

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The role of *Empodisma minus* as the ecosystem engineer of the
fen-bog transition (FBT) in New Zealand mires.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for

the degree of

PhD

in

Plant Ecology

at Massey University (Manawatu),

New Zealand

Tarnia Ann Hodges

2013

Abstract

Mire ecosystem engineers create an acidic, nutrient poor and slowly permeable peat due to specific morphological, physiological and organo-chemical properties, changing the ecology of fens (high-nutrient, wet mires) so that they become bogs (low nutrient mires with drier surfaces), the so-called fen-bog transition. Observations on the development of raised restiad bogs in New Zealand support the concept of ecosystem engineering in forming raised mires, but involving the species *Empodisma minus* and *Empodisma robustum*, of the family Restionaceae.

The aim of this thesis is to examine the facility with which *Empodisma minus* fits the model of the ecosystem engineer of the fen-bog transition in New Zealand, and the techniques used to achieve that transition. A model for identifying mire engineers is proposed, based on the collated literature. Species best able to compete in the low nutrient raised mire environment possess morphological adaptations to increase nutrient capture, or traits which minimise nutrient losses (low tissue nutrient levels, high leaf longevity, high nutrient resorption prior to abscission). Whilst both *Empodisma minus* and *Chionochloa rubra* appear to possess nutrient retention and capture traits, *Empodisma minus* appears to out-compete *Chionochloa rubra* in low nutrient mires, implying superior nutrient capture or retention strategies.

In a survey of 70 mire communities in New Zealand – taking canopy biomass, soil physico-chemistry and the existence of capillaroid root growth and hummock-hollow topography into account - it is clearly shown that *Empodisma minus* is tolerant of a wide range of environmental conditions, thus enabling it to establish in minerotrophic fens, and persist after the fen-bog transition. In contrast to its widespread occurrence in wetland vegetation communities, extensive capillaroid root growth and the associated hummock-hollow topography occur less frequently. Despite P-limited growth being indicated, capillaroid root growth is infrequent or absent in coal pavement and pakihi communities, or where *Empodisma minus* is not the dominant canopy species. *Empodisma minus* appears to form capillaroid roots to maximise nutrient capture in the surface litter layer under a dense *Empodisma* canopy, and a complex relationship between capillaroid root formation, climate, canopy biomass and nutrients is indicated.

Lower tissue nutrient levels where the species co-exist in mires suggest *Empodisma minus* is a more frugal user of limiting nutrients than *Chionochloa rubra*, of importance as the growing surface of the mire becomes increasingly dependent on ombrotrophic (rainfall) nutrient

sources as a result of peat accumulation. I studied the competitive relations between *Empodisma minus* and *Chionochloa rubra* in a 26 month long, de Wit replacement competition experiment. *Empodisma minus* is the superior competitor long-term in the oligotrophic conditions of the fen-bog transition and raised mire environments, providing a high water table is maintained; otherwise species co-existence will likely occur. These results suggest a dense *Empodisma* canopy is required to maintain the wet environment needed for apogeotropic root weft growth, which displayed plasticity in proliferation and placement.

To further examine nutrient retention and loss traits in *Empodisma minus* and *Chionochloa rubra*, I evaluated their production and decomposition characteristics in a 12 month Litter Decomposition Experiment in a montane transitional mire. *Empodisma minus* culms comprise much of the canopy biomass in wet, oligotrophic conditions of the mire environment, while *Chionochloa rubra* tussocks are reduced in density and biomass. Mass losses after 12 months were lowest from *Empodisma minus* capillaroid roots, which contain high fibre, and less P, K, and cellulose than *Chionochloa rubra* below-ground biomass. Both *Empodisma minus* and *Chionochloa rubra* produce low nutrient, slowly decomposing foliar litters, with *Empodisma minus* withdrawing much of the nutrient content from its senescing culms. While a large component of total litter inputs in transitional restiad fens may be comprised of senesced *Empodisma minus* culms, the slower decay of *Empodisma minus* capillaroid roots suggest these contribute an increasing proportion of the accumulating organic matter after 12 months.

There is a significant relationship between short-term decay rates and location within the mire, however, this appears to be unrelated to the nutrient content or geochemistry of the substrate, and may reflect the influence of hummock-hollow topography and/or hydrology on decomposition.

