

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**COMPARISON OF HERITAGE AND MODERN CROP CULTIVARS IN
RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND NITROGEN MANAGEMENT**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Plant Science



Institute of Natural Resources

Massey University

Palmerston North, New Zealand

ISAAC RHINNEXIOUS FANDIKA

2012

ABSTRACT

There is a resurgence of interest in heritage crop cultivars (potatoes, squash and yams) in New Zealand because of the premiums farmers get at niche markets. However, a paucity of information in relation to their growth characteristics and resource use efficiency limit successful management of these crops. This research compares the response of different heritage and modern crop cultivars to irrigation, nitrogen (N) fertiliser and canopy management. Some heritage cultivars produced as much marketable yield as modern cultivars while other heritage cultivars had low yields. Modern potatoes were more responsive to irrigation and N than heritage potato crops (collectively known as Taewa). Application of more than 80 kg N ha⁻¹ decreased yield in Taewa (Moe Moe, Tutaekuri) whereas, it increased the yield of modern potatoes (Agria, Moonlight). Full irrigation (FI) increased yield in modern potatoes and Moe Moe. In contrast, Tutaekuri yield was greatest with partial irrigation (PI). FI and 80 kg N ha⁻¹ are recommended for Moe Moe production whereas PI and less than 80 kg N ha⁻¹ are recommended for Tutaekuri. In addition, greater tuber dry matter and low sugar content suggest that Taewa would have better cooking and processing qualities than modern potatoes. Heritage crops required more water than modern crop cultivars because they mature later. There was high 'water use efficiency' in heritage pumpkin squash; high 'irrigation water use efficiency' in modern potatoes and high 'economic water productivity' for heritage potatoes and pumpkin squash. Heritage crop cultivars adapted to water deficit by developing more roots, higher photosynthetic WUE and leaf water potential than modern cultivars. Although total biomass production was similar, heritage crops tended to produce less marketable yield than modern cultivars because of excessive vegetative growth and potato psyllid infestation. Two strategies to manage the canopy and reduce vegetative growth using chlorocholine chloride (CCC) and mechanical topping were developed. Both strategies increased marketable yield in Taewa by 32 - 44%. Application of CCC at 25 and 50 days after emergence (DAE) was recommended for irrigated Taewa, whereas mechanical topping and application of CCC at 25 and 30 DAE were recommended for both irrigated and rain-fed Taewa. The study also observed that potato psyllid need to be controlled up to 170 DAE in Taewa to avoid yield loss equivalent to NZ\$10, 485 to NZ\$17, 412 per ha. This study contributes to policy on sustainable and improved Maori land use. It can be concluded that premium market prices are important to the success of heritage crops (i.e. to maintain their high 'economic water productivity') whereas modern crops might use irrigation water more efficiently (i.e. greater 'water use efficiency'). It is evident that heritage crops can be grown successfully, and that on occasions they use valuable resources efficiently. To enhance water use efficiency, management of heritage crops should focus on improving the harvest index.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

With honour and adoration, I pay tribute to God almighty for his enormous love and care to allow me conclude this thesis successfully. I owe a recognition and respect to scores of people and institutions for their kind assistance at innumerable stages of my PhD studies.

I wish to express my heartfelt indebtedness to my Chief Supervisor Professor Peter D Kemp, who gave enthusiastic and motivating leadership and support throughout this project. I also thank Dr James P Millner and Dr David J Horne for mutual and solitary efforts on agronomic and irrigation aspects of this study in their capacity as Co-Supervisors. I also thank Dr Nick Roskrige who gave major contribution and momentum to Taewa or Maori potato and modern potato management. I gratefully thank the Institute of Natural Resources, especially Mr. Mark Osborn, Mr Chris Rawlings, Steven Ray (PGU), Ian Furkert and Felicity Jackson for their kindness, friendship, provision of up to date equipment and land preparation, photosynthesis measurements, glasshouse experiment, computer work, soil and nitrogen leaching sampling and analysis, and finally sugar determination in potato. Many thanks also go to my fellow postgraduate students: Godwin Rwezaula, Francis Amaglow, Agness Nkhoma, Lawrent Pungulani and Pilirani Pamkomera with whom I shared knowledge, many beautiful moments, friendship and help in many different ways.

