

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Variability in the breeding ecology of Australasian gannets,
Morus serrator, at Cape Kidnappers, New Zealand**

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Ecology

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Brent Mark Stephenson

2005

Abstract

The Australasian gannet, *Morus serrator*, a member of the Family Sulidae, primarily inhabits New Zealand and Australian coastal waters. It is considered to be closely related to the Atlantic gannet, *Morus bassana*, in the North Atlantic, and Cape gannet, *Morus capensis*, in South African waters, with which it shares similar ecological niches.

Although often described as relatively well studied, much of our current knowledge of Australasian gannets has been derived from anecdotal observations, and irregular visits to breeding colonies. Few studies have derived information from continuous observations of known individuals over consecutive breeding seasons. Conversely, studies of Atlantic gannets, in particular, have been conducted on a far more rigorous basis. Thus, parallels drawn between the three species, and particularly Atlantic and Australasian gannets, may or may not be accurate.

This study is the first to document three complete and consecutive breeding seasons (1999-00, 2000-01, and 2001-02), using marked nests at the Plateau colony, Cape Kidnappers, New Zealand. Birds were individually marked and I investigated their breeding ecology, and in particular the annual variability in success and other breeding variables. Contrasting with previous studies, I found that the onset of egg laying differed little between years, and although laying was less synchronous in one season, it was highly synchronous in the other two seasons. This is similar to the Atlantic gannet in which the onset of laying is similar year to year, and laying is also highly synchronised. At least for the Australasian gannet, the timing of egg laying does not appear to be linked to sea surface temperature near the colony. However, both species appear to time egg laying to allow chick rearing to coincide with a predictably timed peak in prey availability. Further in depth study is required to confirm this for the Australasian gannet breeding in New Zealand. The use of back-dating to estimate the onset of egg laying from hatching dates and chick ages was also tested and found to provide a useful estimate.

Although previous studies of Australasian gannets have suggested highly variable breeding success, with almost complete breeding failures in some years, this study is the first to document this, and explore possible reasons. Previous studies have suggested human disturbance at breeding colonies as being the cause, with little evidence provided. However,

I found in 1999-00, there was considerable egg and chick losses as a result of environmental conditions causing adult and chick starvation and desertion of eggs and young chicks, and productivity was only 9%. A similar pattern, although less severe, occurred in the following season, but conditions improved during the chick rearing stage resulting in 55% productivity. In 2001-02, environmental conditions were stable, resulting in high hatching success, however, an unseasonal storm resulted in considerable chick mortality, and productivity was again low at only 13%. Thus, productivity during the three seasons studied varied markedly, being very low in two of the three seasons as a consequence of environmental conditions, with no evidence of human disturbance. This is the first study which has linked the environment to variable breeding success in this species, and its findings contrast considerably with the invariably high breeding success of the Atlantic gannet. Retrospective analysis of previous Australasian gannet studies suggests this natural link between the environment and breeding success has been apparent, but not recognised, since the 1940s. Links between specific environmental factors and breeding failures are yet to be determined.

I established that calculated egg volume is a good predictor of fresh egg mass, allowing analysis of eggs through the use of linear measurements, when fresh egg mass is not known. For all seasons combined mean egg volume was 89.2 mm^3 , whilst a mean incubation period of 45 days is similar to other Australasian gannet studies. Changes in specific gravity during egg development did not allow accurate determination of egg laying dates, with 12.7% of fresh egg mass being lost during development. Eggs laid both within and between seasons by individual females were highly correlated in all measures (length, width, shape, and volume). These egg measures generally showed a negative correlation with laying date, at least in the last two breeding seasons.

Egg volume was positively correlated with chick mass at hatching, although chick growth rates did not seem correlated with egg volume. However, in 2000-01, chicks that survived to fledging were significantly heavier on day-one, despite hatching from similar volume eggs. For chicks that fledged successfully, those that hatched later in the season increased in mass more slowly than chicks that hatched early. However, the reverse trend was found for wing length, possibly as a consequence of wing development being more important than mass increase.

Linked to the breeding failures in 1999-00, were low nest attendance rates by adults and the lowest recorded body mass for adult Australasian gannets in this or previous studies.

Similarly, first egg volumes in this first season were significantly lower, and fewer lost eggs were replaced. Chick growth rates also varied between breeding seasons. Early development of chicks (0-11 days) was slower in 1999-00 than in the other two seasons (slower even than chicks that did not fledge in the other seasons). Growth rates of older chicks (20+ days) that survived to fledge, however, were actually greatest in that season. This suggests that older or more experienced adults, who were better able to provision chicks through poor foraging conditions, were then able to raise faster developing chicks once conditions improved.

