

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

BORON DYNAMICS AND AVAILABILITY IN *PINUS RADIATA* PLANTATION

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Soil Science



Institute of Natural Resources, College of Sciences Massey
University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Raza Ullah Khan

2012

Abstract

Pinus radiata is an important forest species in New Zealand. Over 89 % of the country's plantation forestry area is planted with *P. radiata*. The species makes a major contribution to New Zealand's \$3.1 billion to GDP and the plantation area is projected to increase to 2.5 million hectares by 2025. Research work to date has recognised that soil B deficiency is a major issue in many forestry plantation areas. Edaphic and environmental conditions such as the coarse texture pumacious soils planted with radiata in the Central North Island, and summer drought condition in some areas, further aggravate B deficiency in New Zealand soils.

Boron deficiencies in *P. radiata* lead to growth defects in afflicted plants and a deterioration in wood quality and market value. The primary objective of this thesis was to assess the impact of ulexite, a slow-release B fertiliser, on the bioavailability of soil B, plant B uptake, and the relative effect of B application rate on plant growth and soil microbial activity. A further objective was to compare the rate of B adsorption to seven benchmark soils collected from the North Island of New Zealand. The purpose of the work was to propose a long-term slow-release fertiliser management solution for radiata pine forestry that may mitigate the economic damage caused by B deficiency in this important primary production sector.

Soil was collected from Taupo, the major *P. radiata* planting district in the Central North Island of New Zealand, and used to establish glasshouse studies with *P. radiata* at Massey University in Palmerston North. Plants for this research were obtained from the Forest Research Institute (SCION) in Rotorua, New Zealand. Two growth experiments were conducted. The second of these compared the B dynamics of a fast-growing and slow-growing clone of *P. radiata*.

The background concentration of B in this soil (less than 0.5 mg/kg calcium chloride extractable B) is low, and B fertiliser application induced a soil response. Results showed that the concentration of plant-available B (extracted using hot 0.02 M CaCl₂) significantly increased with B application. Boron application at the highest level (32 kg/ha) led to a build-up of soil B to a critical toxicity level with the subsequent appearance of toxicity symptoms in plants.

Application of B resulted in rapid B uptake as shown by an increase in B concentration in all plant parts (needle, stem and roots), but with the greatest rate of increase in needles. The percentage distribution of B throughout the plant showed that B distribution was influenced by B application treatments. The root to needle B ratio is used in this work as an index of B transfer from source to sink parts of a plant. Results showed that under deficient and toxic soil B concentrations (defined through the CaCl₂ extractable B concentration), B was restricted to source tissues. However, B application at the rate of 4 kg/ha enabled B to move to sink parts including the new emerging needles. Regardless of clone and B treatment, needles, particularly older needles, were the main site of B accumulation followed by roots and stem. The B concentration in needles of Clone 37 was higher than in Clone 18 and this result reflects a higher demand of B for the faster growing Clone 37 relative to Clone 18.

Application of B affected *P. radiata* growth in terms of height, diameter and plant dry weight. Plants responded positively to B application over a range of fertiliser treatments (8-16 kg/ha) leading to sufficiency in soil as quantified through increases in the plant growth parameters plant height and dry weight. Boron application improved plant physiology as quantified by photosynthesis in this study. Results showed that photosynthesis positively responded to B application up to 8 kg/ha, however a further increase in B application resulted in a decline in photosynthetic activity.

Results from a B fractionation study showed that the plant unavailable residual-B fraction was the major form of B in the Taupo soil. With B fertiliser application the concentration of readily-available B increased proportionally to the B application rate. This increase in readily-available B demonstrates the importance of using B fertiliser to provide for a long-term increase in plant-available soil B for *P. radiata* plantations on the Taupo soil.

Soil microbial and microbiological properties also responded to B application. Soil dehydrogenase activity, an index of microbiological activity in soil, showed a concentration gradient from the bulk to rhizosphere soil. Regardless of clone there was approximately a three-fold higher dehydrogenase activity in the rhizosphere soil compared to the bulk soil. Maximum dehydrogenase activity was recorded by a B application at 4-8 kg/ha in both clones with a decrease in activity at higher rates.

Regardless of the radiata clone used, mycorrhizal colonisation increased with B application. However, for both clones the maximum mycorrhizal infection on roots was recorded for a B application rate of 2-4 kg/ha.

