

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

*I dedicate this disertatlon  
to  
my beloved mother and father*

**Interactions between *Anystis baccarum* (Acari: Anystidae),  
a generalist predatory mite, and larvae of *Epiphyas  
postvittana* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae),  
a pest of apples**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
**Masters of Horticultural Science in Plant Health**  
at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand

**Nayana Kumudini Ekanayake**

**1995**

## ABSTRACT

A large numbers of Anystis baccharum (Acari: Anystidae) were discovered living alongside of Epiphyas postvittana (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) larvae known as light brown apple moth (LBAM) in shelter belt of acacia, Acacia rewa (Leguminosae: Sub family Mimosoidae). Few questions that needed to be answered were whether the mites actually feed on the LBAM larvae and what are their interactions. The objective of my study was to investigate the general characteristics of mite feeding on LBAM larvae, and to study the age, density, webs and defence behaviour of larvae as exogenous factors, and the effect of level of starvation and experience of mites as endogenous factors on foraging behaviour of A. baccharum.

Eleven experiments were conducted under laboratory conditions. At 20 c, the average daily consumption rate of A. baccharum was  $11.5 \pm 2.68$  neonate E. postvittana larvae of 1.484 mm in length and 0.231 mm in width. The predator spent  $1106 \pm 309$  seconds mean feeding time, feeding on a neonate larvae of E. postvittana. A. baccharum is cannibalistic and survived  $6.1 \pm 2.28$  days totally deprived of food and water.

Webs of E. postvittana larvae act as a physical barrier to attack of A. baccharum. When the webs were removed larvae upto eight days of age were consumed by A. baccharum, however survival from capture by mite increased with age. When the mite was given a choice between three different age groups of larvae, a higher number of neonate larvae were taken as the first choice, but the overall results showed the choice depends on random encounters between

the predator and prey and prey avoidance behaviour of larvae. Spinning was the most frequent avoidance behaviour of larvae without webs. Spinning response occurred less frequently with increased age of the larvae. The most common response of larvae in webs was quick movement forwards or backwards.

Starvation for 24 hours did not significantly increase walking speed of A. baccharum over walking speed of non-starved mites, but walking speed was decreased at 48 hr and 72 hr starvation. However, starvation increased prey capture of A. baccharum compared to non starved condition. Starvation also had a significant effect on number of captures at first encounter.

A. baccharum with no experience of prey on apple shoots preferred to rest on branch of apple than leaf and fruit given that area of the branch is low, preference was even greater. On their search of alternative walking and resting periods, A. baccharum spent significantly more time walking than resting. When given experience of feeding a neonate larva of LBAM on branch, leaf or fruit, A. baccharum spent significantly more time walking on the location they were fed.

In conclusion, the larvae of E. postvittana includes in to the list of prey of A. baccharum. If encountered during their dispersal phase after hatching, possibilities are high that neonate larvae of E. postvittana to be preyed by A. baccharum occurring in large numbers in orchard ecosystems in New Zealand. Studies on this predator-prey interaction opens new venues of research on generalised predator-prey interactions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my supervisor Dr. M. O. Harris, for providing close supervision, valuable guidance, generous comments, continuous encouragement and willingness to help at anytime that created a pleasant working environment for me. Special thanks are due to Dr. Harris who went beyond the call of duty as a supervisor to ensure my family and I were appropriately settled in New Zealand.

I owe my thanks to Associate Professor P. G. Fenemore, and Dr. J.G. Charles of Crop and Food research Centre, Mt. Albert, Auckland, for assistance in identification of the predatory mite. A special thanks to Associate Professor Fenemore for his due guidance at the commencement of my study period.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. S. Foster. Hort. Research, Palmerston North, for providing with insect eggs and dietary tubes required for the study.

My thanks are also due to Mrs. L. K. Davis, and Mrs. F. J. Brown of the Department of Plant science, for providing equipment and laboratory facilities during this study.

Technical assistance with video equipment, given by Mr. H. F. Neilson of the Department of Plant science and Mr. K. M. Harris of Agricultural and Horticultural building, is gratefully acknowledged.

Financial assistance provided by the New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade is gratefully appreciated, without their support this study could never have taken place.

I thank my colleague Miss. Toni Withers, for her willingness to help in times of need.

The helpful and friendly environment provided by Prof. K. S. Milne and the staff of Department of Plant science throughout the period of study is sincerely acknowledged. With them, I felt at home.

My appreciation to the fellow postgraduate students in Plant health for providing a friendly environment throughout my study.