I thankfully acknowledge NZAID-Commonwealth Scholarship and the International Office at Massey University especially, Sylvia Hooker, Olive Pimentel, Jamie Hooper and Sue Flyn for the good services they offered me during the administration of the Commonwealth scholarship for me and my family. On the same note, I acknowledge the Malawi Government, especially the Department of Human Resource, A.M Liwonga; The Permanent Secretary for Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, Dr A.T Daudi; and The Director of Agricultural Research Services, Dr Alfred Mtukuso for nominating me for the Commonwealth Scholarship and offering me leave of absence for this study.

I gratefully acknowledge my late father and my mother, Etness Fandika, my sisters; Mrs Felia Kaweya, Mrs Lucy Robert and Mrs Fyness Nzunga, and my brothers; Benjamin Fandika, Grant Fandika, Dickson Fandika and Precious Fandika for their moral and financial support beginning from primary school, secondary school and University. Indeed without their support, I could not have had education to all levels. I also acknowledge my extended family members and people from Tchauya Village, T/A Njolomole, Ntcheu for their support to my

mother and siblings during my absence. At last but not least, my profound gratitude to my wife, Esther Limiton Fandika, my daughters: Fatsani Fandika and Patience Fandika, sons: Rueben Fandika and Kupatsa Fandika who have made my work possible and for bringing our family cheer and happiness. Esther sacrificed her own career to accompany me to New Zealand and without her continuous infusions of love, support, encouragement and above all patience! I just could not have made it.

DEDICATION

This Thesis is dedicated to my late father (Limbikani Fandika) and late brothers (Moses Fandika & Misheck Njunga). I also dedicate this work to all people of Tchauya Primary School/Village (1979 - 1987), Chikapa Primary School (1987 - 1988), South Lunzu MCDE (1988 - 1990), Nyambadwe MCDE (1990 - 1992), Natural Resources College (1992 - 1994), Bunda College of Agriculture (1997 - 2002) and Cranfield University, Silsoe, UK (2003 - 2004) who assisted me on my voyage to fulfill this task.

What is the Meaning of Life in a Meaningless World, "Vanity of vanities? (Ecclesiastes 1:1-2). The meaning of life is in Christ Jesus "For we are His workmanship, created in Christ Jesus for good works, which God prepared beforehand, that we should walk in them." (Ephesians 2:10). But as many as received him, to them he gave power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name (John 1:12).

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for my Doctoral Thesis entitled: "*Comparison of water use efficiency in heritage and modern crop cultivars in response to irrigation and nitrogen management*" in the Institute of Natural Resources, Massey University, Turitea Campus, New Zealand, is my own work, and that the thesis material has not been used in part or whole for any other qualification.

CANDIDATE'S NAME

ISAAC R FAWOJKA

SIGNATURE



DATE

13/06/2012

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

CHIEF SUPERVISOR'S NAME : PETER D. KEMP

SIGNATURE : [Signature]

DATE : 13/6/2012

CO-SUPERVISOR'S NAME : James Millner

SIGNATURE : JJ Millner

DATE : 13/6/12

CO-SUPERVISOR'S NAME : Dave Horne

SIGNATURE : [Signature]

DATE : 13/6/2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COMPARISON OF HERITAGE AND MODERN CROP CULTIVARS IN RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND NITROGEN MANAGEMENT

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iii
DEDICATION	v
CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION.....	vi
SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION.....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
APPENDICES	xvii
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xxii
LIST OF PLATES	xxiv
GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxv
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Water use efficiency	2
1.2.1 Importance of heritage crops in modern production systems.....	2
1.2.2 Importance of WUE concepts in crop production systems	4
1.3 Research hypothesis and Specific objectives	5
1.4 Thesis outline.....	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Water use efficiency concept and indicators: key definitions	7
2.2.1 Rationale for WUE concepts.....	7
2.2.2 Crop water productivity and crop-water production function	8
2.2.3 Water footprint or virtual water content (m ³ tonne ⁻¹) of growing crops.....	13
2.2.4 Nitrogen use efficiency.....	15
2.3 Soil–Plant–Atmospheric Continuum (SPAC) and Physiological WUE.....	15
2.3.1 Plant water uptake and soil or root system.....	15
2.3.2 Evapotranspiration and irrigation requirements	18
2.4 Taewa species and production trend in New Zealand	20