The evidence presented in this thesis demonstrates that the 1999-00 breeding season was a difficult one for breeding Australasian gannets at Cape Kidnappers. Furthermore, information derived from this three season study, along with analysis of historical studies, suggests that the Australasian gannet differs markedly to the Atlantic gannet in many respects. Most notable is the occurrence of pronounced breeding failures in some years, apparently influenced by environmental conditions. This seems to be a consequence of a more variable environment, involving variability in weather, oceanographic conditions, and prey availability and abundance.

Acknowledgements

Many people have made this project and thesis possible. Firstly, without the help and patience of my supervisors Ed Minot, Brian Springett, Christopher J.R. Robertson, and J. Bryan Nelson this work would not have been possible. They have been fantastic in providing support, discussion of ideas, providing feedback on my drafts and generally being there when I needed a hand. Barb Just and Erica Reid from the Ecology Group, Massey University, have both been fantastic in providing logistical, financial, and technical support when needed, and I'm very appreciative of their efforts.

When devising the project, I sought the expertise and knowledge of one of New Zealand's most prominent seabird biologists. Christopher J.R. Robertson has been a huge influence on the entire project, from start to finish, and without his help and support this study would not have been what it is.

Thanks must also go to Robert Fisher, who owned Summerlee Station during the fieldwork component of this project, and Alec Tuanui, Farm Manager of Summerlee Station. They allowed me access to the Cape Kidnappers gannetry via the Station, and helped with logistical support throughout my study. The East Coast Hawke's Bay Conservancy of the Department of Conservation provided generous support, and in particular I acknowledge the help of Ken Hunt (Area Manager), Ian King, John Adams, and, John Cheyne who allowed access to the Cape cottage and permits to carry out work in this project possible. I would also like to thank Michael Neilson and his crew from Gannet Safaris, who often shared conversation, their coffee and biscuits, and made the Cape a little less lonely on many occasions. I am grateful to Kevin McGill and others at National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) for providing weather data from the nearby Napier and Mahia Weather stations.

My project was also made possible with financial help from Massey University in the form of a Doctoral Scholarship. Smaller grants came from the Ornithological Society of New Zealand's Project Assistance fund and the Massey University Graduate Research Fund. Grants from the Ecology Group Development Fund (on several occasions) and the New Zealand Ornithological Congress Trust Board allowed me to attend overseas conferences.

Many people helped me in the field over the three seasons, and without them fieldwork would have been lonelier and more difficult. In no particular order I'd like to show my appreciation to the following people for helping me – Tamsin Ward-Smith, Naoya Nomura, Jean and John Stephenson, Bruce Robertson, Christopher J.R. Robertson, Ed Minot, Troy Makan, John Adams, Hans Rook, Michelle Howard, Eleanor Robertson, John Ewen, Doug Armstrong, Adel Coetzee, and Nikki MacArthur. Thanks also to Hans Rook and Louise McNamara for the conversation, cups of tea, and cake, during their stays at the Cape. Several people were important during the editing stage of this thesis, and I would especially like to thank Ed Minot and Tamsin Ward-Smith for their help.

During the 'extended' write-up period, a lot of people have helped me on my way, provided assistance where necessary, and the will to continue, they know who they are, and I thank them. I would especially like to say a big thank you to my parents for spurring me along and helping out where needed, and especially to my wife Adel for being so tolerant and patient. Sometimes it felt like the end was so far away, but I have received a huge amount of support, for which I am very grateful.

Preface

This thesis has been written as a series of self-contained chapters, which will form the basis of a number of papers to be submitted to refereed scientific journals. Each chapter is therefore written as a fully referenced self-contained paper, and investigates specific components of the breeding biology of Australasian gannets. Because of this, there is some overlap between chapters, but essentially they each provide new information towards different components of the breeding biology of Australasian gannets' at Cape Kidnappers.

I conducted the fieldwork, most of the statistical analyses, and have written each chapter. My supervisors have contributed throughout the thesis with help during the fieldwork, analysis, and write-up stages of the study. I have also included several appendices at the end of the thesis. Appendix 1 provides an extra analysis of egg measurements relevant to Chapter 5.

