

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE INFLUENCE OF SOIL PARAMETERS AND  
DENITRIFIERS ON N<sub>2</sub>O EMISSIONS IN NEW ZEALAND  
DAIRY-GRAZED PASTURE SOILS**

**A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**in**

**Soil Science**

**Massey University Palmerston North New Zealand**

**NEHA JHA**

**2015**





## ABSTRACT

Denitrification is a primary source of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) production found globally in temperate grasslands and in New Zealand pasture soils. The various reductase enzymes coded by specific denitrifier genes, and influenced by soil and environmental factors, regulate the N<sub>2</sub>O production and reduction during the denitrification process. An understanding of the soil and environmental factors that have the potential to enhance the activity of denitrifiers reducing N<sub>2</sub>O to dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) contributes to the development of novel and effective N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation technologies.

This thesis attempts to address a critical gap in our understanding of the role of bacterial denitrifier communities and their abundance in denitrification under various field-moist and incubation conditions in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils. This thesis consists of a literature review (Chapter 2) that describes the biochemical and molecular aspect of denitrification, and identifies key factors that affect the process and the denitrifier community structure and its abundance. It addresses the advantages and limitations of available techniques to measure denitrification, the denitrifier community structure and abundance, and also any available mitigation options to reduce denitrification losses. The review concludes by identifying gaps in our knowledge of the denitrifier communities, and their abundance and activities in New Zealand soils.

The acetylene (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>) inhibition technique (AIT) was standardised (Chapter 3) for the measurements of denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) and denitrification rate (DR) used during the research. Similarly, terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) were standardised to assess denitrifier community structure (numbers of *nirS+nirK* and *nosZ* gene T-RFs) and abundance (*nirS+nirK* and *nosZ* gene copy numbers) in soils.

Soil samples were obtained from 10 sites with known management histories, representing dairy farms across the North and South Islands of New Zealand, to determine the soil factors contributing to spatial variability in DEA, DR and denitrifier community structure and abundance within and across dairy-grazed pastures (Chapter 4). Despite the spatial variability at each site, the DEA results show large differences in potential denitrification in these soils. The outcome of this study painted an overall picture of the distribution of denitrification enzyme

activities in the various New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils and the biochemical characteristics and presence of denitrifiers related to denitrification in contrasting soils.

The bacterial denitrifier genes richness correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) to Olsen P, microbial biomass C (MBC), and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N contents of soil, whereas these gene abundances correlated to MBC and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N contents in soils, thus confirming inherent soil characteristics that influence denitrifier populations in soils. *NirS* and *nirK* gene copy numbers correlated positively with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (from nitrification and denitrification). There was no clear relationship between *nosZ* gene copy numbers and denitrification rate in the field-moist soils, which could be due to less anaerobic condition for denitrifiers to carry denitrification.

To determine the effect of increasing soil water content (SWC) on changes in denitrification, 5 soils contrasting in DEA were incubated at field capacity (FC) and saturation SWC (Chapter 5). The measured DRs were higher in soils incubated at saturation, ranging from 21.5 to 73.9  $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N kg}^{-1}\text{soil hr}^{-1}$ , than in soils incubated at FC, in which DR varied from 0.8 to 50.4  $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N kg}^{-1}\text{soil hr}^{-1}$ . Although the direction of change in denitrification with the increase in SWC was similar among the soils, the magnitude of increase was variable among the five soil types. This variability was mainly driven by their inherent biochemical ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N, TC, TN, MBC, Olsen P, DEA,) and molecular characteristics (denitrifier richness and denitrifier abundance).

A subsequent incubation experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of water only, cattle urine, and cattle urine with added nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) on denitrification and numbers of denitrifier gene T-RFs and their copy numbers in three pasture soils with contrasting DEA. The results of this incubation are described in Chapters 6 and 7. The results described in Chapter 6 explore the effect of saturation (only water addition) on DRs, denitrifier gene richness, and denitrifier gene copy numbers in three soils. At saturation soil water content in the incubated soils, the increases or decreases in DRs with incubation time were variable in three soils and depended on their TC, TN, Olsen P, MBC, and denitrifier gene richness. It is inferred from the results that, with increasing SWC, a denitrifier community of a constant size was maintained in the incubated soils.

The results in Chapter 7 describe the effect of urine with and without DCD on denitrification, denitrifier richness, and denitrifier gene abundance. There were changes in soil pH,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N, soluble C, and microbial biomass C in soils with urine application. The

numbers of denitrifier gene T-RFs and copy numbers either remained unchanged or were lower under urine + DCD treatments than urine only and related to N<sub>2</sub>O productions (from both nitrification and denitrification) during the incubation.

There was an overall increase in DR with application of urine and urine + DCD to soils. Comparatively higher N<sub>2</sub>O-NA (N<sub>2</sub>O productions from non-acetylene jars) were observed in urine-only treatments than in urine + DCD. The cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O-NA with the addition of urine and urine + DCD were variable among the three soils. During 4 weeks incubation the N<sub>2</sub>O-NA ranged from 20.9 to 26.7 mg N<sub>2</sub>O-N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in urine treatments and from 19.6 to 21.7 mg N<sub>2</sub>O-N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in urine + DCD treatments in three soils. The proportion of total N denitrified during the entire incubation ranged from 6.3 to 22.4 % in urine treatments and 3.6 to 5.6 % in urine + DCD treatments in three soils.

Denitrifier gene richness and gene copy numbers during incubation helped identify overall changes in the denitrifier community with the application of treatments and link these changes to N<sub>2</sub>O-NA across various soils. Overall, in the urine-applied samples the N<sub>2</sub>O-NA (positively) was significantly correlated with their DEA, MBC, Olsen P, and numbers of denitrifier gene richness and denitrifier gene copy numbers.

