

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

BOVINE PESTIVIRUS DISEASE :
AN INVESTIGATION OF A SEVERE OUTBREAK OF
BOVINE VIRAL DIARRHOEA VIRUS INFECTION
IN CALVES IN NEW ZEALAND.

PETER D. ANDERSON B.V.Sc.

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF VETERINARY STUDIES
IN
INFECTIOUS DISEASES

AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH, NEW ZEALAND.

OCTOBER 2000

ERRATA

The following are corrections to the text since the thesis went to print :-

Page no. xi, line12 - **VIAS** should be “Victorian Institute of Animal Science”

Page no. 66, line1 - “Kruskat” should be “Kruskal”

Page no. 26, line8 - “Peyers patches” should be “Peyer’s patches

Page no. 38, line 4 - “thosand” should be “thousand”

Page no. 101, line 11 - “severe pathology” should be “severe pathological changes”

ABSTRACT

An outbreak of bovine pestivirus disease, in which there was high mortality (37%) in 102 calves, was investigated. It was postulated that the severity of the outbreak may have been due to the presence of a highly virulent strain of bovine viral diarrhoea virus.

Nine calves from the field outbreak were transported to Massey University for detailed clinical, post-mortem and laboratory examination. Samples were also submitted from a further three animals on the farm.

The results of immunological and virological studies indicated that seven calves had acute bovine viral diarrhoea virus infection and five calves had mucosal disease. Although the mucosal disease cases showed more severe clinical signs, lesions were widespread in both groups.

A non-cytopathic bovine viral diarrhoea virus isolate from one calf was used as the challenge virus in a transmission experiment designed to investigate the pathogenicity of this strain. The 11 calves used in this experiment comprised of four unvaccinated, challenged calves, four vaccinated calves (two challenged, two in-contact), two unvaccinated, in-contact calves and a control (neither vaccinated nor in-contact). The experiment took place over a month, allowing multiple clinical examinations and sampling procedures to be carried out before necropsy.

The challenge virus caused mild disease, with lesions similar to those reported in experiments in which Type 1 bovine viral diarrhoea virus isolates were used. Following experimental challenge, virus was not recovered from the calves, but a serological diagnosis of bovine viral diarrhoea virus infection was made by demonstrating a greater than fourfold rise in titre of bovine viral diarrhoea virus antibody in all challenged calves. There were only minor changes in haematological indices in challenged calves. The six challenged calves showed two distinctive lesions in intestinal sections. These were crypt necrosis (of glands of Lieburkuhn) and cryptal prolapse (herniation of crypts into the submucosal site of Peyer's patches depleted of lymphocytes). In the disease outbreak, these lesions were only observed in the mucosal disease cases.

Focal haemorrhages at sites of lymphocytic nodules were found in the nasal cavity of all challenged and vaccinated calves in the transmission experiment, but not in the unvaccinated, in-contact calves or the control calf. These lesions have not been reported in natural infections.

Vaccination was only partially protective, and there was evidence of spread of bovine viral diarrhoea virus infection to one vaccinated, in-contact calf. Scoring of histological lesions allowed a measurement of the effect of vaccination. There was a 60% reduction in the total histological lesion score in the four vaccinated calves (two challenged, two in-contact) when compared with the four unvaccinated, challenged animals.

It was concluded that the high mortality seen in the calves in the field outbreak was due to mucosal disease, and that this was consequential to a high infection rate in the dams during pregnancy at a time when the foetuses were at risk of becoming persistently infected (45-125 days of gestation).

The pathological “fingerprint” for bovine viral diarrhoea virus infection was found to be the concomitant finding of three lesions at necropsy. Firstly, erosive lesions in the squamous epithelium of the upper alimentary tract. Secondly, catarrhal enteritis, with the distinctive and characteristic microscopic lesions of crypt necrosis and cryptal prolapse. Thirdly, lymphoid tissue lesions, especially lymph node enlargement, lymphoid depletion and inflammation of Peyer’s patches.

