

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Role of Transcription in Lactococcal Phage Replication

A Thesis Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Microbiology

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Anja Heike Schiemann

February 2003



CERTIFICATE OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This is to certify that the research carried out in the Doctoral Thesis entitled
"The role of transcription in lactococcal phage replication" in the Institute of
Molecular BioSciences at Massey University, New Zealand:

- (a) is the original work of the candidate, except as indicated by appropriate attribution in the text and/or in the acknowledgements;
- (b) that the text, excluding appendices/annexes, does not exceed 100,000 words;
- (c) all the ethical requirements applicable to this study have been complied with as required by Massey University, other organizations and/or committees which had a particular association with this study, and relevant legislation.

Please insert Ethical Authorisation code(s) here: (if applicable) _____
ERMA ISBC GM099 / MVI4

Candidate's Name: Anja Heike Schiemann
Signature: *Anja Schiemann*
Date: 2/2/2003

Supervisor's Name: Paul W. O'Toole
Signature: *Paul O'Toole*
Date: 14/1/2003

Te Kunenga ki Pūrehuroa

Inception to Infinity: Massey University's commitment to learning as a life-long journey



Date: 14/1/2003

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to state that the research carried out for the Doctoral thesis entitled "The role of transcription in lactococcal phage replication" was done by Anja Heike Schiemann in the Institute of Molecular BioSciences at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. This thesis material has not been used in part or in whole for any other qualification, and I confirm that the candidate has pursued the course of study in accordance with the requirements of the Massey University regulations.

Supervisor's Name

Paul W. O'Toole

Signature



Date

14/1/2003

Te Kunenga ki Pūrehuroa

Inception to Infinity: Massey University's commitment to learning as a life-long journey

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for my Doctoral thesis entitled "The role of transcription in lactococcal phage replication" in the Institute of Molecular BioSciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand is my own work and that the thesis material has not been used in part or in whole for any other qualification.

Candidate's Name

Anja Heike Schiemann

Signature

Anja Schiemann

Date

2/2/03

Abstract

The involvement of transcription in DNA replication has been demonstrated in mitochondria, bacteria, plasmids and phages. Previous studies indicated that transcription may also be required for the prolate-headed lactococcal phage c2 DNA replication in *L. lactis*.

In this study, the role of transcription in origin of DNA replication function was examined. A model system was used, in which the intergenic region of phage c2, presumably containing the origin of DNA replication, supported the replication of a plasmid in *Lactococcus lactis*. Within this region there is an active promoter that produces several non-coding transcripts. This allowed the importance of this early promoter 1 (P_{E1}) and the length and sequence (and presumably therefore secondary structure) of the P_{E1} transcripts in replication to be investigated. It was demonstrated that a functional promoter (but not necessarily wildtype P_{E1}) and a specific length and sequence of the P_{E1} transcripts are required for c2 origin function.

The transcription start site of the P_{E1} transcripts in the plasmid system was determined by primer extension analysis and was identical to the transcription start site in the phage itself. The P_{E1} transcripts made in the replicating plasmids were detected and quantified by Northern blots, and processing of the transcripts was shown by RNase protection analysis. However, no transcripts of the expected size were detected in the non-functional origins cloned in a plasmid able to replicate in *L. lactis*. Possible secondary structures of the wildtype and modified P_{E1} transcripts were modelled using several different computer programs.

Lactococcal proteins were shown to bind to the P_{E1} transcripts by RNA gel shifts and North-Western blots. Affinity purification and amino-terminal sequencing were used to identify one such protein with similarity to the ribosomal protein S1.

Growth curves of *L. lactis* containing the various replicating plasmids did not show any major differences in the ability of the *L. lactis* cells to grow. To characterize the plasmids further, the relative amount of plasmid DNA per lactococcal cell was determined. It was also demonstrated, by using a high copy number plasmid that harboured the c2 origin, that the c2 origin does not confer a Per phenotype.

Acknowledgments

I would like very much to thank my chief supervisor Dr Paul O'Toole who guided me through the whole project, advising and encouraging me during my studies and who even after shifting to the "other side" of the world always responded promptly to my e-mails.

I am also very grateful to my second supervisor, Dr Mark Lubbers (Fonterra Research Centre), for his constant support during this work and who found solutions to many problems due to his broad knowledge about lactic acid bacteria.

I also want to thank Dr Jasna Rakonjac who was always available to discuss experiments and results and most importantly, who always cheered me up if things did not go the way I wanted.