2.5	Strategies and constraints for maximising crop water productivity	23
2.5.1	Opportunities for maximising WUE in agriculture	23
2.5.2	Water and nitrogen management in modern potato production	24
2.5.3	Effects of mechanical and hormonal canopy manipulation on yield and WUE.....	31
2.5.4	Challenges and limitations to maximisation of WUE in arable crops.....	32
2.6	Summary and conclusion	34
CHAPTER 3 COMPARISON OF WATER AND NITROGEN USE EFFICIENCY OF TAEWA AND MODERN POTATO CULTIVARS IN A GLASSHOUSE.....		37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Material and Methods.....	38
3.2.1	Location and plant establishment	38
3.2.2	Treatments and experimental design.....	38
3.2.3	Crop physiological and soil moisture measurements	40
3.2.4	Tuber yield, water and nitrogen use efficiency	41
3.2.5	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content	41
3.2.6	Statistical analysis	42
3.3	Results	44
3.3.1	Evapotranspiration and soil moisture content (%)	44
3.3.2	Vegetative growth characteristics in four potato cultivars	46
3.3.3	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange in the glasshouse	49
3.3.4	Tuber yield response to irrigation and N regime in four potato cultivars	53
3.3.5	Water use efficiency and nitrogen use efficiency of four potato cultivars	55
3.3.6	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content in four potato cultivars	59
3.4	Discussion	61
3.4.1	Evapotranspiration and volumetric soil water content	61
3.4.2	Vegetative growth characteristics of Taewa and modern potato cultivars	61
3.4.3	Photosynthetic WUE and gaseous exchange in Taewa and modern potato	62
3.4.4	Total tuber yield, water and nitrogen use efficiency	63
3.4.5	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content	65
3.5	Conclusion.....	66

CHAPTER 4	COMPARISON OF MODERN AND HERITAGE POTATO, OCA AND PUMPKIN SQUASH CULTIVARS' RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND RAIN-FED IN THE FIELD	67
4.1	Background.....	67
4.2	General materials and methods.....	67
4.2.1	Experimental site.....	67
4.2.2	Experimental layout and crop management	68
4.2.3	Plot-size and plant spacing	69
4.2.4	Fertiliser application and plant protection.....	69
4.2.5	Irrigation system and irrigation scheduling	70
4.2.6	Morphological and physiological characteristics measurements	72
4.2.7	Final total yield and yield components measurements.....	75
4.2.8	Determination of efficient water use: key indicators.....	76
4.2.9	Statistical analysis	78
4.3	Results	78
SECTION 4.3.1	TAEWA AND MODERN POTATO CULTIVARS' RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND RAIN-FED CONDITIONS.....	80
4.3.1.1	Introduction.....	80
4.3.1.2	Materials and methods	80
4.3.1.3	Results.....	80
4.3.1.3.1	Crop evapotranspiration, precipitation and irrigation.....	80
4.3.1.3.2	Volumetric soil water content (%)......	81
4.3.1.3.2	Vegetative growth characteristics of Taewa and modern potato cultivars	82
4.3.1.3.3	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	85
4.3.1.3.4	Leaf water potential (Ψ_w).....	87
4.3.1.3.5	Dry matter production and partitioning characteristics	88
4.3.1.3.6	Tuber yield and yield components in Taewa and modern potato cultivars	90
4.3.1.3.7	Water use efficiency for Taewa and modern potato cultivars	93
4.3.1.3.8	Specific gravity, tuber dry matter content and total sugars	93
4.3.1.4	Discussion.....	95
4.3.1.4.1	Vegetative growth characteristics.....	95

