

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Strain and Structure of a Temperate,
Maritime Glacier: *Te Moeka o
Tuawe / Fox Glacier, South
Westland, New Zealand***

John Richard Appleby

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the degree of Master of Science in
Physical Geography, at Massey University, Palmerston North, New
Zealand.

November 2007

“Raki, the Sky Father, wedded Papa-tui-nuku, the Earth Mother. After the marriage, the four sons of Raki who were named Ao-raki, Raki-ora, Raki-rua, and Raraki-roa came down to greet their father's new wife in the canoe of the eldest brother Ao-raki, known as Te Waka o Aoraki. They cruised around Papa-tui-nuku, then, keen to explore, the voyagers set out to sea, but no matter how far they travelled, they could not find land. They decided to return to their celestial home, but the karakia which should have lifted the waka back to the heavens failed and the canoe fell back into the sea and turned over onto its side, and settled with the west side much higher out of the water than the east, thus the whole waka formed Te Waka o Aoraki, the South Island. Ao-raki and his brothers clambered on to the high side and were turned to stone, where they remain today, Aoraki being the highest peak, surrounded by his younger brothers. The permanent snows of these peaks were known as whenuahuka and the great snow fields hukapapa. The glaciers that flowed out of them were called huhapo. Nearby in the darkened valleys was kopakanui or ice and in places cut off from the sun was thick ice of waiuka meaning solid water”

-Maori Mythology

Abstract

The study of glaciers has an immense significance for understanding and predicting global environmental change. The Earth is a dynamic system, consisting of individual units such as the cryosphere, an understanding of which may provide the basis for predicting future environmental change on a global scale. The dynamics of a glacier, a major indicator of the climatic and environmental situation is often presented as supraglacial structures, which reflect glacier formation, deformation and flow. Although structural attributes such as folds, faults, crevasse traces and foliation are commonly described in glaciers, the origin and significance of many of these structures remains unclear.

This research project mapped the surface structures of Fox Glacier, using remote sensing in the form of aerial photographs and field observations, to produce a structural glaciological interpretation of the glacier surface, structural field maps of individual structures, and a schematic structural evolution of Fox Glacier. In addition, cumulative strain, and strain rates were calculated for three different areas of the lower Fox Glacier. The relationship between the observed structures and the measured strain rates has also been considered.

Fox Glacier is located in the South Westland region of the South Island of New Zealand. From the Main Divide of the Southern Alps up to 3000m altitude, Fox Glacier flows for 13 km, terminating at an altitude of 270 metres in temperate rainforest, 17 km from the present coastline. The steep gradient allows for relatively rapid ice flow. Despite being a very dynamic glacier, very little research has been carried out on Fox Glacier in recent years with most research in the area being concentrated on its neighbour the Franz Josef, and even more so on the glaciers of the Eastern side of the Main Divide (e.g. the Tasman and Mueller glaciers).

There is a high level of spatial variability in structural types observed, and the cumulative strain and strain rates measured on the surface of the Fox Glacier, with the variations being linked to valley topography including long-profile gradient and valley width. Strain rates of

208.78 y^{-1} and -162.06 y^{-1} were recorded on Fox Glacier. A relationship can be determined between observed glaciological structural features and measured strain rates, suggesting strain rate has an influence on the type, magnitude, location and frequency of these features, however, the study is only a 'snap-shot' of the strain conditions experienced in the most dynamically active time, during the summer ablation season.

Developing predictive models of the structural evolution of glaciers may help further understanding of how glaciers respond to a change in climatic input, especially climatic warming. This is particularly important for larger ice sheet outlet glaciers whose structure and flow appear to reflect and control dynamics of the ice sheet behind

Acknowledgements

The completion of this MSc thesis would not have been possible without the help, advice and encouragement from a number of people whom I wish to thank and acknowledge:

- Dr Martin Brook, whose knowledge of, and enthusiasm for glaciers and glacial processes has been a catalyst for my own enjoyment and involvement in glacial research.
- Dr Ian Fuller and Mr David Feek of the Geography Programme at Massey University, for technical and field assistance and advice. Also for the loan of the Trimble RTK GPS unit from the Geography Department.
- Associate Professor Bruce Van Brunt of the Institute of Fundamental Sciences at Massey University for guidance and advice on all things mathematical, and also a great enthusiasm for the mountains of New Zealand's Southern Alps.
- Marius and all of the guides and staff of Alpine Guides Westland, for advice, assistance and entertainment whilst undertaking the seven weeks of fieldwork at Fox Glacier.
- Lee and all of the pilots and staff of Glacier helicopters, Fox Glacier for invaluable help with logistics and transport.
- Department of Conservation / *Te Papa Atawhai* for allowing research in the Westland *Tai Poutini* National Park.
- Funding from the Massey University Geography Programme Graduate Research Fund (GRF).
- All of the staff and postgraduate students of the Massey University Geography Programme, for providing a supportive, professional and fun working environment.
- My Parents, for having to keep topping up my bank account!