The information obtained in this research helped enhance the understanding of the variability in denitrification and the denitrifier community in the New Zealand dairy pasture soils. The molecular measurements of the soils helped identify differences in N<sub>2</sub>O productions in soils at similar incubation conditions. Soils with low denitrifier richness and, more importantly, lower abundance of complete denitrifiers had limited capacity to denitrify available inorganic N. Measurements of DEA, denitrifier richness, and denitrifier abundance in soils, along with their N<sub>2</sub>O productions (with and without acetylene), indicated the inherent potential of soils to carry denitrification. Under urine application, soil with the most abundant denitrifier population and the highest DEA responded to added treatment quickly and produced more N<sub>2</sub>O-NA and N<sub>2</sub>O-A than the other two soils did. On the other hand, soils with lower DEA and less abundant denitrifier community showed lower N<sub>2</sub>O production (with and without acetylene) even under anaerobic condition than did other soils.



## **DEDICATION**

This work is dedicated to my parents, my husband, and my parents-in-law  
for their consistent encouragement and support





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Almighty God for providing me with the strength and wisdom to accomplish this thesis.

I express my gratitude and regards to my mentor and chief supervisor, Dr Surinder Saggar for his guidance and help during this entire study. His ever-helpful attitude, patience, brilliance, attention to detail, search for perfection, untiring efforts, unceasing encouragement, and moral support enabled me to finish this project. I am also grateful to my co-supervisors, Dr Donna Giltrap and Dr Russ Tillman for their advice and scientific input to research and for refining this manuscript. Special thanks to my co-supervisor Dr Julie Deslippe for her teaching and guidance in molecular techniques, and her critique and valuable suggestions on my manuscripts. Her encouraging emails and phone talks were morale boosting.

I thank Landcare Research, Palmerston North, for funding this study, and for financial support to attend the PIARN Postgraduate workshop and CCRSPI conference, November 2012 in Melbourne, Australia, and the New Zealand climate change conference, June 2013, in Palmerston North. Thanks also to Landcare Research Palmerston North for providing their laboratory facilities and friendly technical staff. Special thanks to Peter Berben for help in teaching me gas sample collection from the field and also for operating the Gas Chromatograph. Thanks to Thilak Palmada and Gareth Salt for their technical help during the study. Support from Anne Southerland to obtain a map of New Zealand is acknowledged. I greatly acknowledge the New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Centre (NZAGRC) for supporting this PhD study at Massey University, New Zealand.

I am grateful to the Soil and Earth Sciences Department, Institute of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, for providing support and research facilities to conduct this study, and to Ian Furkert, Bob Toes, Glenys Wallace, Lance Currie, and Alan Palmer for their technical support. Special thanks go to Liza Haarhoff, Denise Stewart, and Sandra Dunkinson for all sorts of administrative work.

I would also like to thank the Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, for allowing me to use their research facilities during this study. I also acknowledge the guidance from Dr Anja Schiemann and Trish McLenachan in conducting molecular analysis.

The atmosphere of friendliness and deep association created by my friends Salim, Amandeep, Sadaf, Aldrin, Ahmed, Rashad, Tao Wang, Saman Herath, Kiran Hina, Jeya, Ainul, Quin Hua, May, Janice, Jaspreet, Zia, and many more for their help and support, discussions, and sharing experiences kept me smiling during the ups and downs of the last 5 years.

Many thanks to my dear friends Goldy, Sandeep, Rashmi, and Neelam for their support and the get-togethers that helped me take time off from the research work. Special thanks to Seema aunty for her affection, care, encouragement, and lip-smacking dinners. Also thanks to Manna for your continuous love, support and faith in me and boosting me throughout my stay in New Zealand.

Very special thanks to my lovely, dear friend Tanu for her endless support and belief in me. Our never-ending talks, get-togethers, dinners, and your continuous push and being there for me all along were very encouraging. I really cherish your friendship.

I acknowledge the unconditional love and continuous encouragement I received from my parents and my parents-in-law. This wouldn't have been possible without their support. Support from my brother, cousins, brothers-in-law, and sister-in-law will always be remembered.

I express my deep gratitude to my loving husband. Thanks, darling, for your constant support, care, love, patience, and encouragement during the gloomiest of days. Your confidence and belief in me, and your smile and affection, gave me enough strength and courage to move forward.

## PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

### Peer reviewed journals/Book chapter

1. Muñoz C, Sagggar S, Berben P, Giltrap D, **Jha N (2011)** Influence of waiting time after insertion of base chamber into soil on produced greenhouse gas fluxes. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* 71, 610-614.
2. Sagggar S, Luo J, Kim D-G, **Jha N (2011)** Intensification in pastoral farming: impacts on soil attributes and gaseous emissions. In: Singh BP, Cowie A, Chan Y (Eds.), *Soil Health and Climate Change (Soil Biology Series)*. Springer-Verlag, pp. 207-236.
3. Sagggar S, **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Bolan N, Luo J, Giltrap D L, Kim D-G, Zaman M, Tillman RW **(2012)**. Denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O:N<sub>2</sub> production in temperate grasslands: processes, measurements, modelling and mitigating negative impacts. *Science of the Total Environment* 465, 173-195.
4. Deslippe J, Jamali H, **Jha N**, Sagggar S **(2013)** Denitrifier community size, structure and activity along a gradient of pasture to riparian soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 71, 48-60.
5. Morales SE, **Jha N**, Sagggar S **(2015)** Biogeography and biophysicochemical traits link N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and microbial communities across New Zealand pasture soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 82, 87-98.
6. Morales SE, **Jha N**, Sagggar S **(2015)** Impact of urine and DCD application on microbial communities in dairy-grazed pasture soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 88, 343-353.