Despite the difficulties in pathotyping the challenge virus in the transmission experiment, there was little evidence that it was a Type 2 strain. Genetic typing of this virus, by sequencing of polymerase chain reaction products, would be useful in determining its place in the phylogeny of bovine pestiviruses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences for providing the facilities used, as well as some financial assistance (Post Graduate Research Fund).

The two major sponsors for this study were The Dairy Cattle Society of the New Zealand Veterinary Association and Merial Ltd, New Zealand. Their support was greatly appreciated.

Many thanks must go to my three supervisors for their guidance, encouragement and friendship throughout the project. I would like to thank my Chief Supervisor, Dr. Colin R. Wilks for his sharing of knowledge on bovine pestivirus disease and also his enthusiastic approach to infectious diseases in general. I wish to thank Dr Joanne Meers for her assistance in the financing and planning of my thesis, as well as her expert guidance in the virological aspects of the work. Thanks also to Dr. Maurice R. Alley for his diligent assistance with the large pathology sections in my thesis.

The nature of this study lead to the widespread use of technical assistance. Not only was this specialist expertise most appreciated, but the cheerful approach of those concerned helped make the study a most enjoyable one. Thanks to Faris Sharpe (necropsy), Pam Slack and Pat Davey (histo. slide preparation), Laurie Sandel (virology), Kylie Walker and Magda Gwozdz (bacteriology) and Barbara Adlington and Shirley Calder (parasitology).

I would like to kindly thank the farmer, Neville Arden, and his veterinarian, Dr. Jon J. Le Gal, for providing me with the material that allowed the start to my investigation.

A thank you is also due to the manager of Riverside Farm, Neil Kilmuster, for his assistance with the selection of calves for the transmission experiment.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their support during this project, especially my fiance, Julie Vickery, for her encouragement during the long and arduous writing-up procedure.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures.....	viii
List of Appendices.....	ix
List of Abbreviations.....	x

CHAPTER ONE

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.....	1
1.1 THE VIRUS.....	1
1.2 THE BIOTYPES OF BVDV.....	3
1.2.1 Laboratory definition and pathogenicity.....	3
1.2.2 Tissue tropism.....	4
1.2.3 Serology and molecular biology.....	4
1.3 HISTORY.....	5
1.4 SEQUELAE TO INFECTION.....	6
1.4.1 Acute BVDV infections.....	6
1.4.2 Mixed BVDV infections.....	8
1.4.3 BVDV infections around mating.....	8
1.4.4 BVDV infection during pregnancy.....	11
1.4.5 Mucosal Disease.....	16
1.4.6 Type 2 BVDV infection.....	19
1.4.7 Summary of clinical syndromes.....	21
1.5 IMMUNOSUPPRESSION.....	23
1.5.1 Pathogenesis of immunosuppression.....	23
1.5.2 Immunosuppression and respiratory disease.....	23
1.5.3 Persistent infection and immune status.....	25
1.5.4 Immunosuppression and other pathogens.....	25
1.6 DIAGNOSIS OF BVDV INFECTION.....	26
1.6.1 Detection of antibodies.....	26
1.6.2 Detection of virus or viral components.....	27
1.6.3 Application of tests to clinical cases.....	28
1.7 EPIDEMIOLOGY.....	30
1.7.1 Prevalence of infected animals.....	30
1.7.2 Prevalence of infected herds.....	31
1.7.3 Incidence of infection.....	32
1.7.4 Geographic differences in epidemiology.....	32
1.7.5 Sources of infection.....	33
1.7.6 Methods of transmission.....	34
1.7.7 Transmission patterns.....	35
1.7.8 Sequence of clinical manifestations following herd infection.....	37
1.7.9 Diagnosis of BVDV at the herd level.....	37
1.7.10 Economic losses resulting from BVDV infection.....	38
1.8 CONTROL.....	39
1.8.1 Vaccination.....	39