I want to thank all the members of the Helipad who made life and work much more enjoyable: Tash was a great lab organizer and taught me the "kiwi language", with Millis I could always talk about the strange kiwi habits and language, and with Mikara I had my morning chats about everything that was going on in the world. Also thanks to Jakki, Todd and Pania who made the lab a good place to work and Michael who held out with me when everybody else had already deserted the Helipad. I must also not forget Steffen, who introduced me to a lot of people who became my friends when I first came to Palmerston North and did not know anybody.

I want to thank all the people from the Institute of Molecular BioSciences who supported my work by allowing me to use their equipment, performing the DNA and amino acid sequencing and giving advice with experiments. Thanks especially to Paul Gardner, who helped me with the RNA secondary structure modelling and to Dr Rissa Ota, who was a big help with the statistical analysis.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the award of a Massey University Doctoral Scholarship, the Marsden Fund of the Royal Society of New Zealand, and the New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology.

Travel funding was gratefully received from the Institute of Molecular BioSciences at Massey University.

Thanks to all my friends in Palmerston North who supported me in various ways during my studies, especially Delwyn who was not only my flatmate for quite some time and always a good friend, but also proofread my thesis. Also thanks to my friends in Germany who didn't forget me even though I have not seen many of them for years.

I also want to thank my partner Kim, who always supported me and had to put up with me during the last years, when I was grumpy and stressed because of experiments not working and the thesis writing progress being too slow.

Besonderen Dank an meine Familie, die mich immer unterstützt hat obwohl ich auf der anderen Seite der Erde war und ohne die diese Arbeit nicht möglich gewesen wäre.

Abbreviations

All abbreviations and units used in this thesis and not specified in this list are standard SI-units.

aa	amino acid
ABI	Applied Biosystems
amp	ampicillin resistance gene
APS	ammonium persulphate
AT	content of deoxyadenylate and deoxythymidylate in DNA
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
BHI-A	brain heart infusion agar
BHI-B	brain heart infusion broth
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
BSA	bovine serum albumin
CAPS	3-(cyclohexylamino)-1-propanesulfonic acid
cDNA	complementary DNA
cfu	colony forming units
cm	chloramphenicol resistance gene
cos	cohesive
cpm	counts per minute
CTP	cytidine triphosphate
DEPC	diethylpyrocarbonate
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase	deoxyribonuclease
dNTP	deoxynucleoside triphosphate
ds	double-stranded
DTT	DL-dithiothreitol
ECL	enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham)
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EMSA	electrophoretic migration shift assay
ery	erythromycin resistance gene

FP	forward primer
g	acceleration equivalent to the earth's gravity (9.806 ms ⁻²)
G+C	content of deoxyguanylate and deoxycytidylate in DNA
HEPES	N-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N'-(2-ethanesulfonic acid)
IPTG	isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside
kan	kanamycin resistance gene
LB	Luria Bertani broth
LBA	Luria Bertani agar
MCS	multiple cloning site
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration
MOPS	3-(N-morpholino) propanesulphonic acid
mRNA	messenger RNA
n/a	not applicable
OD	optical density at specified wavelength in nanometers
ON	overnight
ORF	open reading frame
<i>ori</i>	origin of replication
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
Per	phage encoded resistance
pfu	plaque forming units
PIPES	piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid)
Pos.	position
PVDF	polyvinylidene difluoride
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNase	ribonuclease
RP	reverse primer
rpm	revolutions per minute
RT	room temperature
S.D.	standard deviation
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
ss	single-stranded

SSC	saline-sodium citrate buffer
TBE	Tris borate EDTA buffer
TE	Tris EDTA buffer
TEMED	N,N,N',N' tetramethylethylenediamine
tet	tetracycline resistance gene
T_m	melting temperature
Tris	Tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamine
tRNA	transfer RNA
U	unit
UTP	uridine triphosphate
UV	ultraviolet (light)
vol	volume
w/v	weight per volume
X-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactoside
ΔG	amount of energy required for or released by a reaction [Kcal/mol]
Ω	transcriptional and translational terminator derived from bacteriophage T4 gene

In addition, the conventional one-letter codes for amino acids, deoxyribonucleosides and ribonucleosides were applied:

amino acids: A, R, N, D, C, E, Q, G, H, I, L, K, M, F, P, S, T, W, Y, V for alanine, arginine, asparagine, aspartate, cysteine, glutamate, glutamine, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tryptophan, tyrosine and valine respectively.