### Conference / Workshop Proceedings

1. **Jha N**, Sagggar S, Tillman RW, Giltrap D **(2011)** Preliminary studies to measure denitrification enzyme activity and denitrification rate in New Zealand pasture soils. In: Currie LD, Christensen CL (Eds.), *Adding to the Knowledge Base for the Nutrient Manager. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre Occasional Report No. 24*, Massey University Palmerston, North New Zealand. [http://www.massey.ac.nz/~flrc/workshops/11/Manuscripts/Jha\\_2011.pdf](http://www.massey.ac.nz/~flrc/workshops/11/Manuscripts/Jha_2011.pdf)
2. **Jha N**, Sagggar S, Tillman RW, Giltrap D **(2012)** Changes in denitrification rate and N<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub> ratio with varying soil moisture conditions of New Zealand Pasture soils. In: Currie LD, Christensen CL (Eds.) *Advanced nutrient management: Gains from the past-Goals for the future. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre Occasional Report No. 25*, Massey University Palmerston, North New Zealand.

[http://www.massey.ac.nz/~flrc/workshops/12/Manuscripts/Jha\\_2012.pdf](http://www.massey.ac.nz/~flrc/workshops/12/Manuscripts/Jha_2012.pdf)

3. **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Sagggar S, Tillman R, Giltrap D (2013) Measuring bacterial denitrifier genes distributions and abundances in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre Occasional Report No. 26, Massey University Palmerston, North New Zealand.  
[http://flrc.massey.ac.nz/workshops/13/Manuscripts/Paper\\_Jha\\_1\\_2013.pdf](http://flrc.massey.ac.nz/workshops/13/Manuscripts/Paper_Jha_1_2013.pdf)
4. **Jha N**, Sagggar S, Deslippe J, Tillman R, Giltrap D, Bowatte S (2013) Bacterial denitrifier genes distributions and abundances in dairy-grazed pasture soils treated with cattle urine and DCD. Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre Occasional Report No. 26, Massey University Palmerston, North New Zealand.  
[http://flrc.massey.ac.nz/workshops/13/Manuscripts/Paper\\_Jha\\_2\\_2013.pdf](http://flrc.massey.ac.nz/workshops/13/Manuscripts/Paper_Jha_2_2013.pdf)
5. Christianson LE, Hanly J, **Jha N**, Sagggar S, Hedley M (2013) Paper number 131597821 Denitrification bioreactor Nitrous Oxide emissions under fluctuating flow conditions American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers (ASABE) Annual international meeting, 21-24 July 2013, Kansas City, Missouri.
6. McMillan A, Phillips R, Berben P, Thilak P, **Jha N**, Sagggar S (2014) Automated N<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub> analysis- a new tool for studying denitrification dynamics and testing mitigation strategies. In Currie LD, Christensen CL (Eds.), Nutrient Management for the Farm, Catchment and Community Occasional Report No. 27. Massey University: Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre.

#### **Abstract and Posters/Oral Presentations in Conferences/ Workshops**

1. Sagggar S, Luo J, Singh J, Bolan M, Zaman M, Kim D-G, **Jha N** (2010), (Poster Presentation) Technologies to mitigate nitrous oxide emissions from grazed pastoral agriculture. ASA, CSSA, and SSSA international annual meetings 2010, Oct 31-Nov 4, Long Beach, California.
2. Sagggar S, **Jha N**, Tillman R, Luo J, Kim D-G, Berben P, Palmada T, Giltrap D, Clough T (Oral Presentation), (2011) Manipulating denitrification processes: Preliminary studies. New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre, Science Planning workshops, Palmerston North, New Zealand, 23–24 February 2011.
3. **Jha N**, Sagggar, S., Tillman, R.W., Giltrap, D, (2011). (Poster Presentation) Preliminary studies to measure denitrification enzyme activity and denitrification rate in New Zealand pasture soils. Adding to the Knowledge Base for the Nutrient Manager, 7-9 February 2011, Massey University, Palmerston, North New Zealand.
4. **Jha N**, Sagggar S, Tillman RW, Giltrap D (2012) (Poster Presentation) Changes in denitrification rate and N<sub>2</sub>O/N<sub>2</sub> ratio with varying soil moisture conditions of New

Zealand Pasture soils. Advanced nutrient management: Gains from the past-Goals for the future 7-9 February 2012, Massey University Palmerston, North New Zealand.

5. Saggar S, **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Luo J, Tillman RW, Giltrap D, Clough T (2012). (Poster Presentation) Regulation of denitrification: pathways to nitrous oxide and dinitrogen. New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Research Centre Annual Conference, 31 January 2012, Palmerston North, New Zealand.
6. **Jha N**, Deslippe J (2012). (Oral Presentation) Update on current Denitrification Experiments for the Nitrous oxide working group of NZAGRC bi-annual workshop, 2 February 2012, Palmerston North, New Zealand.
7. Saggar S, **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Luo J, Tillman RW, Giltrap D, Jamali H, (2012). (Poster Presentation) Regulation of denitrification: pathways to nitrous oxide and dinitrogen. 17<sup>th</sup> European Nitrogen Cycle Meeting, 26-28<sup>th</sup> September 2012, Oslo, Norway.
8. **Jha N**, Saggar S, Tillman RW, Giltrap D, Deslippe D (2012) (Oral Presentation) Regulation of denitrification: pathways to nitrous oxide and dinitrogen. Primary industries adaptation research network (PIARN) postgraduate professional development workshop, 26 Nov 2012, Melbourne Cricket Ground, Victoria, Australia.
9. **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Saggar S, Tillman R, Giltrap D (Poster Presentation), (2013) Measuring bacterial denitrifier genes distributions and abundances in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils. Accurate and efficient use of nutrients on farms 12-13<sup>th</sup> February 2013 at Massey University New Zealand.
10. **Jha N**, Saggar S, Bowatte S, Deslippe J, Tillman R, Giltrap D (2013) (Poster Presentation) Bacterial denitrifier genes distributions and abundances in dairy-grazed pasture soils treated with cattle urine and DCD. Accurate and efficient use of nutrients on farms, 12-13 February 2013, Massey University New Zealand.
11. Saggar S, **Jha N**, Deslippe J, Tillman R, Giltrap D, Luo J, Clough T, Jorquera M (2013) (Oral Presentation) Update on progress of the denitrification experiment for the international science advisory group of Nitrous oxide working group of NZAGRC annual workshop, 19-20 February 2013, Palmerston North, New Zealand.
12. Christianson LE, Hanly J, **Jha N**, Saggar S, Hedley M (2013) (Oral Presentation) Denitrification bioreactor Nitrous Oxide emissions under fluctuating flow conditions American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers (ASABE) Annual international meeting, 21-24 July 2013, Kansas City, Missouri.
13. **Jha N**, Saggar S, Tillman R, Giltrap D, Deslippe J (2013) (Oral Presentation) Characterization of denitrification rate and denitrifier community structure in New Zealand dairy grazed pasture soil. New Zealand Climate Change Conference, 4-5 June 2013, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