Empodisma minus possesses both mechanisms employed in engineering the fen-bog transition - superior nutrient capture and nutrient retention - which results in increased production of slowly decaying capillaroid roots and foliage in oligotrophic mires, and hence increased peat accumulation. The mechanisms enable *Empodisma minus* to engineer the fen-bog transition in New Zealand mires.

Acknowledgements

Many people and organisations contributed to this thesis in innumerable ways, and I am grateful for the assistance received.

I wish to thank my chief supervisor Dr Alan Palmer (Massey University) for his guidance and encouragement during the later part of the thesis writing process. I would especially like to acknowledge the role he played in giving me the confidence to press ahead and finish this project at a difficult time.

I wish to thank Dr Jill Rapson (Massey University) for initially encouraging me into a wetland topic, the chance to teach during the long period of the project, and for the many aspects of practical plant ecology she imparted. I would also like to acknowledge her encouragement and assistance during the long duration of the greenhouse study, especially for her practical assistance during set-up and harvest.

To Dr Ian Henderson (Massey University) are owed many thanks for the patient exploration of my data and the revision of drafts during the later stages of the project.

I also thank my co-supervisors Prof. Louis Schipper (University of Waikato) and Dr Bev Clarkson (Landcare Research) for encouraging me to “own” my thesis, for help in analysing and interpreting my survey data, for draft revision, and for bringing their unique perspectives on peatland ecology to my research, thereby enriching it greatly. My thanks also for providing access to the Analytical Soils Laboratory during my time in Hamilton, for the CNS analysis undertaken at Waikato University, and access to the drying ovens at Landcare Research when needed.

I am grateful to the Department of Conservation for permission to work in some amazing wetlands across New Zealand. I am also thankful for the opportunity given me by the Tuhoe people, who gave me permission to sample the Ruapani Tarns of Te Urewera National Park.

My research required the support of many landowners, researchers, botanists and Department of Conservation personnel. I am grateful to all of these people who not only located suitable mires for study, but also provided access, accommodation, permits, identified botanical specimens, and answered questions about site history. I would like to thank the following individuals: Sarah Beadle (Wildlife Consultants); Karen Denyer (Environment Waikato); Prof. Alan Mark, Dr John Steel (University of Otago); Sue Maturin (Forest & Bird

Protection Society of NZ); Jason Murray (Matakana Island Environment Group); Simon Saunders (Stag Valley); John Barkla, Andrea Brandon, Paul Cashmore, John Keene, Dave King, Davina Mott, Angela Paget, Brian Rance, Nick Singers, Dave Smith, Henk Stengs, & Sharon Wright (Department of Conservation).

Colleagues at the Massey University Plant Growth Unit provided invaluable assistance. In particular I would like to thank Jens Jorgensen, Steve Ray, Lindsey Sylva and Lesley Taylor who provided expertise and assistance in the construction of the wetland system, care of the plants, access to coffee during the weekends, and a quiet place at PGU to hide out and write up. I thank Rosemary van Essen and Yvette Cottam for their help in obtaining the hundreds of *Chionochloa* tillers for the de Wit experiment, and for helping with the potting up and harvest of the experimental material, and for weighing samples. Yvette's efforts in helping wheelbarrow the tillers along the Silica Rapids track went above and beyond anything normally expected of a technician. Thanks also to the technical staff of the Soil and Earth Science Group (Massey University) for explaining instrumentation, and helping with soil sample analysis.

My thanks also to the administrative staff in the Ecology Group. Thanks to Barbara Just for her former role as Camp Mother in the Department: finding money when it was needed; booking cars, accomodation and ferries; for being a listening ear; a shoulder to cry on; and a voice of sanity when needed. The Department is not the same without you. Thanks also to Erica and Sharon who could be counted on for administrative support, and cheerful encouragement, at all times.

Thanks also to the Botany Department, University of Otago, for laboratory access while setting up the Litter Experiment in Southland.

This PhD was supported by The Miss E L Hellaby Indigenous Grasslands Trust, and by a Massey University Doctoral Scholarship. I would also like to thank The Miss E L Hellaby Indigenous Grasslands Trust, Landcare Research, Zonta Manawatu, and the Claude McCarthy Travel Fund for further funding to travel to conferences in Palmerston North, Brisbane, Lyon and Tartu.

