

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION INTO THE INTERNAL DYNAMICS OF MOVING LAHARS



MASSEY UNIVERSITY

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Earth Science

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Susan Elizabeth Cole

Department of Soil and Earth Sciences

2011



***Frontispiece.** Aerial view downstream overlooking the Tangiwai rail (foreground) and road (background) bridges during the Crater Lake-breakout lahar from Mt. Ruapehu, New Zealand, on 18th March 2007. The inundated memorial to the 151 people who died in the 1953 Tangiwai Disaster, caused by a similar style lahar, is located between the two bridges. (Photograph courtesy of H.J.R. Keys.)*

Abstract

Lahars and other mass flows are highly hazardous phenomena that can pose great risk to areas in their path. Due to their often unpredictable onsets, scientific observations are limited. In addition, the erosive capabilities of a lahar mean that the most commonly used monitoring and sampling methods, such as load cells and bedload traps, are often damaged early in the flow. The cost of repair and maintenance of these instrumentation prohibits comprehensive coverage of each channel that might be at risk from lahars. The development of seismic sensors as an alternative monitoring method could prove effective as they do not require contact with a flow and are therefore less at risk from damage. The complex behaviour of a lahar can be witnessed in the geophysical record of its passage which, in combination with more traditional monitoring methods, can be used to record the detailed evolution of a flow. The three-dimensional analysis of seismometer recordings can provide an approximation of the frontal velocity that may differ from maximum velocity estimates made using super-elevation calculations. Comparisons of the seismic records of different mass flow types illustrate that it is possible to differentiate between them. Frequency analysis allows for the distinction of the flow mechanisms, particle interactions, and dominant rheology of a lahar. Low frequencies are more indicative of bedload frictional motion, while higher frequencies reflect the collisional impacts of particles, either between themselves or with the substrate. Detailed records of a flow at a single site provide a comprehensive understanding of the temporal variations that occur within the duration of a lahar, while comparative analyses of numerous sites along a channel highlight its downstream evolution. While initial onset signals can be recorded at local-to-source sites, they are attenuated too quickly to be observed further downstream. The records at proximal sites can, however, reflect the stages, or packets, involved during the main bulk of lahar initiation. At more distal sites, observations show that a lahar transitions to a [minimal] 4-phase behaviour. This consists of a frontal bow wave of ambient streamwater that increases

in volume with distance from source, and immediately precedes the lahar proper. The following phases are defined by variations in sediment concentration, velocity, stage, and, in the case of Crater Lake-originating lahars, water chemistry. The understanding of the variable behaviour possible during a lahar, as well as the identification of the specific flow type recorded, is fundamental to modelling approximations of flow volumes, sediment concentrations, likely inundation areas, and probable damage by the flow. It is essential for the development of future warning systems that the variations that can occur within a single lahar are better understood, as lahars represent a serious threat to the slopes of many volcanoes worldwide.

Acknowledgements

This research would not have been possible without the assistance of my chief supervisor, Prof. Shane Cronin. He has provided invaluable assistance in the collection of the data which form the basis of this study. I would like to thank him for the support to attend national and international conferences and field studies. I would also like to thank him for helpful discussions throughout my study and for his thorough reviews of my thesis, papers, and conference abstracts.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisors, Prof. Vince E. Neall (Massey University) and Dr. Steve Sherburn (GNS Science), for their helpful discussions over the course of my study. I would especially like to thank Vince for providing many of the more obscure and hard-to-find references that have been useful in this research, and for his comprehensive review of the bulk of my thesis. I would like to thank Steve for providing (through GeoNet) one of the seismic sensors used within this study and some of the programs used to analyse the data collected.

The breadth of data available for study in this work would not have been possible without the contributions and help of everyone who collected samples and/or surveyed the deposits of the lahars examined herein. For this, I gratefully acknowledge the following people:

In Indonesia: Prof. Shane Cronin, Ms. Céline Dumaisnil, Dr. Vern Manville, Dr. Jon Procter, Prof. Jean-Claude Thouret, Mrs. Lilianne Thouret, and also Bruno and the villagers by the Semeru site who helped install and look after our equipment.

At Ruapehu: the rest of the Crater Lake lahar research team: Mr. Ian Chapman, Prof. Shane Cronin, Dr. Kat Holt, Mr. Matthew Irwin, Mr. Phil Kellman, Dr. Jerome Lecointre, Dr. Gert Lube, Dr. Vern Manville, Ms. Kim Martelli, Dr. Hilary McMillan, Prof. Vince Neall, Ms. Anja Möbis, Dr. Karoly Nemeth, Dr. Jon Procter, Ms. Claire Robertson, Dr. Robert B. Stewart, Prof. Jean-Claude Thouret, Mrs. Lilianne Thouret, Dr. Michael Turner, and Dr. Anke Zernack.

