

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE EUROPEAN PHENOMENON
EUROPEAN AIRLINE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING

by

Benjamin R. Day

A research report submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Philosophy

(Management)

from

MASSEY UNIVERSITY – PALMERSTON NORTH
NEW ZEALAND

MASSEY UNIVERSITY



1061437413

1999

To Kenmir

We must convince each generation that they are transient passengers on this planet earth. It does not belong to them. They are not free to doom generations yet unborn. They are not at liberty to erase humanity's past nor dim its future.

-Bernard Lown and Evjueni Chazov

Acknowledgements

This research report represents the efforts of many people. It the culmination of determination, perseverance and a passion for aviation and sustainability. It was with ease that I chose this topic for my Masters research. A boyhood interest in aviation combined with a newly found desire to change humankind's unsustainable existence meant that the obvious result was a project involving airlines and the environment.

Delyse Springett, my supervisor, mentor and friend has been the catalyst for a major revolution that has changed my view of the world and my calling in life. I am a better, wiser and "greener" person for it. Thank you for sharing your knowledge and wisdom and spending so much time with me over the years. Thank you for all of your effort and commitment, particularly for your English Grammar lessons!

Thank you to all of the Environmental Managers and Communication staff members from the airlines involved in this research. Without your cooperation and input into this research, the project could never have been attempted. The airlines involved in this study are leading the industry, and in some cases, leading the world in the journey towards a viable and sustainable future. I hope this research assists other airlines embark on their own environmental journey, and in doing so, lead the industry towards some improved level of environmental and social responsibility.

Thank you to my parents, whose spiritual (and financial!) assistance has made my own journey of self-discovery possible. I have always had their unconditional support, love and trust. There is no way I would have achieved this degree without them. I am one of the lucky ones.

The work that this report represents is a result of many influences and the tireless effort of many people. However, any mistakes or omissions are my own responsibility.

Benjamin R. Day, NZ; December, 1999

1. Table of Contents

1.	TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	1
2.	TABLES OF FIGURES	3
3.	THE LITERATURE REVIEW	5
3.1	WHAT IS CORPORATE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING?.....	5
3.2	CER MOTIVATORS	6
3.3	THE ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST CER	8
3.4	CER CONTENT AND REPORTING GUIDELINES	9
3.5	THE GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI)	10
3.6	CER PERFORMANCE AND CURRENT BUSINESS TRENDS	13
3.7	AVIATION AND CER	18
3.8	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	19
4.	PROBLEM STATEMENT	21
5.	RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES	22
5.1	THE SURVEY: QUESTION ONE	22
5.2	THE SURVEY: OBJECTIVE ONE	22
5.3	THE CRITIQUE: QUESTION ONE	23
5.4	THE CRITIQUE: OBJECTIVE ONE	23
6.	IMPORTANCE AND BENEFITS OF THE STUDY	24
7.	THE SURVEY METHODOLOGY.....	25
7.1	SURVEY CONSTRUCTS	25
8.	CRITIQUE METHODOLOGY	27
8.1	AN OVERVIEW OF THE UNEP/SUSTAINABILITY 50 REPORTING INGREDIENTS.....	27
9.	INTRODUCTION TO THE SURVEY AND THE AIRLINE CER CRITIQUE.....	29
10.	THE SURVEY	30
10.1	INTRODUCTION.....	30
10.2	BACKGROUND.....	31
10.3	SURVEY RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION.....	31
10.4	SURVEY DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	58
11.	THE CRITIQUE OF AIRLINE CERS.....	64
11.1	INTRODUCTION.....	64
11.2	THE UNEP/SUSTAINABILITY CRITERIA AS A CER ASSESSMENT TOOL.....	65
11.3	TOTAL SCORES AND INDUSTRY COMPARISONS	66
11.4	COMPANY AND INDUSTRY COMPARISONS.....	70
11.5	SECTION ANALYSIS.....	72
11.6	INDIVIDUAL QUESTION ANALYSIS	76
11.7	AIRLINE CER CRITIQUE CONCLUSIONS	135
12.	FINAL CONCLUSIONS.....	139
13.	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	148
13.1	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AIRLINES	148