I am appreciative of my fellow students in the Ecology Group, Massey University and the Ocean & Earth Sciences Group, Waikato University for making my post-graduate studies a more enjoyable experience.

My grateful thanks to the Little, Veale and Ram families for access to baches during the writing up period. Without your hospitality, many a deadline would have been missed. Many thanks also to the “Praying Momma’s” for your prayerful support, cheesecakes, and listening ears.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my extended family: my grandmother Syd, my parents Bill and Doreen Ward-Allen, my in-laws Doug & Jacqui Hodges, and my siblings (Maria, Chris, Dion, Jason, Nicki and Jamie). They all provided encouragement and support along a long and arduous road at some stage in the journey, and many a “...what do you mean you’re not finished yet?” to keep me motivated. Thanks especially to Maria for taking me under her wing on my first big venture overseas, and for looking after the family while I was away in Lyon. Thanks also to Nicki for also stepping in when I was absent, and for tackling the weeds that flourished in my garden. The journey would not have started, however, without Syd and the interest in natural history she nurtured in me from a young age.

A special thanks goes to my daughters Gabby and Rebekah. This project has stretched over many years, two cities, five house shifts, and encompasses much of my daughters childhood. Thanks for your patience girls, as you entertained yourselves in my office, while I spent yet another weekend on my experiment. Thanks for helping weed the shed, rotate the plants, and put up with yet another takeout dinner as I deserted you all, to hide out and write my thesis. One day you will forget me letting leeches and spiders loose on the dining table on a frequent basis, while sorting yet another bag of plant biomass.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my husband Sean, for everything from financial support, technical know-how, and field-work assistance, to emotional support. I could not have done it without you. You drummed statistics and basic programming into my head; carried my pack in the Ureweras, and even pushed me up the hill when it all got too much; put up with me dashing off around the world, while you sat at home in yet another interminable Palmerston North winter; sorted the biomass with me on many an evening until the leeches made an appearance (!); saw me lose watches, earrings and wedding rings in bogs, without complaining (too much); and increasingly took over housekeeping and childcare tasks as the project took up more and more of my time. Thank you for being there for me.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xxi
Chapter 1: General Introduction	1
1.1 Investigating the formation of raised mires	1
1.2 Thesis structure	4
1.3 Terminology and Nomenclature	5
Chapter 2: <i>Empodisma minus</i> as the ecosystem engineer of the New Zealand fen-bog transition: previous research.	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 From mire to peat	7
2.2.1 New Zealand mire development and classification	9
2.2.2 Mire development	12
2.2.3 The Fen-Bog Transition (FBT)	15
2.2.4 Allogenic and Autogenic processes during mire development	16
2.2.5 Allogenic influences on the FBT	17
2.2.6 The influence of plant species on the FBT	23
2.3 Ecosystem Engineering	25
2.3.1 Criticisms of the concept	26
2.3.2 Context dependency of engineering effort	27
2.3.3 Mire Ecosystem Engineers	29
2.4 New Zealand mires	30
2.4.1 Previous studies in New Zealand mires	31
2.4.2 New Zealand Mire development	32
2.4.3 Putative Ecosystem Engineers of the New Zealand fen-bog transition	33
2.4.4 The <i>Empodisma</i> genus as ecosystem engineers	42
2.5 Outstanding questions	52

Chapter 3: Vegetation and peat characteristics of *Empodisma minus* communities in New Zealand. 53

3.1	Abstract	54
3.2	Introduction	55
3.2.1	Restiad mires in New Zealand	55
3.2.2	<i>Empodisma minus</i> capillaroid roots and hummock-hollow topography	56
3.2.3	New Zealand restiad mire development	59
3.2.4	Objectives of the study:	60
3.3	Methods	63
3.3.1	Site selection	63
3.3.2	Vegetation community description and biomass estimates	64
3.3.3	Substrate quality	65
3.3.4	Biomass Nutrients	65
3.3.5	Statistical analysis	66
3.4	Results	68
3.4.1	Site location and description	68
3.4.2	Nutrient limitation	72
3.4.3	Description of four <i>Empodisma minus</i> communities	74
3.4.4	Species associations	92
3.4.5	Tissue nutrient levels	99
3.4.6	Wetland community and environment relationships	101
3.5	Discussion	105
3.5.1	New Zealand <i>Empodisma</i> communities	106
3.5.2	Comparison of peat physico-chemistry and biomass between New Zealand restiad communities	106
3.5.3	Tissue nutrient levels in <i>Empodisma</i>	108
3.5.4	Abiotic interactions and ecosystem engineering in New Zealand restiad mires	111
3.5.5	Biotic interactions and ecosystem engineering in New Zealand restiad mires	115
3.5.6	Can a fen-bog gradient in <i>Empodisma minus</i> mires be created?	116
3.5.7	Conservation and management issues	118
3.6	Conclusion	120

