

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Demography and distribution of the  
North Island robin  
(*Petroica longipes*) in a fragmented  
agricultural landscape  
of New Zealand**



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of

Doctorate of Philosophy  
in  
Ecology

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.

**Yvan Richard**

**2007**



North Island robin (*Petroica longipes*)

# Abstract

---

Habitat loss and fragmentation are recognised worldwide as contributing to declines and extinctions of species. However, the biological factors underlying the effects of fragmentation are still often poorly understood, possibly due to the diversity of scales and approaches taken by researchers. I propose in this thesis an integrative approach that can be applied to any taxa and landscape, using a metapopulation of North Island robins (*Petroica longipes*) inhabiting forest patches of a fragmented agricultural landscape of New Zealand. In particular, I attempt to integrate the effects of habitat fragmentation on both habitat quality and the dispersal-driven broad scale dynamics of populations. I first analysed the distribution of robins based on presence-absence data, relating presence-absence to local habitat factors as well as size and isolation of forest patches (Chapter 2). Their distribution was found to be primarily limited by the isolation of forest patches, but was also related to some habitat factors. However, habitat fragmentation was not found to affect habitat quality, as the factors found to affect survival and productivity were unrelated to size and isolation, independent from the size or isolation of forest patches (Chapter 3). Based on the radio-tracking of juvenile robins, I applied a choice analysis technique to show that robins need woody vegetation for their natal dispersal and that they are unlikely to cross stretches of pasture greater than 150 m (Chapter 4). Juveniles dispersed a median Euclidean distance of 1129 m with a maximum of 11 km, whereas I predicted from the data that they would have dispersed a median distance of 3 km in continuous forest with a maximum of 20 km (Chapter 5). The consequences of this dispersal limitation and of variations in habitat quality were assessed using a spatially-explicit individual-based metapopulation model that incorporated realistic gap-limited dispersal behaviour of juvenile robins (Chapter 6). Whereas the movement of individuals between patches is commonly assumed to improve the persistence of populations, I found that a weaker gap-crossing ability, and therefore reduced landscape connectivity, increased the metapopulation size at equilibrium. This study highlights the complex effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on the distribution of species, but also the limits of excessive model simplification.

