

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Thus says the Lord:

    "Let not the wise man glory in his wisdom,  
    Let not the mighty man glory in his might,  
    Let not the rich man glory in his riches;  
But let him who glories glory in this,  
    That he knows and understands me,  
    That I am the Lord who practise  
    Steadfast love, justice, and righteousness in the earth;  
    For in these things I delight."

. Jeremiah 9 v 24

STUDIES IN ANAEROBIC/AEROBIC TREATMENT

OF

DAIRY SHED EFFLUENT

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirement for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Agricultural Engineering

At Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

DAVID JOHN WARBURTON

1977

## A B S T R A C T

Increases in herd size and enforcement of water quality regulations have created an effluent disposal problem for the New Zealand dairy industry. Spray disposal to land and lagooning are commonly used but mechanical failures, management requirements and pressure on land have limited their suitability in many situations. This project was established to consider an alternative system.

Initial studies revealed that anaerobic treatment in unmixed, non-insulated tanks, followed by trickling filter aeration, might be suitable. Two laboratory scale and one field treatment plant (1/15 - 1/20 full scale) were constructed to investigate the system. A factorial experimental design allowed investigation into three anaerobic treatment levels with a 3 x 3 aerobic treatment interaction nested within each anaerobic treatment.

Anaerobic residence times of 5, 7.5 and 10 days provided loading rates of 1.35 - 0.63 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup>-day and 1.36 - 0.67 kg TS/m<sup>3</sup>-day. Removals between inlet and outlet averaged 71% and were insensitive to loading rate. Total solids accumulation rates of 40-50% TS input rate suggests that anaerobic tank design should be based on solids accumulation rate and cleaning frequency.

The stone media trickling filter was loaded at approximately 0.61 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup>-day. Aeration periods of 1, 2 and 3 days and hydraulic loads of 2.8, 10.1 and 18.2 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>-day were studied to determine their influence on treatment efficiency. Multiple regression analysis indicated that the longer residence times and higher recycle rates improved treatment efficiency. Removals varied with the measured parameters but ranged from 42-66% for COD. Design alterations to allow the final discharge to be taken from the bottom of the filter, after settling, would increase aerobic treatment efficiency above 75% COD removal. Prediction of treatment efficiencies beyond the monitored operating conditions suggested that only marginal improvements could be made. The TS accumulation rate in the aerobic phase was approximately 13% of the TS input rate or 56% of the BOD removal rate.

Overall plant treatment efficiencies of 80-89% were obtained. Removals in excess of 92% could be achieved with minor design alterations. Maintenance and operational requirements were minimal. The only problem with the system was an average 15 fold increase in  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  and 4 fold increase in DIP under conditions for optimum removal of the other parameters. Intermittent land disposal could reduce this problem.

Treatment comparison between similar laboratory plants, and between laboratory and field plants which varied by a scale factor of 56, suggests that identically designed plants would give a similar performance and that there is little scale effect. Increasing the scale only improved treatment efficiencies under unstable aerobic conditions, i.e., high recycle rates and low residence times. Increasing scale gave some decrease in maintenance and operational problems.

Design of a full scale plant, based on daily pollution loads from a 250 cow dairy shed, suggests that the system is a viable proposition.

- Mr I.R. Hughes, Statistician, Dairy Research Institute; for his assistance in statistical analysis.
  
- Staff of the Printery and Photographic Departments, Massey University; for their assistance in producing this thesis.
  
- Mobil Oil New Zealand Ltd.; for the grant of \$1,000 to assist in the construction of the field treatment plant.

## C O N T E N T S

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1:1) The New Zealand Dairy Industry	2
1:2) Dairy Effluent Treatment	2
CHAPTER 2. DAIRY SHED EFFLUENT TREATMENT - A REVIEW	6
2:1) Effluent Production at the Dairy Shed	7
2:2) Treatment Methods and the Law	7
2:2.1) The Water and Soil Conservation Act	7
2:2.2) Dairy Regulations	10
2:2.3) National Surveys of Dairy Shed Effluent Treatment	10
2:3) Land Disposal	12
2:3.1) Introduction	12
2:3.2) Design and Loading Rates	12
2:3.2,1) Hydraulic Loads	12
2:3.2,2) Solid Loads	13
2:3.2,3) Nutrient Loads	13
2:3.3) Treatment Efficiency	13
2:3.3,1) Runoff and Infiltration Quality	13
2:3.3,2) Soil Quality	15
2:3.4) Plant Growth Responses	15
2:3.5) Animal Health	15
2:3.5,1) Disease Transmission	15
2:3.5,2) Metabolic Disorders	15
2:3.6) Pretreatment for Land Disposal	16
2:3.7) Economics of Land Disposal	16
2:4) Anaerobic Treatment	17
2:4.1) Introduction	17
2:4.2) Principles of Anaerobic Treatment	18
2:4.2,1) Biochemical Reactions	18
2:4.2,2) Environmental Requirements	18
2:4.3) Anaerobic Lagoons	18
2:4.3,1) Loading Rates	20

