

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

FOOD CHOICE IN CONTEXT:

**The application of experimental choice analysis
to investigate sensory and cognitive factors
in consumer food choice**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
PhD in Food Technology
at Massey University

MAXINE RUTH CLARK

1999

ABSTRACT

Knowledge about consumer food choices is fundamental to many areas of research and practice. Food choices can only be fully understood by identifying and measuring sensory and cognitive factors from the consumer's perspective and interpreted with reference to the context in which the food is to be used or consumed. Experimental choice analysis is a technique which integrates conjoint analysis with probabilistic discrete choice theories to investigate influences on consumer choices. This technique was applied and evaluated, in conjunction with qualitative research, to investigate consumer choices for yoghurt. Multiple sets of experimentally designed product alternatives were presented to consumers, and the impact of, and interaction between, different product features determined using a multinomial logit model. Choices for five different use contexts were made on the basis of product descriptions only, blind tasted products and the combined product (information plus tasting). Features to be manipulated for labels and products were sweetness and fat content, each at two levels. Label only attributes included statements related to acidophilus and no additives product features. The results demonstrated that consumers' choices, based on the attributes of the product, vary with different intended use contexts. Context-specific interactions were noted between fat content and sweetness. This suggested that consumers do not always assess product features independently or consistently, and interactions should be incorporated in research designs wherever possible. Participants' frequency of use and degree of health concern were incorporated into the model as interactions with attributes and these significantly improved the model over base models. Combined with the results of the qualitative studies, a comprehensive picture of how consumers' use of yoghurt affected their choices was obtained. This approach can provide valuable information for product development decisions and may be a step towards developing more integrated research methodologies for investigating consumer food choices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the New Zealand Dairy Board which enabled me to undertake and complete this research. Support was also provided by a Massey University Doctoral Scholarship. Approval for the research was provided by the Massey University Human Ethics Committee.

I wish to record my appreciation to my chief supervisor, Professor Ken Kirkpatrick, for his guidance and support and for always showing complete confidence in my abilities. My thanks go to my other supervisors: Dr Allan Anderson, for his ongoing advice, encouragement and assistance; Professor Ray Winger, especially for his critical appraisals of earlier drafts of this thesis; and Dr Robert McBride, particularly for the time he spent discussing ideas in the early stages of the project.

My sincere thanks go to Duncan Hedderley for his technical advice and valuable assistance on all statistical matters. Thanks also to Damien Mather for willingly sharing his enthusiasm for choice modelling; to Rachel, for her encouragement and for showing me it could be done; and to my family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Appendices | viii |
| List of tables | ix |
| List of figures | x |
| | |
| Chapter One | Introduction |
| 1.1 | Introduction 1 |
| 1.2 | Scope and objectives 1 |
| 1.3 | Outline of this thesis 2 |
| | |
| Chapter Two | Food choice research |
| 2.1 | Introduction 4 |
| 2.2 | Overview of food choice research methods 5 |
| 2.3 | The use context and its role in food choices 12 |
| 2.3.1 | The use situation 14 |
| 2.3.2 | Food accompaniments 17 |
| 2.3.3 | Meal occasion 18 |
| 2.4 | Towards an integrated approach to food choice research 20 |
| 2.5 | Summary 25 |
| | |
| Chapter Three | Review of conjoint and experimental choice analysis |
| 3.1 | Introduction 27 |
| 3.2 | Selection of methodology 27 |
| 3.3 | Conjoint analysis - review of theory and applications 32 |

Chapter three**Continued**

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.3.1 | Development and basis of conjoint analysis | 32 |
| 3.3.2 | Conjoint experiments | 33 |
| 3.3.3 | The conjoint model | 33 |
| 3.3.4 | Estimation and interpretation | 34 |
| 3.3.5 | Applications | 36 |
| 3.4 | Experimental choice analysis | 37 |
| 3.4.1 | Overview | 37 |
| 3.4.2 | Probabilistic choice theories | 38 |
| 3.4.3 | The choice model | 40 |
| 3.4.4 | Properties of the MNL model | 41 |
| 3.4.5 | MNL Estimation | 44 |
| 3.5 | Experimental design | 46 |
| 3.5.1 | Experimental designs for conjoint studies | 50 |
| 3.5.2 | Experimental designs for choice experiments | 52 |
| 3.6 | Heterogeneity of data | 57 |
| 3.6.1 | Heterogeneity in conjoint analysis | |
| 59 | | |
| 3.6.2 | Heterogeneity in choice analysis | 61 |
| 3.7 | Validity and reliability | 63 |
| 3.7.1 | Validity | 63 |
| 3.7.2 | Reliability | 65 |
| 3.8 | Summary | 69 |