Finally, my greatest appreciation is extended to my husband Priya and my sons Vedhaya and Kanishka for their understanding, patience, shouldering extra burden of work at times, and their love and affection which helped me to complete my work.

## List of Figures

- Figure 1. Four patterns of walking tracks of *Anystis baccarum* on an arena of uniform flat surface of filter paper. Position of the mite at each second is marked on the track.....55
- Fig. 2 Frequency of encounters between *A. baccarum* and neonate larva of *Epiphyas postvittana* at 0 and 72 hours of starvation of predatory mite, and densities of 1 and 5 prey larvae.....56
- Fig.3 Percentage of *E. postvittana* larvae captured by *A. baccarum* at the end of observation period.....57
- Fig 4. Percent *A. baccarum* captured *E. postvittana* larvae upon first encounter . .....58
- Fig. 5. Overall time spent walking and resting by *Anystis baccarum* on apple.....60
- Fig. 6. Total searching time spent by *Anystis baccarum* on branch, leaf and fruit .....61
- Fig .7. Mean resting time of 24 hour starved *Anystis baccarum* on different locations of apple shoot.....61
- Fig. 8. Mean total walking and resting time per unit area of location spent on different locations of an apple shoot by 24 hour starved *A. baccarum*....63



- Fig. 9. (A) Mean time, and (B) Mean time per unit area spent searching by 24 hour starved Anystis baccarum on locations where prey captured.....65
- Fig. 10 (A). Total mean time spent walking and resting of 24 hour starved Anystis baccarum after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana on branch of an apple shoot.....66
- Fig. 10 (B). Total mean time spent walking and resting of 24 hour starved Anystis baccarum after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana on **leaf** of an apple shoot,.....66
- Fig. 10 (C). Total mean time spent walking and resting of 24 hour starved Anystis baccarum after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana on **fruit** of an apple shoot, .....67
- Fig. 11 (A) . Time spent walking and resting per unit area after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana, when fed on **branch** of an apple shoot.....67
- Fig. 11 (B) . Time spent walking and resting per unit area after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana, when fed on **leaf** of an apple shoot.....68
- Fig. 11 (C). Time spent walking and resting per unit area after feeding with a neonate larvae of Epiphyas postvittana, when fed on **fruit** of an apple shoot.....68

Fig 12. Relationship between age and length of <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> larva.....	70
Fig 13. Relationship between age and head capsule width of <u><i>Epiphyas postvittana</i></u> larva.....	70
Fig.14. Percent of 2, 4, 6, and 8 days old <u><i>E. postvittana</i></u> larvae without webs consumed by starved <u><i>Anystis baccarum</i></u> .....	71
Fig.15. Mean capture time of <u><i>Epiphyas postvittana</i></u> larvae of different age groups by <u><i>Anystis baccarum</i></u> .....	72
Fig. 16 (A) Percentage of occurrence of response of <b>neonate larva</b> of <u><i>Epiphyas postvittana</i></u> to contact by <u><i>Anystis baccarum</i></u> .....	74
Fig. 16 (B) Percentage of occurrence of response of <b>4 days old larva</b> of <u><i>Epiphyas postvittana</i></u> to contact by <u><i>Anystis baccarum</i></u> .....	75
Fig. 16 (C) Percentage of occurrence of response of <b>8 days old larva</b> of <u><i>Epiphyas postvittana</i></u> to contact by <u><i>Anystis baccarum</i></u> .....	75

## List of Tables

	page
Table 1. Some mites which provide control of agricultural pests.....	11
Table 2. Common features of predatory mite families, predatory mites recorded, and their hosts.....	12
Table 3. Prey species of <i>Anystis</i> .....	15
Table 4. Time between feeds and mean duration of feeding of <i>Anystis</i> <i>baccarum</i> , with neonate larvae of <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> (Walker) as prey.....	54
Table 5. Effect of starvation on mean walking speed, and percentage survival of <i>Anystis baccarum</i> .....	56
Table 6. Effect of level of starvation of <i>Anystis baccarum</i> and larval density of <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> on captures by whirly gig mite. ....	57
Table 7. The effect of larval(pre) density of <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> and level of starvation on number of captures at first encounter by <i>Anystis</i> <i>baccarum</i> .....	59
Table 8. Age and size of <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> larvae consumed by <i>Anystis</i> <i>baccarum</i> .....	69
Table 9. Prey preference of <i>A. baccarum</i> for three age groups of <i>Epiphyas</i> <i>postvittana</i> larvae.....	69