Table of Contents

Abstract	I
Acknowledgements	III
List of Figures.....	VIII
List of Tables	XIV

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Description of Study Area	2
1.3 Importance of Glacial Research	3
1.4 Thesis Structure.....	5

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Historical Background	6
2.2 Stress, Strain and Structure of Glaciers	8
2.3 Stress, Strain and Deformation.....	9
2.3.1 Mass Balance, Stress and Strain	11
2.3.2 Flow of Ice	12
2.3.3 Flow Laws of Ice.....	15
2.3.4 Field Measurement of Stress and Strain.....	16
2.3.5 Mathematical Modelling.....	16
2.4 The Ice and Rock Deformation Analogy.....	18
2.5 Glacial Structure	18
2.5.1 Crevasses	19
2.5.2 Crevasse Traces.....	21
2.5.3 Ogives	22
2.5.4 Foliation	24

2.5.5 Folding.....	25
2.6 Structural Mapping	26
2.6.1 Aerial Photography.....	27
2.6.2 Satellite Imagery.....	28
2.6.3 Field Observations	29
2.7 Aims and Objectives of Research.....	31
2.8 Hypothesis.....	32

Chapter 3: Methodology of Research

3.1 Structural Mapping	34
3.1.1 Introduction.....	34
3.1.2 Aerial Photography.....	34
3.1.2.1 Use of Aerial Photographs	34
3.1.3 Field Observation.....	37
3.2 Strain and Deformation.....	39
3.2.1 Determining Deformation of Polygons.....	42
3.2.2 Determining Strain Rate of Surface Ice	45
3.2.3 Determining Stress of Surface Ice	46
3.2.4 Graphical Representation of Stress, Strain & Deformation.....	47
3.3 Surficial Debris Clast Analysis	49

Chapter 4: Results

4.1 Glacier Structure.....	51
4.1.1 Aerial Photography.....	52
4.1.2 Field Observation.....	54
4.1.2.2 Primary Stratification S_0	57
4.1.2.3 Crevasses.....	60
4.1.2.4 Transverse Arcuate Structures (Crevasse Traces) S_2	63
4.1.2.5 Foliation S_3	65
4.1.2.6 Folding	68
4.1.2.7 Moulins	69
4.1.2.8 Englacial Conduits	70
4.1.2.9 Surficial Debris	71
4.1.2.10 Arcuate Bands (Ogives).....	74
4.2 Strain & Deformation	77

4.2.1 Cumulative Strain	82
4.2.2 Strain Rate	84
4.2.3 Principal Strains.....	88
4.2.4 Comparisons with Previous Research.....	92

Chapter 5: Discussion

5.1 Structural Mapping	93
5.1.1 Aerial Photography.....	93
5.1.2 Field Observation.....	94
5.1.2.1 Systematic Layering.....	95
5.1.2.2 Crevasses.....	96
5.1.2.3 Crevasse Traces.....	97
5.1.2.4 Foliation	98
5.1.2.5 Folding	98
5.1.2.6 Moulins	99
5.1.2.7 Exposed Englacial Conduits	100
5.1.2.8 Surficial Debris	101
5.1.2.9 Arcuate Bands (Ogives).....	103
5.1.3 Conceptual Model of the Structural Evolution of Fox Glacier.....	105
5.1.4 Accurate Identification of Structural Features.....	107
5.2 Strain & Deformation.....	107
5.2.1 Strain in the Fox Glacier	107
5.2.2 Comparison with Previous Research	109
5.3 Relating Strain and Structure.....	110
5.4 Future Research	111
5.4.1 Ground Penetrating Radar of Structure	111
5.4.2 Microstructure of Ice	114
5.4.3 Glacier Hydrology	114
5.4.4 Long-Term Mass Balance Measurements	115
5.4.5 Origin and Significance of Debris-Charged Ridges.....	115