1.8.2	Controlled exposure to PI animals.....	45
1.8.3	Elimination of infection.....	45
1.8.4	Control of BVDV in N.Z.....	49
HISTORY AND OBJECTIVES OF THIS THESIS.....		52
CHAPTER TWO		
GENERAL METHODS AND MATERIALS.....		53
2.1	COLLECTION AND PROCESSING OF BLOOD SAMPLES.....	53
2.2	TRANSPORT, HOUSING AND FEEDING OF CALVES.....	53
2.3	EXAMINATION OF CALVES.....	54
2.4	EUTHANASIA OF CALVES.....	54
2.5	NECROPSY.....	54
2.6	VIROLOGY.....	55
2.6.1	Cell culture techniques.....	55
2.6.2	Virus isolation.....	56
2.6.3	Virus neutralisation test.....	57
CHAPTER THREE		
INVESTIGATION OF A FIELD OUTBREAK.....		60
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	60
3.2	HISTORY.....	61
3.2.1	Clinical signs.....	61
3.2.2	Pathology.....	62
3.2.3	Virology and serology.....	62
3.2.4	Diagnosis.....	63
3.3	METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	63
3.3.1	General experimental method.....	63
3.3.2	Antigen.....	64
3.3.3	Antibody.....	64
3.3.4	Haematology.....	65
3.3.5	Necropsy.....	65
3.3.6	Virus isolation.....	65
3.3.7	Statistical analysis.....	66
3.4	RESULTS.....	66
3.4.1	Clinical signs.....	66
3.4.2	Clinical pathology.....	67
3.4.3	Pathology.....	70
	(a) Gross findings.....	70
	(b) Histopathology.....	74
	(c) Summary of pathology.....	80
3.4.4	Microbiology results.....	90
3.4.5	Antigen and antibody results.....	90
3.4.6	Virus isolation results.....	92
3.5	DISCUSSION.....	93
3.6	SUMMARY.....	100

CHAPTER FOUR	
EXPERIMENTAL TRANSMISSION OF A PESTIVIRUS.....	102
4.1 INTRODUCTION	102
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	103
4.2.1 Experimental design.....	103
4.2.2 Propagation of virus.....	104
4.2.3 Titration of virus	105
4.2.3 Antigen and antibody testing.....	105
4.2.4 Clinical observations.....	106
4.2.5 Haematology.....	106
4.2.6 Parasitology	107
4.2.7 Histology	107
4.2.8 Virus isolation.....	107
4.2.9 Statistical analysis.....	108
4.3 RESULTS.....	108
4.3.1 Titration of virus	108
4.3.2 Clinical signs	108
4.3.3 Clinical pathology.....	113
4.3.4 Necropsy.....	117
4.3.5 Histopathology.....	120
4.3.6 Parasitology results	135
4.3.7 Microbiology results	135
4.3.8 Antigen and antibody results	135
4.3.9 Virus isolation and antigen detection.....	138
4.4 DISCUSSION.....	138
4.4.1 Comparison with other experimental infections.....	138
4.4.2 BVD infection status.....	140
4.4.3 Effect of vaccination.....	144
4.4.4 Pathogenesis of BVDV lesions.....	146
(a) Lymph node lesions.....	146
(b) Abomasal lesions	147
(d) Lesions in the intestine.....	147
(e) Lesions in the respiratory tract.....	148
4.5 SUMMARY.....	149
CHAPTER FIVE	
GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	151
REFERENCES.....	158
APPENDICES.....	177