## List of plates

Plate 1. <i>Anystis baccarum</i> feeding on a neonate larva of <i>Epiphyas</i> <i>postvittana</i> .....	44
--	----

## Table of contents

### CHAPTER ONE

#### Literature review of mites as biological control agents

1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Systematics of predatory mites .....	2
1.2.1 Recent studies on biology.....	4
1.2.1.1 Effect of Temperature and Humidity on Growth and Development.....	17
1.3 Ecology of predatory mites.....	17
1.3.1 Population dynamics .....	17
1.3.2 Leaf morphology and predators .....	18
1.4 Behaviour of predatory mites.....	19
1.4.1 Generalist vs Specialist.....	19
1.4.2 Food (prey) preference.....	20
1.4.3 Infochemicals .....	22
1.4.4 Searching behaviour.....	25
1.4.5 Web coping.....	26
1.5 Role in biological control programmes.....	27
1.5.1 Field colonization .....	27
1.5.2 Laboratory populations .....	27
1.5.3 Effect of pesticides and other chemicals.....	28
1.5.4 Resistance to insecticides.....	31
1.5.5 Prey-paralysis by mites .....	32
1.6 Mites as biocontrol agents in apple IPM programmes.....	32
1.7 Collecting / extracting mites from the field.....	33
1.8 <i>Anystis baccarum</i> .....	34
1.8.1 Occurrence and distribution .....	34
1.8.2 Biology and lifecycle.....	35
1.8.3 Adults.....	35
1.8.4 Egg.....	36
1.8.5 Pre-larva and larval stages.....	36
1.8.6 Nymphal stages.....	36
1.8.7 Survival .....	36
1.8.8 Cannibalism .....	37
1.8.9 Effect on environmental factors on development.....	37
1.8.10 Predatory behaviour.....	37
1.8.11 Leaf morphology on predatory behaviour.....	37
1.8.12 Effect of prey on predatory behaviour .....	38
1.8.13 Prey preference.....	38
1.8.14 Use of <i>Anystis</i> species as biocontrol agents.....	39
1.8.15 Rearing.....	39
1.8.16 Collecting <i>Anystis</i> mites from the field.....	42

## CHAPTER TWO

Interactions between *Anystis baccharum* and larvae of *Epiphyas postvittana*, a pest of apples

2.1. Introduction .....	43
2.2 Materials and Methods .....	46
2.2.1 Mites and Insects.....	46
2.2.2 General characteristics of mite feeding.....	47
2.2.3 Effects of starvation and prey density on mite foraging.....	48
2.2.4 Location of foraging activities and the influence of experience.....	50
2.2.5 Effect of larval age and webs on mite feeding .....	51
2.2.6. Behavioural responses of LBAM larvae to attack.....	52
2.3 Results .....	54
2.3.1 Preliminary observations .....	54
2.3.2 General characteristics of mite feeding.....	54
2.3.3 Effect of starvation and prey density on mite foraging .....	55
2.3.4 Location of Foraging activities .....	60
2.3.5 Effect of larval age and webs on mite feeding .....	69
2.3.6 Behavioural responses of larvae to attack by mites.....	73
2.4 Discussion.....	76
2.4.1 Endogeneous factors of mite-prey interaction .....	78
2.4.2 Exogenous factors on mite -prey interaction.....	79
2.5 Future studies.....	82
References.....	83

**CHAPTER 1**

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

**OF**

**MITES AS BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS**

1.1 Introduction

In many parts of the world, predatory mites are currently being evaluated as control agents against leaf-feeding insects and mites. This interest in using predatory mites as biological control agents is fairly recent. Three decades ago most of the acarines now being considered as biological control agents against leaf-feeding insects had not even been identified. The first mite recognized for its ability to reduce pest populations (reviewed by Gerson and Smiley, 1990), was Hemisacrottes malus (Shimer), which feeds on the oystershell scale, Lepidosaphes ulmi (L.), reported from America in 1868. They noted that the first international shipment of these mites as natural enemies was undertaken in 1873 following redocumentation of the importance of the mite by C.V. Riley in the same year. The project of transferring predatory mites to from eastern Canada to western Canada in 1917 control the same prey was rated as a successful biological control project by Turnbull and Chant (1961). The Bdellid mite, Bdellodes lapidaria Kramer, was discovered (Womersley, 1933) as a predator of the lucerne flea, Smithurus viridis (L.), in Australia. Uchida and Miyazaki (1935) considered water mites as important enemies of mosquitoes. Thereafter many species of predatory mites were recognised as important biological control agents by scientists all over the world.