deoxyribonucleosides: A, C, G, T for deoxyadenylate, deoxycytidylate, deoxyguanylate and deoxythymidylate respectively.

ribonucleosides: A, C, G, U for adenylate, cytidylate, guanylate and uridylate respectively.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACTERIOPHAGES	1
1.1.1 A brief history.....	1
1.2 LACTOCOCCAL BACTERIOPHAGES	3
1.2.1 Bacteriophage attack of lactococci	3
1.2.2 Classification of lactococcal bacteriophages.....	4
1.2.3 Lactococcal phage life cycle	6
1.2.4 Bacterial resistance to lactococcal phage attack	8
1.2.5 Strategies to reduce infection of dairy starter cultures with bacteriophages	11
1.2.6 Genome size and structure of lactococcal phages	13
1.2.7 Genes with identified functions	14
1.3 THE LACTOCOCCAL PHAGE C2	15
1.3.1 The phage c2 genome.....	15
1.3.2 The c2 origin of DNA replication.....	18
1.4 DNA REPLICATION IN PROKARYOTES.....	20
1.4.1 Structural elements involved in replication.....	21
1.4.2 The <i>E. coli</i> chromosome as a model system for DNA replication	22
1.4.3 Transcriptional elements as components of origins	24
1.4.3.1 RNA secondary and tertiary structures	28
1.5 AIM OF THE STUDY.....	30
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	32
2.1 BACTERIAL STRAINS, PHAGE, CULTURE AND STORAGE CONDITIONS	32
2.2 MEDIA AND SUPPLEMENTS	32
2.3 PHAGE C2 PROPAGATION.....	34

2.3.1 Preparation of phage lysate	34
2.3.2 Titration of phage lysate.....	34
2.4 OLIGONUCLEOTIDE PRIMERS.....	34
2.5 VECTORS AND RECOMBINANT PLASMIDS	36
2.6 DNA ISOLATION	38
2.6.1 Plasmid preparation.....	38
2.6.1.1 Alkaline lysis plasmid preparation.....	38
2.6.1.2 High Pure™ plasmid preparation	38
2.6.1.3 Preparation of plasmid DNA from <i>L. lactis</i>	39
2.7 DNA ANALYSIS METHODS.....	40
2.7.1 DNA agarose gel electrophoresis	40
2.7.2 DNA restriction endonuclease treatment.....	40
2.7.3 DNA quantification	41
2.7.4 DNA sequencing	41
2.8 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR).....	42
2.9 CLONING TECHNIQUES.....	44
2.9.1 Vector and insert preparation.....	44
2.9.2 Ligation.....	45
2.9.3 Transformation	45
2.9.3.1 Preparation of competent cells	45
2.9.3.2 Transformation procedure	46
2.10 RNA ISOLATION AND ANALYSIS.....	48
2.10.1 General precautions for handling RNA.....	48
2.10.2 RNA Isolation from <i>L. lactis</i> cells.....	48
2.10.3 RNA analysis methods.....	49
2.10.3.1 RNA agarose gel electrophoresis	49
2.10.3.2 RNA polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	50
2.10.3.3 RNA quantification.....	50
2.10.3.4 Northern blotting	51
2.10.3.5 Northern hybridization using ECL™-labelled probes.....	51
2.10.3.6 Transcript analysis by primer extension	52
2.10.3.7 Transcript analysis by electrophoretic gel migration shift assay (EMSA)	53
2.10.3.8 Transcript analysis by RNase protection	54
2.10.3.9 Transcript analysis by secondary structure modelling	55
2.11 PROTEIN PREPARATION.....	56