I would also like to thank Dr. Harry Keys and the Department of Conservation for providing the data collected by Ruapehu’s ERLAWS sensors, and the NZ Army for allowing access through their land to sections of the Whangaehu Channel for data collection.

I gratefully acknowledge and thank Dr. Emma Doyle for providing the volumes calculated for Mt. Semeru lahars (Chapter 3.2) and the wetted perimeter profiles at the OnTrack Flood Gauge (Chapter 6.2.4). Also deserving of thanks is Dr. Hilary McMillan for her help in better understanding the Kinematic wave and Manning formula theories and providing much of the data contained within Chapter 3.3. Their contributions to and discussions of parts of this thesis were invaluable. Additionally I would also like to thank Dr. Kat Holt, Ms. Emma Phillips, and Dr. Gert Lube for the many hours spent calculating stage heights and flow velocities from the video footage of the lahars.

Special thanks are needed for Dr. Kate Arentsen and Mrs. Moira Hubbard for their invaluable help in dealing with all the administrative issues that arose throughout this study. I would also like to extend my thanks to Mr. Matthew Irwin and Mr. Mike Bretherton for their assistance whenever I had major computer problems.

I would like to thank the Commonwealth Scholarship Scheme and the NZ Vice Chancellors Committee for the funding to support my research. In addition, I gratefully acknowledge the Marsden Fund (MAUX0512 “Capturing the secrets of a life-sized lahar”) for providing the funding for the instrumentation used in this study and the financial support for our field seasons in Indonesia. I would also like to thank the New Zealand Earthquake Commission (EQC) for the funding to attend the Cities on Volcanoes 5 conference in Shimabara, Japan.

I wish to thank all of my fellow post-graduate students and the other members of the Volcanic Risk Solutions Group for the many shared experiences of my life in New Zealand. Their friendships have been invaluable over the past four years. I would especially like to thank Dr. Emma Doyle and my office mates, Dr. Michael Turner and Ms. Anja Möbis, for joining me in tea and biscuits whenever we needed a break, and to Dr. Kat Holt for listening and letting me Buddy-sit.

Additionally, I would like to thank the many friends I have made in my time in New Zealand from all over the world. Special mention should be made to all of the flatmates

that I have lived with in the past few years. There have been so many experiences that we have shared that I am thankful for. I would like to especially thank Mr. Hamish White, Ms. Sarah McGray, and Ruby for all of their support, understanding, and patience during the final phase of this study and the writing of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for all of their support and encouragement throughout my studies, even when I moved to the other side of the world. My greatest thanks go to my parents, Sarah and Steve, whose unwavering love and support has continually inspired me to persevere during the hard years of MESci and PhD study.

Addendum: I would also like to extend my grateful thanks to my examiners Prof. Jim Jones (Massey University), Prof. Martha Savage (Victoria University of Wellington), and Dr. John Latter (Consultant, Australia) for comments and advice that have enhanced this manuscript.

Author's Declaration

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the Regulations of Massey University. The work is original except where indicated by special reference in the text and no part of the dissertation has been submitted for any other degree. Any views expressed in the thesis are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Massey University. The thesis has not been presented to any other university for examination either in New Zealand or overseas.

Susan Elizabeth Cole

Date:

Viva Examination: 24th August 2011

For my Mum and Daddy.

Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Author’s Declaration	viii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Figures	xxviii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives and strategy of the study	4
1.3 Thesis outline	5
1.4 Background on lahars	6
1.4.1 Triggering mechanisms	7
1.4.2 Observations of flow dynamics	11
1.4.3 Flow models and simulations	15
1.5 Selection of field sites	17
1.5.1 Ruapehu, New Zealand	17
1.5.2 Semeru, Indonesia	24
1.5.3 Merapi, Indonesia	26
2 Geophysical investigation of mass flows	29
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 Direct sampling tools	30
2.2.1 Bedload traps	30
2.2.2 Dip / bucket samples	30

