

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN
WITHIN-FLOCK SOURCES OF VARIATION IN
SHEEPLAN RECORDS OF PRODUCTION TRAITS
FOR COOPWORTH SHEEP

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the Degree of
Master of Agricultural Science at
Massey University.

John Martin Rendel

1985

ABSTRACT

Performance records on 219,000 ewes and 231,000 lambs from 48 Coopworth flocks were obtained from Sheeplan files. The flocks were divided into 5 climatologically similar regions: Northland; north of Taupo excluding Northland; remainder of the North Island; the South Island north of Palmerston, excluding the West Coast; the South Island south of Palmerston. Flock records were edited in an effort to remove recording errors.

Within-flock environmental estimates were obtained using ordinary least squares procedures for continuous characters or iterative weighted least squares for binomial characters. The within-flock estimates were weighted by the inverse of their standard error's and weighted means of the regional and national fixed effects were obtained. Paternal half-sib heritability estimates were obtained for each flock.

There were few significant differences in the environmental estimates between regions.

The traits examined (with the average of the heritability estimates) were: weaning weight (0.17); ram autumn liveweight (0.24); ewe autumn liveweight (0.26); ram winter liveweight (0.26); ewe winter liveweight (0.31); ram spring liveweight (0.29); ewe spring liveweight (0.34); ram hogget fleece weight (0.29); ewe hogget fleece weight (0.33); survival of all lambs (0.04); single lamb survival (0.05) and multiple lamb survival (0.05); proportion of a ewe's lambs surviving (0.04); number of lambs born to a ewe present at mating (0.12); number of lambs weaned per ewe lambing (0.07); given a ewe lambed, did she bear multiples (0.14); weight of lamb weaned per ewe rearing lambs (0.10). Selection and non-random mating may have biased the estimates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my supervisors, Dr G.A.Wickham, Professor A.L. Rae, and Professor R.D. Anderson, I extend my gratitude for their assistance and advice given during this study and for the critical reading of the manuscript.

I thank those Coopworth breeders who allowed their flocks to be included in this study, and the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries for organizing questionnaires to breeders requesting access to their Sheeplan data files. To Mr R. Delahunty, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, I offer my thanks for the extraction of the data from Sheeplan files.

I offer my appreciation to the staff and post-graduates of the Department of Animal Science for providing a stimulating environment in which to study.

I thank the computer staff for overcoming small computer "hiccups" due to the size of my data set.

The financial assistance given by the Leonard Condell Farming Scholarship Trust, the Dr Hugh Wenham Coopworth Fellowship, and the Sir James Gunson Scholarship is gratefully acknowledged.

A special thanks is extended to Mrs V. Hansen for skilfully typing the thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	2
2.1 Introduction	2
2.2 Methods of Estimating Correction Factors	3
2.2.1 Statistical Procedures	3
2.2.2 Multiplicative and Additive Adjustments	6
2.3 Evaluating Methods of Estimating Correction Factors	7
2.3.1 Equalize Means and Variances	7
2.3.2 Heritabilities	9
2.3.3 Intra-class Regression and Correlation Coefficients	10
2.3.4 Repeatability	11
2.3.5 Herd-by-age Variance Components	12
2.4 Environmental Estimates for New Zealand Dual Purpose Breeds	13
2.4.1 Weaning Weight	13
2.4.2 Late Liveweight	13
2.4.3 Hogget Fleeceweight	17
2.4.4 Reproductive Traits	17
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
3.1 Source of Data	20
3.2 Preliminary Editing	21
3.3 Traits	22
3.3.1 Weight Traits	22
3.3.2 Reproductive Traits	23
3.4 Statistical Methods	24
3.4.1 Estimates of Environmental Effects for Continuous Traits	24
3.4.2 Estimates of Environmental Effects for Binomial Data	27