	<u>Page</u>
2:4.3,2) Lagoon Operation	21
2:4.3,3) Treatment Efficiency	21
2:4.3,4) Solids Accumulation and Disposal	22
2:4.4) Capped Anaerobic Digestion	23
2:4.4,1) Loading Rates	23
2:4.4,2) Treatment Efficiency and Gas Production	24
2:4.4,3) Design and Development	24
2:5) Aerobic Treatment	25
2:5.1) Introduction	25
2:5.2) Oxidation Ponds	25
2:5.2,1) Design Considerations	25
2:5.2,2) Treatment Efficiency	26
2:5.3) Aerated Lagoons	27
2:5.4) Trickling Filters	27
2:5.4,1) Introduction	27
2:5.4,2) Design Considerations	28
2:5.4,3) Temperature Effects	31
2:5.4,4) Kinetics and Optimization	32
2:5.4,5) Nutrient Removal	34
2:5.4,6) Trickling Filters in Livestock Waste Treatment	34
2:5.5) Rotating Biological Discs	36
2:5.6) Oxidation Ditches	36
2:5.6,1) Design of Oxidation Ditches	36
2:5.6,2) Operation of Oxidation Ditches	37
2:5.7) Composting	37
2:5.8) Odour Control	38
2:6) Physical and Chemical Treatment	38
2:6.1) Solid/Liquid Separation	38
2:6.1,1) Sedimentation	38
2:6.1,2) Solid/Liquid Separators	39
2:6.2) Chemical Treatment	39
2:7) Waste Recycle	39
 CHAPTER 3. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	 41
3:1) Introduction	42



	<u>Page</u>
3:2) Biological Treatment	42
3:3) Implementation of Concepts	43
CHAPTER 4. PLANT DEVELOPMENT - INITIAL STUDIES	45
4.1) Selection of Effluent Source	46
4.2) Single Tank Treatment	46
4:2.1) Introduction	46
4:2.2) Initial Pilot Plant	46
4:2.2,1) Design and Construction	46
4:2.2,1) Plant Operation	48
4:2.3) A Glass Column Filter	48
4:2.4) Conclusions from the Single Tank Treatment Plants	49
4.3) Twin Tank Treatment	49
4:3.1) Introduction	49
4:3.2) Design and Construction	49
4:3.3) Operation of the Twin Tank Plant	51
4:3.4) Conclusions from the Twin Tank Treatment System	54
CHAPTER 5. PLANT DEVELOPMENT - FINAL DESIGN	57
5:1) Laboratory Plant Construction	58
5:2) Field Plant Construction	58
CHAPTER 6. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	64
6:1) Sample Collection	65
6.1.1) Raw Waste	65
6:1.1,1) Manual Collection	65
6:1.1,2) Automatic Collection	65
6.1.2) Treated Effluent	67
6:2) Sample Analysis	69
6:2.1) Timing of Analyses	69
6:2.2) Analytical Parameters and Methods	69
6:2.2,1) Total Sample Analysis	69
6:2.2,2) Sub-Sample Analysis	70
6:3) Other Records	71

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 7. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	73
7:1) Initial Studies	74
7:2) Main Trials	74
7:2.1) Operational Procedure	74
7:2.2) Data Analysis	76
CHAPTER 8. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	79
8:1) Collected Data	80
8:2) Characteristics of Dairy Shed Effluent	80
8:2.1) Measured Characteristics	80
8:2.2) Pollution Loads from Dairy Shed Effluent	82
8:2.3) Correlation of Pollution Parameters	82
8:3) Anaerobic Treatment Phase	82
8:3.1) Anaerobic Loading Rates	85
8:3.2) Anaerobic Treatment Efficiencies	85
8:3.3) Anaerobic Solid Accumulation and Disposal	88
8:3.4) Comparison of the Laboratory and Field Plants	92
8:4) Aerobic Treatment Phase	93
8:4.1) Aerobic Loading Rates	93
8:4.2) Aerobic Treatment Results	93
8:4.2,1) Introduction	93
8:4.2,2) Regression Equations and Contour Diagrams	96
8:4.2,3) Discussion of Aerobic Treatment Results	99
8:4.2,4) Anaerobic Influence on Aerobic Treatment	113
8:4.2,5) Prediction of Treatment Efficiencies	115
8:4.3) Solids Accumulation in the Aerobic Tank	115
8:4.4) Treatment Plant Comparisons	120
8:5) Correlation of BOD and COD	125
CHAPTER 9. GENERAL DISCUSSION	126
9:1) Total Plant Treatment Efficiencies	127
9:2) Nitrogen Analysis	130
9:2.1) Nitrate Nitrogen	130