14. Morales S.E, **Jha N**, Saggar S (**2014**) (Abstract and poster) Biogeography and biophysicochemical traits link N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and microbial communities across New Zealand pasture soils. 15<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Microbial Ecology 24-29 August, Seoul, South-Korea.
15. Morales S.E, **Jha N**, Saggar S (**2014**) (Abstract and poster) Impact of urine and DCD application on microbial communities in dairy-grazed pasture soils. 15<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Microbial Ecology, 24-29 August, Seoul. South-Korea.
16. **Jha N**, Saggar S, Deslippe J, Giltrap D, Tillman R (**2014**) (Abstract and oral presentation) Effects of cattle urine and DCD application on denitrification and denitrifier communities in New Zealand dairy grazed pasture soil. New Zealand Society of Soil Science Conference, 1-4 Dec 2014, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xxi</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b> .....	<b>29</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>29</b>
1.1 Background.....	29
1.2 Thesis Objectives .....	31
1.3 Thesis Structure .....	31
<b>Chapter 2</b> .....	<b>35</b>
<b>Review of literature</b> .....	<b>35</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	35
2.2 Biological denitrification .....	37
2.3 Factors affecting denitrification.....	40
2.3.1 Soil Factors .....	40
2.3.2 Climatic Factors .....	51
2.3.3 Management Factors .....	53
2.4 Methods of measuring denitrification.....	58
2.4.1 Acetylene inhibition technique (AIT) .....	58
2.4.2 Isotope tracer technique .....	62
2.4.3 Direct N <sub>2</sub> quantification.....	63
2.4.4 Mass balance approaches .....	64
2.5 Molecular Approaches in Understanding denitrification.....	65
2.5.1 Soil DNA Extractions .....	66
2.5.2 PCR dependent techniques.....	67
2.5.3 PCR independent techniques .....	70



2.5.4 Sequencing .....	72
2.6 Mitigation Techniques to reduce denitrification.....	73
2.6.1 Managing N application and transformations on farm .....	74
2.6.2 Biochemical changes in soil.....	75
2.6.3 Plant breeding and genetic engineering .....	75
2.7 Conclusions.....	76
<b>Chapter 3 .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Experimental techniques and protocols for quantification of denitrification enzyme activity, denitrification rate, and denitrifier genes in soils.....</b>	<b>79</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	79
3.2 Development of protocols for measurement of denitrification enzyme activity (DEA) .....	80
3.2.1 Materials and methods .....	82
3.2.2 Results.....	85
3.2.3 Final DEA protocol to be followed during the research .....	88
3.3 Development of protocols for measurement of denitrification rate (DR).....	89
3.3.1 Materials and methods .....	89
3.3.2 Results.....	93
3.3.3 Final protocol for denitrification measurement followed during research.....	99
3.4 Molecular techniques to determine denitrifier community structure and abundance in soils	100
3.4.1 Soil DNA Extractions .....	100
3.4.2 Standardisation of Polymerase Chain Reactions for universal bacterial and denitrifier genes.....	101
3.4.3 Determination of denitrifier community structure using PCR.....	104
3.4.4 Determination of denitrifier gene abundance using qPCR .....	106
3.5 Protocols followed for the Measurement of Chemical characteristics of soil during research .....	109
3.5.1 Microbial biomass Carbon (MBC).....	109
3.5.2 Soluble C.....	110
3.5.3 Mineral-N.....	110
3.5.4 Soil pH .....	110
3.5.5 Gravimetric soil water content.....	110
3.5.6 Amount of water needed at field capacity (FC).....	110

3.5.7 Water filled pore space percentage (WFPS %)	111
3.5.8 Total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN)	111
3.5.9 Olsen P	111
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Soil properties influencing denitrifiers and denitrification in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils</b>	<b>113</b>
4.1 Introduction	113
4.2 Materials and methods	115
4.2.1 Description of soils sites	115
4.2.2 Collection of soil samples	119
4.2.3 Soil Characteristics	119
4.2.4 Statistical Analysis	119
4.3 Results	121
4.3.1 Variations in soil characteristics with sampling depths	121
4.3.2 The range of measured soil characteristics	121
4.3.3 Denitrifier community structure in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils	127
4.3.4 Total bacterial and denitrifier gene abundance in New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils	127
4.3.5 Influence of soil physicochemical characteristics on DR	133
4.3.6 Similarities among soils (0–100 mm depth) based on physicochemical characteristics	134
4.4 Discussion	140
4.4.1 Physicochemical or biochemical characteristics of soils	140
4.4.2 Denitrifier community structure, total bacterial and denitrifier gene abundance in New Zealand dairy pasture soils	140
4.4.3 Influence of soil characteristics on DR and DEA	142
4.4.4 Influence of denitrifier gene richness and denitrifier gene abundance on denitrification in NZ dairy pasture soils	143
4.5 Summary and Conclusions	145
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Effect of varying soil water content on denitrification in a range of New Zealand dairy-grazed pasture soils</b>	<b>147</b>