2.2.3	Visual observations, recordings, and analysis	32
2.2.4	Super-elevation and tide-lines	34
2.3	Geophysical tools	35
2.3.1	Stage gauge	35
2.3.2	Pore pressure	36
2.3.3	Load cell	36
2.4	Seismic tools	37
2.4.1	Geophones / Acoustic Flow Monitors (AFMs)	37
2.4.2	Broadband seismometer	37
2.4.3	Seismic records of mass flows	38
2.5	Analysis of collected seismic data	43
2.6	Background noise and flow analysis methods	44
2.6.1	Calculating background noise	46
2.6.2	Removal of background noise	49
2.7	Conclusions	52
3	Seismic signals as proxy for flow characteristics	54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Determination of flow volume proxy	55
3.2.1	Geological setting and instrumentation details	58
3.2.2	Proxy analysis and results	58
3.3	Volume proxy between multiple sites	65
3.3.1	Kinematic theory	67
3.3.2	Application to the Mt Ruapehu breakout lahar of 18 th March 2007	73
3.3.3	Determination of sediment concentration proxy	77
3.3.4	Trial use of sediment concentration proxy at an unmanned site .	81
3.4	Conclusion	84
4	Differential 3-dimensional seismic excitation of lahars	87
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	Seismic signals of snow-slurry lahars in motion: 25 September 2007, Mt Ruapehu, New Zealand	89
4.2.1	Abstract	89

4.2.2	Introduction	89
4.2.3	Geological setting and instrumentation details	90
4.2.4	Eruption seismicity	92
4.2.5	Lahar seismicity	92
4.2.6	Flow velocity and range of detection	92
4.2.7	Flow-substrate interaction, flow dynamics and rheology	94
4.2.8	Discussions and Conclusions	98
4.3	Using seismic signals for flow velocity calculations at the OnTrack Flood Gauge	99
4.4	Hyperconcentrated streamflow vs debris flow: Mt Semeru and Mt Merapi, Indonesia	103
4.5	Conclusions	108
5	Temporal variations in a moving lahar at a single site	110
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Geological setting and instrumentation details	111
5.2.1	Colliers bridge	111
5.2.2	Data collection	112
5.3	Seismic implications for bulk flow behaviour	113
5.4	Temporal variations in bulk flow characteristics	118
5.5	Correlation with other data	122
5.6	Discussion	125
5.7	Conclusions	131
6	Downstream transition of a moving lahar	135
6.1	Introduction	135
6.2	Site-specific temporal variations in signals	136
6.2.1	Eastern Ruapehu Lahar Warning System (ERLAWS)	136
6.2.2	Dome Shelter (DS)	143
6.2.3	Round-the-Mountain-Track (RTMT)	145
6.2.4	OnTrack Flood Gauge (OT)	148
6.2.5	Tangiwai rail bridge (TRB)	153
6.2.6	Colliers bridge (CB)	157

6.3	Discussion	158
6.3.1	Proximal sites	158
6.3.2	Distal sites	160
6.4	Conclusions	161
7	Conclusions and avenues for future research	163
7.1	Conclusions	163
7.2	Avenues for future research	164
A	Electronic files (on disc)	167
A.1	Data files	167
A.2	Code files	167
	References	169

List of Tables

- 1.1 Details of instrumentation at lahar monitoring sites along the Whangaehu Valley catchment, Ruapehu, New Zealand. Each site is listed in order of distance from source, and corresponds to those shown in Figure 1.2. Abbreviations of institutions operating each site are provided for clear reference of data ownership. These institutions are as follows: Massey University (MU), GNS Science (GNS), Department of Conservation (DOC), and Horizons Regional Council (HRC). 23

- 3.1 Summary of 2008 data used to calculate the regression lines of Equations 3.5 and 3.6. 60
- 3.2 Summary of 2006 and 2007 data used by, and calculated from, the regression lines in Equations 3.5 and 3.6. 64
- 3.3 Regression coefficients for Method 2 Power Law Relationship. 75
- 3.4 Regression coefficients for Method 3 Power Law and Exponential Relationship. 77
- 3.5 Regression coefficients for seismic method power law and exponential relationship. 80

- 6.1 Summary table detailing the main failures in the Crater Lake-breakout breach sequence of the tephra dam. 140

List of Figures

1.1	Locations of historical lahar-affected channels on Mt. Ruapehu. (a) Location of Mt. Ruapehu (red triangle) within the North Island of New Zealand. (b) River channels affected by lahars from the Crater Lake, Ruapehu (red triangle). Also shown are locations (black stars) of GeoNet monitoring stations Far West (F.W.), Tongariro Chateau (T.C.), and Waihianoa Aqueduct (W.A.). Topographic map sourced from NZTopo250-9 Taumarunui; scale 1:50,000. Crown Copyright Reserved.	13
1.2	Locations of monitoring sites along the Whangaehu River catchment used within this study. Details of the instrumentation installed at each site can be found in Table 1.1 (21). (a) Location of Mt. Ruapehu (red triangle) within the North Island of New Zealand. (b) Enlargement of upper catchment sites seen within black rectangle in (c), highlighting Crater Lake (C.L.), the GNS Science/GeoNet volcano monitoring site at Dome Shelter (D.S.), the Department of Conservation lahar warning sites (ERLAWS 1, 2, and 3), and the Massey University/GNS Science monitoring site at the Round-the-Mountain-Track (RTMT). Topographic map sourced from NZTopo50-BJ34 Mount Ruapehu; scale 1:50,000. Crown Copyright Reserved. (c) Installation sites (red stars) along Whangaehu River (dark blue line), superimposed upon topographic maps of the region. Topographic maps sourced from NZTopo250-9 Taumarunui and NZTopo250-14 Palmerston North. Map scales are 1:250,000. Crown Copyright Reserved.	18