Although Acari were identified for their potential use against some pests from the beginning of the rise of biological control as a discipline, it was only from the 1950's onwards that the potential of the Phytoseiidae and other mites

was recognized and mites began to draw the attention they deserve as biocontrol agents. Table 1 summarises important mites which provide control of agricultural pests. Many applied studies including introductions of predatory mites to control different pests have also been done.

In 1982, the Berkeley Conference on biological control of pests by mites was held. The following recommendations were made by contributors to the conference (Gerson and Simley, 1990): (1) that further exploration for predaceous mites be undertaken as well as basic research on mite systematics and biology, (2) that mass rearing methods and quality control be developed, (3) that field experiments employing appropriate controls be conducted on promising candidates, (4) that better (and more uniform) evaluation procedures be devised, and (5) that means of integrating the emerging, promising acarine biocontrol agents into existing pest management programmes be developed.

## 1.2 Systematics of predatory mites

The Class Arachnida is comprised of eleven divisions. All but two of these are completely predaceous in habit, with representatives often displaying a variety of morphological characteristics well suited to a predatory existence (Krants,1975). In the subclass Araneae (spiders), the head and thorax are combined in a single unit, the cephalothorax, jointed to the abdomen by a slender pedicel. The subclass Acari includes the ticks and the mites. The acarine body (Krants,1975) is composed mainly of the idiosoma, while mouth-parts are borne on the gnathosoma, an anterior region more or less distinct from the idiosoma. All the acarine biological control agents belong to order Acariformes and order Parasitiformes. The important suborders to which they belong are Cryptostigmata, Astigmata, Prostigmata, and Mesostigmata.

Table 1. Some mites which provide control of agricultural pests (source-Gerson and Smiley, 1990 p. 142, modified ).

<b>Mite Family</b>	<b>Natural enemy</b>	<b>Pest</b>	<b>Pest order</b>	<b>Habitat</b>
Anystidae	<u>Anystis</u> spp	Earth mite	Prostigmata	Pastures
		Lucerne flea	Collembola	Pastures
Ascidae	<u>Blattisocius</u>	Ephestia	Lepidoptera	Stored products
Bdellidae	<u>Bdellodes</u> spp	Lucerne flea	Collembola	Pastures
Cheyletidae	<u>Cheyletus</u> spp	Acarids	Prostigmata	Stored products
Hemisarcoptes	<u>Hemisarcoptes</u>	Armoured scale insects	Homoptera	Orchards
Macrochelidae	<u>Macrocheles</u>	Bush flies	Diptera	Cowdung
Phytoseiidae*	<u>Amblyseius fallacis</u>	European red mite	Prostigmata	Orchards
		Two spotted spider mite	Prostigmata	Orchards
Phytoseiidae	<u>Euseius</u>	Citrus thrips	Thysanoptera	Orchards
Phytoseiidae	<u>Phytoseiulus persimilis</u>	Spider mites	Prostigmata	Green houses
Phytoseiidae*	<u>Typhlodromus occidentalis</u>	Two spotted spider mite	Prostigmata	Orchards
Phytoseiidae	<u>Typhlodromus pyri</u>	Spider mites	Prostigmata	Orchards
Stigmaeidae	Stigmaeids	<u>Brevipalpus</u>	Prostigmata	Tea

\*(Early, 1984)



Records on common predatory mites belonging to 29 acarine families were reviewed by Gerson and Smiley (1990) and are summarised in Table 2. Information on recently recorded predatory mites are included with the relevant references.

The literature on predatory mites is voluminous but it has recently been condensed (Gerson and Smiley, 1990) and largely concerns the usefulness of one family, the Phytoseidae, in the control of spider mites in horticultural crops. In the Canary islands, Pande et al. (1989) report of seven species of tetranychid mites together with data on 4 species of predatory phytoseiids associated with them. Kreiter et al. (1991) on the other hand reviewed the predatory mites which are found in viticulture and fruit tree culture.

#### 1.2.1 Recent studies on biology

The study of the biology of predatory mites is a prerequisite for applied studies. Abou-Awad and Reda (1992), showed fecundity of frequently mated females of predatory mite Agistimus exsertus was greater than females mated only once. A positive relationship was noted between the number of progeny and sex ratio at different intervals of the reproductive period. Kreiter (1991) described the biology, morphology, behavior and occurrence of 36 phytoseiid predators that occur in France.

Table 2. Common features of predatory mite families, predatory mites recorded, and their hosts.