	<u>Page</u>
9:2.2) Ammoniacal Nitrogen	130
9:2.3) Kjeldahl Nitrogen	132
9:2.4) Total Nitrogen	132
9:3) Phosphorus Analysis	132
9:4) Pollution Loads on Natural Waters	136
9:5) Plant Operation	136
9:5.1) Loading and Daily Maintenance	136
9:5.2) Pump Performance Problems	136
9:5.3) Line Blockages through the Treatment Plants	138
9:5.4) Solids Cleaning	139
9:5.5) Plant Scale Effects on Operation	139
 CHAPTER 10. CONCLUSIONS	 142
10.1) Dairy Shed Effluent	143
10.2) Anaerobic Treatment	143
10.3) Aerobic Treatment	144
10.4) Total Plant Operation	144
 CHAPTER 11. APPLICATION OF ANAEROBIC TREATMENT AND TRICKLING FILTER AERATION TO THE N.Z. DAIRY INDUSTRY	 146
11.1) Design of a Full Scale Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment Plant	147
11.2) Performance and Operation of the Treatment Plant	147
11.3) Capital and Operating Costs	150
 CHAPTER 12. RECOMMENDATIONS	 151

## A P P E N D I C E S

- APPENDIX I. REPORTED CHARACTERISTICS OF DAIRY SHED EFFLUENT
- APPENDIX II. DEVELOPMENT OF AN ANAEROBIC/AEROBIC TREATMENT PLANT FOR DAIRY SHED EFFLUENT
- APPENDIX III. WORKING DRAWINGS FOR FIELD SCALE TREATMENT PLANT
- APPENDIX IV. ROTARY TIPPING BUCKET - DESIGN AND OPERATION
- APPENDIX V. COLLECTED DATA
- APPENDIX VI. LABORATORY PLANT DATA FROM ANAEROBIC AND AEROBIC SOLIDS REMOVAL
- APPENDIX VII. MONTHLY VARIATIONS IN DAIRY SHED EFFLUENT
- APPENDIX VIII. DESIGN OF AN ANAEROBIC TANK AND TRICKLING FILTER AERATION SYSTEM FOR A 250 COW FACTORY SUPPLY SHED.

## B I B L I O G R A P H Y

L I S T O F T A B L E S

	<u>Page</u>
1:1 Statistics for Factory Supply Dairy Farms in N.Z.	3
1:2 The Number of Factory Supply Herds with more than 300 Cows	3
1:3 Statistics for Town Supply Dairy Farms in N.Z.	4
2:1 Effluent Production per Cow-Day	8
2:2 A Summary of Fresh Water Classification in N.Z.	9
2:3 A Survey of Dairy Shed Effluent Treatment Systems	11
2:4 Runoff Quality from Land Disposal Areas	14
2:5 Environmental Requirements for Anaerobic Digestion	19
7:1 Experimental Design	75
7:2 Confounding of Field Plant Treatments	77
7:3 Final Treatment Allocation to Experimental Plants and Timetable of Analysis	78
8:1 Measured Data for Dairy Shed Effluent	81
8:2 Pollution Loads from Dairy Shed Effluent	83
8:3 Correlation of Raw Waste Parameters	84
8:4 Anaerobic Tank Loadings	86
8:5 Anaerobic Treatment in Laboratory Plants	87
8:6 TS Balance for Laboratory Plant Anaerobic Tank	90
8:7 VS Balance for Laboratory Plant Anaerobic Tank	91
8:8 Anaerobic Treatment Efficiencies for the Field Plant	94
8:9 Laboratory Plants - Daily Aerobic Loading Rates	95
8:10 Treatment Combinations and Covariates for Aerobic Regression Analysis	97
8:11 Regression Equations for Aerobic Treatment Using Laboratory Plant Data	98

	<u>Page</u>
8:12 Predicted Treatment Efficiencies for Aerobic Treatment Using Laboratory Plant Data	109
8:13 COD Concentrations through the Aerobic Treatment Phase of the Laboratory Plants	111
8:14 Comparison of BOD Concentrations at the Bottom of the Filter and Final Discharge of Laboratory Plants	112
8:15 DO Concentration (mg/l) in the Laboratory Plant Discharge	114
8:16 Solids Accumulation in the Laboratory Plant Aerobic Tanks	121
8:17 Field Plant - Daily Aerobic Loading Rates	123
8:18 Plant Comparisons for Aerobic Treatment	124
9:1 Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment Efficiencies	128
9:2 NO <sub>3</sub> -N Discharge Concentrations Relative to Aerobic Treatment for Laboratory Plants	131
9:3 TKN Removal for Laboratory Plants	133
9:4 Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment Effect on Nitrogen	134
9:5 Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment Effect on Phosphorus	135
9:6 Pollution Loads on Receiving Waters after Treatment	137
11:1 Daily and Annual Pollution Loads Discharged from an Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment Plant Receiving Effluent from a 250 Cow Factory Supply Dairy Shed	149