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Increased reproductive losses in cattle infected with bovine pestivirus around time of insemination.....	10
Table 1.2	Summary of the clinical manifestations of bovine pestivirus disease.....	22
Table 1.3	Progress of eradication programs in dairy herds in Scandinavia and Finland.....	48
Table 3.1	Clinical signs.....	66
Table 3.2	Haematology results - <i>Haemogram</i>	68
Table 3.3	Haematology results - <i>White Blood Cells</i>	69
Table 3.4	Non-erosive gross lesions seen at necropsy of affected calves.....	73
Table 3.5	Histological lesions in the squamous epithelium of the GIT.....	75
Table 3.6	Histopathology of intestinal lesions.....	77
Table 3.7	Histological lesions in lymph nodes.....	78
Table 3.8a	Summary of histological lesion scores in field outbreak calves <i>On reverse of page</i>	79
Table 3.8b	Interpretive summary of the gross and histological lesions.....	81
Table 3.9	Antigen, antibody and virology results.....	91
Table 3.10	Antigen test vs disease status.....	96
Table 4.1	Experimental design.....	104
Table 4.2	Clinical observations.....	106
Table 4.3	Cardinal signs of challenged and in-contact calves.....	109
Table 4.4	Mean weight gain of calves following inoculation.....	111
Table 4.5	Other clinical signs in experimental calves. <i>On reverse of page</i>	112
Table 4.6	Group means for platelets.....	113
Table 4.7	Group means for white blood cells.....	115
Table 4.8	Group means for lymphocytes.....	115
Table 4.9	Gross lesions seen at necropsy of experimental calves.....	118
Table 4.10	Scores for histological lesions in the squamous epithelium of the upper alimentary tract. <i>On reverse of page</i>	120
Table 4.11	Scores for histological lesions in the abomasum. <i>On reverse of page</i>	121
Table 4.12	Scores for histological lesions in the intestine. <i>On reverse of page</i>	122
Table 4.13	Scores for histological lesions in lymph nodes. <i>On reverse of page</i>	123
Table 4.14	Scores for histological lesions in the respiratory tract. <i>On reverse of page</i>	126
Table 4.15	Summary of histological lesion scores for each organ system. <i>On reverse of page</i>	127
Table 4.16	Antibody levels by SNT in transmission experiment.....	136
Table 4.17	BVD infection status based on pathological findings.....	140

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Diagrammatic representation of final protein products of non-cytopathic and cytopathic bovine pestiviruses	5
Fig. 1.2	Typical virus detection and specific antibody response following acute BVDV infection of calves	7
Fig. 1.3	The consequences of bovine foetal infection with BVDV	12
Fig. 3.1	Distribution of erosions and/or ulcers in upper GIT	71
Fig. 3.2	Hard palate of calf 12	82
Fig. 3.3	Soft palate of calf 12	82
Fig. 3.4	Calf 24, ileum	83
Fig. 3.5	Calf 96, ileum	83
Fig. 3.6	Calf 43, ileum	84
Fig. 3.7	Calf 39, large intestine	84
Fig. 3.8	Calf 66, ileum	85
Fig. 3.9	Calf 15, abomasum	85
Fig. 3.10	Calf 26, tongue	86
Fig. 3.11	Calf 28, gum ulcer	86
Fig. 3.12	Calf 96, omasum	87
Fig. 3.13	Calf 12, interdigital cleft	87
Fig. 3.14	Calf 67, mesenteric lymph node	88
Fig. 3.15	Calf 15, posterior cerebrum	88
Fig. 3.16	Bovine embryonic lung (Belu) cell culture. Control plate	89
Fig. 3.17	Buffy coat sample from calf 12 on Belu cells. Second pass, showing severe cytopathic effect	89
Fig. 4.1	Group means for platelet counts	114
Fig. 4.2	Group means for W.B.C. counts	116
Fig. 4.3	Group means for lymphocyte counts	116
Fig. 4.4	Erosions: (a) observed at necropsy, (b) confirmed histologically... <i>Reverse of page</i>	119
Fig. 4.5	Oesophagus, calf 301	129
Fig. 4.6	Palatine tonsil, calf 332	129
Fig. 4.7	Nasal cavity, calf 301	130
Fig. 4.8	Nasal epithelium, calf 301	130
Fig. 4.9	Hard palate, challenged calf (No.340)	131
Fig. 4.10	Peyers patch, ileum, from challenged calf (No. 301)	131
Fig. 4.11	Ileum, control calf (No. 141)	132
Fig. 4.12	Ileum, challenged calf (No. 306)	132
Fig. 4.13	Mandibular lymph node, control calf (No. 141)	133
Fig. 4.14	Mandibular lymph node, vaccinated and challenged calf (No.324)	133
Fig. 4.15	Anterior nasal cavity, control calf (No. 141)	134
Fig. 4.16	Palatine tonsils, challenged calf (No. 301)	134
Fig. 4.17	Antibody responses in vaccinated group	137
Fig. 4.18	Antibody in unvaccinated, challenged group	138
Fig. 4.19	Proposals for negative antigen results	143