4.3.1.4.2	Photosynthetic WUE and gaseous exchange of Taewa and modern potato	96
4.3.1.4.3	Leaf water potential of Taewa and modern potato cultivars	97
4.3.1.4.4	Dry matter partitioning and tuber yield of Taewa and modern potato	98
4.3.1.4.5	Water use efficiency	100
4.3.1.4.6	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content.....	101
4.3.1.4.7	Total sugars	101
4.3.1.5	Conclusion	102
SECTION 4.3.2 OCA CULTIVARS' RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND RAIN-FED CONDITIONS		103
4.3.2.1	Introduction.....	103
4.3.2.2	Materials and Methods.....	103
4.3.2.3	Results.....	104
4.3.2.3.1	Crop water use and soil moisture content.....	104
4.3.2.3.2	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	106
4.3.2.3.3	Tuber growth and development.....	108
4.3.2.3.4	Total and marketable tuber yield, yield components and WUE	110
4.3.2.4	Discussion.....	110
4.3.2.4.1	Crop water use and soil moisture content.....	110
4.3.2.4.2	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	112
4.3.2.4.3	Tuber formation and growth.....	112
4.3.2.4.4	Total tuber yield, marketable tuber yield and tuber yield components	113
4.3.2.5	Conclusion	114
SECTION 4.3.3 MODERN AND HERITAGE PUMPKIN SQUASH CULTIVARS' RESPONSE TO IRRIGATION AND RAIN-FED CONDITIONS.....		115
4.3.3.1	Introduction.....	115
4.3.3.2	Materials and Methods.....	116
4.3.3.3	Results.....	116
4.3.3.3.1	Crop water use and soil moisture content.....	116
4.3.3.3.2	Pumpkin squash growth and yield components characteristics.....	117
4.3.3.3.3	Pumpkin squash fruit size distribution	118
4.3.3.3.4	Pumpkin squash fruit yield and water use efficiency (kg ha ⁻¹ m ⁻³)	119
4.3.3.4	Discussion.....	121

4.3.3.5	Conclusion	123
SECTION 4.3.4 COMPARISON OF KEY WATER USE EFFICIENCY INDICATORS FOR HERITAGE AND MODERN POTATO, PUMPKIN SQUASH AND OCA CULTIVARS		
4.3.4.1	Introduction.....	124
4.3.4.2	Materials and Methods.....	125
4.3.4.3	Results.....	125
4.3.4.3.1	Crop water use and total yield summary	125
4.3.4.3.2	Irrigation water use efficiency and water stress index.....	126
4.3.4.3.3	Water footprint of growing heritage and modern crop cultivars	128
4.3.4.4	Discussion	132
4.3.4.4.1	Crop water use and total yield production.....	132
4.3.4.4.2	Irrigation water use efficiency.....	133
4.3.4.4.3	Water footprint of growing heritage and modern crop production.....	134
4.3.4.4.4	Economic water productivity.....	135
4.4	Summary and Conclusion.....	136
CHAPTER 5 COMPARISON IN THE FIELD OF YIELD AND WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF TAEWA AND A MODERN POTATO CULTIVAR		
5.1	Introduction	139
5.2	Material and Methods.....	140
5.2.1	Experimental site.....	140
5.2.2	Experimental design and treatments.....	140
5.2.3	Irrigation and crop management.....	142
5.2.4	Plot size and plant spacing	143
5.2.5	Growth morphological and gaseous exchange characteristics measurements	143
5.2.6	Soil water sampling procedure for nitrate leaching measurements.....	144
5.2.7	Tuber yield and biomass production measurements.....	145
5.3	Results	147
5.3.1	Crop evapotranspiration and soil moisture content	147
5.3.2	Vegetative growth characteristics of Taewa and modern potato cultivars.....	150
5.3.3	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	152
5.3.4	Leaf water potential (Ψ_w).....	154
5.3.5	Dry matter production and partitioning.....	154