Chapter 4: Is <i>Empodisma minus</i> the ecosystem engineer of the FBT (Fen-Bog Transition zone) in New Zealand?	123
4.1 Abstract	124
4.2 Introduction	124
4.3 Crossing the FBT	125
4.4 Allogenic pathways across the FBT	126
4.5 Autogenic pathways across the FBT	127
4.6 Persisting on the bog side of the FBT	130
4.7 Identifying engineered systems	131
4.8 Who is engineering the FBT?	132
4.9 Conclusion	136
Chapter 5: Competitive relationships and Ecosystem Engineering across the New Zealand fen-bog transition	149
5.1 Abstract	150
5.2 Introduction	150
5.2.1 De Wit Replacement Series Experiments	153
5.3 Materials and Methods	155
5.3.1 Plant Material	155
5.3.2 Experimental design and set-up	155
5.3.3 Artificial wetland construction	158
5.3.4 Statistical treatment	161
5.4 Results	164
5.4.1 De Wit Replacement Diagrams	164
5.4.2 Competition Indices	164
5.4.3 Biomass Analysis	165
5.5 Discussion	176
5.5.1 Engineering the fen-bog transition in restiad mires	176
5.6 Conclusion	184
Chapter 6: Litter decomposition and nutrient release in a montane wire rush-red tussock mire.	185
6.1 Abstract	186
6.1.1 Introduction	186
6.1.2 Study aims	191
6.2 Methods:	192

6.2.1	General site description	192
6.2.2	Silica Rapids Mire – Previous studies	193
6.2.3	Experimental methods	196
6.3	Results	203
6.3.1	Vegetation community descriptions	203
6.3.2	Mire type and nutrient status of the site	205
6.3.3	Decomposition and litter quality	211
6.4	Discussion	216
6.4.1	A transitional mire	217
6.4.2	Nutrient content of senescing foliar litter	217
6.4.3	Litter Decomposition and Ecosystem Engineering	219
6.5	Conclusions	223
Chapter 7: <i>Empodisma minus</i> as the ecosystem engineer of the New Zealand FBT: a summary		225
Chapter 8: Synthesis		231
8.1	Environmental gradients in New Zealand <i>Empodisma</i> -dominated mires	233
8.2	Capillaroid roots and litter production-the weapons of peat mass production	234
8.3	Conclusions and recommendations for further research	239
Bibliography		241

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Definitions and distinguishing features of New Zealand mire types (adapted from Johnson & Gerbeaux, 2004).	14
Table 2.2 Definitions of physical ecosystem engineering (Jones & Gutiérrez, 2007)	29
Table 2.3: Taxonomy, distribution and habitat of <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> in New Zealand.	37
Table 2.4: Taxonomic and ecological description of New Zealand <i>Empodisma</i> species.	44
Table 3.1: Sites surveyed during the 2002 and 2006 field surveys of New Zealand restiad and tussockland communities.	70
Table 3.2: Summary of substrate chemistry for New Zealand <i>Empodisma minus</i> , <i>Empodisma robustum</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> dominated communities surveyed during 2002 and 2006-07.	71
Table 3.3: Species frequencies in 3 communities surveyed in Waipakihi Swamp, Tongariro National Park, Summer 2008.	77
Table 3.4: Species frequencies in wire rush rushland community surveyed in Ngapehi-o-Waikareiti, Urewera National Park, Summer 2008.	80
Table 3.5 Species frequencies in a manuka/wire rush rush-shrubland community surveyed on the Denniston Plateau, South Island, NZ, March 2008.	84
Table 3.6: Species frequencies in a wire rush rushland community surveyed on the Castle Downs Bog, South Island, NZ, March 2008.	88
Table 3.7 Summary of wetland classification and species composition of the community types identified by cluster analysis of New Zealand mainland restiad wetlands.	97
Table 3.8: Summary of <i>Empodisma</i> biomass, capillaroid root growth and extent of hummock-hollow topography in the six significant vegetation communities identified by cluster analysis of <i>Empodisma</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> wetland communities.	98
Table 3.9: Pearsons correlation coefficients between the environmental variables used in the habitat ordination, with a Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05.	103

