

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**WORKPLACE BULLYING IN THE
NEW ZEALAND NURSING PROFESSION:
THE CASE FOR A TAILORED APPROACH
TO INTERVENTION**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the

degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Human Resource Management

at Massey University, Albany

New Zealand

Kate Marie Blackwood

2015

ABSTRACT

This thesis explores intervention in the workplace bullying experiences of New Zealand hospital nurses. Workplace bullying is a recognised problem internationally, and nursing is a high risk profession for such ill-treatment. With existing studies mapping the workplace bullying terrain, the research field is now moving towards how best to manage the problem. Recent research has identified numerous barriers to effective intervention and, as a result, existing studies recognise the need for a different approach that considers the impact of the work environment on intervention efficacy.

The aim of this study is to understand how the work environment influences intervention in workplace bullying. Specifically, the research was guided by two questions: i) how do targets of workplace bullying in the New Zealand nursing profession represent their intervention experiences? and ii) how do work environment factors impact on the intervention experiences of targets of workplace bullying in the New Zealand nursing profession?

The findings of this research are informed by 34 semi-structured interviews with targets of workplace bullying and three focus groups with organisational representatives responsible for bullying intervention. Thematic analysis of the interviews resulted in the development of an holistic intervention process model portraying how targets represent their intervention experiences. Subsequent thematic analysis of the interview and focus group data identified how a number of contextual and work environment factors influence the intervention process model.

The model explains three key stages of intervention, namely identification of a bullying experience, reporting and intervention agent response, and how each of these stages influences the final outcome of an intervention experience for targets of workplace bullying. Specifically, the cyclical and iterative way in which these stages are experienced by targets is emphasised. A number of contextual and work environment factors that are barriers or facilitators in the intervention experience are explained. To explain the influence of contextual factors, five types of bullying experience are presented, each with a unique set of features that influence intervention in different ways, emphasising the heterogeneous nature of workplace bullying. Work environment factors are also identified as influencing the intervention process, providing empirical support for an extension of the work environment hypothesis to intervention in workplace bullying experiences. Tailored intervention strategies are recommended in light of the findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my thanks to a number of people who have supported this study. First and foremost, to my amazing supervisors, Dr Margot Edwards, Associate Professor Bevan Catley and Professor Tim Bentley. I cannot thank you enough. Your support and encouragement have motivated me and given me the confidence to complete what initially seemed a huge and impossible challenge. I could not have achieved this without you. Thank you for all of the opportunities which have made this an enjoyable and rewarding academic apprenticeship and not anything like the solitary and arduous exercise a PhD is so often portrayed to be.

To the other members of the Healthy Work Group, particularly Dr David Tappin and Dr Darryl Forsyth, thank you for your support, encouragement and advice. I have absolutely loved working with you and really hope to continue my involvement with the HWG. I would also like to extend my thanks to all of the academic and professional staff in the School of Management at Massey University. The support and collegiality shown by the school really has been central to my PhD experience. A very big thank you especially to Dr Kaye Thorn and Dr Darryl Forsyth, who read the draft of my thesis and provided valuable feedback.

I would also like to thank the organisations and participants who were involved in this study. To my stakeholder group members, I could not have conducted this research without your support. Your engagement and interest in the study has motivated me and your willingness to offer assistance throughout the study is deeply appreciated. To the participants who volunteered their time to participate in this study, I acknowledge the strength it took for many of you to share your stories and thank you sincerely for the contributions you have made to this research.

Finally, to all of my family and friends, a big thank you for your support, for providing an ear to listen and a shoulder cry on through the hurdles. Adam, you've been by my side through countless thesis-focused hours and have been nothing but supportive - for that I'm forever grateful. Finally, to the best parents a girl could ask for, thank you for your encouragement, support and belief in me. I love you to the moon and back!

PUBLICATIONS

Some of the ideas and versions of various chapters of this thesis have been published or presented in the following forums:

Refereed journal articles

Blackwood, K., Bentley, T., Catley, B., Tappin, D., & Forsyth, D. (2013). Out of step? The efficacy of Trans-Tasman law to combat workplace bullying. *New Zealand Journal of Employment Relations*, 38(1), 27-41.

