

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Appendix D

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

Application for Approval of Request to Embargo a Thesis
(Pursuant to AC98/168 (Revised 2), Approved by Academic Board 17/02/99)

Name of Candidate: David Osborne ID Number: 90114395

Degree: Masters Dept/Institute/School: Defence & Strategic Studies

Thesis title: The Terrorist Cell: A systematic and Comparative Analysis of Interconnectedness between the PIRA, ETA and Hamas Cellular Systems.

Name of Chief Supervisor: DR SIMON ENING-JANE Telephone Ext: 021 440412

As author of the above named thesis, I request that my thesis be embargoed from public access until (date) 1st June 2004 for the following reasons:

- Thesis contains commercially sensitive information.
Thesis contains information which is personal or private and/or which was given on the basis that it not be disclosed.
Immediate disclosure of thesis contents would not allow the author a reasonable opportunity to publish all or part of the thesis.
Other (specify):

Please explain here why you think this request is justified:

REQUIREMENT TO TRAVEL TO US FOR FURTHER CONSOLIDATION OF RESEARCH PRIOR TO PUBLICATION

Signed (Candidate): [Signature] Date: 22/1/04

Endorsed (Chief Supervisor): [Signature] Date: 22/1/04

Approved/Not Approved (Representative of VC): [Signature] Date: 24/3/2004

Note: Copies of this form, once approved by the representative of the Vice-Chancellor, must be bound into every copy of the thesis.

The Terrorist Cell: A Systematic and Comparative Analysis of Interconnectedness between the PIRA, ETA and Hamas Cellular Systems

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

In

Defence and Strategic Studies

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

David T.H. Osborne

2003

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr Simon Ewing-Jarvie for his advice and direction. Thanks are also due to Dr John Tonkin-Covell for his strategic assessments of terrorism, Dr Jeffery Sluka for his detailed insights into the guerrilla mindset and Dr Jim Veech for a thought provoking discussion of religion and terrorism. I would also like to thank the staff at the Centre for Strategic Studies at Victoria University, the staff at the Centre for Defence Studies at Massey and especially the impressive ladies in the Massey library inter-loan office who provide a thankless service.

Finally, yet importantly, I would like to thank my parents who in addition to providing a safe-haven away from the pressures of University life have been supportive of my studies and wayward travel patterns.

This thesis adheres to the Massey University policy on research practices as set out in MOPPS 3.3.4.

The guerrilla fights the war of the flea, and his military enemy suffers the dog's disadvantages: too much to defend; too small, ubiquitous, and agile an enemy to come to grips with. If the war continues long enough – this is the theory – the dog succumbs to exhaustion and anaemia without ever having found anything on which to close his jaws or to rake with his claws.

The War of the Flea, Robert Taber

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables	VII
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Chapter 2 Literature Review and Methodology	3
• Historical Organisations	
• Behavioural and Organisational Theories	
• Systems Decomposition and Localisation	
• The PIRA, ETA and Hamas	
• Statement of Problem	
• Boundaries and Limitations	
• Research Methodology	
Chapter 3 The Cellular System of the PIRA	31
• Contextual History	
• Actors	
• Cell Capital	
• Lifecycle of a Volunteer	
Chapter 4 The Cellular System of ETA	82
• Contextual History	
• Actors	
• Cell Capital	
• Lifecycle of an <i>Etarra</i>	
Chapter 5 The Cellular System of Hamas	138
• Contextual History	
• Actors	
• Cell Capital	
• Lifecycle of an Operative	

Chapter 6	
Comparative Analysis	201
• Actors	
• Cell Capital	
• Lifecycle of a Terrorist	
Chapter 7	
Assessment of Cellular Interconnectedness	236
• Organisational Formation and Popular Support	
• Operational Patterns and the Attack Feedback Loop	
• Counter-Terrorism Measures and Compartmentalization	
• Cellular Predictors	
• Systems Decomposition and Localisation	
Chapter 8	
Conclusions	258
Bibliography	272
Appendices	285
• Appendix A: Research Definitions	
• Appendix B: Analysis of ICT Reports	
• Appendix C: Summary of Cell Effectiveness	

List of Figures

Figure 1: Actors in the Tupamaros Cellular System	16
Figure 2: Systematic Perspective of the Tupamaro Cell	18
Figure 3: Lifecycle of a Tupamaro	19
Figure 4: PIRA Contextual History, 1969-1985	32
Figure 5: Actors influencing the PIRA Cellular System	41
Figure 6: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Compartmentalization	45
Figure 7: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Leadership	50
Figure 8: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Commitment	54
Figure 9: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Organisational Formation	63
Figure 10: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Operational Patterns	73
Figure 11: PIRA Systems Decomposition of Counter-Terrorism Measures	80
Figure 12: Lifecycle of a PIRA Volunteer	81
Figure 13: ETA Contextual History, 1973-1984	83
Figure 14: Actors influencing the ETA Cellular System	92
Figure 15: ETA Systems Decomposition of Compartmentalization	96
Figure 16: ETA Systems Decomposition of Leadership	104
Figure 17: ETA Systems Decomposition of Commitment	108
Figure 18: ETA Systems Decomposition of Organisational Formation	118
Figure 19: ETA Systems Decomposition of Operational Patterns	128
Figure 20: ETA Systems Decomposition of CounterTerrorism Measures	135
Figure 21: Lifecycle of an Etarra	136
Figure 22: Hamas Contextual History, 1987-2001	140
Figure 23: Actors influencing the Hamas Cellular System	151
Figure 24: Hamas Systems Decomposition of Compartmentalization	156
Figure 25: Hamas Systems Decomposition of Leadership	164
Figure 26: Hamas Systems Decomposition of Commitment	169
Figure 27: Hamas Decomposition of Organisational Formation	180
Figure 28: Hamas Systems Decomposition of Operational Patterns	190
Figure 29: Hamas Systems Decomposition of CounterTerrorism Measures	197
Figure 30: Lifecycle of a Hamas Operative	199
Figure 31: Generic Feedback Loop for Compartmentalization	209
Figure 32: Generic Feedback Loop for Leadership	211

Figure 33: Generic Feedback Loop for Commitment	215
Figure 34: Generic Feedback Loop for Popular Support	218
Figure 35: Generic Feedback Loop for Recruitment	221
Figure 36: Generic Feedback Loop for Training	223
Figure 37: Generic Feedback Loop for Logistics	225
Figure 38: Generic Feedback Loop for Intelligence	227
Figure 39: Generic Feedback Loop for Attacks	231
Figure 40: Generic Organisational Formation of a Cell	240
Figure 41: Generic Operational Patterns of a Cell	248
Figure 42: Generic Model of Primary Feedback Mechanisms for Cell Effectiveness	253
Figure 43: Summary of Cell Effectiveness	294

List of Tables

Table 1: Summary of Components and Interconnectedness	201
Table 2: Comparative Analysis of Actors	202
Table 3: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Compartmentalization	204
Table 4: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Leadership	209
Table 5: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Commitment	212
Table 6: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Popular Support	215
Table 7: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Recruitment	218
Table 8: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Training	221
Table 9: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Logistics	223
Table 10: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Intelligence	226
Table 11: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Attacks	228
Table 12: Comparative Feedback Analysis of Counter -Terrorism Measures	232
Table 13: Comparative Feedback Analysis of the Terrorist lifecycle	234