Chapter 6: Conclusions

6.1 Objectives Revisited.....	116
6.2 Structural Mapping	116
6.3 Strain & Deformation.....	117

6.4 Summary118

Appendices

Appendix 1: Fourier Classification of Folding.....120

Appendix 2: Software Used During Study.....121

Appendix 3: Structural Mapping Data122

Appendix 4: Surficial Debris Data.....125

Appendix 5: Strain Data.....129

References

References.....132

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Location and topographical map NZMS 260 H35/H36 of Fox Glacier / <i>Te Moeka o Tuawe</i> at a scale of 1:50 000 (Land Information New Zealand, 2003).....	3
Figure 1.2: Fox Glacier flowing from the Main Divide of the Southern Alps into temperate rainforest, with New Zealand's two highest mountains <i>Aoraki</i> /Mount Cook (3754m) and Mount Tasman (3497m), towering above. (Photo: J. Appleby).....	4
Figure 2.1: Fox Glacier/ <i>Te Moeka o Tuawe</i> which flows 13km from below Douglas Peak (3077m) on the Main Divide of the Southern Alps to the Fox River at approximately 200m above sea level. (Photo: J. Appleby).....	7
Figure 2.2: Proportionality of stress and strain according to Hooke's law. Up to the proportionality limit stress is directly proportional to strain. Beyond this point, ductile deformation of ice occurs up to the elastic limit, at which point ice cannot resist strain and experiences fracture (Cutnell and Johnson, 2004).	9
Figure 2.3: Normal force due to mass of ice z , and shear-stress force x , of a body of ice. Horizontal velocity is defined u , whilst perceived shear stress is demonstrated by the arrowed-box (Hooke, 2005).....	11
Figure 2.4: Longitudinal cross section of a valley glacier showing schematic representations of mass balance and gradient (Hooke, 2005).	12
Figure 2.5: Horizontal velocity and flow profiles of glacial ice according to basal thermal regime and the presence or absence of a deformable bed (Boulton, 1996).	14
Figure 2.6: Schematic illustration of the deformation of a spherical object as it moves down glacier from the accumulation to ablation zone (Hooke, 2005).....	17
Figure 2.7: Crevasse patterns in a valley glacier. The diagrams at the top show shear stresses and longitudinal and transverse normal stresses at the glacier surface near the upper margin (left panels) and the resultant principal stresses (right panels). (a) Chevron Crevasses resulting from shear stress exerted by the walls. (b) Transverse Crevasses resulting from a combination of shear stress and longitudinal tensile stress (extending flow). (c) Splaying Crevasses due to a combination of shear stress and longitudinal compressive stress (compressive flow) (Benn & Evans, 1998).	20
Figure 2.8: Crevasses seen in the accumulation area of Fox Glacier. (Photo: M. Hambrey)	21
Figure 2.9: Crevasse traces in the lower ablation area of Fox Glacier. Field of view approximately five metres with ice flowing from right to left. (Photo: J Appleby)	22
Figure 2.10: Ogives on Svinafellsjökull, southern Iceland. (Photo J. Alean).....	23
Figure 2.11: Foliation observed in a crevasse wall in the ablation area of Fox Glacier. Field of view is approximately 0.5m. (Photo: J Appleby)	24
Figure 2.12: Fold at the base of the frontal cliff of Trapridge Glacier, Ice field Ranges, Yukon, Canada. (Photo: M. Hambrey)	25