2.11.1 Cytoplasmic cell extract preparation	56
2.11.2 Protein analysis.....	56
2.11.2.1 Protein electrophoresis	56
2.11.2.2 Protein quantification	57
2.11.2.3 North-Western blot	58
2.11.2.4 Affinity purification of RNA-binding proteins.....	58
2.11.2.5 Amino-terminal sequencing of RNA-binding proteins	59
2.12 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE BETWEEN POPULATIONS	60
2.12.1 The ANOVA procedure	60
3. RESULTS	62
3.1 MODIFICATIONS OF THE P_{E1} PROMOTER.....	62
3.1.1 Replacement of P_{E1} with the <i>nisA</i> promoter	64
3.1.2 Inversion of P_{E1}	66
3.2 ALTERATIONS OF THE TRANSCRIPT SYNTHESIZED FROM P_{E1}	68
3.2.1 Deletion analysis of the DNA sequence coding for the transcript synthesized from P_{E1}	68
3.2.2 Sequence replacement of the transcript synthesized from P_{E1}	71
3.2.3 Deletion of seven G-residues in the transcript synthesized from P_{E1}	73
3.3 ANALYSIS OF THE P_{E1} TRANSCRIPTS IN <i>ORI</i> -PLASMIDS WHICH SUPPORT REPLICATION IN <i>L. LACTIS</i>	74
3.3.1 Transcript analysis by primer extension.....	74
3.3.2 Northern blot detection of P_{E1} transcripts synthesized from the recombinant <i>ori</i> plasmids replicating in <i>L. lactis</i>	77
3.3.3 RNase protection analysis of the P_{E1} transcripts synthesized from recombinant <i>ori</i> -plasmids replicating in <i>L. lactis</i>	80
3.4 SECONDARY STRUCTURE MODELLING	82
3.4.1 SECONDARY STRUCTURE MODELLING OF THE P_{E1} TRANSCRIPTS.....	82
3.5 ANALYSIS OF TRANSCRIPTS SYNTHESIZED FROM <i>ORI</i> FRAGMENTS WHICH DID NOT SUPPORT REPLICATION IN <i>L. LACTIS</i>	86
3.5.1 Recloning the modified c2 <i>oris</i> into pFX3	86
3.5.2 Northern blot analysis of RNA isolated from the pFX3- <i>ori</i> plasmids	89
3.6 CHARACTERIZATION OF THE <i>ORI</i> -PLASMIDS REPLICATING IN <i>L. LACTIS</i>	91
3.6.1 Relative amount of <i>ori</i> -plasmid per cell	91
3.6.2 Growth curves of <i>L.lactis</i> strains containing the replicating pVA891- <i>ori</i> plasmids	94
3.7 ANALYSIS OF PROTEINS BINDING TO THE P_{E1} TRANSCRIPTS	97

3.7.1 Electrophoretic migration shift assay (EMSA)	98
3.7.2 North-western blot analysis	100
3.7.3 Purification of the proteins binding to the 307 and 207 probes.....	102
3.8 INVESTIGATION ON WHETHER THE C2 ORIGIN CONFERS A PER PHENOTYPE.....	107
4. DISCUSSION.....	110
4.1 MAJOR FINDINGS.....	110
4.2 CONTRIBUTION OF THIS STUDY TO THE FIELD	111
4.3 DISCUSSION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA	113
4.3.1 Consequences of P _{E1} and transcript modifications on phage c2 DNA replication.....	113
4.3.2 Transcript analysis by primer extension, Northern blot analysis, RNase protection and secondary structure modelling.....	117
4.3.3 Identification of P _{E1} transcript binding host proteins	124
4.3.4 Comparison of plasmid DNA per cell and the amount of P _{E1} transcript produced	129
4.3.5 Phage c2 depends on lactococcal proteins for replication	131
4.4 FUTURE STUDIES	133
 APPENDIX 1.....	 136
APPENDIX 2.....	149
APPENDIX 3.....	153
 REFERENCES	 157

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Morphotypes of bacteriophages.	4
Figure 1.2. Electronmicrograph of phage c2.	15
Figure 1.3. Schematic diagram of the c2 genome with putative and known gene functions.	17
Figure 1.4. Schematic diagram of the c2 origin of replication.	19
Figure 1.5. Structural elements of replication origins.	21
Figure 1.6. Schemes for initiation of replication by RNA polymerase.	26
Figure 1.7. Possible RNA secondary structures.	29
Figure 1.8. The S1-protein binding motif is a pseudoknot.	29
Figure 3.1. Schematic representation of the c2 genome and the c2 <i>ori</i> -plasmids pLP201, pLP203, pLP204 and pLP205.	63
Figure 3.2. Schematic representation of the c2 genome and the c2 <i>ori</i> -plasmid pLP212.	65
Figure 3.3. Schematic representation of the c2 genome and the c2 <i>ori</i> -plasmid pLP213.	67
Figure 3.4. Schematic representation of the c2 <i>ori</i> -plasmids with truncated P _{E1} transcripts.	69
Figure 3.5. Schematic drawing of the c2 <i>ori</i> locus and pLP214.	72
Figure 3.6. Schematic representation of the c2 <i>ori</i> locus and pLP215.	74
Figure 3.7. Primer extension analysis of the plasmids pLP201 and pLP203.	75
Figure 3.8. The transcription start site and the P _{E1} promoter of the <i>ori</i> -plasmids, using pLP203 as an example.	76
Figure 3.9. P _{E1} transcript detection by northern blot of total cellular RNA of <i>L. lactis</i> containing the replicating <i>ori</i> -plasmids.	78
Figure 3.10. Quantitative analysis of Northern hybridization data for the P _{E1} transcripts.	79
Figure 3.11. RNase protection analysis of the <i>ori</i> -plasmids replicating in <i>L. lactis</i>	81
Figure 3.12. Representative modelled structures for the 307 nt and 273 nt P _{E1} transcripts.	84