13.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	151
14.	REFERENCES.....	152
15.	GLOSSARY OF TERMS.....	154
16.	APPENDICES.....	155
16.1	APPENDIX A: CORRESPONDENCE.....	155
16.2	APPENDIX B: THE SURVEY COVERING LETTER.....	156
16.3	APPENDIX C: AIRLINE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING SURVEY.....	157
16.4	APPENDIX D: AGGREGATE SURVEY RESULTS.....	166
16.5	APPENDIX E: THE UNEP/SUSTAINABILITY 50 REPORTING INGREDIENTS.....	172
16.6	APPENDIX F: THE CRITIQUE RESULTS.....	174

2. Tables of Figures

BOX 1: THE AIRLINE CERS TO BE USED IN THE CASE STUDY.....	27
BOX 2: THE CER CRITIQUE FORMAT.....	65
BOX 3: AVERAGE SCORES OF 50% AND ABOVE. (% SCORE).....	78
BOX 4: AVERAGE SCORES OF BELOW 50% (% SCORE).....	79
GRAPH 1: WHAT COUNTRIES ARE REPORTING? (KPMG, 1997).....	14
GRAPH 2: REGIONAL AND COUNTRY ANALYSIS OF SCORES (SUSTAINABILITY, 1997).....	14
GRAPH 3: WHAT ARE CERS REPORTING ON? (KPMG, 1997).....	15
GRAPH 4: 1996 AND 1997 CER TOP 10 PROGRESS: (SUSTAINABILITY, 1996 AND 1997).....	15
GRAPH 5: COMPARISONS OF THE GLOBAL AND AUSTRALIAN TOP 10 REPORTING CLUSTERS (SUSTAINABILITY, 1997) (SMEC, 1999).....	16
GRAPH 6: COMPARISON OF THE TOP 10 AUSTRALIAN AND GLOBAL REPORTS (SUSTAINABILITY, 1997) (SMEC, 1999).....	17
GRAPH 7: THE DECISION-MAKING JOURNEY. THE LEADERS OF THE PAST VS. THE DECISION-MAKERS OF TODAY.....	46
GRAPH 8: COMPARISON OF CER BARRIERS FROM THE FIRST CER PUBLICATION AND FROM THE MOST RECENT PUBLICATION.....	54
GRAPH 9: TOTAL CER SCORES.....	66
GRAPH 10: PERCENTAGE SCORES FOR THE CER CRITIQUE.....	67
GRAPH 11: COMPANY COMPARISONS.....	70
GRAPH 12: INDUSTRY CER COMPARISONS.....	71
GRAPH 13: SECTION PERCENTAGE SCORES.....	74
GRAPH 14: QUESTION SCORES DEPICTED ON A STACKED AREA GRAPH.....	76
GRAPH 15: AVERAGE SCORES FOR QUESTIONS 1 TO 50.....	77
GRAPH 16: COMPARISON OF AIRLINE CER TOP MANAGEMENT STATEMENT SCORES.....	81
GRAPH 17: COMPARISON OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY SCORES.....	83
GRAPH 18: COMPARISONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SCORES.....	84
GRAPH 19: MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY.....	85
GRAPH 20: ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITING RESULTS.....	87
GRAPH 21: ENVIRONMENTAL GOALS AND TARGETS.....	88
GRAPH 22: AIRLINE CER LEGAL COMPLIANCE.....	89
GRAPH 23: RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	90
GRAPH 24: AWARD SCORES.....	91
GRAPH 25: CER VERIFICATION SCORES.....	92
GRAPH 26: REPORTING POLICY SCORES.....	93
GRAPH 27: CORPORATE CONTEXT SCORES.....	94
GRAPH 28: MATERIAL USE SCORES.....	95
GRAPH 29: ENERGY COMPARISON SCORES.....	96
GRAPH 30: WATER CONSUMPTION SCORES.....	97
GRAPH 31: ECO-EFFICIENCY SCORES.....	98
GRAPH 32: HEALTH AND SAFETY SCORES.....	99
GRAPH 33: ACCIDENTS AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE SCORES.....	100
GRAPH 34: RISK MANAGEMENT AND EIA SCORES.....	101
GRAPH 35: LAND CONTAMINATION AND REMEDIATION SCORES.....	102
GRAPH 36: STEWARDSHIP SCORES.....	103
GRAPH 37: WASTE MINIMISATION SCORES.....	104
GRAPH 38: AIR EMISSIONS SCORES.....	105
GRAPH 39: WATER EFFLUENT SCORES.....	107
GRAPH 40: NOISE AND ODOURS.....	108
GRAPH 41: TRANSPORTATION SCORES.....	109
GRAPH 42: LIFE-CYCLE DESIGN SCORES.....	110
GRAPH 43: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	111