1.3	The aftermath of the 1953 Tangiwai Disaster: (a) front page of the New Zealand Herald, 26 th December 1953; (b) Restoration of the railway bridge began on 26 th December, 2 days after it was destroyed by an breakout lahar as the Wellington-Auckland express train passed across. Remains of the locomotive's driving controls visible in left foreground, draped in debris; (c) Damage to the road bridge, looking upstream. Far abutment remained firm as it was founded on sandstone, while near abutment was undermined by scour; (d) View downstream from railway embankment, showing resting places of several railway carriages, the engine cab, and one of the bridge piers across the channel. Two carriages were swept across the road in the background during the peak of lahar flow. All photographs taken on 26 th December 1953, and included courtesy of the New Zealand Herald.	20
1.4	Map showing location of Mt. Semeru, Indonesia	24
1.5	Photographs of lahar deposits and erosion at Mt. Semeru, Indonesia. (a) High sediment delivery rates fill sabo dams within weeks of construction, while the erosive nature of flows also causes additional damage to the dams; (b) lahar sediment volumes are dramatically increased by the downcutting and lateral bank erosion of previously emplaced material; (c) retaining wall used to minimise bank erosion, as seen during 2006 and 2007 field tests; (d) by the field campaign of 2008, the retaining wall had been completely eroded away. (Photographs (c-d) courtesy of S.J. Cronin.)	25
1.6	Map showing location of Mt. Merapi, Indonesia	26
1.7	Photographs of the destruction of the village of Kali Adem on the southern flanks of Mt. Merapi due to pyroclastic flows from the eruption of June 2006. (a) Previously deposited material within the unexcavated sabo dam near to the village of Kali Adem led to (b) the inundation and burial of Kali Adem village due to overbank flow.	27

1.8	Comparison photographs of the different laharic flow types examined within this study: (a) highly granular flow recorded at Mt. Merapi on 31 st January 2007; (b) typical hyperconcentrated streamflow recorded at Mt. Semeru on 6 th February 2007; (c) near-peak sediment concentration of the Mt. Ruapehu Crater Lake-breakout lahar recorded at Colliers bridge on 18 th March 2007. (Photograph courtesy of A.V. Zernack); (d) frozen-in-place snow-slurry deposit, with underlying ice layer visible, generated by the eruption of Mt. Ruapehu on 25 th September 2007 (photographed and sampled on 26 th September).	28
2.1	Photographs of bedload traps in use at Semeru Volcano, Indonesia. (a) Construction of the traps alongside the downstream side of a sabo dam. (Photograph courtesy of S.J. Cronin); (b) Damage common to these sampling traps caused by the passing flows; (c) During the waning period of lahar flow. Two of the traps have successfully closed, trapping material, while the trap farthest from the channel centre remains open.	31
2.2	Sampling a lahar at Colliers bridge, Ruapehu, New Zealand. (a) Sample container is thrown into the flow thalweg from channel bank. (Photograph courtesy of A.V. Zernack); (b) Transfer of sample to sealable container for transport to laboratory. (Photograph courtesy of S.J. Cronin)	32
2.3	Sampling sequence of a snow-slurry lahar, Ruapehu, New Zealand. (a) Deposit is cut away around area to be sampled using a 2 L sealable container as a guide; (b) Container is then pushed down into deposit and sample excavated from beneath; (c) Excess material is scraped from top of sample; (d) Sample is then accurate representation of 2 L section of flow.	33
2.4	Vertical component signals (100 sps) of background noise and lahar-generated signals recorded at Semeru Volcano, Indonesia. Top: seismograms; bottom: spectrograms, with 256-sample windows and 50% overlap. (a-b) Background noise; (c-d) Lahar signals. Note very low frequency (<5 Hz) eruption-associated signals concurrent with passage of lahar.	46