Contents

<i>Abstract</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>ix</i>

Chapter 1 - Introduction: the Sulidae, the Australasian gannet, and Cape Kidnappers

The Order Pelecaniformes and the Sulidae.....	1
Overview of the Sulidae	3
Estimated numbers and population change of Australasian gannets	4
Why such a small population at the start of the century, and what has caused the increase? ..	7
Australasian gannet biology: an overview.....	9
Atlantic and Australasian gannets – the validity of previous comparisons	11
Comparison of environmental variability at Atlantic and Australasian gannet colonies ...	13
The Cape Kidnappers gannetry: a profile of the study site	16
A brief history of the gannetry	16
The study site	19
Aims of this thesis	20
References cited	25

Chapter 2 - The breeding phenology of Australasian gannets, *Morus serrator*, at Cape Kidnappers

Introduction	31
Methods	34
Results	37
Colony attendance	37
Egg laying.....	37
Timing of first and replacement eggs.....	37
Distribution of egg laying.....	40
Estimating the onset of laying from chick ages mid-season.....	41
Laying date of individual females.....	42
Time of day eggs were laid.....	42
Comparison of laying dates between Cape Kidnappers colonies	42
Chick hatching and fledging.....	43
Sea surface temperature and the onset of laying.....	43
Discussion.....	44
Colony attendance	44
Egg laying.....	45
Onset of laying	45
Distribution of egg laying.....	48
Estimating the onset of laying from chick ages mid-season.....	51
Laying date of individual females.....	51
Time of day eggs were laid.....	52
Comparison of laying dates between Cape Kidnappers colonies	52
Chick hatching and fledging.....	53
Sea surface temperature and the onset of laying.....	54
Conclusions	55
References cited.....	56

Chapter 3 - High variability in the breeding success of Australasian gannets, *Morus serrator*, at Cape Kidnappers

Introduction.....	61
Methods	64
Results	68
Hatching success	68
Effect of laying date and egg volume on hatching success.....	70
Fledging success.....	72
Effect of laying date and egg volume on fledging success	75
Breeding success and productivity	76
Effect of laying date and egg volume on breeding success	76
Peaks in egg failure and chick mortality.....	78
Depredation by black-backed gulls	81
Sea surface temperatures near the colony.....	82
Comparison of breeding data with previous studies at Cape Kidnappers	84
Discussion.....	85
Hatching success	85
Effect of laying date and egg volume on hatching success.....	87
Fledging success.....	88
Effect of laying date and egg volume on fledging success	91
Breeding success and productivity	92
Effect of laying date and egg volume on breeding success	96
Peaks in egg failure and chick mortality.....	96
Depredation by black-backed gulls	97
Sea surface temperatures near the colony.....	99
Comparison of breeding data with previous studies at Cape Kidnappers	100
Conclusions	101
References cited	102

Chapter 4 - Australasian gannet, *Morus serrator*, eggs at Cape Kidnappers, New Zealand: size characteristics and changes during development

Introduction	109
Methods	112
Egg size characteristics	112
Incubation period, specific gravity and water loss.....	112
Results	114
Egg size characteristics	114
Incubation period, specific gravity and water loss.....	116
Discussion.....	119
Egg size characteristics	119
Incubation period, specific gravity and water loss.....	122
References cited.....	125

Chapter 5 - Annual variability in the breeding biology of Australasian gannets, *Morus serrator*, at Cape Kidnappers: 1 adult attendance and egg size

Introduction	129
Methods	131
Results	134
Egg laying and colony attendance	134
Adult mass	137
Variation in egg size – intra- and inter-seasonal trends	138
Intra-seasonal trends.....	139
Inter-seasonal trends amongst individual females	139
Within season comparisons of first and second eggs for individual females.....	141
Egg replacement and delay period.....	143
Discussion.....	147
Egg laying and colony attendance	147
Adult mass	150
Variation in egg size – intra- and inter-seasonal trends	152
Intra-seasonal trends.....	152
Inter-seasonal trends amongst individual females	153
Egg replacement and delay period.....	156
References cited.....	159

Chapter 6 - Annual variability in the breeding biology of Australasian gannets, *Morus serrator*, at Cape Kidnappers: II chick growth

Introduction.....	165
Methods	168
Results	171
Effects of egg volume on chick development.....	171
Development of chicks in relation to date of laying.....	173
Relationship between mass at hatching and fledging success	175
Differences in mass increase between chicks that fledged and did not fledge	177
Annual variation in chick LGR	177
Discussion.....	182
Effects of egg volume on chick development.....	182
Development of chicks in relation to date of laying.....	184
Relationship between mass at hatching and fledging success	185
Differences in mass increase between chicks that fledged and did not fledge	187
Annual variation in chick LGR	188
References cited	191
 <i>Chapter 7 – General discussion.....</i>	 197
 <i>Appendix 1.....</i>	 209