Blackwood, K., & Catley, B. (2013). Workplace bullying in the nursing profession: A call for secondary intervention research. *Meiji Business Review*, 60(4), 91-106.

Refereed book chapters

Catley, B., Blackwood, K., Forsyth, D., & Tappin, D. (2015). "Workplace bullying in New Zealand: "She'll be right?" In M. Omari, & M. Paull (Eds.), *Workplace abuse, incivility and bullying: Methodological and cultural perspectives*. London, England: Taylor and Francis.

Blackwood, K., Catley, B., Bentley, T., & Tappin, D. (2013). Bullying at work: Its meaning for HRM and ER. In J. Parker (Ed.), *The big issues in employment: HR management and employment relations in New Zealand*. (pp. 159 - 176). Auckland, New Zealand: CCH New Zealand.

Bentley, T., Blackwood, K., Catley, B., Gardner, D., Le Fevre, M., & Tappin, D. (2013). The vulnerability of the bullied worker. In T. Fashoyin, M. Tiraboschi, M. Sargeant, & M. Ori (Eds.), *Vulnerable workers and precarious working*. (pp. 274 - 292). United Kingdom: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Refereed conference presentations

Blackwood, K., Catley, B., Edwards, M., & Bentley, T. (2014, December). *Workplace bullying and the nursing profession: Factors influencing effective intervention*. Paper presented at the Australia and New Zealand Academy of Management Conference, Sydney, Australia.

Blackwood, K., Bentley, T., Catley, B., Edwards, M., & Forsyth, D. (2014, June). *Multi-party perspectives on factors inhibiting resolution of workplace bullying*

complaints in New Zealand's nursing profession. Paper presented at the 9th International Conference on Workplace Bullying and Harassment, Milan, Italy.

Blackwood, K., Bentley, T., Catley, B., & Edwards, M. (2014, June). *Factors influencing target approaches towards workplace bullying resolutions.* Paper presented at the 9th International Conference on Workplace Bullying and Harassment, Milan, Italy.

Blackwood, K., Bentley, T., Catley, B., Edwards, M., & Tappin, D. (2014, September) "*Am I really being bullied?*" *Factors influencing target labelling of their experience and implications for interventions.* Paper presented at the International Congress for Occupational Health and Work Organisation and Psychosocial Factors, Adelaide, Australia.

Blackwood, K., Bentley, T., Catley, B., & Edwards, M. (2013, December). *Factors influencing the reporting of workplace bullying: Evidence from the New Zealand nursing profession.* Paper presented at the Australia and New Zealand Academy of Management Conference, Tasmania, Australia.

Blackwood, K., Catley, B., Tappin, D., & Bentley, T. (2012, December). *Falling between stools: Workplace bullying and the New Zealand employment relations context.* Paper presented at the Australia and New Zealand Academy of Management Conference, Perth, Australia. (**Received Best Paper HRM stream award**)

Blackwood, K., Catley, B., Tappin, D., Forsyth, D., & Bentley, T. (2012, November). *Workplace bullying and human resource management: Lessons from Employment Relations Authority and Employment Court determinations.* Paper presented at the Human Resource Institute of New Zealand 2012 Research Forum, Auckland New Zealand.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
PUBLICATIONS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Locating the research.....	2
1.2 Research aims	6
1.3 Research design	7
1.4 Research contributions	11
1.5 Thesis structure.....	11
CHAPTER TWO: INTRODUCING WORKPLACE BULLYING	13
2.1 Defining Workplace Bullying	14
2.1.1 Behaviours	15
2.1.2 Frequency and duration.....	17
2.1.3 A single target	18
2.1.4 Power imbalance.....	18
2.1.5 Intent	19
2.1.6 A definition of workplace bullying	20
2.2 The prevalence of workplace bullying	20
2.3 The consequences of workplace bullying	21
2.4 Workplace bullying and the work environment hypothesis	23
2.4.1 Individual factors	24
2.4.2 Work environment factors	25
2.4.3 Moderators	30
2.4.4 Summarising the work environment hypothesis.....	33
2.5 The research context: The nursing profession in New Zealand	34
2.5.1 Academic and practitioner interest in workplace bullying in New Zealand	35
2.5.2 Workplace bullying research in the context of nursing	37
2.5.3 The New Zealand nursing profession.....	39
2.6 Conclusion	41