-
- 2.5 Results of the effects of various noise removal methodologies on the total spectra as applied to signals recorded at the Round-the-Mountain-Track monitoring site of the Crater Lake-breakout lahar at Mt. Ruapehu, New Zealand, on 18th March 2007. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are cross-channel motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion. All lines are 20-point running average total spectra profiles. (a) Raw signal, illustrating the high amplitudes at very low frequencies (<1 Hz); (b) Raw noise profile; (c) Raw signal spectra with exaggerated vertical axis to show higher-than-peak frequency detail (peak signal at <2 Hz); (d) Raw noise spectra with exaggerated vertical axis to show higher-than-peak frequency detail (peak signal at <2 Hz); (e) Signal minus noise; (f) Signal divided by noise; (g) Normalised-to-peak-amplitude signal minus normalised noise; (h) Normalised-to-peak-amplitude signal divided by normalised noise. 50
- 3.1 Location of the monitoring sites along the Curah Lengkong channel, Mt Semeru, with details of the instrumentation and observational locations. (a) Map shows location of Mt. Semeru in Java, Indonesia; (b) Map of main tributaries and drainage channels of Mt. Semeru, highlighting the general location of the monitoring sites on the Curah Lengkong river (red star); (c) exact locations of the monitoring sites at the lava site and sabo dam site (red triangles) at which pore pressure transducers and video cameras were installed. Bucket samples were also taken at these sites. Red stars indicate installation locations of the broadband seismometer in each year. Details superimposed upon aerial photograph sourced from GoogleEarthTM, Cnes/Spot Image, Image ©2010 DigitalGlobe ©2010 TeleAtlas, Imagery Date 15th June 2004. 59

3.2	Total cumulative volume vs total cumulative seismic amplitude for flows recorded at Mt. Semeru, Indonesia. (a) All data recorded, not including 4 th March 2008 data; (b) all data, including 4 th March 2008 data. Blue line: regression fit for 2008 data, not including 4 th March 2008 data; Red cross: data from 4 th March 2008; Green cross: 2006-2007 data, as fitted to regression line.	62
3.3	Total cumulative volume vs total cumulative seismic energy (>5 Hz) for flows recorded at Mt. Semeru, Indonesia. (a) All data recorded, not including 4 th March 2008 data; (b) all data, including 4 th March 2008 data. Blue line: regression fit for 2008 data, not including 4 th March 2008 data; Red cross: data from 4 th March 2008; Green cross: 2006-2007 data, as fitted to regression line.	63
3.4	Total and packet cumulative volume vs total and packet cumulative seismic amplitude for flows recorded at Mt. Semeru, Indonesia. Plot includes total flow data: 2008, except that recorded on 4 th March (dark blue); Regression fit for 2008 data, not including 4 th March (dark blue line); 2006-2007 data, as fitted to regression line (green). 2008 packet data plotted by flow: 26 th February (black); 28 th February (yellow); 5 th March (brown); 7 th March (pale blue).	65
3.5	Cross-sectional area, velocity, and sediment concentration profiles recorded at the Colliers bridge monitoring site.	75
3.6	Predicted velocity estimates made using measurements of the hydraulic radius and sediment concentration combined within a power law formula. Best-fit line is also shown. Courtesy of H.K. McMillan.	76
3.7	Predicted velocity estimates made using measurements of the hydraulic radius and sediment concentration used within a combined exponential and power law formula. Best-fit line is also shown. Courtesy of H.K. McMillan.	77

3.8	Comparison of cross-sectional area and sediment concentration of the March 2007 Ruapehu breakout lahar recorded at Colliers bridge with RSAM profiles used to determine the relative change in signal intensity over time. Total RSAM profile calculated as RSAM over all frequency ranges, while $RSAM_{relative}$ is calculated as the ratio of RSAMs of the 40-49.5 Hz and 2.5-10 Hz bands (as defined in Equation 3.30).	79
3.9	Comparison of RSAM profiles calculated from different frequency bands of the seismic record from the March 2007 Ruapehu breakout lahar recorded at Colliers bridge. Arrows denote times of localised increase on RSAM profiles associated with rising sediment concentration within the flow.	80
3.10	Velocity prediction from hydraulic radius and $RSAM_{relative}$, using the combined exponential and power law formula as defined in Equation 3.31.	81
3.11	Recorded data streams at the RTMT site for the March 2007 Crater Lake-breakout lahar.	82
3.12	Predicted discharge profiles at the RTMT site for the March 2007 Crater Lake-breakout lahar. Courtesy of H.K. McMillan.	83
4.1	Location of the monitoring site Round-the-Mountain-Track (RTMT) on Mt Ruapehu, showing Crater Lake, and the deposits of the snow-slurry lahars of 25 th September 2007. Insert shows location of Mt. Ruapehu in the Central North Island, New Zealand, and locations of other Holocene volcanoes in the area (solid black triangles). Courtesy of J.N. Proctor. .	91
4.2	(a) Seismogram (100 sps) showing recorded energy along the vertical component due to the eruption of 25 th September 2007, related seismicity, and the subsequent 3 lahars that passed the monitoring site, RTMT. (b) Spectrogram with a 256-sample window and 50% overlap. Note absence of triangular shape of increasing high frequencies at start of eruption signal contrasting with those of the lahars.	93