A B adsorption study performed using seven benchmark soils collected from around the North Island showed that B adsorption increased in all soils with the concentration of B in equilibrium solution. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms modelled B adsorption in all seven soils. Further studies showed that B adsorption corresponded to pH in solution and linearly increased up to pH 9 and reduced thereafter.

The results from this study demonstrate the importance of B fertiliser to *P. radiata* plantation forestry. Both plant and microbiological parameters are affected by both low and excess levels of soil B. Therefore, it is suggested that a B application rate in the range of 4-8 kg/ha is optimal for plant growth and will have no harmful effect on soil microbiological parameters. In contrast, B application at the rate of 16 kg/ha is toxic to both plants and soil microbes and will lead to inhibitory effects on activity and growth.

Acknowledgements

First of all I am enormously thankful to Allah the Most Merciful and the Most Beneficent who grants me strength, courage, wisdom and knowledge to accomplish this task. I feel deep pleasure to appreciate the following people and institutions for their valuable contribution towards completing this thesis and make my student life a wonderful experience at Massey University.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my chief supervisors, Dr. Christopher W.N. Anderson and Associate Professor Dr. P. Loganathan for their ceaseless supports, enthusiasm and hardworking spirits that gifted me scientific insight and strengthened my personality. I am also thankful to my co-supervisors, Jianming Xue and Peter Clinton (SCION) for their guidance, continuous contact and help in drafting this thesis. All these personality helped me a lot.

I am also indeed thankful to all staff of Soil and Earth Science Group especially Professor MJ Hedley (Head-Soil and Earth Science Group), Dr. Alan Palmer, Dr. Bob Stewart, Dr. James Hanely, Mr. Lance Currie, laboratory technician; Ian Furkert, Bob Toes, Ross Wallace, Gleny Wallaces, Ann West, Mike Bretherton and group secretaries; Denise, Moira and Liza Haarhoff for helping in labortaries analytical procedure, graphics and statistical analysis.

I am also thankful to Dr. S. Sivakumaran and other support staff of Plant and Food Palmerston for allowing me using their labartory for mycorrhizae isolation work.

My sincere thanks go to Ms. Sylvia Hooker, Ms. Diane Reily and Dr. Zulfiqar Butt (International student support office, Massey University) for their enormous support, useful suggestion and hospitality.

I am also grateful to Higher Education Commission (HEC), Govt. of Pakistan for granting me scholarship to pursue my PhD studies in Massey university. My sincere thanks are also due to Pakistan Agricultural Research council (PARC) for the admittance to pursue my PhD studies at Massey university.

I wish to address my special thanks to I am also grateful to the staff of Forest Institute Rotorua and Christchurch for their help in providing financial and logistic

help and staff of Plant Growth unit; Steven, Lindsay, Lesley and Scott for setting up glasshouse trial.

I wish to express my thanks to Dr. Mohammad Shuaib, Dr. Wajid Hussain, Dr. Mohammad Zaman and their families for help and hospitality throughout my stay in New Zealand. I am also grateful to my friends; Jeyakumar, Indica, Toe, Saman, Roberto, Abdul Hanan, Shakeel, Sajjad, Sadaf, Kiran, Asad, Shujjat, Murad, Atif and Zia.

Finally, I am thankful to my beloved wife Rehana Gul, my lovely daughters; Manahil and Mashaal, my beloved son Ahsan Raza who shared their love during my studies. I am grateful to my parents, my brothers; Dr. Engineer Atta Ullah Shah, Zia Ullah Shah, Professor Dr. Salim Ullah Khan, Dr. Irfan Ullah Jan and rest of my family members whose love, understandings and consistent encouragements; have greatly helped me in completion of this thesis.