Figure 2.13: Parametres of remote-sensing techniques commonly used in glaciological research (Gao and Liu, 2001; Hubbard and Glasser, 2005).....	26
Figure 2.14: Section of aerial photograph SN8478 G13 taken by New Zealand Aerial Mapping Limited during a Cook River-Franz Josef pass on 27 th March 1985.....	28
Figure 2.15: Landsat 7 image of <i>Aoraki</i> / Mount Cook area, showing the extent of snow and ice cover during the New Zealand summer, along with an enlargement showing available detail of Fox Glacier at the spatial resolution produced by a pan sharpened ETM+ sensor, (NASA, 2001).	30
Figure 3.1: Aerial photographs SN 8478 G/12 (a), and SN 8478 G/13 (b), taken by New Zealand Aerial Mapping Limited on Wednesday 27 th March 1985.....	35
Figure 3.2: Strike and dip technique of measuring orientation of features such as foliation, primary stratification and crevasse traces. Strike measures the orientation of the across-plane direction in degrees from North, with the dip representing the down-plane direction measured in degrees from vertical.	38
Figure 3.3: Schmidt equal-area stereonet showing three dimensional orientation of strike and dip of structural features. Each point represents a single feature. The centre of the circle represents a dip of 90° whilst the circle represents 0°. The orientation of the strike is represented as an angle of x° from North (Hubbard and Glasser, 2005).....	39
Figure 3.4: Location of stake transects on lower Fox Glacier used to measure deformation and strain rates of surface ice.	40
Figure 3.5: Ablation Stake used in the stake network transects. (Photo: J. Appleby)	41
Figure 3.6: Drilling ablation stake holes using a Kovac ice auger. (Photo: M. Brook).....	41
Figure 3.7: Trimble R8 Differential Real-Time-Kinematic GPS rover unit being used on the lower Fox Glacier. (Photo: J. Appleby)	42
Figure 3.8: Idealised pattern of transect polygons to measure deformation of ice surface with each point of the diamonds being an ablation stake (a), and averaged components of deformation vectors (b) with c representing the down-valley y -axis.....	43
Figure 3.9: Angle θ of averaged change in distance ϵ relative to the centre of a diamond. The average of a_1 & a_2 produces a change in the 135° direction, b_1 & b_2 in the 45° direction and c in the 90° direction, whilst any change in d is shown in the 0° direction.	45
Figure 3.10: Example of a stereonet rose diagram showing two-dimensional magnitude and orientation of stresses experienced on a plane (Hubbard and Glasser, 2005).....	48
Figure 3.11: Example of a Mohr's Circle of stress; points P and Q correspond to the stress states (σ_x, τ_{xy}) and $(\sigma_y, -\tau_{xy})$ respectively, and are diametrically opposite. The state of stress on a plane inclined at an angle θ to 0_y is given by point R, with maximum stress denoted by the radius of the circle (Case <i>et al.</i> , 1999).....	49
Figure 3.12: The continuum of particle shape, showing variation between blocks, elongates and slabs. The diagram is scaled using ratios between the long (a), intermediate (b), and short (c) axes. DRI is the 'disk-rod index', equal to $(a-b)/(a-c)$ (Benn and Ballantyne, 1994).	50

Figure 4.1: Location map of Fox Glacier showing the region used for structural mapping. Approximate transect lines along which structural measurements were taken are denoted by the dashed lines.	51
Figure 4.2: Composite of stereo-aerial photographs SN 8478 G/12 & G/13. Scale of photograph 1:25000 (New Zealand Aerial Mapping Limited, 1985).	52
Figure 4.3: Structural glaciological interpretation of the glacier surface features visible on aerial photographs.	53
Figure 4.4: Topographically constrained ice of the Fox Glacier, demonstrating many of the typical features of a valley glacier. (Photo: J. Appleby)	55
Figure 4.5: Subdued topography of Victoria Flat, looking down-valley from above the first icefall. (Photo: J. Appleby)	56
Figure 4.6: Characteristic ‘ridge & trough’ pattern of the lower study area between the first icefall and snout of Fox Glacier. (Photo: J. Appleby).....	56
Figure 4.7: Heavily crevassed ice of the lower icefall. Flow is from left to right. (Photo: J. Appleby).....	57
Figure 4.8: Primary stratification seen throughout the study area: (A) Shows almost vertically dipping stratification in the lower icefall: The angle of dip can be seen to change in the lower glacier (B), and the snout seen from above in (C), and from the front in (D). A longitudinal structure is denoted by the dashed lines in (C). (Photos: J. Appleby).....	58
Figure 4.9: Field measurements of primary stratification and longitudinal structures presented as a structural field map. Strike and dip of 31 primary stratification measurements is represented by a 3-D equal area stereographic projection, with 90° at the centre of the circle, and 0° at the outside.	59
Figure 4.10: Crevasses identified from field observations in both the upper and lower parts of the study area: (A) Chevron crevasses on the true left of the glacier above the lower icefall at the lower end of Victoria Flat; (B) Splaying crevasses at the snout of Fox Glacier, looking down to the Fox River below; (C) Opening Crevasse on Victoria Flat (flow right to left); and (D) transverse crevasses above the lower icefall, looking down-valley. (Photos: J. Appleby).....	61
Figure 4.11: Field measurements of crevasses presented as a structural field map. Orientation and frequency of 35 crevasse measurements are represented by a rose diagram.....	62
Figure 4.12: Transverse arcuate structures (crevasse traces) in the lower part of the study area: (A) Typical ‘stepped pattern’ of crevasse traces; (B) Steeply dipping crevasse traces cross-cutting primary stratification (rucksack approximately 80cm in length); (C) Crevasse traces cross-cutting other crevasse traces; (D) Transverse arcuate structures running the width of Fox Glacier. (Photos: J. Appleby).....	63
Figure 4.13: Field measurements of transverse arcuate structures (crevasse traces) presented as a structural field map. Strike and dip of 31 crevasse trace measurements are	

