

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**DISTRIBUTION OF CADMIUM IN LONG-TERM  
DAIRY SOILS, ITS ACCUMULATION IN SELECTED  
PLANT SPECIES, AND THE IMPLICATIONS FOR  
MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Soil Science

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Aaron David Stafford

2017



## ABSTRACT

Accumulation of cadmium (Cd) in agricultural soils, and in pasture, fodder and horticultural crop species is an on-going management concern for New Zealand agriculture. Recent implementation of a new National Cd Management Strategy (MAF, 2011) has increased awareness of this issue. Of key concern are long-term dairy farms in some of NZ's most productive farming districts, where future land-use and trade limitations might be apparent due to their intensive phosphorus (P)-fertiliser application history. The research described in this thesis was undertaken to improve national understanding of; i) soil Cd variability within long-term dairy farms, ii) variability in Cd accumulation between different forage plant species and the consequent risk to livestock grazing these forages, and iii) soil / environmental factors and management / mitigation options that can influence Cd phytoavailability.

Two long-term dairy farms on contrasting soils in the Waikato and Canterbury regions of New Zealand showed wide variability in soil total Cd concentrations (Waikato: 0.48-1.64 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Canterbury: 0.15-0.64 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The strong correlation ( $R^2 = 0.84-0.85$ ) between soil total Cd and total P concentrations indicated the importance of P fertilisation history on soil Cd variability. However, within blocks of common P fertiliser management history, there was also a strong effect of soil type on soil Cd concentration. Slope class only exerted an influence on soil total Cd concentration when slope exceeded 15°, while application of dairy shed effluent did not appear to have any consistent influence on soil Cd accumulation. All paddocks should be tested independently (based on predominant soil type) to allow Cd-enriched zones to be identified for remediation or alternative management purpose. Individual results can be area-weighted to provide a property-mean soil Cd concentration, where this is required.

For both properties, soil Cd concentrations decreased with depth, however this was effect was stronger and more consistent in the Waikato due to its lack of tillage history. Models

developed from visible and near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) scanning of intact soil cores (collected to 400-600 mm depth) were able to successfully predict soil total carbon (C) ( $R^2 = 0.91-0.95$ ) and total nitrogen (N) concentrations ( $R^2 = 0.91-0.92$ ). This technique shows promise for identifying paddock-specific tillage history, and based on the strong correlation between measured soil total Cd and total C and/or total N within each property ( $R^2 = 0.83-0.90$ ), for identifying Cd distribution within the soil profile. Such information could be used to quantify the tillage depth required to dilute Cd-enriched topsoil to a desired target.

A glasshouse trial on 12 common animal forage species revealed that chicory and plantain accumulated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) greater tissue Cd concentrations than other plant species. A subsequent survey of commercial farms across New Zealand validated these findings, with mean tissue Cd concentrations decreasing in the order chicory ( $1.82 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ) > plantain ( $0.80 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ) > ryegrass ( $0.11 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ) > white clover ( $0.07 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ). A very large range in tissue Cd concentrations for chicory and plantain ( $0.40-4.50$  and  $0.23-2.40 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ , respectively) indicating the sensitivity of these species to soil Cd phytoavailability, although only chicory tissue Cd concentration could be satisfactorily explained ( $R^2 = 0.745$ ) by the variables soil total Cd concentration, pH and total carbon content.

Although soil redox potential is known to influence Cd solubility, a pot trial on two different soils types (Kereone (Allophanic) and Topohaehae (Gley)) revealed that there was no significant difference in  $0.05 \text{ M CaCl}_2$  soil extractable Cd or plantain tissue Cd concentrations between cyclical flooded (3 days flooded, 11 days drained) and non-flooded (continuously drained) irrigation regimes. However, there was a large difference in soil extractable Cd concentration between the two soils types, with this difference appearing to be driven by differences in soil pH and organic matter content (and possibly clay mineralogy).

Ultra-fine elemental sulphur and hydrated lime soil amendments were used to produce a wide range in soil pH (approximately 5.0-6.5) in two field trials on contrasting soils. There was a

strong negative (linear) correlation ( $R^2 = 0.64-0.82$ ) between 0.05 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  soil extractable Cd concentration and soil pH. Plant tissue Cd concentration was poorly explained by soil pH (chicory  $R^2 = 0.35-0.52$ , ryegrass  $R^2 = 0.19-0.42$ ) and 0.05 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  soil extractable Cd concentration (chicory  $R^2 = 0.11$ , ryegrass  $R^2 = 0.28$ ). Perennial ryegrass Cd concentrations remained low ( $<0.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) regardless of soil pH, suggesting that animal Cd accumulation risk is low when grazing this plant species, even in Cd-enriched soils at low pH. However, soil pH should be increased to a minimum of 6.5 to decrease livestock dietary Cd exposure when grazing chicory. Mean chicory Cd concentrations were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) greater following a period of increased soil moisture, consistent with the increases in Cd solubility observed in the pot trial following soil re-wetting.