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 2.1	Reagents used for cell culture.....	177
Appendix 3.1	Virology samples collected from field outbreak calves.....	179
Appendix 4.1	Pestivirus transmission experiment - samples collected at necropsy.....	181
Appendix 4.2	Weight in kilograms of calves in transmission experiment	183
Appendix 4.3.1	Results of haematological tests on red blood cells; Calves challenged with BVD virus.....	184
Appendix 4.3.2	Results of haematological tests on red blood cells.; Calves not challenged with BVD virus.....	185
Appendix 4.4.1	Results of haematological tests on platelets, <i>etc.</i> ; Calves challenged with BVD virus.....	186
Appendix 4.4.2	Results of haematological tests on platelets, <i>etc.</i> ; Calves not challenged with BVD virus	187
Appendix 4.5.1	Results of haematological tests on white blood cells; Calves challenged with BVD virus.....	188
Appendix 4.5.2	Results of haematological tests on white blood cells; Calves not challenged with BVD virus.....	189
Appendix 4.6	Infiltration of the intestinal mucosa (lamina propria) by inflammatory cells.....	190
Appendix 4.7	Parasitology results in transmission experiment	191

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	artificial insemination
ATV	antibiotic-trypsin-versene
AV-4	averonite-four
BAHL	Batchelor Animal Health Laboratory
Belu	bovine embryonic lung
BDV	border disease virus
BRS	bovine respiratory syncytial
BRSV	bovine respiratory syncytial virus
BVD	bovine virus (or viral) diarrhoea
BVDV	bovine virus (or viral) diarrhoea virus
CAHL	Central Animal Health Laboratory
CNS	central nervous system
CP	cytopathic
CPE	cytopathic effect
DMSO	dimethyl sulphoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
EBL	enzootic bovine leucosis
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay
E-MEM	Eagles minimum essential medium
ES	equine serum
FEC	faecal egg count
GIT	gastro-intestinal tract
GM	growth medium
H&E	haemotoxylin and eosin
IBR	infectious bovine rhinotracheitis
IBRV	infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus
IgG	immunoglobulin G
IP	immunoperoxidase
ISCOMs	immune-stimulating complexes
MCF	malignant catarrhal fever
MD	mucosal disease
MDBK	Madin Darby bovine kidney
MLV	modified-live virus
MM	maintenance medium
NADL	National Agriculture Department Laboratory
NCDI	National Centre for Disease Investigation
NCP	non-cytopathic
NY	New York
NY-1	New York-one
NZ	New Zealand
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polmerase chain reaction
PI	persistently infected
p.i.	post-infection
PI3	parainfluenza-3
Pp	Peyer's patch

PSK	penicillin-streptomycin-kanamycin
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SFV	swine fever virus
SN	serum neutralisation
SNT	serum neutralisation test
SPF	specific pathogen free
TCID₅₀	tissue culture infective dose (50%)
TPB	tryptose phosphate broth
UAT	upper alimentary tract
URT	upper respiratory tract
USA	United States of America
VIAS	Veterinary Institute of Agricultural Science
WBC	white blood cell