GRAPH 44: PRODUCT STEWARDSHIP SCORES.....	112
GRAPH 45: PACKAGING SCORES.....	113
GRAPH 46: ENVIRONMENTAL SPENDING SCORES.....	114
GRAPH 47: ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY SCORES.....	115
GRAPH 48: MARKET SOLUTION SCORES.....	116
GRAPH 49: ENVIRONMENTAL COST ACCOUNTING SCORES.....	117
GRAPH 50: CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTION SCORES.....	118
GRAPH 51: EMPLOYEE SCORES.....	119
GRAPH 52: POLITICIANS, LEGISLATORS AND REGULATOR SCORES.....	120
GRAPH 53: LOCAL COMMUNITY SCORES.....	121
GRAPH 54: INVESTOR SCORES.....	122
GRAPH 55: SUPPLIERS AND CONTRACTOR SCORES.....	123
GRAPH 56: CUSTOMERS AND CONSUMER SCORES.....	124
GRAPH 57: ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP SCORES.....	125
GRAPH 58: SCIENCE AND EDUCATION SCORES.....	126
GRAPH 59: OTHER SCORES.....	127
GRAPH 60: TECHNOLOGY SCORES.....	128
GRAPH 61: GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT SCORES.....	129
GRAPH 62: GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT SCORES.....	130
GRAPH 63: GLOBAL OPERATING STANDARD SCORES.....	131
GRAPH 64: REPORT DESIGN SCORES.....	132
GRAPH 65: VISIONS, SCENARIOS, AND FUTURE TREND SCORES.....	134
ILLUSTRATION 1: AN OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT.....	29
ILLUSTRATION 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE RESEARCH CONCLUSION PROCESS.....	139
LIST 1: AN OVERVIEW OF THE 50 REPORTING INGREDIENTS.....	28
TABLE 1: RESEARCH CONSTRUCTS AND CONCEPTS.....	25
TABLE 2: AIRLINE BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	32
TABLE 3: RESULTS FROM QUESTION 4. "DID THE AIRLINE REFER TO ANY CER FRAMEWORK"?.	34
TABLE 4: THE YEAR OF THE FIRST CER AND THE EXTERNAL CER FRAMEWORKS USED.....	35
TABLE 5: THE RANKED EXTERNAL "DRIVERS" FOR PUBLISHING CERS.....	36
TABLE 6: THE RANKED INTERNAL "DRIVERS" FOR PUBLISHING CERS.....	41
TABLE 7: BENEFITS FROM PUBLISHING CERS.....	43
TABLE 8: RESULTS FOR QUESTION 10 AND QUESTION 11.....	46
TABLE 9: RESULTS FOR QUESTION 12.....	48
TABLE 10: RESULTS FOR QUESTION 13.....	48
TABLE 11: WHAT ARE THE AIRLINES SHARING WITH THEIR ALLIANCE PARTNERS?.....	49
TABLE 12: ARE THE AIRLINES MARKETING THEMSELVES AS ENVIRONMENTALLY RESPONSIBLE?.....	50
TABLE 13: ARE THE AIRLINES USING THEIR CERS AS MARKETING TOOLS?.....	51
TABLE 14: THE BARRIERS EXPERIENCED WHEN DEVELOPING THE <u>FIRST</u> CER.....	52
TABLE 15: HAVE THE BARRIERS CHANGED SINCE THE PUBLICATION OF THE FIRST CER?.....	53
TABLE 16: THE BARRIERS EXPERIENCED WHEN DEVELOPING THE <u>LATEST</u> CER (OUT OF 5 AIRLINES).....	53
TABLE 17: WILL THE AIRLINES CONTINUE PUBLISHING CERS?.....	56
TABLE 18: WILL THE AIRLINES INTEGRATE THE CERS INTO COMPANY ANNUAL REPORTS?.....	56
TABLE 19: AIRLINE RANKINGS AND CATEGORIES.....	68
TABLE 20: SECTION RANKINGS FOR THE "ALL CERS" GROUPING.....	75
TABLE 21: SECTION RANKINGS FOR GROUP 1.....	75
TABLE 22: SECTION RANKINGS FOR GROUP 2.....	75
TABLE 23: TOTAL SCORES.....	174
TABLE 24: SECTION TOTALS.....	174
TABLE 25: SECTION TOTALS FOR GROUP 1.....	175
TABLE 26: SECTION SCORES FOR GROUP 2.....	175
TABLE 27: THE 50 QUESTIONS AND THE RESULTS.....	176