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Acaridae	Whitish, slow moving, many species associated with arthropods, commonly occur in stored foods.	<u>Tyrophagus putrescentiae</u>	Arthropods in stored grain, cheese, fungus cultures.
		<u>Rhizoglyphus echinopus</u>	plant parasitic nematodes
Anystidae	Large, reddish, soft bodied, fast runners, carry few dorsal setae, possess a palpal thumb-claw complex, prey on mites and small insects,	<u>Anystis agilis</u>	Many pests infesting alfalfa, apple and citrus orchards, and vineyards
		<u>Anystis baccharum</u>	Spider mite
		<u>Anystis salicinus</u>	red-legged earth mite, lucerne flea
Arrenuridae	Heavily sclerotinised bodies	<u>Arrenurus</u> spp.	Mosquitoes

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Ascidae	Free living predator Commonly found in soils, on plants and in stored products	<u>Blattisocius tarsalis</u>	Stored product pest moth
		<u>Arctoseius cetratus</u>	Mushroom pests
		<u>Platyseius</u> spp.	Mosquito egg and larvae
		<u>Cheiroseius</u> spp.	Mosquito egg and larvae
Bdellidae	Snout-like mouthparts, fairly large in size (4 mm), red-brown or greenish, active hunters.	<u>Lasioseius parberiesi</u>	Mite pest of rice
		<u>L. scapulatus</u>	Nematodes
		<u>Bdella depressa</u>	Spider mites, spring tails
		<u>B. longicornis</u>	vine spider mite
		<u>B. lapidaria</u>	Lucerne flea

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Camerobiidae	Long legs, weak palpi.	<u>Neophyllobius</u> spp.	Armoured scale insects European fruit scale Eriopalpid mites Tenuipalpid mites
Cheyletidae	Slow moving, some are ectoparasites of birds, mammals or insects, some are free living predators.	<u>Cheyletus eruditus</u>  <u>Hemicheyletia bakeri</u>  <u>Cheletogenes ornatus</u>	Mite pests of stored foods  Spider mites  Armoured scale insect crawlers
Cunaxidae	3-5 segmented palpi, yellow, red or brown in colour, fast runners, indiscriminate feeders on small arthropods	<u>Cunaxa capreolus</u>  <u>C. parvus</u>  <u>C. oliveri</u>	Book lice, oriental spider mite  Oyster shell scale  Eriophyid gall mite

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Eriophyidae	Elongate, worm like bodies, two pairs of anteriorly placed legs, claw-less tarsi.	<u>Aceria chondrillae</u>  <u>Aceria</u> app.  <u>A. convolvuli</u>  <u>Eriophyes boycei</u>	Skeleton weed  Russian knapweed  Bind weed  Rag weed
Erythraeidae	Large, reddish mite, larvae usually parasitize other arthropods, nymphs and adults are predators	<u>Lasioerythraeus johnstoni</u>  <u>Balaustium putmani</u>  <u>B. murorum</u>	Tarnished plant bug  Many arthropods Egg predator of apple tortricid
Eupaloseiidae	Very long palpi and chelicerae, yellow to orange coloured mites, usually occur on plants, often associated with armoured scale insects, a few species occur in the soil.	<u>Saniosulus nudus</u>	scale crawlers

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Galumnidae	Genital setae carry six setae on each plate.	<u>Orthogalumna tetrabantis</u>	Water hyacinth
Hemisarcoptidae	Whitish, soft-bodied mites.	<u>Pergalumna</u> spp.	Nematodes
		<u>Hemisacroptes coccophagus</u>	Armoured scale insects
		<u>H. malus</u>	Oyster shell scale
Hydryphantidae	Red, water mites with soft, papillate or lined integument	Hydrphantids (parasites)	Mosquitoes, black flies, biting midges, horse flies.

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Laelapidae	Blood-sucking parasites of birds and mammals and nest-inhabiting or free living predators of small invertebrates.	Androlaelaps Stratiolaelaps <u>Hypoaspis aculeifer</u>	Western corn rootworms plant nematodes
		<u>Hypoaspis</u> spp	<u>Oryctes</u> <u>rhinoceros</u>
		<u>Haemogamasus pontiger</u>	Acarid mites, wounded or weakly sclerotized insect larvae
Limnesiidae	weak integument	<u>Tyrellia circularis</u>	Biting midges
		<u>Limnesia jamurensis</u>	Anopheles and culex mosquitoes