Raza Ullah Khan

Palmerston North, 2012

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my late Great Grandmother

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Table of contents.....	vii
List of Figures, Tables and Equations	xiii
Chapter 1 Background and Research Objectives.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Use of B fertilisers in <i>Pinus radiata</i>	3
1.3 Objectives of the research study	4
Chapter 2 Review of Literature	6
2.1 Introduction: An overview	6
2.2 Aqueous chemistry of B	7
2.3 The occurrence of B on earth.....	8
2.4 Soil factors affecting B availability	11
2.4.1 pH	13
2.4.2 Parent material	14
2.4.3 Liming	14
2.4.4 Soil texture and clay minerals	16
2.4.5 Soil organic matter	17
2.4.6 Soil water content	19
2.4.7 Soil salinity	20
2.4.8 Summary: Boron adsorption and fixation in Soil.....	20
2.5 Environmental factors.....	21
2.5.1 Sun light intensity	21
2.5.2 Rainfall and moisture	22
2.5.3 Temperature, humidity and transpiration rate	22
2.5.4 Distance from sea and sea spray	23
2.6 Sources of B.....	24

2.6.1	Anthropogenic sources of soil B	24
2.6.2	Boron fertiliser	24
2.6.3	Irrigation water	26
2.6.4	Leaching	28
2.6.5	Municipal compost, biosolids and industrial effluents.....	29
2.6.6	Coal fly ash	30
2.7	Boron in soils: total vs. bioavailable concentration	30
2.7.1	The total soil boron (TSB) status of soil	30
2.7.2	Bioavailable or Plant-available Boron	31
2.7.2.1	Hot water extractable B (HWEB)	33
2.7.2.2	Hot CaCl ₂ extractable B	34
2.7.3	The effect of depth on the water extractable B concentration of soil	36
2.8	Analytical techniques for B determination in solution	36
2.8.1	Interpretation of soil B level	37
2.9	Soil dehydrogenase activity and B.....	38
2.10	Boron fractionation	39
2.10.1	Exchangeable or readily-soluble B (solution plus non- specifically sorbed)	40
2.10.2	Specifically-sorbed B	42
2.10.3	Iron and manganese oxides-bound B	42
2.10.4	Organically-bound B	42
2.10.5	Residual	43
2.11	Boron interaction and availability influenced by other elements	43
2.11.1	Interaction in Soil.....	43
2.12	Importance of forestry in New Zealand	44
2.13	Boron cycling in Pinus radiata forest ecosystem	45
2.14	Boron nutrition of forest trees.....	47
2.14.1	Boron functions in plants	47

2.14.2	The effect of boron on wood quality	48
2.14.3	Boron uptake and plant requirements	48
2.15	Boron deficiency	50
2.15.1	Symptoms of B deficiency	50
2.15.2	Boron fertiliser effect on tree growth	52
2.16	Boron and rhizosphere effect	55
2.17	Boron role in Mycorrhizae	56
2.18	Boron role in photosynthesis	57
2.19	Boron Interaction with other nutrients in Plants	57
2.20	Boron deficiency and toxicity across the globe	59
2.20.1	Global deficiency trends	59
2.20.2	Global toxicity trends	60
2.21	Structure of thesis	65
Chapter 3 Response of Pinus radiata to slow release boron		
	fertiliser	69
3.1	Introduction.....	69
3.2	Materials and Methods.....	70
3.2.1	Experiment design and plant growth conditions	70
3.3	Measurement and chemical analysis.....	73
3.3.1	Needle net photosynthesis rate, plant growth and dry weight.....	73
3.3.2	Soil sampling and preparation	74
3.3.3	Analysis of soil boron	75
3.3.4	Boron fractionation using a sequential extraction procedure.....	75
3.3.4.1	Readily soluble B	74
3.3.4.2	Specifically adsorbed B	74
3.3.4.3	Oxide bound B	74
3.3.4.4	Organically bound B	74
3.3.4.5	Residual B	75
3.3.5	Soil dehydrogenase activity	78

3.3.6	Mycorrhizae Scoring	78
3.3.7	Plant Boron	79
3.4	Data analysis	80
3.5	Results and Discussion	80
3.5.1	Plant growth, dry weight, and net photosynthesis rate.....	80
3.5.2	Plant B concentration and percentage B distribution in plant organs	83
3.5.3	Plant available soil B and soil dehydrogenase activities.....	86
3.5.4	Relationship between the CaCl ₂ extractable soil B and the plant B concentration	88
3.5.5	Boron fractionation in soil	90
3.5.6	Mycorrhizal colonization in <i>Pinus radiata</i>	92
3.6	Conclusions	91
Chapter Four Comparative responses of two clones of <i>Pinus radiata</i> to boron fertiliser		
		96
4.1	Introduction.....	96
4.2	Materials and methods	97
4.2.1	Experimental design and plant growth conditions	97
4.2.2	Measurement and chemical analysis	99
4.2.2.1	Plant growth, total fresh and dry weights	.99
4.2.2.2	Photosynthesis measurement	97
4.2.2.3	Soil sampling and preparation	101
4.2.2.4	Soil boron analysis.....	101
4.2.2.5	Soil dehydrogenase activity determination.....	102
4.2.2.6	Mycorrhizal colonisation assessment.....	99
4.2.2.7	Plant boron analysis	100