-
- 4.3 Lahars E1, E2 and E3 vertical component signals (100 sps) recorded at the monitoring site RTMT. Top: seismograms; middle: spectrograms, with 256-sample windows and 50% overlap, using same colour palette as Figure 4.2; bottom: 20-point running average total spectra. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are cross-channel motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion. (a-c) E1; (d-f) E2; (g-i) E3. 95
- 4.4 (a) Vertical component seismogram (100 sps) of 18th March 2007 breakout lahar recorded at the monitoring site RTMT. (b) Spectrogram with a 256-sample window and 50% overlap, using same colour palette as Figure 4.2. (c) 20-point running average total spectra. Blue line is vertical motion; Red line is cross-channel motion; Green line is channel-parallel motion. 97
- 4.5 Location of the OnTrack Flood Gauge (OT) monitoring site and associated sensors. Table 1.1 (page 21) details all monitoring methods used within this study. (a) Location of Mt. Ruapehu (red triangle) within the North Island of New Zealand; (b) location of the OnTrack Flood Gauge (OT) monitoring site relative to Mt. Ruapehu, the Whangaehu River catchment (blue line), and the sites used in this study (red stars), superimposed upon topographic maps of the region. Topographic maps sourced from NZTopo250-9 Taumarunui and NZTopo250-14 Palmerston North. Map scales are 1:250,000. Crown Copyright Reserved. (c) Location of the monitoring sensors along the river channel at this site (blue lines mark inundation area of the 18th March 2007 lahar): Massey University / GNS Science seismometer (red star); OnTrack tower warning system and Horizons Regional Council bubbler (black star); an Horizons Regional Council webcam was situated c. 200 m south east of the tower gauge. Details superimposed upon aerial photograph sourced from GoogleEarthTM, Image Horizons Regional Consortium, ©2010 Map-Data Sciences PtyLtd, PSMA, Image ©2010 DigitalGlobe, Imagery Date 22nd March 2007. 100

-
- 4.6 (a) Seismogram (100 sps) showing recorded energy along the vertical component due to the Crater Lake breakout lahar of 18th March 2007 that passed the OT monitoring site. (b-d) Spectrograms of the channel-perpendicular, channel-parallel, and vertical components, respectively, calculated with 256-sample windows and 50% overlap. 101
- 4.7 Comparison between the ratios of channel-parallel, -perpendicular and vertical components of RSAM records of different frequency bands from the seismometer at the OnTrack Flood Gauge (OT) monitoring site on Mt Ruapehu, 18th March 2007. Red lines are East-component over North-component; Green lines are East-component over vertical; Blue lines are North-component over vertical; and Black lines are the vertical RSAM trace for each of the respective frequency bands. (a) 2.5-10 Hz; (b) 10-20 Hz; (c) 20-30 Hz; (d) 30-40 Hz; (e) 40-50 Hz. 102
- 4.8 Photographs illustrating different laharic flow types that were recorded during the 2007 field season in Indonesia: (a) Hyperconcentrated stream-flow at Mt. Semeru, recorded on 6th February 2007; (b) Granular debris flow at Mt. Merapi, recorded on 31st January 2007. 104
- 4.9 Vertical component signals (100 sps) of lahars recorded in Indonesia in 2007. Top row: seismograms; 2nd, 3rd, and 4th rows: Spectrograms of the channel-perpendicular, channel-parallel, and vertical components, respectively, calculated with 256-sample windows and 50% overlap, using same colour palette as Figure 4.2; 5th row: RSAM amplitude for each component. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are cross-channel motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion; bottom (6th) row: 20-point running average total spectra. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are cross-channel motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion. (a-d) Hyperconcentrated streamflow at Mt. Semeru, recorded on 6th February 2007; (e-h) Granular debris flow at Mt. Merapi, recorded on 31st January 2007. 105