<b>Family</b>	<b>Common Features</b>	<b>Predatory mite species</b>	<b>Hosts</b>
Macrochelidae	Fast-moving, free- living predators common in habitats rich in decaying organic material.	<u>Macrocheles muscaedomesticae</u>  <u>M. glaber</u>  <u>M. peregrinus</u>	House fly, lesser housefly  Australian bushfly  Bushfly, buffalofly
Parasitidae	Common predators in the soil, often dispersed by beetles and flies.	<u>Pergamasus quisquiliarum</u>  <u>Poecilochirus monospinosus</u>  <u>Pergamasus</u> spp.	garden symphylan  House fly Stored product pest mites



Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Phytoseiidae	Entire dorsal shield with 20 pairs of setae, fast-running mites live on plants and in the soil, feed on small arthropods (including spider mites), on other available diets such as homopteran honey dew, pollen and rarely plant juices.	<u>Phytoseiulus persimilis</u>	Spider mites
		<u>Typhlodromus occidentalis</u>	Spider mites
		<u>T. pyri</u>	Spider mites
		<u>Amblyseius</u> spp.	Cyclamen mite
		<u>A. victoriensis</u>	Citrus eriophyids
Pionidae	Weak, smooth integument (parasitic)	<u>A. cucumeris</u>	<u>Thrips tabaci</u>
		Pionia larvae	Chironomidae
		<u>Piona nodata</u>	Mosquito larvae
		<u>Piona</u> spp	Small aquatic arthropods

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Padapolipidae	All members are parasites of insects	<u>Lacustacarus</u> spp.	Short horned grasshopper
		<u>Padapolipiodes grassi</u>	Migratory locust
		<u>Chrysomeiobia labidomerae</u>	Chrysomelid beetle
		<u>Coccipolipus epilachnae</u>	Mexican bean beetle
Plarygosomatidae	Red, small-to medium sized parasites of lizards, scorpions and various insects.	<u>Pimeliaphilus plumifer</u>	Blood sucking bugs
Pseudocheylidae (van Dis and Ueckermann, 1991)	Predatory mites occurring under tree barks, in litter and moss.	<u>Pimeliaphilus</u> spp.	Cockroaches
		Pseudocheylus spp.	(Information not available)
		Neocheylus spp.	
		Anoplocheylus paraclavatus	
		A. tellustrus	
		A. reticulatus	

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Pyemotidae	Milky-white spindle shaped or rounded, segmented bodies (parasites)	<u>Pyemotes herfsi</u>	Caterpillars of the pink ball worm
Stigmaeidae	Red to yellow colour, ovoid or elongate shaped, live in the soil and on plants, usually predators of mites, a few prey on scale insects or parasitises flies	<u>P.tritici</u> <u>Agistemus</u> spp. <u>Zetzellia</u> spp.	Stored food pest- <u>Tribolium</u> Eriophyid, tetranychid, tenuipalpid mites.
Tarsonemidae	Small mites with broad to elongated oval bodies, hard and shiny integument, Feed on green plants, fungi, arthropods as parasites, predators or as undetermined relationship.	Several stagmeids <u>Iponemus</u> spp. (parasite) <u>Acaronemus destructor</u>	Tenuipalpid Bark beetle Phytophagous mite of Tenuipalpidae and Tetranychidae

Family	Common Features	Predatory mite species	Hosts
Tetranychidae	Needle-like chelicerae	<u>Tetranychus desertotum</u>	Prickly pear
		<u>Tetranychus spp.</u>	Water hyacinth
Trombidiidae	Large in size, usually red in colour, dense coat of setae.	<u>T. lintearius</u>	gorse
		<u>Eutrombidium locustarum</u> (parasites and predators)	Many acaridid, tettigonid grasshoppers.
Tydeidae	Small, softbodied, with needle-like chelicerae, fast moving, commonly found in soil and plants, Tydeids play three separate beneficial roles (1) prey certain pests, (11) serve as alternate food for other predators, (111) 'clean up' honey dew and reduce damage attributed by sooty-mould.	<u>Allothrombium monspessulanum</u>	Aphids
		<u>Homeopronematus anconai</u>	Tomato russet mite, pollen, fungi, plant tissue.
		Other tydeids	Eriophyids, nematodes, other invertebrates

<b>Family</b>	<b>Common Features</b>	<b>Predatory mite species</b>	<b>Hosts</b>
Uriopodidae	Live in forest and other rich organic soils and in manure, many species disperse during their deutonymphal stage, when attach to insects by means of an anal pedicel.	<u>Fuscuropoda vegetans</u>	Nematodes, house fly, eggs of little house fly.