

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**REALISTIC CONFLICT FOR JOBS, AND SELECTION
BIAS AGAINST SKILLED IMMIGRANTS DURING A
RECESSION:**

DOES IT APPLY IN NEW ZEALAND?

A thesis presented as part of the course requirements for a

Master of Arts in Psychology

Massey University

Auckland, New Zealand.

SAMANTHA-JANE MIRANDA

FEBRUARY 2012.

ABSTRACT

Only a short time ago in New Zealand, there was a shortage of people rather than places. However with the country having encountered an official recession, the purpose of this study is to determine whether Realistic Conflict plays a role in the selection of candidates. Realistic Conflict Theory states that competitiveness between groups is rational and 'realistic' to expect whenever resources (like jobs), are scarce. Hence greater perceived threat from immigration may predict greater job selection biases against skilled immigrants, in 'high-churn' nations like New Zealand. Previous research has not been able to test a role for this theory in selection bias, because there were too many jobs and too few job applicants/ candidates (Coates 2003). Based on the UN principle of Alignment (of research with core stakeholder values), this project focuses on immigrants rather than employers' perceptions, surveying $N = 100$ skilled immigrant job seekers in New Zealand who had looked for a job at least once in the last five years (2005 – 2010). Control measures included ethnicity, qualifications, number of years of experience. Antecedent measures focused on perceived realistic conflict. The criterion measure was obtaining employment, i.e.; how long a candidate had looked for a job before successfully gaining employment, and whether their country of origin was a common denominator in the success rate. The most important point of Realistic Conflict theory is that intergroup enmity and competition will arise whenever economic resources are scarce (Brewer, 1968). Analytically, key questions assessed the perceived intercultural similarity to New Zealand based on the country of origin, examined whether candidates have experienced favouritism or bias towards based on country of origin, and also the recognized socio-economic dominance of the candidates' country-of-origin. Realistic Conflict theory and Social Identity theory are complementary, with Social Identity theory providing a cognitive explanation of how intergroup conflict can arise (perceived or otherwise), and RCT addressing the consequences of this conflict.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and most importantly, I would like to thank my Supervisor, Dr. Stuart Carr. You have been such an incredible mentor and teacher, and been so patient, understanding and encouraging right through this process. I could not have reached this stage without your constant reassurance, support and belief in this project. Your intellectual and professional guidance was most helpful to me. Words could never adequately convey my sincere thanks and gratitude, I feel so, so fortunate to have embarked on this 'thesis journey' with such a kind and remarkable Supervisor. I could not have wished or asked for more, thank you so *very, very* much.

Secondly, I would like to thank my family, particularly my parents for all your support and encouragement. Thank you for always being there for me, and being so patient with me. I'd like to thank my Dad; you are my compass, my guide, my confidant, and my everything. I feel so proud to have you. Thank you for motivating me and always encouraging me to learn. You teach me every single day without even knowing it. Thank you for always teaching me that nothing is too difficult or out of bounds, to set goals and reach them, and if I come across obstacles, to learn to get past them. I love you very, very much. To my Mum, thank you for always being there and your endless support. You are my rock. I am so lucky to have the best parents in the world, you are my best friends!

To my incredible boss, thank you for giving me the flexibility to manage work and study. Thank you for also helping me to get participants, and offer encouragement whenever I needed it. I am eternally grateful to you for all your help (especially with SPSS 18!). I can't thank you enough for being so accommodating, and supportive. I'd also like to thank all those who helped me by filling out my survey or putting me onto people who could help. This project would not have been possible without your generous help and time. Thank you from the bottom of my heart.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	Page i
Acknowledgements.....	Page ii
Tables of Contents.....	Page iii
List of Tables and Figures.....	Page vi
Chapter 1	Page 1
Introduction.....	Page 1
The New Zealand Context.....	Page 2
Chapter 2	Page 5
Psychological Theories.....	Page 5
Similarity Attraction.....	Page 5
Social Identity Theory.....	Page 11
Inverse Resonance.....	Page 13
Social Dominance Theory.....	Page 14
Realistic Conflict Theory.....	Page 16
Chapter 3	Page 23
Method.....	Page 23
Participants.....	Page 23
Measures.....	Page 24
○ Part A	Page 24

○ Part B	Page 25
○ Part C	Page 25
○ Part D	Page 25
○ Qualitative Measures	Page 27
○ Part E/F/G	Page 27
○ Procedure	Page 27
Chapter 4	Page 29
Evidence of Bias?	Page 29
Similarity Attraction	Page 30
Social Domianance	Page 32
Realistic Conflict	Page 34
Qualitative Responses to Part A	Page 38
Qualitative Responses to Part C	Page 41
Qualitative Responses to Part D	Page 42
Qualitative Responses to Part D	Page 44
Job Seeking Activities	Page 46
Feedback on Questionnaire	Page 55
Chapter 5	Page 56
Summary of Findings	Page 56
Links to theory	Page 58
Similarity Attraction	Page 58
Social Dominance	Page 59
Realistic Con flict	Page 60
Summary	Page 61

Limitations of Study	Page 61
Future Directions	Page 62
Conclusion	Page 63
Appendices	Page 64
Appendix I: Letter requesting permission of an Organisation.....	Page 64
Appendix II: Letter requesting Participation in the Research Project.....	Page 65
Appendix III: Information Sheet (Non-Student Category).....	Page 66
Appendix IV: Information Sheet (Student Category).....	Page 68
Appendix V: Questionnaire.....	Page 70
References	Page 80

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1.....	Page 24
Table 2.....	Page 29
Table 3.....	Page 30
Table 4.....	Page 31
Table 5.....	Page 31
Table 6.....	Page 33
Table 7.....	Page 33
Table 8.....	Page 35
Table 9.....	Page 35
Table 10.....	Page 37
Table 11.....	Page 37
Table 12.....	Page 40
Table 13.....	Page 41
Table 14.....	Page 43
Table 15.....	Page 45
Table 16.....	Page 53
Table 17.....	Page 53
Table 18.....	Page 54
Table 19.....	Page 55

Figures:

Figure 1.....	Page 22
Figure 2.....	Page 32

Figure 3..... Page 33
Figure 4..... Page 36
Figure 5..... Page 38