5.3.6	Tuber yield and yield components	158
5.3.7	Water use efficiency, economic water productivity and nitrogen use efficiency.....	164
5.3.8	Crop water production function for Taewa and modern potato	168
5.3.9	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content	169
5.3.10	Effect of irrigation on nitrate-N concentration and N losses in the soils grown with Taewa and modern potato cultivars.....	170
5.4	Discussion	172
5.4.1	Crop water use and soil water content.....	172
5.4.2	Vegetative growth and dry matter partitioning characteristics.....	172
5.4.3	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	174
5.4.4	Leaf water potential (Ψ_w).....	175
5.4.5	Tuber yield and yield components	177
5.4.6	Water use efficiency, economic water productivity and nitrogen use efficiency.....	178
5.4.7	Irrigation water use efficiency	179
5.4.8	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content	180
5.4.9	Ammonium-N and nitrate-N concentration in the soil water under irrigation and rain-fed	181
5.5	Conclusion.....	181
CHAPTER 6 EFFECT OF MECHANICAL AND HORMONAL CANOPY MANIPULATION ON TAEWA UNDER LIMITED AND UNLIMITED WATER AND NITROGEN CONDITIONS		183
6.1	Introduction	183
6.2	Material and Methods.....	184
6.2.1	Experimental Site	184
6.2.2	Experimental design and crop management.....	185
6.2.3	Plot-size and plant spacing	186
6.2.4	Plant physiological characteristics and biomass partitioning measurements	186
6.2.5	Potato tuber yield and statistical analyses	188
6.3	Results	189
6.3.1	Evapotranspiration of Tutaekuri.....	189
6.3.2	Volumetric soil moisture (%).....	190
6.3.3	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange.....	191
6.3.4	Vegetative plant growth characteristics.....	193

6.3.5	Dry matter production and partitioning.....	194
6.3.6	Tuber yield and yield components at final harvest.....	196
6.3.7	Water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency	198
6.4	Discussion	200
6.4.1	Photosynthetic WUE and gaseous exchange.....	200
6.4.2	Vegetative growth and dry matter production.....	201
6.4.3	Total tuber yield and yield components	202
6.4.4	Crop water use, WUE and irrigation water use efficiency	205
6.5	Conclusion.....	207
CHAPTER 7 GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION		209
7.1	Introduction	209
7.2	Water requirements for studied heritage and modern crops.....	210
7.3	Morphological and physiological characteristics of Taewa	211
7.3.1	Vegetative growth characteristics and dry matter partitioning.....	211
7.3.2	Photosynthetic WUE and photosynthesis.....	212
7.3.3	Tuber dry matter and specific gravity	214
7.4	Tuber yield and yield components for Taewa	215
7.4.1	How can Taewa growers maximise water and tuber yield ?	216
7.5	Key water use efficiency performance indicators	219
7.5.1	Water footprint of growing potato, oca and pumpkin squash	221
7.5.2	Water use efficiency and crop water production functions in Taewa	222
7.5.2.1	Water use efficiency benchmarks in Taewa	222
7.5.3	Nitrogen use efficiency benchmarks in Taewa.....	225
7.6	Comparison of Tutaekuri and Moe Moe Characteristics.....	226
7.7	Economics of irrigation on Taewa.....	226
7.8	Practical implications of the study for Taewa growers	227
7.9	Conclusion and suggestions for future research.....	228
7.9.1	Future Research.....	229
REFERENCES		231
APPENDICES		251
PUBLICATIONS		283

APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
Appendix 3.1	Volumetric soil moisture content (%) in the glasshouse, 2009	252
Appendix 3.2	Change of An and gs in potatoes during the growing season in the glasshouse 2009;.....	253
Appendix 3.3	Interaction between potato cultivar, irrigation and N on DMC%.....	254
Appendix 3.4	Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content (%) relationship for four potato cultivars grown in glasshouse, 2009.....	254
Appendix 4.4.1	Precipitation, irrigation, deep percolation, soil moisture change, actual evapotranspiration, and crop water use per ha in mm from 10th Nov., 2009 to May, 2010.....	255
Appendix 4.4.2	Effect of irrigation and cultivars on volumetric soil moisture content (%) in the field, 4th January 2009 to 11th May, 2010.....	256
Appendix 4.4.3	Photosynthetic WUE and Net Photosynthesis for Taewa and modern potato cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed conditions, 2010.....	257
Appendix 4.4.4	Proportion of dry matter partitioning in four potato cultivars	258
Appendix 4.4.5	Relationship of number of tuber with mean tuber weight (a) and HI (b)	259
Appendix 4.4.6	Relationships between (a) specific gravity and tuber dry matter content; (b) specific gravity and total sugars in potato cultivars	260
Appendix 5.1	Volumetric soil moisture content (%) after 76 DAP, 2010/2011	261
Appendix 5.2	Interaction between potato cultivars and water regime on plant height (a), stems per plant (b), number of branches per plant (c) and interaction between potato cultivars and N on stems per plant (d).....	263
Appendix 5.3	Interaction between water regime*cultivar (a) and cultivar * nitrogen (b) on Tomato/Potato psyllid infestation.....	265
Appendix 5.4	Potato tuber yield-water relationship (a-c)	266
Appendix 6.1	Proportion of dry matter partition to leaves, stems, roots and tubers in Tutaekuri under different nitrogen and canopy manipulations.....	268
Appendix 6.2	The relationship of gaseous exchange parameters in Tutaekuri	268
Appendix 7.1	Daily evapotranspiration during the two growing seasons, October 2009 to June 2010 and October 2010 to April, 2011	269
Appendix 7.2	Difference in Taewa tuber yields between two seasons (2009-2010 and 2010-2011) under same water regimes	270
Appendix 7.3	Spray schedule and type of pesticides used in 2010/2011	271