Figure 3.13. Representative modelled structures for the transcripts from pLP207, pLP215 and pLP214.....	85
Figure 3.14. Restriction analysis of pFX3- <i>ori</i> plasmid DNA after isolation from <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363.	88
Figure 3.15. Northern blot analysis of RNA isolated from <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363 containing the pFX3- <i>ori</i> plasmids.....	90
Figure 3.16. Comparison of the signal strength from the P _{E1} transcript detection by northern blot analysis of the pFX3- <i>ori</i> constructs.	91
Figure 3.17. Plasmid DNA isolated from <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363, NZ9000 and NZ9800.....	92
Figure 3.18. Relative amount of pVA891- <i>ori</i> plasmid DNA to pTRKL2 plasmid DNA, isolated from <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363, NZ9000 and NZ9800.	93
Figure 3.19. Growth curves of <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363 containing no plasmid, pTRKL2 and various pVA891- <i>ori</i> plasmids.....	95
Figure 3.20. Growth curves of <i>L. lactis</i> NZ9000 and NZ9800 containing no plasmid, pTRKL2 and various pVA891- <i>ori</i> plasmids.....	96
Figure 3.21. EMSAs using the transcripts synthesized from P _{E1}	99
Figure 3.22. North-western blot using the 307, 273 and 207 probes.	101
Figure 3.23. Schematic representation of the principle of magnetic RNA affinity purification of proteins.....	103
Figure 3.24. Protein affinity purification using the 307 biotinylated probe.	104
Figure 3.25. Eluted proteins after affinity purification using the 207 and 307 probes	105
Figure 3.26. Purified proteins binding to the P _{E1} transcript (preparative scale).....	106
Figure 3.27. Phage c2 infection of <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363.	108
Figure 4.1. pFX3 plasmid map.	122
Figure 4.2. Multiple sequence alignment of the ribosomal protein S1 using the program ClustalW.	126
Figure 4.3. The proposed tertiary structure (pseudoknot) of the 273 nt transcript from pLP206.	128
Figure 4.4. Correlation between the calculated amount of plasmid DNA and the calculated amount of P _{E1} transcript detected by northern blot analysis....	130
Figure 4.5. Schematic diagram of the c2 and sk1 origins of replication, which support plasmid replication in <i>L. lactis</i>	132

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Morphotypes and basic properties of phage families.....	5
Table 1.2. Species and type phages of lactococcal bacteriophages.....	6
Table 1.3. Lactococcal abortive mechanisms	10
Table 1.4. Major proteins involved in replication of <i>E. coli</i>	23
Table 1.5. Composition of DNA polymerase III holoenzyme.....	24
Table 2.1. Bacterial strains and phage.....	32
Table 2.2. Media.....	33
Table 2.3. Media supplements and antibiotics.....	33
Table 2.4. Oligonucleotide primers	35
Table 2.5. Plasmids used in this study.....	36
Table 3.1. Transformation frequencies of <i>ori</i> -plasmids with modified P _{E1} promoters for <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363.....	64
Table 3.2. Transformation frequencies of pLP212 for <i>L. lactis</i> NZ9000 and NZ9800..	66
Table 3.3. Transformation frequencies of <i>ori</i> -plasmids with truncated P _{E1} transcripts.	70
Table 3.4. Transformation frequencies of plasmids pLP214 and pLP215	72
Table 3.5. Transformation frequencies of pFX3 plasmids carrying the <i>ori</i> -inserts.	87
Table 3.6. Estimated copy number of the pVA891- <i>ori</i> plasmids in <i>L. lactis</i>	94
Table 3.7. Phage titer of c2 infecting <i>L. lactis</i> MG1363 containing pFX3 and pFX3- <i>ori</i> plasmids.	109