Table 3.10: Comparison of foliar nutrient levels in New Zealand wire rushland and tussockland sites. Data presented are summary of all <i>Empodisma minus</i> , <i>Empodisma robustum</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> communities in the 65 New Zealand communities visited ¹ , and sites at which <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> co-occur ² .	109
Table 4.1: Parameters which allow differentiation between allogenic and autogenic mechanisms for crossing the Fen Bog Transition (FBT), including the role of ecosystem engineers (EE), and some examples of papers which apply these parameters to mire studies.	138
Table 4.2: Characters required for a species to be an ecosystem engineer (EE) across the fen-bog transition (FBT). The match to requirements of four potential EE taxa is categorised as good ($\sqrt{}$), bad (X), mixed (\pm), and unknown (?).	140
Table 4.3: Characters required for a species to persist on the bog side of the fen-bog transition (FBT). The match of four potential EE taxa is categorised as good ($\sqrt{}$), bad (X), mixed (\pm), and unknown (?).	145
Table 5.1: Initial size of <i>Empodisma minus</i> plants and Block assignment.	156
Table 5.2: Summary of water quality data for Atawhai No. 2 bore groundwater utilised to maintain water table levels in Experiment (n=2). Analysis performed by PNCC Laboratory, Palmerston North City Council, 08/04/99. Full set of data in Appendix 5.1	159
Table 5.3: Nutrient and water table treatments used in the de Wit Replacement Series during the period Spring 2002- Autumn 2004.	159
Table 5.4: Summary of formulae used in the Replacement Series experiment examining competition between <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> ; adapted from Walck et al. (1999).	163
Table 5.5: Relative Yield (RY), Relative Yield Total (RYT) and Relative Efficiency Index (REI) of live biomass at final harvest of de Wit replacement series of <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> and <i>Empodisma minus</i> in binary mixtures at equal densities.	173
Table 5.6: Summary of Analysis of Variance for <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> at final harvest. Significant effects and interactions of treatments are indicated by ***($p < 0.001$), ** ($p < 0.01$) and * ($p < 0.05$). [†] Tests for significance on <i>Empodisma minus</i>	

root weft measures carried out on untransformed data using multivariate analysis of variance in PERMANOVA (Anderson, 2001). See Table 5.3 for treatment details.	174
Table 5.7: Nitrogen: Phosphorus ratios for live and dead <i>Empodisma</i> biomass in monoculture and binary mixture for each water table and nutrient treatment.	175
Table 6.1: Percentage cover of common vascular and non-vascular species, and substrate chemistry of the three communities, Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park.	206
Table 6.2: Substrate chemistry of the three communities, Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park. Values are means with standard deviations.	208
Table 6.3: Biomass and nutrient content for <i>Empodisma minus</i> and mean tiller weight of <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> at sites within three vegetation communities sampled during May 2007, Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park. 1: Data are means \pm standard deviations where $N > 3$, otherwise only means are presented. Sample numbers varied according to species presence in communities with only 3 Tussockland sites containing <i>Empodisma minus</i> . *Additional data for a northern restiad bog containing <i>Empodisma robustum</i> are presented for comparison (Sharp, 1995), $n=2$.	209
Table 6.4: Initial litter quality of the above- and below-ground litters utilized in the Litter Decomposition Experiment ² . N, P & K analyses are means (* $n=3$), whereas fibre, cellulose and lignin data presented are single analyses, due to high cost of analysis. ^Y	210
Table 6.5: Comparison of soil chemistry parameters (mean values with ranges shown in brackets) for Silica Rapids Mire, TNP compared to wetlands presented by Clarkson <i>et al</i> (2002) ¹ for New Zealand. Note: fen and swamps sites were combined by Clarkson <i>et al</i> (2002), fen sites occupying the lower range of values presented.	211
Table 6.6: PERMANOVA results for %Mass Loss, P, N & K release from <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> litter after 12-months burial, Silica Rapids Mire, TNP.	213
Table 6.7: Mean decay parameters (% weight loss \pm SE, mean k values and litter half life) for <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> above-ground (foliage) and below-ground (rhizome & roots) litters over a 12-month period in Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park. Note: WF = Wet Fen; DF = Dry Fen; and T = Tussockland communities. * Data obtained from Pegman & Ogden (2006), instantaneous decay rates for foliar data at peat surface, over 483 days	215