Appendix 7.4	Total water footprints of growing Taewa and modern potato cultivars under different irrigation regimes, 2010/2011. Error bar represent \pm SEM.	272
Appendix 7.5	Optimal WUE benchmarks in Taewa and modern potato based on 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 studies	273
Appendix 7.6	(abcd) Marginal productivity of Taewa and modern potato as affected by amount of N and water regime.....	274
Appendix 7.7	The relationship between total tuber and specific gravity (SG) and tuber dry matter content (DM %).	276
Appendix 7.8	The economic feasibility of Taewa in relation to irrigation investments ...	277

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 3.1 Mean potato seed tuber weight (g), days to emergence, flowering, disease scores at 0-5 scale and leaf features	46
Table 3.2 Potato characteristics on number of stems per plant, plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) in the glasshouse, 2009	48
Table 3.3 Photosynthetic WUE (PWUE) and gaseous exchange in four potato cultivars in the glasshouse, 2009.....	52
Table 3.4 Photosynthetic WUE relationship with An, T and gs, leaf temperature (LT), Ci, leaf VPD in four potato cultivars.....	53
Table 3.5 Yield response to irrigation and nitrogen regime in four potato cultivars under glasshouse conditions.....	54
Table 3.6 Water use efficiency (WUE), nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) and visual disease scores in four potato cultivars.....	58
Table 3.7 Specific gravity and tuber dry matter content of four potato cultivars in glasshouse experiment.....	60
Table 4.1 Soil chemical characteristics: pH, nitrogen (N), Olsen phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) for the site as of 10th November, 2009.....	68
Table 4.1.1 Vegetative growth and yield component characteristics of Taewa and modern potato cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed conditions in 2010	83
Table 4.1.2 Average leaf features of Taewa and modern potato cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed condition, 2009/2010	85
Table 4.1.3 Gaseous exchange in Taewa and modern potato cultivars under different water and nitrogen regimes under field conditions, 2009/2010	86
Table 4.1.4 Effect of water regimes on leaf water potential (bars) in four potato cultivars, 2009/2010.....	88
Table 4.1.5 Effect of water regimes on amount of leaves, stems, roots, tubers and total biomass on dry matter basis per plant (g), in four potato cultivars, 2009/2010	90
Table 4.1.6 Yield and yield components for Taewa and modern potato cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed conditions, 2009/2010	92
Table 4.1.7 Effect of water regime and cultivars on total sugars, percentage of freeze-dried matter, specific gravity and dry matter content, in 2009/2010 growing season	95