CHAPTER THREE: SECONDARY INTERVENTION IN WORKPLACE BULLYING	43
3.1 Managing workplace bullying	44
3.1.1 Primary intervention	45
3.1.2 Secondary intervention	47
3.1.3 Tertiary intervention	48
3.2 The intervention gap	49
3.3 Understanding and labelling workplace bullying	50
3.3.1 Negotiating definitional elements	51
3.3.2 Target labelling process	53
3.3.3 The IA labelling process	55
3.4 Target responses to workplace bullying	58
3.4.1 Coping responses	58
3.4.2 Reporting	62
3.5 Organisational responses to workplace bullying	64
3.5.1 The anti-bullying policy and intervention	65
3.5.2 Barriers to anti-bullying policy implementation	66
3.6 The aims of this current research study	69
CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY	75
4.1 Research rationale	75
4.2 Justification for the paradigm and methodology	77
4.2.1 The methodological approach	77
4.2.2 Research design	77
4.3 Organisation Recruitment	83
4.3.1 Site selection	83
4.3.2 The stakeholder group	84
4.4 Data collection and analysis	85
4.4.1 Data collection phase one	86
4.4.2 Data collection phase two	100
4.5 Ethical considerations	106
4.5.1 Ethical considerations for the interviews	106
4.5.2 Ethical considerations for the focus groups	108
4.6 Conclusion	109

CHAPTER FIVE: THE HOLISTIC BULLYING INTERVENTION PROCESS
..... **110**

5.1 An overview of the experiences 110

5.2 Target information processing: The themes 115

 5.2.1 *Perception and cognition* 117

 5.2.2 *Decision to avoid*..... 124

 5.2.3 *Ability to avoid*..... 132

 5.2.4 *The feedback loop* 136

 5.2.5 *Breaking the cycle* 140

5.3 Presenting an holistic intervention process model 142

 5.3.1 *Vignette #1: Participant N30, ‘Sarah’* 145

 5.3.2 *Vignette #2: Participant N25, ‘Sami’* 146

 5.3.3 *Vignette #3: Participant N24, ‘Marnie’* 147

 5.3.4 *Summarising the application of the intervention process model* 148

CHAPTER SIX: CONTEXTUAL FACTORS INFLUENCING BULLYING INTERVENTION **149**

6.1 The known bully experience 150

 6.1.1 *The key features of the known bully experience*..... 150

 6.1.2 *The impact of the known bully features on the intervention process* 153

6.2 The performance-related experience 159

 6.2.1 *The key features of the performance-related experience* 159

 6.2.2 *The impact of the performance-related features on the intervention process* 161

6.3 The conflict-related experience 169

 6.3.1 *The key features of the conflict-related experience*..... 169

 6.3.2 *The impact of the conflict-related features on the intervention process*. 171

6.4 The learning-related experience 177

 6.4.1 *The key features of the learning-related experience* 177

 6.4.2 *The impact of the learning-related features on the intervention process* 179