Table 6.8: Nonparametric Spearman rank-correlated coefficients for litter decay and substrate quality parameters with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Note: No significant correlations were indicated by comparisons. 216

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1: *Empodisma minus* climbing *Chionochloa rubra* ssp. *cuprea* tussocks, Castle Downs Swamp, Southland, February 2007. 40
- Figure 2.2: Tall *Empodisma robustum* canopy, sedgeland fen community, Lake Tomorata, Northland. 46
- Figure 2.3: Abundant, dense *Empodisma robustum* capillaroid roots in upper 10cm hummock peat, sedgeland fen community, Lake Tomorata, Northland. 46
- Figure 2.4: Low *Empodisma minus* canopy, lakeside fen community, Ngapehi-O-Waikareiti, Te Urewera National Park. 47
- Figure 2.5 *Empodisma minus* capillaroid roots in upper 10cm hummock peat (infrequent white roots in upper profile), in wire rush rushland community, Te Papa Frost Flats. 47
- Figure 2.6: *Empodisma minus* hummocks and *Chionochloa rubra* tussocks on edge of Castle Downs Mire, Southland. *Empodisma* has resprouted on the hummocks despite fire and drainage resulting in the exclusion or removal of most other wetland species. 51
- Figure 3.1: A cross section of an *Empodisma minus* hummock from Kaipo Bog, Te Urewera National Park 60
- Figure 3.2: Common species of New Zealand restiad mires:. 62
- Figure 3.3 Location map of 70 sites sampled during the survey of New Zealand restiad wetlands, 2002 and 2006-07. 69
- Figure 3.4: Tissue N and P concentrations for *Empodisma* species and *Chionochloa rubra* from wetland sites around New Zealand (n=70 sites, 3 species). 73
- Figure 3.5: Wire rush rushland community at Waipakihi Swamp in Tongariro National Park. 76
- Figure 3.6: Location map and Google Earth image of the Waipakihi Mire Complex, Tongariro National Park. The area outlined on the maps indicates the eastern side of the complex which was sampled in this study. The mire continues over SH1 to the west, but less organic matter has accumulated compared to the eastern portion of the mire. Similar wire rush rushland communities are present further to the east, the communities separated by expanses of *Chionochloa* tussockland. 78

Figure 3.7: Ngapehi-o-Waikareiti in Urewera National Park.	81
Figure 3.8: Location and Google Earth perspective view of Ngapehi-o-Waikareiti, Te Urewera National Park. The location of the community surveyed is indicated in both images. Lake Waikareiti is located to the south-east of Ngapehi-O-Waikareiti, with Lake Henrietta to the north-west. One of the Ruapani Tarns, Puna Hokoi, is visible west of Lake Waikareiti, though was not sampled as <i>Empodisma minus</i> is not present at the site.	82
Figure 3.9: Manuka/wire rush rush-shrubland surrounded by bare pavement on coal measures, Denniston Plateau, South Island, Summer 2007	85
Figure 3.10: Location map and Google image of the Denniston Plateau, Westland. The location of the communities sampled is indicated on the Google image.	86
Figure 3.11: Castle Downs Bog, Southland, Summer 2008.	89
Figure 3.12: Location map and Google Earth image of Castle Downs, Southland. The large mire is ringed and dissected by drainage ditches and surrounded by agricultural land.	90
Figure 3.13: Examples of substrates underlying <i>Empodisma minus</i> communities in New Zealand.	91
Figure 3.14: Dendrogram of the sites for the 2002 and 2006-07 field survey of New Zealand <i>Empodisma</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> wetland communities using Ward's linkage with the Euclidean distance.	96
Figure 3.15: Tissue N and P concentrations for <i>Empodisma</i> species from significantly clustered communities (Groups 1a-4b) indicated by cluster analysis of wetland sites around New Zealand (n=45 sites, 2 species).	100
Figure 3.16: PCA ordination of 44 sites based on cover of species.	102
Figure 3.17: Box plot summary showing median, upper and lower quartiles for environmental variables for the six significant communities identified in the cluster analysis.	104
Figure 3.18: <i>Empodisma minus</i> community in the western portion of Waipakihi Mire, Tongariro National Park, March 2007. Here <i>Empodisma minus</i> persists in tephra rich soils containing little organic matter, and which are only seasonally inundated.	113