represented by a 3-D equal area stereographic projection, with 90° at the centre of the circle, and 0° at the outside.	64
Figure 4.14: Foliation observed as course-grained, white bubbly ice, layered with much harder, smaller-grained blue ice. These medium to steeply dipping foliation layers were recorded in deep crevasse walls in the lower part of the study area. (Photo: J. Appleby).....	66
Figure 4.15: Field measurements of foliation presented as a structural field map. Strike and dip of 11 foliation layer measurements are represented by a 3-D equal area stereographic projection, with 90° at the centre of the circle, and 0° at the outside.	67
Figure 4.16: Folding of dirt layers observed in the wall of a chevron crevasse above the lower icefall, at the lower end of Victoria Flat. The Wavelength of the fold is approximately 3 metres, with its shape being classified as Fourier 3 E (Appendix 4. The fold could be followed for approximately 10 metres along the wall of the crevasse. (Photo: J. Appleby)	68
Figure 4.17: Frequency of moulin diameter in (A) the lower part of the study area between the lower icefall and the snout, and (B) the upper part of the study area on Victoria Flat above the lower icefall.	69
Figure 4.18: (A) Elliptical moulin deformed from a round shape by ice movement and deformation; (B) Large moulin and supraglacial channel observed in the upper part of the study area on Victoria Flat; (C) and D) exposed englacial conduits seen in the upper part of the study area, immediately below the upper icefall. (Photos: J. Appleby)	70
Figure 4.19: Surficial debris observed in the lower part of the study area, between the lower icefall and the snout of Fox Glacier. (A) Cones of material forming a lateral moraine on the true left of the lower glacier; (B) Very fine (<1mm) water-saturated rock flour found towards the centre of the lower part of the study area; (C) Small sized material found in a small deposit at the centre of the lower part of the study area; and (D) Large-scale material found on the true left and true right of the lower study area, with axes often greater than 1m in length. This clast has formed a glacier table, protecting the ice below from ablation and weathering. (Photos: J. Appleby)	72
Figure 4.20: Clast shape and morphology of surficial debris measured on (A) the true left; (B) the true right; and (C) centre of the glacier. Frequency histograms show the frequency of clast shape (well rounded, rounded, sub-rounded, sub-angular, angular, and very angular), with the proportion of angular and very angular clasts represented by RA (%) values. Clast morphology is represented by ternary diagrams and C ₄₀ values.	73
Figure 4.21: Ogives seen on the true right of chevron crevasses above the lower icefall denoted by dashed lines. Flow is from right to left, with the field of view approximately 1km. (Photo: J. Appleby)	75
Figure 4.22: Light and dark ogives at the snout of Fox Glacier denoted by dashed lines Ogives on the true right are heavily disturbed by crevassing and longitudinal structures. (Photo: J. Appleby)	75

Figure 4.23: Field measurements of arcuate bands (ogives) presented as a structural field map.....	76
Figure 4.24: Location of transects A, B, and C above and below the lower icefall on Fox Glacier.....	78
Figure 4.25: Graphical representation of deformation for Transect A above the lower icefall. Primary measurements taken at the start of the study period are represented by black points and lines, whilst secondary measurements taken at the end of the study period are represented by red triangles and lines.	79
Figure 4.26: Graphical representation of deformation for Transect B below the lower icefall. Primary measurements taken at the start of the study period are represented by black points and lines, whilst secondary measurements taken at the end of the study period are represented by red triangles and lines.	80
Figure 4.27: Graphical representation of deformation for Transect C at the snout of the glacier. Primary measurements taken at the start of the study period are represented by black points and lines, whilst secondary measurements taken at the end of the study period are represented by red triangles and lines.	81
Figure 4.28: Graphical representation of strain rate magnitude in the x and y directions for diamonds 1-4 in Transect A. Arrows towards the centre represent a compressive strain whilst arrows away from the centre represent extension.	85
Table 4.5: Strain rates per day (d^{-1}) and per year (y^{-1}) in the x , xy and y axes for diamonds 1-4 in Transect B.	85
Figure 4.29: Graphical representation of strain rate magnitude in the x and y directions for diamonds 1-4 in Transect B. Arrows towards the centre represent a compressive strain whilst arrows away from the centre represent expansion.	86
Figure 4.30: Graphical representation of strain rate magnitude in the x and y directions for diamonds A-D in Transect C. Arrows towards the centre represent a compressive strain whilst arrows away from the centre represent an expansive strain.	87
Figure 4.31: Mohr diagrams representing major (A) and minor (B) principal strains measured at the centre of each diamond in transect A. x and y axes are conventionally represented as σ and t respectively, with each diagram graphed to the same scale. The centre of the circle is represented by o as it intercepts the σ (x) axis.	89
Figure 4.32: Mohr diagrams representing major (A) and minor (B) principal strains measured at the centre of each diamond in transect B. x and y axes are conventionally represented as σ and t respectively, with each diagram graphed to the same scale. The centre of the circle is represented by o as it intercepts the σ (x) axis.	90
Figure 4.33: Mohr diagrams representing major (A) and minor (B) principal strains measured at the centre of each diamond in transect C. x and y axes are conventionally represented as σ and t respectively, with each diagram graphed to the same scale. The centre of the circle is represented by o as it intercepts the σ (x) axis.	91
Figure 5.1: Covariant plot of RA index (percentage of angular and very angular clasts) against the C_{40} index (percentage of clasts with c/a axial ratio ≤ 0.4) reproduced from	

