality	140
6.6	Effect of effluent on pasture.....	140
6.7	Grazing trial	140
6.8	Bare patches	141
	References	142
	Appendices	161

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1	Changes in farm production under revised management plan...	9
-----------	---	---

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	Comparison of LNZ effluent with sludges/effluents from a few selected waste sources in New Zealand.....	15
Table 2.2	Nutrient status of Lismore soil (0-15 cm) after 80 years of meatworks effluent application.....	23
Table 2.3	Organic C levels for treatment and control sites at Te Rehunga and Tokomaru dairy factory land treatment sites	25
Table 2.4	Olsen P and total P levels for treatment and control sites at the Fairton meatworks land treatment site	29
Table 2.5	Olsen P levels for treatment and control sites at Te Rehunga and Tokomaru dairy factory land treatment sites	29
Table 2.6	Vertical distribution of earthworms at effluent and control sites	32
Table 2.7	Nitrate-nitrogen losses from control and soil irrigated with primary treated meat-processing effluent.....	37

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1	Average values for selected properties in the Egmont soil profile	42
Table 3.2	Soil Profile Description of Egmont Brown Loam (Paddock 24, Farm No. 1)	46
Table 3.3	Distribution of mean annual and 1999 rainfall, air temperature, sunshine hours, and soil temperature	47

Table 3.4	The paddocks used in the study and the years these paddocks have received effluent.....	49
Table 3.5	Soil infiltration classes.....	53
Table 3.6	Surface roughness classes.....	63

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1	Lactose effluent composition	69
Table 4.2	Changes in effluent composition over time	69
Table 4.3	Total annual nutrient loadings and nutrient loading/ha.....	70
Table 4.4	Volumetric soil moisture contents at –50 kPa for soils receiving varying years of effluent application.....	73
Table 4.5	Bulk density values for soils receiving varying years of effluent irrigation	74
Table 4.6	Bulk density values measured by NZDRI.....	75
Table 4.7	Soil infiltration rates on farms No. 1 and No. 2 in 1987	77
Table 4.8	Penetrometer reading from paddocks receiving varying years of effluent irrigation	78
Table 4.9	Soil pH for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation.....	81
Table 4.10	Total carbon for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation...	82
Table 4.11	Easily oxidisable organic carbon for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	83
Table 4.12	Total nitrogen for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation.	84
Table 4.13	Nitrate-nitrogen values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	86
Table 4.14	Ammonia-nitrogen values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	86
Table 4.15	Cation exchange capacity for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	87
Table 4.16	Sodium values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	88
Table 4.17	Potassium values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	89

Table 4.18	Calcium values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	90
Table 4.19	Magnesium values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	91
Table 4.20	Base saturation values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	92
Table 4.21	Olsen P values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	96
Table 4.22	Total phosphorus values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	97
Table 4.23	Phosphate retention values for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	98
Table 4.24	Null concentration values for soils with varying years of effluent application.....	101
Table 4.25	Solution P for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	103
Table 4.26	Earthworm populations, biomass and mean individual mass for soils receiving varying years of effluent irrigation.....	107
Table 4.27	Basal soil respiration rates and use of glucose substrate by microbial communities	108
Table 4.28	Results of surface water drainage quality monitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Kaupokonui River, from September 1994 to April 1995.....	110
Table 4.29	Summary of previous TRC groundwater sampling performed during the period Oct 1991 to June 1999.....	112
Table 4.30	Bulk density results from the grazing trial	116
Table 4.31	Average chain measurements before and after grazing	118
Table 4.32	Bulk density values for bare patches	119
Table 4.33	Chemical characteristics of the bare patches at 0-30 cm depth.....	119
Table 4.34	Cation exchange capacity of the bare patches at 0-30 cm depth.....	120
Table 4.35	Nutrient status of pasture with varying years of effluent irrigation	121

Table 4.36	NIR predicted results for pasture receiving varying years of effluent irrigation	122
Table 4.37	Chemical characteristics of paddock 14, farm No. 2.....	124
Table 4.38	Cation exchange capacity of paddock 14, farm No. 2.....	125

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1	Amount of phosphorus that can be applied to soils before phosphate saturation occurs at or near the depth to the water table	130
-----------	--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1	The Lactose New Zealand factory set in the fertile countryside of South Taranaki with Mount Taranaki/Egmont in the background	2
Figure 1.2	Site diagram for Lactose New Zealand showing the location of the processing plant and the dairy farms	5
Figure 1.3	Soil profile of Egmont orthic allophanic soil (Farm No.3, paddock 24)	4
Figure 1.4	In-ground (top) and travelling irrigators (bottom) in operation	6
Figure 1.5	Constructed wetland on unnamed tributary of Kaupokonui River, Farm No. 1	11
Figure 1.6	An overview of the structure of the thesis	13

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1	Site Layout of Farm No. 1	44
Figure 3.2	Site Layout of Farm No. 2	45
Figure 3.3	Site Layout of Farm No. 3	46
Figure 3.4	Comparisons of soil profiles with varying years of effluent irrigation	50
Figure 3.5	Suction cups in paddock no.2 on Farm no.2.....	59
Figure 3.6	Bare patches developed in paddocks 16 (left) and 7 (right) on Farm No. 2.....	64

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1	Volumetric soil water content for 0-7.5 cm depth with varying years of effluent irrigation.....	72
------------	---	----

Figure 4.2	Average surface infiltration with varying years of effluent irrigation	76
Figure 4.3	Aggregate stability of 0-10 cm depth for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation	79
Figure 4.4	Exchangeable sodium percentages for soil profiles with varying years of effluent irrigation.....	94
Figure 4.5	Determination of null concentration for phosphate adsorption isotherms	100
Figure 4.6	Relationship between Olsen P and null point concentration for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation.....	102
Figure 4.7	Breakthrough curve showing the relationship between solution P and Olsen P for soils with varying years of effluent irrigation.	104
Figure 4.8	Location of Farm No.1 irrigation surface water quality monitoring sites (I1 to I3) in unnamed tributary of the Kaipokonui River	111
Figure 4.9	Groundwater monitoring bores' locations on the three irrigation farms.....	113
Figure 4.10	Nitrate-N concentrations in groundwater below Lactose irrigation farms.....	115
Figure 4.11	Soil infiltration rates before and after irrigation events	117
Figure 4.12	Annual pasture dry matter production for Lactose irrigation farms.....	123

List of Appendices

CHAPTER 4

Appendix 1	Calculations for mass balance of applied carbon.....	161
Appendix 2	Calculations for mass balance of applied phosphorus.....	162

CHAPTER 5

Appendix 3	Calculations for phosphorus loading before P saturation occurs	163
------------	---	-----