5.1 Introduction.....	147
5.2 Materials and methods .....	148
5.2.1 Collection of soil samples, chemical and biochemical analysis .....	148
5.2.2 Incubation of soils at field capacity (FC) and saturation .....	149
5.2.3 Measurement of N <sub>2</sub> O production and DR during incubation.....	151
5.2.4 Statistical Analysis .....	152
5.3 Results.....	152
5.3.1 Soil mineral N content at variable SWCs .....	152
5.3.2 N <sub>2</sub> O production rates (non-acetylene jars) at variable SWCs and incubation times .....	156
5.3.3 Denitrification rate (DR) or nitrous oxide produced in acetylene added jars (N <sub>2</sub> O-A) at variable SWCs.....	159
5.3.4 Relationship of mineral N contents and DEA with N <sub>2</sub> O-NA, and N <sub>2</sub> O-A in soils incubated at variable SWC.....	164
5.4 Discussion .....	166
5.4.1 Changes in soil mineral N with changing SWC.....	166
5.4.2 N <sub>2</sub> O production rates (N <sub>2</sub> O-NA and N <sub>2</sub> O-A) at variable SWCs.....	167
5.4.3 Soil properties influencing N <sub>2</sub> O-NA and N <sub>2</sub> O-A in the incubated soils at variable SWCs.....	167
5.5 Summary and Conclusions .....	169
<b>Chapter 6 .....</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Effects of saturation and length of incubation on denitrifier gene richness, denitrifier gene abundance, and denitrification rate in incubated dairy-grazed pasture soils .....</b>	<b>171</b>
6.1 Introduction.....	171
6.2 Materials and methods .....	172
6.2.1 Collection of soil samples .....	172
6.2.2 Incubation of soils .....	173
6.2.3 Measurements of soil chemical characteristics and denitrification.....	174
6.2.4 Statistical Analysis .....	174
6.3 Results.....	175
6.3.1 Soil properties in the samples collected for this experiment .....	175

6.3.2 Changes in soil pH, mineral N, soluble C, microbial biomass C (MBC), denitrifier gene T-RFs and denitrifier gene copy numbers during incubations after saturation of soil with only water and no other amendment .....	175
6.3.3 Changes in the N <sub>2</sub> O production in the incubated soils.....	182
6.3.4 Relationship of denitrifier gene richness and abundance with DR, N <sub>2</sub> O, from soils incubated at saturation .....	185
6.4 Discussion .....	186
6.4.1 Effect of saturation and incubation on changes in soil pH, mineral N, soluble C and MBC contents.....	186
6.4.2 Numbers of denitrifier gene T-RFs and denitrifier gene copy numbers in the incubated soils.....	187
6.4.3 N <sub>2</sub> O production rates (N <sub>2</sub> O-NA) and DR (N <sub>2</sub> O-A) in the incubated samples .....	188
6.4.4 Inter-relationships between soil characteristics and denitrification .....	190
6.5 Summary and Conclusions .....	192
<b>Chapter 7 .....</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Effects of cattle urine and DCD on denitrifier gene richness, denitrifier gene abundance, and denitrification rates in incubated dairy-grazed pasture soils.....</b>	<b>193</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	193
7.2 Materials and methods .....	195
7.2.1 Collection of soil samples .....	195
7.2.2 Application of treatments and incubation of soils.....	195
7.2.3 Measurements of soil chemical characteristics and denitrification.....	196
7.2.4 Calculation of changes in applied N .....	196
7.2.5 Statistical Analysis .....	197
7.3 Results.....	198
7.3.1 Changes in soil pH, mineral N, soluble C, microbial biomass C (MBC), denitrifier gene T-RFs and denitrifier gene copy numbers during incubations with application of urine and urine + DCD.....	198
7.3.2 Denitrifier gene richness in the incubated soils .....	206
7.3.3 Denitrifier gene abundances in the incubated soils.....	207
7.3.4 Changes in denitrification during incubation with application of urine and urine +DCD.....	210
7.3.5 Cumulative denitrification and amount of added N lost during denitrification .....	210

7.3.6 Interrelations of gas emissions and soil properties .....	215
7.4 Discussion .....	217
7.4.1 Changes in soil pH, mineral N, soluble C and MBC during incubation with application of urine and urine +DCD.....	217
7.4.2 Denitrifier gene richness in the incubated soils .....	218
7.4.3 Denitrifier gene abundances in the incubated soils.....	219
7.4.4 Changes in denitrification of applied N in the incubated soils .....	220
7.4.5 Inter-relationships between soil characteristics and N <sub>2</sub> O production .....	221
7.5 Summary and Conclusions .....	223
<b>Chapter 8 .....</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>Summary and recommendations for future work .....</b>	<b>225</b>
8.1 Introduction.....	225
8.2 Development of protocol for gas sampling and molecular analysis .....	226
8.3 Denitrification in laboratory incubated New Zealand Dairy-Grazed Pasture Soils.....	226
8.4 Denitrification at field capacity and saturation soil water content (SWC) .....	227
8.5 Denitrification in urine applied soils.....	228
8.6 recommendations for future work.....	230
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>271</b>
Appendix i.....	271
Appendix ii.....	279
Appendix iii .....	283