6.5 The role-related experience 183

 6.5.1 *The key feature of the role-related experience*..... 183

 6.5.2 *The impact of the role-related features on the intervention process* 184

6.6 Typology summary..... 187

CHAPTER SEVEN: WORK ENVIRONMENT FACTORS INFLUENCING BULLYING INTERVENTION	190
7.1 Overview of focus group responses to the typology	191
7.1.1 <i>The known bully experience</i>	191
7.1.2 <i>The performance-related experience</i>	194
7.1.3 <i>The conflict-related experience</i>	195
7.1.4 <i>The learning-related experience</i>	197
7.1.5 <i>The role-related experience</i>	199
7.1.6 <i>Summary of key intervention areas raised by focus group members</i>	201
7.2 Prerequisites to effective intervention	202
7.2.1 <i>IA willingness and ability to intervene</i>	202
7.2.2 <i>Target and perpetrator response</i>	203
7.3 Work environment factors	206
7.3.1 <i>Societal-level factors</i>	208
7.3.2 <i>Industry-level factors</i>	211
7.3.3 <i>Organisational-level factors</i>	219
7.3.4 <i>Team-level factors</i>	227
7.4 Summary of work environment factors influencing workplace bullying intervention	230
CHAPTER EIGHT: DISCUSSION	232
8.1 Secondary intervention as an holistic process	233
8.1.1 <i>Perception/ cognition as a component of the holistic intervention process</i>	235
8.1.2 <i>The decision to avoid as a component of the holistic intervention process</i>	236
8.1.3 <i>The ability to avoid as a component of the holistic intervention process</i>	238
8.1.4 <i>The holistic intervention process</i>	239
8.2 Workplace bullying as a heterogeneous phenomenon	241
8.2.1 <i>The known bully experience</i>	244
8.2.2 <i>The performance-related experience</i>	248
8.2.3 <i>The conflict-related experience</i>	252
8.2.4 <i>The learning-related experience</i>	257
8.2.5 <i>The role-related experience</i>	260

8.2.6 <i>The typology as a theoretical and practical contribution</i>	262
8.3 Extending the work environment hypothesis to workplace bullying intervention.....	264
8.3.1 <i>The nature of work</i>	269
8.3.2 <i>The structure of work</i>	271
8.3.3 <i>Culture</i>	272
8.3.4 <i>Team leadership</i>	274
8.4 Conclusion.....	275
CHAPTER NINE: CONCLUSION.....	277
9.1 Overview	278
9.2 Theoretical implications	279
9.3 Methodological contributions.....	281
9.4 Practical contributions	284
9.5 Limitations and suggestions for future research.....	286
9.6 Concluding comments	290
REFERENCES.....	292
APPENDICES	320
APPENDIX A: Participant Recruitment Advertisement.....	320
APPENDIX B: Participant Information Sheet	321
APPENDIX C: Letter of Massey Human Ethics Committee Approval.....	322

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Research Process Map.....	8
Figure 2.1. Mapping the Work Environment Approach to Understanding Workplace Bullying.....	26
Figure 4.1. The Original Information Processing Framework.....	79
Figure 4.2. The Ecological Systems Framework.....	83
Figure 5.1. A Process Model of Secondary Intervention in Workplace Bullying..	144
Figure 6.1. Barrier Areas in the Intervention Process Model for the Learning- Related Experience.....	188
Figure 6.2. Facilitated and Barrier Areas in the Intervention Process Model for the Known Bully Experience.....	188
Figure 7.1. Systems Model Illustrating Work Environment Factors Influencing Workplace Bullying Intervention.....	207
Figure 8.1. A Process Model of Secondary Intervention in Workplace Bullying..	234
Figure 8.2. The Similarities between Risk Factors and Factors Influencing Effective Intervention.....	268

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Bullying Behaviours as Listed in the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised.....	16
Table 4.1. Stakeholder Group Representative Roles.....	84
Table 4.2. Semi-structured Interview Questions Guided by the Information Processing Model and Ecological Systems Framework.....	90
Table 5.1. Target Reports to IA.....	113
Table 5.2. Intervention Action by IA.....	114
Table 5.3. Intervention Outcome.....	114
Table 7.1. Key Intervention Areas for the Known Bully Experience.....	193
Table 7.2. Key Intervention Areas for the Performance-Related Experience.....	195
Table 7.3. Key Intervention Areas for the Conflict-Related Experience.....	197
Table 7.4. Key Intervention Areas for the Learning-Related Experience.....	199
Table 7.5. Key Intervention Areas for the Role-Related Experience.....	201
Table 7.6. A Summary of the Key Intervention Areas for the Bullying Intervention Typology.....	201
Table 8.1. Practical Strategies to Encourage Effective Intervention in the Known Bully Experience.....	248
Table 8.2. Practical Strategies to Encourage Effective Intervention in the Performance-Related Experience.....	252
Table 8.3. Practical Strategies to Encourage Effective Intervention in the Conflict-Related Experience.....	256
Table 8.4. Practical Strategies to Encourage Effective Intervention in the Learning-Related Experience.....	259
Table 8.5. Practical Strategies to Encourage Effective Intervention in the Role-Related Experience.....	261