

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE EFFECT OF NITROGEN MANAGEMENT AND PADDOCK HISTORY
ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF
BARLEY (Hordeum vulgare L.)

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of

Master of Agricultural Science
in Plant Science

at

Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Shuhaimen bin Ismail
1985

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during spring 1983/1984 at four adjacent sites on marginal the cropping soil Tokomaru silt loam to study the effect of nitrogen management and paddock history on growth and yield of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) cv. Magnum. Six nitrogen treatments were tested in three replications in a randomised complete block design at each site. The treatments were no-N (control), 60 kg N/ha either applied at sowing, growth stage (G.S.) 3, G.S.6 or equally split between G.S.3 and G.S.6 and a higher rate based on soil test results (70-90 kg N/ha depending on site) applied at sowing. Site histories were immediately out of pasture and previously cropped with barley for 1, 2 and 3 years. Crop nitrogen status was monitored by nitrate sap test and plant analysis.

Control plot yield decreased almost linearly from 5.78t/ha directly out of pasture to 3.55 t/ha on the site previously cropped for three years. This indicated that regular cropping without fertiliser nitrogen on this soil could substantially reduce the yield of barley.

Application of nitrogen significantly increased yield over control at all sites. The response in the first year of cropping was probably because of the low accumulation of nitrogen during the pasture phase on this soil. Average yield of plots receiving nitrogen were similar for the first two year of cropping (7.09 and 6.86 t/ha respectively) but declined rapidly for the third and fourth year of cropping (5.90 and 5.94 t/ha respectively). Plots receiving the high nitrogen rate were also unable to maintained yield as cropping increased. The yield decline could have been caused by deteriorated soil physical conditions under continuous cropping. Maintaining adequate nitrogen toward later stages of growth by late or split application was found to be as effective as applying the higher nitrogen rate at sowing especially as soil fertility reduced.

Ear density was the main component affecting yield. Grain number/ear was also an important yield component for crop grown under lower fertility and was increased when nitrogen was applied at sites cropped for 3 and 4 years.

There was differences between predicted yield based on soil test results and actual yield of control plots across the sites. Sap nitrate concentration showed a good relationship with total nitrogen analysis. Both measurements of plant nitrogen at earlier stages of growth were related to the yield. Highest yield (7t/ha) was found to be associated with 4.5% total nitrogen and >6000ppm sap nitrate concentration at about G.S.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thank to my supervisor, Dr. N.J.Withers of Agronomy Department for his understanding, willingly provided guidance, patience in discussing research problems, interpreting the results and most importantly for his constructive criticism at all phases of work in preparation of this thesis.

Thank are also due to Prof. B.R.Watkin, head of Agronomy Department for his concern and general encouragement.

Special thank are due to the Winchmore Irrigation Research Centre for the soil analysis.

The assistance of postgraduate students, the staff of Agronomy Department, Farm Machinery and Computer Centre are greatly appreciated.

I am particularly grateful to M.A.R.D.I. (Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute) for study leave and full financial support throughout the course of this work.

Finally to my wife, Norhayati and my son Mohd.Shazwan and Mohd.Shahiran for their patience, encouragement and willingness to see me thorough.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLES OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. EFFECT OF PASTURE AND CROP ON SOIL	3
2.1.1. Soil Organic Matter and Nitrogen	3
2.1.2. Soil Physical Conditions	5
2.2. YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS	7
2.3. DEVELOPMENT OF YIELD COMPONENTS	8
2.3.1. Tillering and Ear Density	8
2.3.2. Grain Number/Ear	10
2.3.3. Grain Size	11
2.4. GROWTH IN RELATION TO YIELD	12
2.5. NITROGEN NUTRITION OF BARLEY	13
2.5.1. Nitrogen Uptake and Translocation	14
2.5.2. Nitrogen Concentration and Distribution	15
2.6. RESPONSE OF BARLEY TO NITROGEN	16
2.7. ASSESSMENT OF NITROGEN FERTILIZER REQUIREMENT	17
2.7.1. Paddock History and Winter Rainfall	18
2.7.2. Soil Tests	19
2.7.3. Plant Analysis	20

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	22
3.1. EXPERIMENTAL SITE	22
3.2. CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA	24
3.3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	24
3.3.1. Design	24
3.3.2. Treatment	24
3.3.3. Crop Establishment and Maintainance	26
3.3.4. Samplings and Measurements	28
3.3.5. Final Harvest and Yield Components Analysis	29
3.4. PLANT ANALYSIS	30
3.4.1. Herbage Nitrogen Analysis	30
3.4.2. Sap Nitrate Analysis	30
3.5. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	30
4. RESULTS	32
4.1. CLIMATE	32
4.2. CROP ESTABLISHMENT	32
4.3. GRAIN YIELD	34
4.4. YIELD COMPONENTS	38
4.5. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS	38
4.6. YIELD COMPONENTS INTERACTION	42
4.7. TILLERING AND EAR DENSITY	42
4.7.1. Tiller Production	42
4.7.2. Tiller Survival	45
4.8. LEAF AREA, DRY MATTER PRODUCTION AND YIELD	52
4.8.1. Maximum Leaf Area Index	52
4.8.2. Total Dry Matter	51
4.8.3. Dead Material	56
4.8.4. Relationship Between Maximum LAI, TDM and Yield	56
4.9. NITROGEN CONCENTRATION AND UPTAKE	60
4.9.1. Nitrogen Concentration (% Dry Matter)	60
4.9.2. Nitrogen Uptake	60
4.9.3. Sap Nitrate	63
4.9.4. Sap Nitrate and Tiller Nitrogen Concentration	67