4.2.3	Quality control parameters.....	103
4.2.4	Data analysis.....	104
4.3	Results and discussion	104
4.3.1	Plant growth.....	104
4.3.2	Net photosynthetic rate, stomata conductance and light response curve.....	113
4.3.3	Boron concentration and distribution in plant organs	118
4.3.3.1	Plant B concentrations	115
4.3.3.2	Percentage B distribution	120
4.3.4	The distribution of B in soil.....	125
4.3.4.1	Boron fractions	121
4.3.4.2	Plant available soil B	123
4.3.5	Soil dehydrogenase activity and mycorrhizal colonisation.....	131
4.3.5.1	Soil dehydrogenase activity	127
4.4	Conclusions.....	136
	Chapter Five Boron adsorption in soils	138
5.1	Introduction.....	139
5.1.1	Boron adsorption on clay minerals	140
5.1.2	Boron adsorption on organic matter	142
5.1.3	Modelling boron adsorption	144
5.1.4	Study objectives	146
5.2	Materials and methods	146
5.2.1	Chemical analysis	146
5.2.2	Particle size distribution	150
5.2.3	Boron adsorption batch study	150

5.2.4	Boron adsorption at varying pH	152
5.3	Data analysis	152
5.4	Results and Discussion	153
5.4.1	Soil chemical and physical properties	153
5.4.2	Correlation between soil properties	155
5.4.3	Adsorption isotherms	150
5.4.4	Adsorption isotherms model: Langumir vs. Freundlich.....	159
5.4.4.1	The Langmuir adsorption model	153
5.4.4.2	The Freundlich adsorption model	159
5.4.5	Correlation study	169
5.4.6	The influence of pH on B adsorption	169
5.4.7	Conclusions	172
 Chapter Six Overall conclusions and recommendations for future		
	research work	173
6.1	Review of the current study	173
6.2	Objectives of the study	174
6.3	General discussion and conclusions	175
6.3.1	The availability of B to clones and the subsequent distribution of B within the clones	176
6.3.2	The effect of variable B fertiliser rates on soil microbes with particular focus on ectomycorrhizae	179
6.3.3	Response of plant photosynthesis to variable B fertiliser rates	179
6.3.4	Summary for the optimal level of B fertilisation for both plant and soil microbes species	180
6.4	Recommendations for future research work	182

List of Figures, Tables and Equations

Figure 1.1	Major areas of boron deficiency in New Zealand after Will (1985)	2
	$B(OH)_3 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow B(OH)_4^- + H_3O^+$ pKa 9.25 Equation (2.1)	7
Figure 2.1	Schematic diagram of B turnover in the environment after Kot (2009)	9
Table 2. 1	Soil properties affecting B availability in soil	12
Figure 2.2	Ca-metaborates	14
	The main reaction (Equation 2.2) occurring through the application of lime to acid soil, involves the replacement of exchangeable Al and hydroxyl-Al cation by calcium on soil colloid surfaces leading to the precipitation of $Al(OH)_3$ (Hatcher et al., 1967).	15
	$2AlX_3 + 3CaCO_3 + 3H_2O = CaX_2 + 2Al(OH)_3 + 3CO_2$ Equation (2.2)	15
Table 2. 2	Boron concentration (mg/kg) in major rock types after Shorrocks (1997)	16
Figure 2.3	Formation of a B-diol complex after Huettl (1976)	18
Table 2.3	Boron compounds, used as fertiliser after Mortvedt and Woodruff (1993)	26
Table 2. 4	Classification of irrigation water based on the tolerance of plant species to the B concentration in solution	28
Table 2. 5	Extraction techniques used to assess plant available B in soil after Nable et al. (1997)	32
Table 2.6	Optimum hot-water extractable B levels in soil for the growth of various crop species after Berger (1949)	34
Table 2. 7	Total, hot water and 0.02 M $CaCl_2$ extractable B in Canterbury after Adams et al. (1991)	35