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of selected studies measuring denitrification in grasslands using acetylene inhibition technique .....	55
Table 3.1 F and P values of 2-way analysis of variance test of effect of two soils (Tokomaru silt loam and Manawatu fine sandy loam) and soil pre-processing (intact cores, broken cores and sieved soil) at each sampling depth (0–100 mm and 100–200 mm) on denitrification (N <sub>2</sub> O-A) and nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O-NA) emission from nitrification and denitrification....	95
Table 3.2 Amount of representative DNA extracted from soil samples. Data are mean ± standard error of mean ( <i>n</i> = 6) .....	101
Table 3.3 List of primers used for optimisation of PCR amplification of denitrifier gene.....	103
Table 4.1 Description of soils collected for experiment .....	118
Table 4.2 Two way ANOVA P-values of the chemical characteristics in different soils (S) used in this study collected from two sampling depths (0–100 mm and 100–200 mm) (D) ..	122
Table 4.3 Two way ANOVA P-values of the DEA, DR, and N <sub>2</sub> O emissions in different soils (S) used in this study collected from two sampling depths (0–100 mm and 100–200 mm)(D) .....	122
Table 4.4 Chemical characteristics measured in soils collected from 0 to 100 mm depth from 10 dairy-pasture farms in New Zealand. Data are mean ( <i>n</i> = 6) ± Standard error of mean.	123
Table 4.5 Chemical characteristics measured in soils collected from 100-200 mm depth from 10 dairy-pasture farms in New Zealand. Data are mean ( <i>n</i> = 6) ± standard error of mean .	124
Table 4.6 Denitrification enzyme activity (DEA), and denitrification rate (DR) of in soils collected from 0 to 100 mm depth from 10 dairy-pasture farms in New Zealand. Data are mean ( <i>n</i> = 6) ± standard error of mean .....	126
Table 4.7 Richness, evenness, and diversity of denitrifier gene terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) in soils. Data are mean ( <i>n</i> = 3) ± standard error of mean.....	129
Table 4.8 Ratio of relative abundance of denitrifier genes to each other and to <i>rpoB</i> gene. Data are mean ( <i>n</i> = 3) ± standard error of mean.....	131
Table 4.9 Pearson’s correlation coefficients ( <i>P</i> < 0.05) between DR, DEA, and soil factors (0–100 mm) .....	132
Table 4.10 Significant Pearson’s correlation ( <i>P</i> < 0.05) coefficients between soil N <sub>2</sub> O emissions, denitrifier gene distribution and abundance.....	133
Table 4.11 Multiple linear regression analysis using backward elimination model of DR against soil factors.....	139
Table 4.12 Multiple linear regression analysis using backward elimination model of DEA against soil factors.....	139
Table 5.1 Gravimetric soil water content (SWC) (%) before and after addition of water during the incubation of soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam	

collected from 0 to 100 and from 100 to 200 mm depths. Data are mean ( $n = 6$ ) $\pm$ Standard error of mean (S.E.M.).....	151
Table 5.2 Repeated measures analysis of variance table to test the effect of soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam, sampling depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm) and soil water content (original, field capacity & saturation) on the nitrate –N ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ –N) content in soils .....	154
Table 5.3 Repeated measures analysis of variance table to test the effect of soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam, sampling depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm) and soil water content (original, field capacity & saturation) on the ammonical–N ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ –N) content in soils .....	154
Table 5.4 Mineral N contents ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ soil) measured at field-moist condition, field capacity and saturation soil water content in soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam collected from 0-100 and 100-200 mm depths. Data are mean ( $n = 6$ ) $\pm$ standard error of mean .....	155
Table 5.5 Repeated measures analysis of variance to test the effect of soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam, sampling depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm) and soil water content (field capacity & saturation) on the $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -NA ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ production rates in soils with no acetylene).....	156
Table 5.6 $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -NA [ $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ production rates ( $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O-N kg}^{-1}$ soil $\text{hr}^{-1}$ ) in jars without acetylene] measured at field-moist condition, field capacity and saturation soil water content in soils; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam collected from 0–100 and 100–200 mm depths. Data are mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 6$ ).....	157
Table 5.7 Repeated measures analysis of variance to test the effects of soils: OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam sampling depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm), and soil water content (field capacity & saturation) on the denitrification rates (DR) or nitrous oxide produced in acetylene treated jars ( $\text{N}_2\text{O-A}$ ) in soils .....	160
Table 5.8 Pearson’s correlation coefficients ( $P < 0.05$ ) between DR and $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emission rate and soil mineral N contents and DEA .....	162
Table 5.9 Pearson’s correlation coefficients ( $P < 0.05$ ) between DR, $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emission, and molecular parameters .....	163
Table 5.10 Multiple linear regression analysis using backward elimination model of DR at field capacity soil water content against soil factors.....	165
Table 5.11 Multiple linear regression analysis using backward elimination model of DR at saturation soil water content against soil factors .....	165