Table 4.2.1	Photosynthetic water use efficiency and gaseous exchange in two oca cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed conditions	107
Table 4.2.2	Total yield, yield components and WUE for oca under irrigation and rain-fed conditions, 2009/2010	111
Table 4.3.1	Growth and fruit yield components characteristics, total and marketable fruit yield, HI and WUE for Buttercup squash and Kamokamo	120
Table 4.4.1	Irrigation Water Use Efficiency, drought intensity index, geometrical yield mean and percentage reduction in heritage and modern crops cultivars, 2010	127
Table 4.4.2	Consumptive and total water footprint of growing potato, oca and pumpkin squash crop cultivars on total yield (m ³ ton. ⁻¹) in New Zealand, 2009/2010.....	129
Table 4.4.3	Economic water productivity (NZ\$) on marketable yield basis in heritage and modern crop cultivars under irrigation and rain-fed conditions	131
Table 5.1	Soil chemical properties at the beginning of experiment, October, 2010	140
Table 5.2	Precipitation; irrigation; deep percolation; soil moisture change; actual evapotranspiration; and crop water use from 27th October, 2010 to 12th April, 2011.....	148
Table 5.3	Vegetative growth characteristics and potato psyllids scores at 110DAE and 140DAE in three potato cultivars, 2010/2011	151
Table 5.4	Photosynthetic WUE, net photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration, and internal carbon concentration in Taewa and modern potato cultivars under different water and N regimes at 90DAE	153
Table 5.5	Effect of water and N regimes on leaf water potential (bars) in Taewa and modern potato cultivars, 2010/ 2011	154
Table 5.6	Effect of water and nitrogen regimes on leaf, stem, root, tuber and total biomass on fresh and dry matter basis per plant (g) in three potato cultivars, 2010/2011	156
Table 5.7	Effect of irrigation and N regimes on tuber yield (t ha ⁻¹) and yield components in Taewa and modern potato cultivars, 2011	159
Table 5.8	Water use efficiency, nitrogen use efficiency and economic water productivity for Taewa and modern potato cultivars, 2010/2011.....	166
Table 5.9	Comparison of irrigation water use efficiency, drought intensity index, yield geometrical mean and yield % reduction in Taewa and modern potato, 2010/2011.....	169
Table 5.10	Tuber dry matter (DM %) and specific gravity for Taewa and Agria, 2011	169
Table 5.11	Effect of irrigation on nitrate-N concentration in the soils grown with Taewa and modern potato cultivars	171

Table 6.1	Potential crop evapotranspiration, precipitation, irrigation, deep percolation, soil moisture change, actual evapotranspiration in mm, per crop stage of Tutaekuri	189
Table 6.2	Effect of leaf area manipulation, water and nitrogen regimes on gaseous exchange in Taewa cultivar Tutaekuri.....	192
Table 6.3	Effect of leaf area manipulation on vegetative plant growth characteristics in Tutaekuri, 2010/2011	193
Table 6.4	Effect of leaf area manipulation on dry matter production and partitioning per plant in Maori potato cultivar, Tutaekuri, 2011	195
Table 6.5	Tuber per plant, mean tuber weight (g), total tuber yield, biomass, total biomass and final HI for Tutaekuri under different water and N regimes.....	197
Table 6.6	Effect of canopy manipulation on crop water use; water use efficiency and irrigation water use efficiency for Tutaekuri, 2011	199

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 3.1	38
Figure 3.2	43
Figure 3.3	44
Figure 3.3	48
Figure 3.4	49
Figure 3.5	54
Figure 3.6	55
Figure 3.7	56
Figure 4.1	71
Figure 4.1.1	81
Figure 4.1.2	82
Figure 4.1.3	93
Figure 4.2.1	105
Figure 4.2.2	105
Figure 4.2.3	109
Figure 4.3.1	116
Figure 4.3.2	117
Figure 4.3.3	118
Figure 4.3.4	122