Chapter four**Exploratory studies**

| | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 72 |
| 4.2 | Choice of product category | 72 |
| 4.3 | Identification of choice factors | 74 |
| 4.3.1 | Products | 75 |
| 4.3.2 | Participants | 76 |
| 4.3.3 | Procedure | 76 |

| | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Chapter four | Continued | |
| | 4.3.4 Results and discussion | 77 |
| 4.4 | Identification of use factors | 81 |
| | 4.4.1 Products | 82 |
| | 4.4.2 Participants | 82 |
| | 4.4.3 Procedure | 82 |
| | 4.4.4 Results | 83 |
| 4.5 | Discussion and development of use context classification | 84 |
| 4.6 | Framework for studying consumer choices | 89 |
| 4.7 | Summary | 91 |
| | | |
| Chapter five | Design of Experimental Choice Study | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 93 |
| 5.2 | Study variables | 94 |
| | 5.2.1 Selection of attributes | 94 |
| | 5.2.2 Selection of response measures | 95 |
| | 5.2.3 Participant variables | 96 |
| 5.3 | Methodology | 96 |
| | 5.3.1 Experimental design | 97 |
| | 5.3.1.1 Design of treatment combinations and choice sets | 98 |
| | 5.3.1.2 Presentation design | 100 |
| | 5.3.2 Participants | 103 |
| | 5.3.3 Stimuli | 103 |
| | 5.3.4 Procedures | 106 |
| | | |
| Chapter six | Analysis | |
| 6.1 | Introduction to the analysis of experimental choice data | 110 |
| 6.2 | Results - Phase 1: Label effects | 111 |
| | 6.2.1 Participants | 111 |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----|
| Chapter six | Continued | |
| | 6.2.2 Context-specific effects | 112 |
| | 6.2.3 Analysis by attributes | 112 |
| | 6.3 Results - Blind tasting | 122 |
| | 6.4 Results - Phase 2: Labelled tasting | 125 |
| | 6.4.1 Participants | 125 |
| | 6.4.2 Context-specific effects | 125 |
| | 6.4.3 Analysis by attributes | 125 |
| | 6.5 Discussion of results | 129 |
| Chapter seven | Conclusions and implications | |
| | 7.1 Introduction | 134 |
| | 7.2 Evaluation of methodology | 134 |
| | 7.3 General limitations | 139 |
| | 7.4 Theoretical issues | 141 |
| | 7.5 Practical research applications | 145 |
| | 7.6 Concluding remarks | 147 |
| REFERENCES | | 150 |
| APPENDICES | | 167 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | | Page |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| APPENDIX A | Contexts of use elicited in second repertory grid study, in order of frequency | 168 |
| APPENDIX B | Presentation designs: | |
| | B1 Presentation order of choice set labels | 169 |
| | B2 Presentation order for blind tasting | 170 |
| APPENDIX C | Example of yoghurt label | 171 |
| APPENDIX D | Information sheet | 173 |
| APPENDIX E | Explanation of label attributes | |
| 174 | | |
| APPENDIX F | Response sheets | |
| | F1 Label only | 175 |
| | F2 Blind tasting | 177 |
| | F3 Labelled tasting | 180 |
| APPENDIX G | Food Use Questionnaire | 182 |
| APPENDIX H | Full set of commands for PHREG: | |
| | Final model, use context 1, Label phase | 185 |
| APPENDIX I | Full set of results for PHREG: | |
| | Final model, use context 1, Label phase | 188 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | Page |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Table 4.1 | Constructs elicited from participants in repertory grid choice study | 79 |
| Table 4.2 | Relationship between usage and yoghurt choices, as elicited from participants in repertory grid choice study 1 | 80 |
| Table 4.3 | Implied relationships between yoghurt attributes, as elicited from participants in repertory grid choice study 1 | 80 |
| Table 4.4 | Use context classification structure | 87 |
| Table 4.5 | Use contexts for yoghurt from qualitative studies, classified according to use context classification structure | 88 |
| Table 5.1 | The key features of the experimental choice study | 94 |
| Table 5.2 | Stages of the experimental choice study | 97 |
| Table 5.3 | Experimental design: treatment combinations & choice sets | 100 |
| Table 5.4 | Label statements | 104 |
| Table 5.5 | Natural yoghurt formulations for experimental studies | 106 |
| Table 6.1 | Contexts used as response measures in experimental studies | 112 |
| Table 6.2 | Attributes and levels manipulated in choice experiment | 113 |
| Table 6.3 | Relationship between attributes and uses of yoghurt from Label main effects model analysis | 113 |
| Table 6.4 | Health concern scale | 116 |
| Table 6.5 | Participant numbers for personal and household usage of yoghurt and classification of participants' health concerns | 116 |
| Table 6.6 | Analysis of Label effects for the five use contexts: parameter estimates for main effects, attribute interaction, user interaction and final models | 121 |
| Table 6.7 | The relationship between main effects and the five use categories for blind tasted yoghurt samples | 122 |
| Table 6.8 | Attribute and user effects for the five use categories for blind tasted yoghurt samples - final model | 123 |
| Table 6.9 | Blind tasting: sample means of yoghurts rated | 124 |
| Table 6.10 | Relationship between attributes and uses of yoghurt from labelled tasting main effects model analysis | 126 |
| Table 6.11 | Analysis of labelled tasting effects for the five use contexts: parameter estimates for main effects, attribute interaction, user interaction and final models | 127 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | Page |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Figure 3.1 | Example of nested MNL model | 44 |
| Figure 4.1 | Conceptual model of the food choice process | 89 |
| Figure 6.1 | Utilities for Label use context 1 (eating by itself): Sweetness type*user group interaction for full-fat products | 118 |
| Figure 6.2 | Utilities for Label use context 4 (dessert): Sweet*fat interaction by user group | 119 |
| Figure 6.3 | Utilities illustrating fat*health concern interactions for blind tasted yoghurts | 124 |