

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN INVESTIGATION OF CEREBRAL ASYMMETRY, ECHOIC MEMORY,
AND THE STIMULUS SUFFIX EFFECT

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts
in Psychology at Massey University.

Elizabeth Blazina - Young
1981

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my supervisor Dr. Nigel Long for the acumen and enthusiasm he showed in helping me turn a rather woolly mass of data into meaningful statistics, and Dr. Ken McFarland on whose door I often knocked.

I am also sincerely grateful to all those students who so kindly volunteered to give up their leisure time in order to take part in this experiment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	ix
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	
ECHOIC MEMORY AND THE STIMULUS SUFFIX EFFECT	
1.01 Properties of Echoic Memory: Theory and Research.	6
1.02 Echoic Persistence	10
1.03 The Crowder, Morton, and Prussin Approach: A Basic Assumption of the Existence of a Precategorical Acoustic Storage.	13
1.04 Properties of the Stimulus Suffix Effect	16
HEMISPHERIC PROCESSING	
2.01 Hemispheric Processing of Speech and Non-Speech Sounds.	19
2.02 The Relation of Verbal and Non-Verbal Factors in Cognition: An Evidential Approach for Hemispheric Specialization.	23
2.03 Theoretical Perspective on Hemispheric Specialization of Function and Related Studies	28
2.04 Left-Right Ear Asymmetries in the Brain and Their Relation to the Concept of Cerebral Dominance.	31
THE PRESENT STUDY	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTD.)

	<u>Page</u>
METHOD	40
RESULTS	43
DISCUSSION	56
CONCLUSION	68
REFERENCES	70
APPENDICES	88

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 1	
Proportion of Words Correctly recalled as a Function of Ear of Presentation.	44
FIGURE 2	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Type of Information and Ear of Presentation.	45
FIGURE 3	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Word Lists and Suffixes and Ear of Presentation.	47
FIGURE 4	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Ear of Presentation and Serial Position.	48
FIGURE 5	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Ear of Presentation and Delay.	50

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTD.)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 6	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Delay and Serial Position.	51
FIGURE 7	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Ear of Presentation, Delay, and Serial Position.	53
FIGURE 8	
Proportion of Words Correctly Recalled as a Function of Combinations of Word Lists and Suffixes, Serial Position, and Ear of Presentation.	54

APPENDICES

	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX A	
Word Lists	88
APPENDIX B	
Mann-Whitney U-Test for Differences in Rank for Abstract and Concrete Lists Based on Concreteness- Abstractness Mean Scores for Each List.	98
APPENDIX C	
Instructions	100
APPENDIX D	
Frequencies for Recall Responses from Left-Ear Input Given for Each Item in the Word Lists as a Function of Serial Position.	101
APPENDIX E	
Frequencies for Recall Responses from Right-Ear Input Given for Each Item in the Word Lists as a Function of Serial Position.	102
APPENDIX F	
Summary of Analysis of Variance for the Total Data.	103

APPENDICES (CONTD.)

	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX G	
Summary of Analysis of Variance for the Total Data (Pooled over List and Suffix Combinations).	106
APPENDIX H	
Summary of Analysis of Variance for Left Ear Data (Pooled over List and Suffix Combinations).	108
APPENDIX I	
Summary of Analysis of Variance for Right Ear Data (Pooled over List and Suffix Combinations).	109

ABSTRACT

Echoic memory and hemispheric processing of two semantic categories of words were investigated utilizing a stimulus suffix paradigm under four delay conditions. The magnitude of the stimulus suffix effect was evaluated when combinations of concrete and abstract word lists and suffixes were monaurally presented to the left and right ears. The results showed that the stimulus suffix effect occurred for all information presented to both ears but was less pronounced when information was presented to the right ear. A right ear advantage for all information as well as a right ear advantage for abstract information was found. In addition, the right ear showed superior recall of abstract stimulus list and suffix combinations over other list and suffix combinations. Increasing delays between list and suffix presentation led to an increase in recall frequencies for terminal positions in the lists, but this increase was not systematic with delay. Results suggest that the right ear advantage often reported is due to right ear advantage for abstract information, and that echoic memory persists for at least 8 secs. These findings support the dual-trace processing model of hemispheric function and suggest that echoic memory may persist longer than the 2 secs implied by earlier researchers.