Figure 3.19 <i>Delomedes minor</i> (the nursery web spider) is a large fishing spider endemic to New Zealand. This individual was found inside a hummock at Ngapehi-O-Waikareiti mire, Te Urewera National Park.	113
Figure 3.20: The mire community at Puna Taeo, one of the Ruapani Tarns, Te Urewera National Park (February 2007).	114
Figure 3.21: Bungtown Bog, Otago. A 17.6ha bog part of which is administered by the Department of Conservation as a Scientific Reserve.	120
Figure 5.1: Gathering plant material from Silica Rapids fen, October 2002.	156
Figure 5.2: Plant material and peat cores packed in root trainers for transportation to Massey University, October 2002.	157
Figure 5.3: Artificial wetland in tunnelhouse at Plant Growth Unit, Massey University 2003.	157
Figure 5.4: <i>Empodisma minus</i> apogeotropic roots in a monoculture treatment pot, 2003.	158
Figure 5.5: De Wit replacement series diagrams of Total Biomass Yield (dry weight per pot) for <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> in monoculture and binary mixtures at constant density (4 plants per pot total).	167
Figure 5.6: De Wit replacement series diagrams of Live Foliar Yield (dry weight per pot) for <i>Empodisma minus</i> (E) and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> (C) in monoculture and binary mixtures at constant density (4 plants per pot total).	168
Figure 5.7: Graphic representation of Relative Yield (RY) of Total Biomass of de Wit replacement series of <i>Empodisma minus</i> and <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> in binary mixtures at equal densities (Hodges & Rapson, 2011).	169
Figure 5.8: Tissue N:P ratios in live and dead foliage and rhizomes of <i>Empodisma minus</i> in de Wit replacement experiment illustrating phosphorus enrichment in most foliage, and high phosphorus content of rhizomes.	172
Figure 6.1: Site map of Silica Rapids Mire, Mt Ruapehu, Tongariro National Park. The mire is situated approximately 25 minutes walk along the Silica Rapids walkway.	195
Figure 6.2: Piezometers being installed in the Tussockland community on 5 June 2007 at Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park.	198

Figure 6.3: Capillaroid roots of <i>Empodisma minus</i> near surface of peat from Silica Rapids Mire, TNP. Note the long root hairs on the capillaroid roots in the foreground.	198
Figure 6.4: Weather closing in at the northern end of Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park (June, 2006).	207
Figure 6.5: Looking southeast across the central mire, Silica rapids, Tongariro National Park, May 2007.	207
Figure 6.6: "Wet fen" community at Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park.	207
Figure 6.7: Litter decomposition and rates of N, P and K release in above- and below-ground litters of <i>Chionochloa rubra</i> and <i>Empodisma minus</i> in the three communities at Silica Rapids Mire, Tongariro National Park. Values represented as means and standard errors for each species.	214
Figure 8.1 Dense senesced <i>Empodisma minus</i> culms comprise a large component of the canopy biomass of hummocks at at Waipakihi Mire, Tongariro National Park, March 2013.	238

List of Abbreviations

BD	Bulk density
C:N	Carbon:Nitrogen ratio
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
Cond	Conductivity
EE	Ecosystem Engineer
FBT	Fen-Bog Transition
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer
k	Decay rate constant
LOI	Loss on Ignition
M ₀	Initial mass
Moist	Moisture content
M _t	Final mass
N:P	Nitrogen:Phosphorus ratio
PCA	Principal components analysis
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
REI	Relative Efficiency Index
RYT	Relative Yield Total
TC	Total carbon
TN	Total nitrogen
TK	Total potassium
TP	Total phosphorus
TY	Total Yield
VP	Von Post decomposition index
WCI	Wetland condition index
X ₀	Initial nutrient content
X ₁	Final nutrient content
Y	Yield