Table 2. 8	Critical B concentration in soil for different crops and vegetables using hot water extractable B after Bell (1999)	38
Figure 2.4	Boron partition in <i>P. radiata</i> after Madgwick et al. (1988)	46
Figure 2.5	Leader dieback	51
Figure 2.6	Sever dieback affecting whole plant	51
Figure 2.7	Shoot and tip dieback	51
Table 2. 10	Trials on <i>P. radiata</i> using different sources and rates of B fertiliser	53
Table 2. 11	Reported soil boron deficiency across the main FAO/UNESCO soil groups of the world after Shorrocks (1997)	61
Table 2. 12	Deficient, sufficient, and toxic levels of B in a variety of plants after Gupta (1993b)	64
Table 2.13	Chapter structure of thesis	65
Table 3. 1	Chemical composition of ulexite	71
Table 3. 2	Climatic conditions and selected properties of Taupo soil used in this study	72
Table 3. 3	Description of codes used for needle and stem analysis	74
Figure 3.1	Schematic chart of the modified sequential extraction scheme adopted for this study after Datta al. (2002)	76
Table 3. 4	Effect of B application rates on plant height, stem diameter, dry weight, shoot root biomass weight and net photosynthesis	82
Figure 3.2	Yellowing tips at high B dose (32 kgB/ha) in <i>P. radiata</i> needles	83
Table 3.5	Effect of B application rates on B concentration in plant components (needle, stem and root)	84
Figure 3.3	Effect of B application on the percentage B distribution in plant parts	86
Table 3. 6	Effect of B application rates on CaCl ₂ ext. soil B and Soil dehydrogenase activities	87

Figure 3.4	Relationship between hot CaCl ₂ extractable soil B concentration and the B concentration in 1-year old needles	88
Figure 3.5	Relationship between hot CaCl ₂ extractable soil B concentration and the B concentration in currently mature-needles	89
Figure 3.6	Relationship between hot CaCl ₂ extractable soil B concentration and the B concentration in current-immature needles	89
Figure 3.7	Relationship between sum of all B fractions concentration and the total metal concentration determined by aqua regia digestion	90
Figure 3.8	Percentage distributions of B fractions in soil after B fertiliser application	92
Figure 3.9	Mycorrhizae hyphae counts of <i>P. radiata</i> roots as affected by the B fertiliser application rates. Bars with different letters shows significant difference at $p \leq 0.05$	93
Figure 4.1	Schematic diagram showing the placement of ulexite at different soil depths in each experimental pot	98
Figure 4.2	Layout of the pot trial in glasshouse	99
Table 4.1	Description of codes used for needle and stem sampling and analysis	100
Table 4.2 a	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects for plant height stem basal diameter, fresh and dry weights of radiata pine at harvest	105
Table 4.2 b	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects for dry weights of plant parts and the shoot to root dry weight ratio of radiata pine at harvest	105
Figure 4.3	Plant height responses of two radiata pine clones to different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	106
Figure 4.4	Stem diameter response of two radiata pine clones to different rates of B fertiliser	107
Figure 4.5	Total fresh weight response of two radiata pine clones to different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	108

Figure 4.6	Total dry weight response of two radiata pine clones to different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	109
Table 4.3	Effect of boron (B) rate and clone on the dry weight recorded for different age classes of needles and stems	110
Figure 4.7	Stem dry weight response of two radiata pine clones to different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	111
Figure 4.8	Root dry weight responses of two radiata clones to different rates of B fertiliser	112
Figure 4.9	Shoot root dry weight ratio response of two radiata clones to different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	113
Table 4.4	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects on net photosynthetic rate of current-year needle and stomata conductance of radiata pine measured before harvest	114
Figure 4.10	Effect of B application rates on net photosynthetic rate in current year needles (P_n) of Clone 18 and 37. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	115
Figure 4.11	Effect of B application rates on stomata conductance of Clone 18 and 37. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	115
Figure 4.12	Photosynthetic light response curves of Clone 18 and 37, as affected by B application rates	117
Table 4.5	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects on needle, stem and root B concentrations (< 0.05 shown bold)	119
Figure 4.13	Boron concentrations in one year-old (NI), currently mature (NCM), and currently immature (NCI) needles of Clone 18 (A) and Clone 37 (B). Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	121