-
- 5.1 Location of the Colliers bridge (CB) monitoring site and associated sensors. Table 1.1 (page 21) details all monitoring methods used within this study. (a) Location of Mt. Ruapehu (red triangle) within the North Island of New Zealand; (b) location of the Colliers bridge (CB) monitoring site relative to Mt. Ruapehu, the Whangaehu River catchment (blue line), and the sites used in this study (red stars), superimposed upon topographic maps of the region. Topographic maps sourced from NZTopo250-9 Taumarunui and NZTopo250-14 Palmerston North. Map scales are 1:250,000. Crown Copyright Reserved. (c) Location of the monitoring sensors along the river channel at this site (blue lines mark normal river bank outline pre-lahar). Details superimposed upon aerial photograph sourced from GoogleEarth™, Image Horizons Regional Consortium, ©2010 MapData Sciences PtyLtd, PSMA, Imagery Date 31st January 2005. 112
- 5.2 (a) Vertical component seismogram (100 sps) of 18th March 2007 breakout lahar recorded at the monitoring site Colliers bridge. (b-d) Spectrograms of the channel-perpendicular, channel-parallel, and vertical components, respectively, calculated with 256-sample windows and 50% overlap. (e) 20-point running average total spectra. Blue line is vertical motion; Red line is cross-channel motion; Green line is channel-parallel motion. 115
- 5.3 Comparison of bulk flow characteristics: (a) variations in stage, velocity, and sediment concentration profiles. Blue line is stage; Red line is velocity; Green line is sediment concentration. (b) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. Phases marked correspond to variations in flow behaviour, similar to that witnessed in *Cronin et al.* (1999). 119

-
- 5.4 Changing spectra associated with different phases in bulk flow characteristics: (a) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. (b)-(g) 20-point running average total spectra calculated over 5-minute segments. Note different y-axis scales. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are channel-perpendicular motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion. Phases marked correspond to variations in flow behaviour, similar to that witnessed in *Cronin et al. (1999)*. 121
- 5.5 Comparison of collected lahar bucket samples. (a) stage and velocity profiles. Black line is stage; Red line is velocity. (b) Sediment concentration fraction. Black line is total sediment concentration; Green line is sediment concentration fraction $<63 \mu\text{m}$; Blue line is sediment concentration fraction $>63 \mu\text{m}$. (c) pH and conductivity profiles. Black line is pH; Red line is conductivity. (d) Concentration profiles of magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and chloride (Cl^-). Black line is magnesium; Red line is chloride. 123
- 5.6 Photographs illustrating the evolving nature of the lahar at Colliers bridge, approximately corresponding to times of spectra typical of different phases displayed in Figure 5.4. (a) pre-lahar normal streamflow (Photograph courtesy of A.V. Zernack); (b) onset of flow (phase 1) with broken logs and other debris floating on surface; (c) turbulent behaviour of phase 2 due to increasing sediment, with standing waves formed in mid-channel and outer bends; (d) smooth and oily surface typical of the more laminar-like behaviour of phase 3; (e) decreasing sediment concentration and increasing turbulence of early phase 4 (Photograph courtesy of A.V. Zernack); (f) latter phase 4 with decreasing stage and sediment concentration (Photograph courtesy of A. Möbis. 124

-
- 6.1 Photographs showing the area of the tephra dam at the Crater Lake and the installation sites of the buried instrumentation. (a-b) Before dam collapse, taken 19th January 2007. (Photographs courtesy of S.J. Cronin). (c-d) After dam collapse and outflow of water, taken 19th March 2007. (Photographs courtesy of H.J.R. Keys). Arrows indicate the location of the tripwire; circles indicate the geophones 1-3; star indicates the pressure transducer. 137
- 6.2 Comparison of data at the Crater Lake/ERLAWS site 1, showing the geophysical record of the breach sequence and outflow through the dam. Data courtesy of the Department of Conservation (DOC). Locations of instruments can be seen in Figure 6.1. (a) Removal of the tripwire and subsequent draw-down of the lake level after breach. Black line is lake level, measured in m above sea level (a.s.l.); Red line is tripwire record. (b) Seismic response of the geophone record of the collapse and outflow. Black line is high gain signal from geophone 1, positioned in the dam; Red line is low gain signal from geophone 2; Green line is low gain signal from geophone 3. Roman numerals (I-V) correspond to variations in breach sequence as listed in Table 6.1. 139
- 6.3 Still camera images illustrating the breach and collapse sequence of the Crater Lake tephra dam. Roman numerals (I-V) correspond to variations in breach sequence as listed in Table 6.1. (a) taken at 23:08 (UT, 17th March), corresponding to (I). Initial rapid erosion of central part of dam back towards lake; (b) taken at 23:21 (UT). Erosion scarp widened, forming a single channel with initial breach at 11:10 (UT); (c) taken at 23:22 (UT), corresponding to (III). Large failure of dam face, tripwire pulls at 23:22 (UT), rapid draw-down of lake; (d) taken at 23:35 (UT). Rapid draw-down of lake, breach widened to most of channel. All images courtesy of V. Manville/GNS Science. 141