	<u>Page No.</u>
3.4.3 Analysis of Regional Differences	29
3.4.4 Heritability Estimates	30
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
4.1 Environmental Estimates	31
4.1.1 Weight Traits	31
4.1.1.1 Regional Comparisons	31
4.1.1.2 National Estimates	44
4.1.1.2.1 Weaning Weight	46
4.1.1.2.2 Autumn Liveweight	49
4.1.1.2.3 Winter Liveweight	50
4.1.1.2.4 Spring Liveweight	51
4.1.1.2.5 Hogget Fleeceweight	52
4.1.2 Reproductive Traits	53
4.1.2.1 Regional Comparisons	53
4.1.2.2 National Estimates	65
4.1.2.2.1 NLB, NLW and MULT	65
4.1.2.2.2 ESURV, LSURV1 and LSURV2	65
4.1.2.2.3 Wt.L.W. and Wt.L.W.BR.	67
4.2 Heritability Estimates	69
4.2.1 Weight Traits	70
4.2.2 Reproductive Traits	82
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY	94
BIBLIOGRAPHY	96

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page No.</u>
2.1 Weaning Weight Environmental Estimates for the New Zealand Romney and Romney Based Breeds	14
2.2 Liveweight Environmental Estimates for the New Zealand Romney and Romney Based Breeds	16
2.3 Hogget greasy Fleeceweight Environmental Estimates for the New Zealand Romney and Derived Breeds	18
2.4 Environmental Estimates of Reproductive Performance for the New Zealand Romney	19
3.1 Definition of the Birth Rearing Rank Subclasses	26
4.1 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on WWT	32
4.2 Number of WWT Records in Subclasses and Flocks	33
4.3 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on ALW	34
4.4 Number of ALW Records in Subclasses and Flocks	35
4.5 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on WLW	36
4.6 Number of WLW Records in Subclasses and Flocks	37
4.7 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on SLW	38
4.8 Number of SLW Records in Subclasses and Flocks	39
4.9 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on HFW	40
4.10 Number of HFW Records in Subclasses and Flocks	41
4.11 National Estimates of Environmental Effects on Weight Traits	45
4.12 National Estimates of Environmental Effects on Weight Traits as Percentages of the General Mean of each Trait	47
4.13 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on NLB	54
4.14 Number of NLB Records in Subclasses and Flocks	54
4.15 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on NLW	55
4.16 Number of NLW Records in Subclasses and Flocks	55
4.17 Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on MULT	56
4.18 Number of MULT Records in Subclasses and Flocks	56

	<u>Page No.</u>	
4.19	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on ESURV	57
4.20	Number of ESURV Records in Subclasses and Flocks	57
4.21	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on LSURV	58
4.22	Number of LSURV Records in Subclasses and Flocks	59
4.23	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on LSURV1	60
4.24	Number of LSURV1 Records in Subclasses and Flocks	60
4.25	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on LSURV2	61
4.26	Number of LSURV2 Records in Subclasses and Flocks	61
4.27	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on Wt.L.W.	62
4.28	Number of Wt.L.W. Records in Subclasses and Flocks	62
4.29	Within-flock Within-region Estimates of Environmental Effects on Wt.L.W.BR.	63
4.30	Number of Wt.L.W.BR. Records in Subclasses and Flocks	64
4.31	National Estimates of Environmental Effects on NLB, NLW, MULT, and ESURV	66
4.32	National Estimates of Environmental Effects on LSURV, LSURV1 and LSURV2	66
4.33	National Estimates of Environmental Effects on Wt.L.W. and Wt.L.W.BR.	68
4.34	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Standard Errors of Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations and Sires Nested Within-years for WWT	71
4.35	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Standard Errors of Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations and Sires Nested Within-years for ALW	72
4.36	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Standard Errors of Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations and Sires Nested Within-years for WLW	73
4.37	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Standard Errors of Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations and Sires Nested Within-years for SLW	74
4.38	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Standard Errors of Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations and Sires Nested Within-years for HFW	75
4.39	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for WWT	76

	<u>Page No.</u>	
4.40	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for the Equivalent of ALW	78
4.41	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for the Equivalent of WLW	79
4.42	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for the Equivalent of SLW	80
4.43	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for the Equivalent of HFW	81
4.44	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for NLB	83
4.45	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for NLW	84
4.46	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for MULT	85
4.47	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for LSURV	86
4.48	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for LSURV1	87
4.49	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for LSURV2	88
4.50	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for ESURV	89
4.51	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for Wt.L.W.	90
4.52	Within-flock Heritability Estimates, Number of Observations, and Sires Nested Within-years for Wt.L.W.BR.	91
4.53	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for NLB	92
4.54	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for NLW	92
4.55	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for MULT	93
4.56	New Zealand Heritability Estimates for Wt.L.W.	93