Table 6.1 Soil characteristics of the collected soils (original field-moist samples) for the experiment. Data = mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ).....	177
Table 6.2 F and $P$ values from repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test the effect of soil (S; Manawatu fine sandy loam, Tokomaru silt loam & Otorohanga silt loam), and incubation period (I; 0, 1, 3, 15, or 28 days) on soil characteristics after addition of only water and no other amendments .....	177
Table 6.3 F and $P$ values from repeated measures analysis of variances to test the effect of soil (S; Manawatu fine sandy loam, Tokomaru silt loam & Otorohanga silt loam), and incubation period (I; 0, 1, 3, 15, or 28 days) on nitrous oxide emission with acetylene ( $N_2O$ -A) and without acetylene ( $N_2O$ -NA) in soils after addition of only water and no other amendments .....	184
Table 6.4 Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) among soil properties and denitrification measurements significant at $P < 0.05$ .....	186
Table 7.1 Characteristics of collected bovine urine used in the experiment. Data are mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ) .....	195
Table 7.2 F and $P$ values from repeated measures analysis of variance to test the effect of soil type (S; Manawatu fine sandy loam, Tokomaru silt loam & Otorohanga silt loam), treatment (T; control, urine, urine + DCD), and incubation period (I; 1, 3, 15, or 28 days) on soil characteristics .....	202
Table 7.3 F and $P$ values for repeated measures analysis of variance to test the effects of soils (S: Manawatu fine sandy loam, Tokomaru silt loam & Otorohanga silt loam), treatments (T: control, urine, urine + DCD), and incubation period (I: 1, 3, 15, or 28 days) on nitrous oxide emissions with ( $N_2O$ -A) and without acetylene ( $N_2O$ -NA) addition to soils in jars .....	212
Table 7.4 Cumulative nitrous oxide with addition of acetylene ( $N_2O$ -A) and without acetylene ( $N_2O$ -NA) in soils after 28 days incubation at saturation with applied treatments water (CT), urine (U), and urine + DCD (UI) to Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM), and Otorohanga silt loam (OH), proportion of added urine N denitrified ( $DR_N$ ) and reduction of $DR_N$ by application of DCD to urine treated soils. Data are mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ) .....	214
Table 7.5 Pearson's correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) among soil properties and gas measurements ( $n = 144$ ) significant at $P < 0.05$ .....	216
Table 7.6 Pearson's correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) among soil properties and cumulative gas measurements ( $n = 12$ ) significant at $P < 0.05$ .....	216

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Thesis structure.....	34
Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of complete denitrification: showing reductase enzymes in regular upper case and genes encoding respecting enzymes in italics.....	37



Figure 3.1: Nitrous oxide production at various time-points during denitrification enzyme activity assay in Tokomaru silt loam soil incubated for 12 hours. Each column represents mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). Columns with same letter values are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). The test represents differences in means using 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).....	82
Figure 3.2 Denitrification enzyme activity of soil samples (DEA) (Tokomaru silt loam) incubated (for 6 hours) with varying chloramphenicol concentrations (0–100 mg L <sup>-1</sup> ). Each column represents mean ( $n = 4$ ) $\pm$ Standard error of mean. Columns with same letter values are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). The test represents differences in means using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA).....	86
Figure 3.3: N <sub>2</sub> O production during denitrification enzyme activity assay in soil (Tokomaru silt loam) incubated with varying chloramphenicol concentrations (T1 = 0, T2 = 2, T3 = 10, T4 = 20, T5 = 50, T6 = 100 mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) at different incubation times. Data are means ( $n = 4$ ) $\pm$ standard error of mean. ....	87
Figure 3.4: The collection of soil cores for laboratory incubation. ....	91
Figure 3.5: Denitrification rates (DR) in Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Manawatu fine sandy loam (MW) soil collected from two depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm) and with different pre-processing of samples (intact cores, broken cores and sieved soil). Each column represents mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 6$ ). Columns with same letter values are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). Letter values with the same case denote 2-way analysis of variance tests (ANOVA).....	95
Figure 3.6: N <sub>2</sub> O production rate from nitrification and denitrification in Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Manawatu fine sandy loam soil (MW) collected from two depths (0–100 and 100–200 mm) and with different pre-processing of samples (intact cores, broken cores and sieved soil). Each column represents mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 6$ ). Columns with same letter values are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). Letter values with the same case denote 2-way analysis of variance tests (ANOVA).....	96
Figure 3.7: Denitrification rate (DR) in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MW) and Tokomaru silt loam soil (TM) sieved samples at variable incubation times (24 & 48 hrs). Each column represents mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 6$ ). Columns with same letter values are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). ....	98
Figure 3.8: Denitrification rate (DR) in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MW) and Tokomaru silt loam soil (TM) sieved samples with increasing incubation time. Each data point represents mean $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 6$ ). ....	98
Figure 4.1 New Zealand map representing the location of the collected dairy-pasture soils. ZL = silt loam, FSL = fine sandy loam, DZL = Deep silt loam and SZL = stony silt loam. ...	117
Figure 4.2 Total bacterial ( <i>rpoB</i> ) and denitrifier gene ( <i>nirS</i> , <i>nirK</i> , <i>nosZ</i> ) copy numbers in soils, error bars denote standard error of mean. Columns with same letter values are not significantly different. Letter values with same case or symbol denotes one test. OH = Otorohanga silt loam; HR = Horotiu silt loam; TM = Tokomaru silt loam; MWEI =	

Manawatu fine sandy loam effluent irrigated; TeK = Te Kowhai silt loam; MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam; LM = Lismore stony silt loam; MF = Mayfield deep silt loam; PS = Paparua silt loam (Springston); PL = Paparua silt loam (Lincoln). .....	130
Figure 4.3 Projection of variables on 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> factor planes. The arrows represent the relationship between soil parameters with PCs. ....	135
Figure 4.4 Principal components and cluster formation of various group based on their soil characteristics at 0–100 mm depth.....	136
Figure 4.5 Cluster formation of various group based on their soil characteristics at 0–100 mm depth.....	137
Figure 5.1 DR ( $\mu\text{g N kg}^{-1}$ dry soil $\text{hr}^{-1}$ ) measured in soils as nitrous oxide produced in acetylene treated jars; OH = Otorohanga silt loam, MW = Manawatu fine sandy loam, MF = Mayfield silt loam, PS = Paparua silt loam, and LM = Lismore stony silt loam collected from 0–100 and 100–200 mm depths at field-moist condition, field capacity and saturation. Bars denote mean $\pm$ S.E.M. Bars with same letter values are not significantly different. The letter values apply to means of $\text{N}_2\text{O-A}$ . Numbers adjacent to soil abbreviation denote sampling depth, 10 = 0–100 mm and 20 = 100–200 mm depth. DR FM = denitrification rate at original field-moist condition, DR FC = denitrification rate at field capacity, and DR Sat = denitrification rate at saturation water content in soils. ....	161
Figure 6.1 Incubation of soil samples in jars for gas analysis. ....	173
Figure 6.2 Soil pH at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	178
Figure 6.3 Ammonical -N ( $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ ) content ( $\text{mg-N kg}^{-1}$ dry soil) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean. ( $n = 4$ ). ....	178
Figure 6.4 Nitrate-N ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) content ( $\text{mg-N kg}^{-1}$ dry soil) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean. ( $n = 4$ ). ....	178
Figure 6.5 Soluble C content ( $\text{mg-C kg}^{-1}$ dry soil) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). ....	179
Figure 6.6 Microbial biomass C content ( $\text{mg-C kg}^{-1}$ dry soil) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). ....	179