# Table of contents

---

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>ABSTRACT</b> .....  | <b>I</b>   |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....   | <b>III</b> |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....   | <b>V</b>   |
| <b>1- INTRODUCTION</b> .....   | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>2- PRESENCE/ABSENCE OF NORTH ISLAND ROBINS DRIVEN BY PATCH ISOLATION</b>  | <b>7</b>   |
| ABSTRACT.....  | 7          |
| INTRODUCTION.....  | 8          |
| METHODS.....   | 11         |
| <i>Model species</i> .....   | 11         |
| <i>Study area</i> .....  | 11         |
| <i>Data</i> .....  | 11         |
| <i>Modelling</i> .....   | 14         |
| <i>Model evaluation</i> .....  | 15         |
| RESULTS .....  | 17         |
| <i>Models selected under the three approaches</i> .....  | 18         |
| <i>Further considerations of the best model</i> .....  | 21         |
| DISCUSSION .....   | 22         |
| <b>3- ADULT SURVIVAL AND PRODUCTIVITY IN RELATION TO HABITAT<br/>FRAGMENTATION</b> .....                                       | <b>25</b>  |
| ABSTRACT.....  | 25         |
| INTRODUCTION.....  | 26         |
| METHODS.....   | 28         |
| <i>Model species</i> .....   | 28         |
| <i>Study area and pair monitoring</i> .....  | 28         |
| <i>Predictors of vital rates</i> .....   | 30         |
| <i>Adult survival</i> .....  | 32         |
| <i>Productivity</i> .....  | 33         |
| RESULTS .....  | 34         |
| <i>Adult survival</i> .....  | 34         |
| <i>Productivity</i> .....  | 37         |
| DISCUSSION .....   | 38         |
| <b>4- APPLICATION OF CHOICE ANALYSIS TO RADIO-TRACKING DATA TO QUANTIFY<br/>SPECIES GAP SENSITIVITY DURING DISPERSAL</b> ..... | <b>43</b>  |
| ABSTRACT.....  | 43         |
| INTRODUCTION.....  | 44         |
| METHODS.....   | 47         |
| <i>Model species and study area</i> .....  | 47         |
| <i>Radio-tracking of juvenile dispersal</i> .....  | 48         |
| <i>GIS data preparation</i> .....  | 49         |
| <i>Discrete choice analysis</i> .....  | 51         |
| <i>Quantification of the maximum gap length</i> .....  | 53         |
| RESULTS .....  | 53         |
| <i>Radio-tracking data</i> .....   | 53         |
| <i>Discrete choice analysis</i> .....  | 54         |
| <i>Quantification of the maximum crossable gap length</i> .....  | 56         |
| DISCUSSION .....   | 56         |
| <b>5- DISPERSAL DISTANCES AND SURVIVAL OF JUVENILE ROBINS</b> .....  | <b>61</b>  |
| ABSTRACT.....  | 61         |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 62         |
| METHODS .....  | 64         |
| <i>Study species</i> .....   | 64         |
| <i>Study area</i> .....  | 65         |
| <i>Radio-tracking of juveniles</i> .....   | 66         |
| <i>Juvenile dispersal</i> .....  | 66         |
| <i>Juvenile survival</i> .....   | 68         |
| RESULTS .....  | 69         |
| <i>Dispersal distances</i> .....   | 70         |
| <i>Daily dispersal steps</i> .....   | 71         |
| <i>Juvenile survival</i> .....   | 73         |
| DISCUSSION .....   | 73         |
| <b>6- EFFECT OF HABITAT FRAGMENTATION ON POPULATION DYNAMICS: A<br/>SPATIALLY EXPLICIT AND INDIVIDUAL-BASED MODEL.....</b> | <b>77</b>  |
| ABSTRACT .....   | 77         |
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 78         |
| METHODS .....  | 80         |
| <i>Modelling framework</i> .....   | 80         |
| <i>Modelled landscape</i> .....  | 81         |
| <i>Adults</i> .....  | 82         |
| <i>Juveniles</i> .....   | 83         |
| <i>Simulations</i> .....   | 86         |
| RESULTS .....  | 88         |
| DISCUSSION .....   | 92         |
| <b>7- GLOBAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>   | <b>99</b>  |
| <b>APPENDIX.....</b>   | <b>109</b> |
| APPENDIX 1 - INDEX OF FUNCTIONAL PATCH CONNECTIVITY (IFPC).....  | 109        |
| APPENDIX 2 - DATA PREPARATION FOR CHOICE MODELLING .....   | 111        |
| 1- <i>Creation of alternative points</i> .....   | 111        |
| 2- <i>Creation of a selection doughnut around observed locations</i> .....   | 111        |
| 3- <i>Selection of alternative locations</i> .....   | 112        |
| 4- <i>Least-cost paths and extraction of attributes</i> .....  | 112        |
| <b>REFERENCES .....</b>  | <b>113</b> |

# Acknowledgments

---

I would like to primarily thank my supervisor Doug Armstrong for giving me the opportunity to work in this fantastic country, New Zealand, and on this very interesting topic. This PhD was carried out in conjunction with Rebecca Boulton's PhD, to whom I am very grateful as she helped me in choosing the study area, with the logistics, the monitoring of robins and for teaching me how to drive our 4WD vehicle.

The field work was made possible in our study area by the managers of Te Hape (Pat O'Neil), Tiroa (Ted Ballentine) and the Wharakeri (Michael Thompson) Trusts who kindly granted access to their farms, as well as Margaret and Robin Peacocke who also provided us with accommodation and warmly welcomed our project. I will never forget the Hughes family and Clinton Worters for hosting us during our first year of field work and along with the other "locals" for their introduction to the interesting Maori lifestyle. My thanks go also to our field volunteers, Anke Arentz, Arjan Pennings and Mike Sweet.

I'm very grateful to Jonathan Rhodes to help me start in the world of C++ programming and Tim White for his debugging skills, to my co-supervisors Robert Gibb at Landcare Research Ltd. for his help in GIS and Hugh Possingham (University of Queensland, Australia) for welcoming me in his department. I would like to also thank John Innes, Brendan Wintle, Mike Joy, Heiko Wittmer, Phillip Cassey, Jay McCartney and Richard Seaton for their enriching discussions.

This thesis is dedicated to the two women of my life, Pryska Martinez, thanks to whom I arrived to this position and am who I am, and my partner Dorothée Durpoix, who supported me through this very challenging period of my life and made me survive it.

My PhD was funded by grant MAU 003 from the Marsden fund and by a Massey University doctoral scholarship. Approval for this research has been obtained from the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee (Protocol 03/87), to catch, band and attach radio transmitters to New Zealand robins in the Benneydale area.