Figure 4.4.1	Crop coefficients and maturity period for modern potato, Taewa, oca and pumpkin squash, 2009/2010.....	128
Figure 5.1	Schematic diagram for the field layout of Experiment 3 for irrigation and nitrogen treatments of Taewa and modern potato cultivars	141
Figure 5.2	Cumulative rainfall (mm), potential crop evapotranspiration (mm), monthly average maximum temperature and minimum temperature from Oct., 2010 - April, 2011	147
Figure 5.3	Change in volumetric soil moisture content (%) for (a) each cultivar and (b) water regime overtime.	149
Figure 5.4	Interaction between potato cultivars and water regime (a); and interaction between potato cultivars and nitrogen (b) on HI% during biomass sampling	157
Figure 5.5	(a) Interaction between water regime*cultivar; (b) interaction between cultivar * nitrogen, on number of tubers per plant:.....	160
Figure 5.6	Interaction between nitrogen and potato on mean tuber weight (g).....	161
Figure 5.7	Interaction between water regime and cultivar (a), and nitrogen and potato cultivars (b) on total tuber yield.	162
Figure 5.8	Interaction between cultivars, irrigation and nitrogen regime on total tuber yield.	163
Figure 5.9	Interaction between water regime, nitrogen and potato cultivars on final HI.	164
Figure 5.10	Interaction between cultivars, irrigation and nitrogen regime on WUE.	165
Figure 5.11	Interaction between cultivars, irrigation and N regime on EWP (NZ\$m ⁻³).	167
Figure 5.12	Interaction between cultivars, irrigation and N regimes on NUE.	167
Figure 5.13	Relationship between photosynthetic WUE and Ci (a) and between net photosynthesis (An) and gs (b) in potato cultivars	176
Figure 6.1	Schematic diagram for Field Experiment 4 on canopy manipulation.....	187
Figure 6.2	Change in volumetric soil moisture (%) during the growing season in Tutaekuri, 2010/2011.....	190
Figure 6.3	Interaction between water regimes and canopy manipulation on total yield.....	198
Figure 6.4	Interaction between water regime and canopy manipulation on water use efficiency	206
Figure 7.1	Average numbers of potato psyllids, per trap monitored in Manawatu region, during the 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 growing season.....	219

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1	Measurement for specific gravity for Moe Moe, Tutaekuri and Agria tubers.....	43
Plate 4.1	Irrigation and heritage and modern crop cultivars layout at field level in 2009/2010	79
Plate 4.2	Fresh biomass partitioning into leaves, stems, tubers and roots in two Taewa and two modern potato cultivars (2010)	89
Plate 4.3	Pumpkin squash fruit size distribution	119
Plate 5.1	Gaseouse exchange and Leaf water potential measurements	143
Plate 5.2	Outlook of irrigated Moe Moe and Tutaekuri potatoes in 2010/2011 season	146
Plate 5.3	Potato pysllids symptoms in Agria, Moe Moe and Tutaekuri, 2011	152
Plate 6.1	Mechanical canopy topping in Tutaekuri, <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> ssp. <i>Andigena</i> in 2011	188

GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
A_n	Net photosynthesis
Ca	Calcium
CCC	Chlorocholine choline,
CWP	Crop water productivity
CWU	Crop water use or consumptive water use
°C	Degree centigrade
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
C_i	Internal carbon concentration
DAE	Days after emergence
DAP	Days after planting
DII	Drought Intensity index
DM	Dry matter content
EWP	Economic water productivity
ET_c	Crop evapotranspiration
ET_o	Reference evapotranspiration
FI	Full irrigation
GLM	General Linear Model
GM	Geometric mean
g_s	Stomatal conductance
HI	Harvest index %
IWMI	International Water Management Institute
IWUE	Irrigation water use efficiency
$Kg\ ha^{-1}$	Kilogram per hectare
$Kg\ ha^{-1}\ m^{-3}$	Kilogram per hectare per cubic meter
K	Potassium
K_c	Crop coefficient
LAI	Leaf area index
LDMC	Leaf dry matter content
LSD	Least Significant Difference
LT	Leaf temperature
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
MRZ	Maximum root zone

MAD	Maximum allowable deficit
$\text{m}^3 \text{ ton}^{-1}$	Cubic meter per tonne
Mg	Magnesium
N	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
NUE	Nitrogen use efficiency
$\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$	Ammonium-Nitrogen
$\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$	Nitrate-Nitrogen
NPV	Net present value
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation
PRD	Partial root-zone drying
PI	Partial irrigation
PR	Percentage reduction
PWUE	Photosynthetic water use efficiency
P_e	Rain-fed
P	Phosphorus
RCBD	Randomised complete block design
SAS	Statistical Analysis System software
SEM_{\pm}	Standard error of mean
SG	Specific gravity
SLA	Specific leaf area
SPAC	Soil–Plant–Atmospheric Continuum
SMD_c	Critical soil moisture deficit
SWC	Soil water content
T	Transpiration rate
t ha^{-1}	Tonnage per hectare
TDR	Time-Domain Reflectometer
URI	Uniform variable irrigation
WF	Water footprint,
WUE	Water use efficiency,
VPD	Leaf vapour pressure deficit
VRI	Variable rate irrigation
VWC	Virtual water content