-
- 6.4 Comparison of the geophone records of the Eastern Ruapehu Lahar Warning System sites: (a) Site 1 (Crater Lake); (b) Site 2 (c. 2.2 km from source); (c) Site 3 (near Tukino skifield village; c. 4.6 km from source). For all sites, Black line is high gain signal from geophone 1; Red line is low gain signal from geophone 2; Green line is low gain signal from geophone 3. Roman numerals (I-V) correspond to variations in breach sequence as listed in Table 6.1 and tracked between sites. . . . 142
- 6.5 Comparison of the seismic records of the breach sequence as recorded at sensors at the dam and on the opposite side of the lake. Roman numerals (I-V) correspond to variations in breach sequence as listed in Table 6.1. (a) the Eastern Ruapehu Lahar Warning System (ERLAWS) Site 1. Seismic response of the geophone record of the collapse and outflow. Black line is high gain signal from geophone 1, positioned in the dam; Red line is low gain signal from geophone 2; Green line is low gain signal from geophone 3. (b) the GeoNet volcano monitoring station at Dome Shelter. Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. 144
- 6.6 Comparison of bulk flow characteristics at the Round-the-Mountain-Track. (a) Stage, temperature, and absolute pressure profiles. Blue line is stage (dotted blue line is reconstructed approximation based on pore pressure measurements); Green line is temperature; Red line is absolute pressure. (b) Seismic response recorded on geophones. Red line is low gain signal from geophone 1; Black line is high gain signal from geophone 2; Green line is low gain signal from geophone 2. (c) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. Roman numerals (I-V) correspond to variations in breach sequence as listed in Table 6.1. 146

6.7	Photographs illustrating the behaviour of the tail phase of the lahar at the RTMT site (7.4 km from source), taken from a survey helicopter. Main instrumentation site marked with star. (a) upstream view of lahar over walking bridge from true left side of channel. Note change in flow behaviour across width of channel due to deposits at different points along the far side of river; (b) upstream view of lahar from true right side of channel, showing the bifurcation of flow on either side of the lava bluff. (Photographs courtesy of H.J.R. Keys).	147
6.8	Changing spectra associated with different phases in bulk flow characteristics: (a) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. (b)-(g) 20-point running average total spectra calculated over 5-minute segments. Note different y-axis scales. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are channel-perpendicular motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion.	149
6.9	Comparison of bulk flow characteristics at the OnTrack Flood Gauge. (a) Wetted perimeter and velocity profiles. Black line is wetted perimeter as calculated using LiDAR data collected before the lahar; Blue line is wetted perimeter as calculated using LiDAR data collected after the lahar; Red line is velocity. (b) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. Phases marked correspond to variations in flow behaviour, similar to those witnessed in <i>Cronin et al.</i> (1999) and Chapter 5.	151
6.10	Photographs illustrating the evolving nature of the lahar at the On-Track Flood Gauge, corresponding to mid-times of spectra slices typical of different phases displayed in Figure 6.11b-g. (a) pre-lahar normal streamflow; (b) onset of flow (phase 1); (c) peak sediment concentration reducing turbulence and forming a smooth and oily surface; (d) high stage with decreasing sediment concentration and increasing turbulence (phase 3); (e) continued decreasing sediment concentration, increasing turbulence, and largely decreased stage height of late phase 3/early phase 4; (f) latter phase 4 with approximate pre-lahar stage levels but retaining higher-than-normal sediment concentration. All images courtesy of Horizons Regional Council.	152

-
- 6.11 Changing spectra associated with different phases in bulk flow characteristics at the OnTrack Flood Gauge: (a) Vertical component time-series trace (100 sps) of lahar. (b)-(g) 20-point running average total spectra calculated over 5-minute segments. Note different y-axis scales. Blue lines are vertical motion; Red lines are channel-perpendicular motion; Green lines are channel-parallel motion. 154
- 6.12 Comparison of bulk flow characteristics at the Tangiwai rail bridge. Blue line is stage; Black line is high gain signal from geophone 1; Red line is low gain signal from geophone 2. Phases marked correspond to variations in flow behaviour, similar to those witnessed in *Cronin et al.* (1999) and Chapter 5. 155
- 6.13 Photographs illustrating the evolving nature of the lahar at the Tangiwai rail bridge. (a) onset of flow (phase 1), looking upstream of rail bridge. (Image courtesy of camera footage provided by G. Mackley).; (b) tail of flow, looking downstream over rail (foreground) and road (background) bridges. (Photograph courtesy of H.J.R. Keys). 156