Figure 4.14	Stem B concentrations in one year-old stem (SI), and current-year stem (SC) in Cone 18 (A) and Clone 37 (B). Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)122
Figure 4.15	Root B concentrations of Clone 18 and 37, as affected by B application rates. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)123
Figure 4.16	Percentage B distribution in plant parts of two <i>P. radiata</i> clones, as affected by B application rates125
Figure 4.17	Percentage distribution of B within each of five defined B fractions in soil as a function of B treatment rate126
Figure 4.18	Relationship between the sum of five B fractions and total soil B by single digestion at harvest time for (A) Clone 18 and (B) Clone 37127
Table 4.6	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects on the plant available soil B concentration extracted from different soil depths129
Figure 4.19	CaCl ₂ -extractable B concentration in soil at the time of harvesting Clone 18 and Clone 37. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)129
Figure 4.20	CaCl ₂ -extractable B concentration in soil under Clone 18 at two sampling depths (0-10 and 10-20 cm) at the time of harvest. Means for each depth with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)130
Figure 4.21	CaCl ₂ -extractable B concentration in soil under Clone 37 at two sampling depths (0-10 and 10-20 cm) at the time of harvest. Means for each depth with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)130
Table 4.7	<i>P</i> values for main and interactive treatment effects on soil dehydrogenase activities both in rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere soils, and on mycorrhizal colonisation131
Figure 4.22	Soil dehydrogenase activities (TPF) in bulk and rhizosphere soils of Clone 18, as affected by different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each soil with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)132

Figure 4.23	Soil dehydrogenase activities (TPF) in bulk and rhizosphere soils of Clone 37, as affected by different rates of B fertiliser. Means for each soil with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	134
Figure 4.24	Mycorrhizae hyphae count of two <i>P. radiata</i> Clones in response to different B application rates. Means for each clone with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)	135
Table 5. 1	Four types of adsorption isotherm described by Sposito (1984) for soil	140
Table 5.2	Description of the soil used in this study after Hewitt (1998)	148
Table 5.3	Physical and Chemical properties of soils from Jeyakumar (2010)	154
Table 5.4	Simple linear correlation coefficients between soil properties	155
Figure 5.1	Relationship between B in equilibrium solution and B adsorbed on (A) Recent Soil (B) Ultic Red Soil (C) Pallic Soil and (D) Gley Soil	157
Figure 5.2	Relationship between B in equilibrium solution and B adsorbed on (A) Brown Soil (B) Allophanic Soil (C) Pumice Soil	158
Figure 5.3	Schematic transformation of an adsorption isotherm from a non-linear (red) portion to a linear (blue) portion as the equilibrium B concentration increases	159
Table 5.5	Boron adsorption parameters of the fitted Langmuir isotherms	161
Figure 5.4	Langmuir isotherms for B sorption onto (A) Recent Soil (B) Ultic Red Soil (C) Pallic Soil and (D) Gley Soil	162
Figure 5.5	Langmuir isotherms for B sorption onto (A) Brown Soil (B) Allophanic Soil (C) Pumic Soil	163
Table 5.6	Boron adsorption reported in literature from studies around the world compared with that for the current study	164
Figure 5.6	Freundlich isotherms for B sorption onto (A) Recent Soil (B) Ultic red Soil (C) Pallic Soil and (D) Gley Soil	166
Figure 5.7	Freundlich isotherms for B sorption onto (A) Brown Soil (B) Allophanic Soil and (C) Pumic Soil	167

Table 5.7	Boron adsorption parameters of Freundlich isotherms ^Δ	168
Table 5.8	Correlation coefficient (r) for comparison of each adsorption isotherm parameter and soil properties	169
Figure 5.8	Boron adsorption as a function of solution pH	170
Figure 5.9	Relative distributions of B species with changing pH	171
Table 6.1	Effect of soil B on plant deficiency and toxicity symptoms	177
Table 6.2	Optimum B level for plant and microbes under glasshouse conditions	181
Table 6.3	Adsorption maximum observed in range of soils	181
Figure 6.1	Schematic model for B cycling in <i>Pinus radiata</i> forestry.....	183