## L I S T   O F   F I G U R E S

	<u>Page</u>
4:1    Anaerobic Tank Structure	50
4:2    Schematic Diagram of Trickling Filter Collecting Tray	53
6:1    Sampling Positions	68
7:1    Experimental Procedure per Setting	75
8:1    Contour Plot for COD OUT Using Laboratory Plant Data	100
8:2    Contour Plot for Percent COD Removal Using Laboratory Plant Data	101
8:3    Contour Plot for BOD OUT Using Laboratory Plant Data	102
8:4    Contour Plot for TS OUT Using Laboratory Plant Data	103
8:5    Contour Plot for Percent TS Removal Using Laboratory Plant Data	104
8:6    Contour Plot for VS OUT Using Laboratory Plant Data	105
8:7    Contour Plot for Percent VS Removal Using Laboratory Plant Data	106
8:8    Contour Plot to Predict COD OUT	116
8:9    Contour Plot to Predict BOD OUT	117
8:10   Contour Plot to Predict TS OUT	118
8:11   Contour Plot to predict VS OUT	119
8:12   Settling Curve for Aerobic Sludge Removed from Laboratory Plants	122
11:1   Anaerobic/Aerobic Effluent Treatment Plant for a 250 Cow Factory Supply Dairy Farm	148

## L I S T O F P L A T E S

	<u>Page</u>
4:1 Single 195 litre Tank and Trickling Filter Unit	47
4:2 Loading and Recycle Pump for the Single Tank Unit	47
4:3 Twin Tank Anaerobic/Aerobic Treatment with the Perspex Trickling Filter Mounted above the Aerobic Tank	52
4:4 Filamentous Growth from the Bottom of the Trickling Filter	55
4:5 Close-up of the Filamentous Growth	55
5:1 General Arrangement of Laboratory Plant	59
5:2 Anaerobic Tank, Control Box and Metering Tanks of Field Plant	60
5:3 Anaerobic Tank and Trickling Filter Arrangement for Field Plant	60
5:4 Trickling Filter Support Structure for Field Plant	61
5:5 Rotary Tipping Bucket Distributor on Top of the Field Plant Trickling Filter	61
5:6 Field Plant Trickling Filter, Aerobic Tank, Recycle Pump Housing and Motor Control	62
6:1 Effluent Collector Showing Collecting Channels and the Collecting Tank	66
6:2 Mono CP 25 Loading Pump Transferring Effluent from the Collecting Tank to the Input Metering Tank	66
9:1 Visual Appraisal of Dairy Shed Effluent during Treatment	129
9:2 Solid Crust on Field Plant Anaerobic Tank	140



## ABBREVIATIONS

BOD	= biochemical oxygen demand	Mg	= magnesium
C	= carbon	ml	= millilitre
°C	= degrees Celsius	MLVSS	= mixed liquor volatile suspended solids
Ca	= calcium	mm	= millimetre
CH <sub>4</sub>	= methane	mV	= millivolt
CMD	= coefficient of multiple determination	N	= nitrogen
CO <sub>2</sub>	= carbon dioxide	Na	= sodium
COD	= chemical oxygen demand	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	= sodium peroxide
DIP	= dissolved inorganic phosphate	NaOH	= sodium hydroxide
DKN	= dissolved Kjeldahl nitrogen	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	= ammoniacal nitrogen
DM	= dry matter	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	= nitrate nitrogen
DO	= dissolved oxygen	O <sub>2</sub>	= oxygen
DOP	= dissolved organic phosphate	P	= phosphorus
F/M	= food to microorganism ratio	ppm	= parts per million
g	= gram	RBD	= rotating biological disc
h	= hour	rpm	= revolutions per minute
ha	= hectare	s	= second
I.D.	= internal diameter	S.T.P.	= standard temperature and pressure
K	= potassium	TDN	= total dissolved nitrogen
kg	= kilogram	TDP	= total dissolved phosphate
kW	= kilowatt	TEMP	= temperature
l	= litre	TKN	= total Kjeldahl nitrogen
m	= metre	TN	= total nitrogen
max.	= maximum	TP	= total phosphate
mg	= milligram	TPN	= total particulate nitrogen
		TPP	= total particulate phosphate

TS = total solids

VS = volatile solids

VFA = volatile fatty acids

yr = year