4.10. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NITROGEN TESTS AND YIELD	67
5. DISCUSSION	73
5.1. GRAIN YIELD	73
5.2. YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS	75
5.3. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEAF AREA, TOTAL DRY MATTER AND YIELD	78
5.3. NITROGEN TESTS AND YIELD	79
6. CONCLUSIONS	83
REFERENCES	85

LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 1: Site history and soil test results.	23
Table 2: List of treatments	25
Table 3: Estimated yield on control plot (no nitrogen) and calculated rate of nitrogen application for treatment SOILT	27
Table 4: Total monthly rainfall, water balance, sunshine hours, mean monthly temperature and their respective long term average (in brackets) before and during experimental period .	33
Table 5: Effect of nitrogen treatments on grain yield	35
Table 6: Nitrogen fertilizer efficiency under different nitrogen treatments	37
Table 7: Effect of nitrogen managements on yield components at final harvest	39
Table 8: Partial correlation between grain yield and yield components	40
Table 9: Effect of nitrogen management on number of largest largest tillers at harvest 2 (> 1 g fresh wt.) and harvest 3 (> 2 g fresh wt.)	47
Table 10: Effect of nitrogen management on number of dead tiller/m ² at harvest 3 (80 DAS)	48
Table 11: Effect of nitrogen management on tiller survival (% of ear over the maximum tillers)	49

Table 12: Effect of nitrogen management on maximum Leaf Area Index	53
Table 13: Effect of nitrogen management on components of dry matter (g/m^2) at harvest 4 (G.S.10.2)	55
Table 14: Effect of nitrogen managements on the amount of plant dead material (g/m^2)	57
Table 15: Partial correlation coefficients between grain yield and total dry matter at harvest 4, dry matter increase afterharvest 4 and simple correlation between yield and dry matter at final harvest	59
Table 16: Effect of nitrogen treatments on tiller nitrogen concentration (% dry matter)	61
Table 17: Effect of nitrogen treatments on total nitrogen uptake between harvest 1 (G.S. 3) and harvest 3 (G.S. 8)	64
Table 18: Effect of nitrogen treatments on total nitrogen uptake between harvest 2 (G.S. 6) and harvest 4 (G.S. 10.2)	65
Table 19: Simple correlation coefficients between sap nitrate concentration (ppm) at various test dates (days after sowing, DAS) and tiller nitrogen concentration (% dry matter) at various harvests.	68

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 1: Average grain yield response to nitrogen application and control plot yield across the sites differing in year of cropping	36
Figure 2: Relationship between yield and yield components	41
Figure 3: Partial correlations between yield components at each site	43
Figure 4: Effect of nitrogen management on tiller density at each site	44
Figure 5: Average tiller size at harvest 2 (G.S.6) and harvest 3 (G.S.8) at each site	46
Figure 6: Overall relationship between tiller survival and maximum tiller density	51
Figure 7: Effect of nitrogen management on total dry matter accumulation at each site	54
Figure 8: Overall relationship between grain yield and maximum leaf area index	58
Figure 9: Effect of nitrogen management on total nitrogen uptake at each site	62
Figure 10: Effect of nitrogen management on sap nitrate concentration at various days after sowing at each site	66

- Figure 11: Overall relationship between grain yield and nitrogen concentration (% dry matter) 70
- Figure 12: Overall relationship between grain yield and maximum sap nitrate concentration 71
- Figure 13: Grain yield, maximum sap nitrate concentration and total mineral nitrogen of control plots across the site differing in years of cropping 72

1. INTRODUCTION

Application of fertilizer nitrogen to increase crop yield under intensive agriculture has been a common practice throughout the world. In New Zealand however, the use of nitrogen fertiliser is small compared to its use in Europe and North America (Walker and Ludecke, 1982). This is mainly because the New Zealand agricultural system has developed to almost complete dependence on biological nitrogen fixation by legume-based pasture. Crops are normally grown in rotation with fertility-building pasture and little intensive cropping has been practiced (McLeod, 1968; Stephen, 1982).

Sears (1960) suggested that pasture should be cropped when soil fertility has built up to the stage of grass dominance. The length of the cropping period during which soil fertility and higher crop production can be maintained varies with pasture management and soils (McLeod, 1968; Greenland, 1971). This situation coupled with the wide variation in climatic conditions across New Zealand complicate the prediction of crop fertiliser nitrogen requirement.

Most previous studies on nitrogen response and requirement of wheat and barley were conducted in the South Island. Little work has been conducted in the North Island particularly on marginal cropping soils. The general conclusion has been that nitrogen requirement of wheat and barley varies depending on paddock history and moisture availability before and during cropping season. For a reliable fertiliser recommendation, such studies should cover the wide range of climate, soils and cultural practices in New Zealand.

To obtain some informations on the influence of paddock history on the response of barley to nitrogen, a field experiment was conducted on a marginal cropping soil in North Island with the following objectives:

1. To study the influence of paddock history on the response of barley to nitrogen application.

2. To study the effect of nitrogen application time (later referred to as nitrogen management) on yield, yield components, growth and nitrogen status of barley.

3. To evaluate some possible methods of assessing nitrogen fertiliser requirements; sap and plant tests and soil tests.