Glasser *et al.*, 2006. The superimposed points A, B and C represent the surficial debris samples from the true left, true right and centre of Fox Glacier, respectively..... 103

Figure 5.2: Schematic interpretation of an outburst event below an icefall causing the surficial deposition of englacially and subglacially derived material; (A) Normal configuration with steep bedrock beneath the base of an icefall, with the ice detached from the wall, and a subglacial stream beneath the ice; (B) Increased subglacial flow in response to rainfall and collapse of thinner ice, blocking flow of the subglacial stream; (C) Pressure of water build-up forces routing of the subglacial stream over the ice surface, depositing englacial material on the surface; (D) Subglacial stream erodes down to subglacial position through blockage (Goodsell *et al.*, 2005^b). 104

Figure 5.3: Modelled schematic diagram of the structural evolution of Fox Glacier; (A) Systematic layering in névé produces S₀ Primary Stratification, with a narrowing valley causing convergence of flow lines; (B) Longitudinal expansion and crevassing of ice flowing over upper icefall; (C) Longitudinal compression creating ridge & trough ogives as ice slows on Victoria Flat; (D) Transverse compression as ice enters narrowest part of valley creating characteristic cross-glacier ridge & trough; (E) Rapid unloading, ablation and expansion causes splaying crevasses to develop at the snout. 106

Figure 5.4: Deformation of three initially circular strain ellipses moving through the glacier system from the highest point of the study area at the top of Victoria Flat, down to the snout. 113

List of Tables

Table 3.1: The observation of structures from aerial photographs and field observations (Goodsell <i>et al</i> , 2005 ^a).	36
Table 4.1: Summary of features found in upper and lower parts of the study area.	54
Table 4.1: Cumulative strain $\dot{\epsilon}$ measured in the 0, 45, 90 and 135° directions for diamonds 1-4 in Transect A.....	82
Table 4.2: Cumulative strain $\dot{\epsilon}$ measured in the 0, 45, 90 and 135° directions for diamonds 1-4 in Transect B.....	83
Table 4.3: Cumulative strain $\dot{\epsilon}$ measured in the 0, 45, 90 and 135° directions for diamonds 1-4 in transect C.	83
Table 4.4: Strain rates per day (d^{-1}) and per year (y^{-1}) in the x , xy and y axes for diamonds 1-4 in Transect A.....	84
Table 4.5: Strain rates per day (d^{-1}) and per year (y^{-1}) in the x , xy and y axes for diamonds 1-4 in Transect B.	85
Table 4.6: Strain rates per day (d^{-1}) and per year (y^{-1}) in the x , xy and y axes for diamonds 1-4 in Transect C.	87
Table 4.7: Major and minor principle strain rates for diamonds 1-4 in Transect A. Major strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_i$, whilst minor strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_{iii}$	88
Table 4.8: Major and minor principle strain rates for diamonds 1-4 in Transect B. Major strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_i$, whilst minor strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_{iii}$	89
Table 4.9: Major and minor principle strain rates for diamonds 1-4 in Transect C. Major strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_i$, whilst minor strain rates are denoted $\dot{\epsilon}_{iii}$	91
Table 5.1: Previous research measuring strain rate of various types of glaciers in a variety of locations.	112