Figure 6.7 Numbers of denitrifier gene terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM), and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). <i>nirS</i> and <i>nirK</i> = nitrite reductase, <i>nosZ</i> = nitrous oxide reductase gene.....	181
Figure 6.8 Denitrifier gene copy numbers at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM), and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). <i>nirS</i> and <i>nirK</i> = nitrite reductase, <i>nosZ</i> = nitrous oxide reductase gene.....	181
Figure 6.9 Nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) production (mg-N kg <sup>-1</sup> dry soil day <sup>-1</sup> ) at various incubation times in Manawatu fine sandy loam (MWEI), Tokomaru silt loam (TM) and Otorohanga silt loam (OH) incubated at saturation with only water and no other amendments for 28 days. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	184
Figure 7.1 Soil pH and ammonical-N (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N) content (mg-N kg <sup>-1</sup> dry soil) in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	203
Figure 7.2 Soil nitrate-N (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N) (mg-N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) content in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means $\pm$ Standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	204
Figure 7.3 Soluble C and microbial biomass carbon contents (mg-N kg <sup>-1</sup> dry soil) in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	205
Figure 7.4 Numbers of denitrifier gene terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ), <i>nirS</i> and <i>nirK</i> = nitrite reductase gene, <i>nosZ</i> = nitrous oxide reductase gene.....	208
Figure 7.5 Denitrifier gene copy numbers in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ), <i>nirS</i> and <i>nirK</i> = nitrite reductase gene, <i>nosZ</i> = nitrous oxide reductase gene.....	209
Figure 7.6 Nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) production (mg-N kg <sup>-1</sup> dry soil day <sup>-1</sup> ) in (a) Manawatu fine sandy loam, (b) Tokomaru silt loam, and (c) Otorohanga silt loam incubated at saturation with three applied treatments (control, urine & urine + DCD) at various incubation times. Data are means $\pm$ standard error of mean ( $n = 4$ ). .....	213

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

AN	Avogadro's Number
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AOA	Ammonia oxidising archaea
AOB	Ammonia oxidising bacteria
bp	Base pairs
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
C	Carbon
Cd	Cadmium
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	Acetylene
cnorB/cnorBp	Cytochrome nitric oxide reductase enzyme
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon-dioxide
Cp	Crossing Point
CT	Control
Cu	Copper
DCD	Dicyandiamide
DEA	Denitrification enzyme activity
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNRA	Direct nitrate reduction to ammonia
dNTPs	Deoxynucleotide triphosphates
DR	Denitrification rate
ds	double stranded
ECD	Electron capture detector
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
Eq	Equation
F	Forward
FAM	6 carboxyfluorescein
Fe	Iron
GC	Gas Chromatograph
GHG	Greenhouse gas
H <sup>+</sup>	Hydrogen ions
H'	Shannon Diversity Index
HR	Horotiu silt loam
hrs	hours
HSD	Honestly significant difference
IGEPAL	octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol
IPCC	Intergovernmental panel for climate change
J	Pielou's Coefficient of Evenness
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Potassium Sulphate
KCl	Potassium chloride
LM	Listmore stony silt loam
MF	Mayfield silt loam
Mf.E	Ministry of Environment
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	Magnesium chloride

---

---

Mn	Manganese
Mo	Molybdenum
MPN	Most Probable Number
mRNA	Messenger ribonucleic acid
MS	Microsoft
MW	Manawatu fine sandy loam
MWEI	Manawatu fine sandy loam (Effluent irrigated)
N	Nitrogen
N <sub>2</sub>	Dinitrogen
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous oxide
N <sub>2</sub> OR	Nitrous oxide reductase
NAR	Nitrate reductase
NEB	New England Biolabs
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Ammonium
NIR	Nitrite reductase
<i>Nir</i>	Nitrite reductase gene
<i>NirK</i>	Copper containing nitrite reductase gene
<i>NirS</i>	Cytochrome-cd1 nitrite reductase gene
NO	Nitric oxide
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Nitrite
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Nitrate
NOR	Nitric oxide reductase
<i>NosZ</i>	Nitrous oxide reductase gene
NZ	New Zealand
O <sub>2</sub>	Oxygen
OH	Otorohanga silt loam
OH <sup>-</sup>	Hydroxyl ion
OTUs	Operational taxonomic units
P	Phosphorus
PCA	Principal components analysis
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PL	Paparua silt loam (Lincoln)
PS	Paparua silt loam (Springston)
Q <sub>10</sub>	Temperature coefficient
qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
R	Reverse
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
s	second
S	Sulphur
SWC	Soil water content
TAE	Tris-ascetic acid EDTA
Taq	<i>Thermus aquaticus</i>
TeK	Te Kowhai silt loam
TET	Tetrachlorofluorescein
TM	Tokomaru silt loam

---

---

T-RFLP	Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism
T-RFs	Terminal restriction fragments
Tris-HCl	2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol Hydrochloric acid
U	Cattle urine only
UI	Cattle urine +DCD
UV	Ultra violet

---