This research highlights that Cd accumulation in soil and plants poses a real risk to New Zealand's primary production industries. Existing animal Cd accumulation models indicate that when grazing Cd-accumulating forage species such as chicory and plantain, lamb kidneys may exceed food standard maximum levels in animals much younger than the current meat industry 30-month offal discard age. Understanding Cd accumulation in Cd-sensitive species such as chicory and plantain is important for farmers to be able to manage livestock dietary Cd exposure. Manipulation of soil pH to decrease soil Cd phytoavailability, and utilisation of deep inversion-tillage to bury Cd-enriched topsoil stand out as the most practical management strategies available to farmers. Animal grazing trials on Cd-enriched chicory crops are recommended to evaluate partitioning of ingested Cd, to validate and/or improve the predictions of existing animal Cd accumulation models. Plant breeding opportunities should be a priority focus, to produce chicory / plantain varieties that accumulate lower Cd concentrations in their vegetative tissues.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are a number of people who have either directly or indirectly assisted me throughout the course of this study and I take this chance to thank you all. Without your support, this journey would have undoubtedly taken a much more tortuous route!

First of all, thanks to my supervisors at Massey University, Assoc. Prof. Chris Anderson, Prof. Mike Hedley and Dr. Jeya Paramsothy. Your initial positive, proactive response towards my thought of undertaking further study was a massive confidence boost. Thanks for your support to help develop an initial skeleton of an idea into a research proposal, and for providing sound advice and guidance through to its completion. Thanks also to other staff at Massey University who contributed towards this research, notably Dr. Alan Palmer for providing his expertise to the detailed soil surveys carried out within my two case study farms.

My employer Ballance Agri-Nutrients as well as the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand (FANZ) deserve recognition and thanks for supporting this research project, especially given its importance to the fertiliser industry and New Zealand farmers. Without the funding provided by FANZ and the time-allowance provided by Ballance, this project simply would not have been possible. Similarly, I would also like to thank Dr. Roger Hill and Hill Laboratories staff for their analytical support and guidance. Hopefully the knowledge gleaned and new capability created rewards the investments made by these organisations. Significant 'subject matter expert' contributions were made throughout this journey by Prof. Richard McDowell (AgResearch) and Dr. Jo-Anne Cavanagh (Landcare Research), while thanks also goes to Chris Frampton for providing valuable guidance on statistical analysis.

My colleagues at Ballance deserve recognition for their assistance; however as there are too many to name, a generic 'thanks' will have to suffice. In particular, a number of Field Consultants who were quick to assist in providing farmer contacts, and in some cases, helping



me out with some of the more labour-intensive activities such as all-paddock testing and intact soil core sampling.

Lastly, but most importantly, thanks of course to my family who were happy to lend a hand throughout the course of this work. In particular, my wonderful wife Kirsty (who had to take on more than her share of the 'home-duties') and also my father, both of whom assisted me hugely in carrying out all-paddock-testing as well as in establishing / harvesting field trials. Last but not least, thanks to our children Hannah and Liam (the latter whom arrived part-way through this study) for their patience throughout...I know this project distracted me from you guys at times, despite my determination not to let it!

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	v
Table of contents .....	vii
List of figures.....	xvii
List of tables .....	xxv
Chapter 1 : Introduction .....	1
1.1 Research focus .....	4
1.2 Thesis structure.....	4
Chapter 2 : Literature review .....	5
2.1 Introduction .....	5
2.1.1 Why is cadmium a problem for New Zealand agriculture? .....	7
2.1.1.1 Guideline values for managing soil Cd .....	8
2.1.1.2 Tiered Fertiliser Management System.....	9
2.2 Factors affecting soil Cd phytoavailability .....	11
2.2.1 Specific and non-specific sorption of Cd.....	11
2.2.2 Role of clay minerals and organic matter as sorption sites .....	12
2.2.3 Factors affecting Cd sorption & desorption.....	14
2.2.3.1 Influence of soil pH .....	14
2.2.3.1.1 Liming to reduce soil Cd phytoavailability.....	16
2.2.3.2 Influence of soil redox.....	17
2.3 Factors affecting plant Cd uptake and accumulation .....	18
2.3.1 Transport of Cd from soil solution across the root membrane .....	19
2.3.2 Translocation from the root to other plant tissues .....	21

---

2.3.2.1 Cadmium accumulation in vegetative tissues - shoot Cd 'excluders' versus 'translocators' .....	22
2.3.3 Effect of plant growth rate / yield on tissue Cd concentration .....	23
2.4 Cadmium in New Zealand agriculture .....	24
2.4.1 Cadmium in New Zealand agricultural soils .....	24
2.4.1.1 Soil Cd by soil type, region and land use .....	24
2.4.1.2 Cadmium accumulation rates.....	26
2.4.1.3 Cadmium distribution with soil depth.....	27
2.4.1.3.1 Cadmium leaching.....	28
2.4.1.4 Spatial variability in soil cadmium .....	30
2.4.2 Cadmium in New Zealand agricultural plant species .....	31
2.4.2.1 Cadmium accumulation in different plant species and cultivars .....	32
2.4.2.2 Variability in plant tissue Cd concentration .....	35
2.4.2.2.1 Spatial variation in pastoral Cd concentration.....	35
2.4.2.2.2 Seasonal variation in pastoral Cd concentrations.....	36
2.4.2.2.3 Direct effects of recent P fertiliser application on plant Cd uptake .....	36
2.4.2.3 Assessing soil cadmium phytoavailability.....	38
2.4.3 Cadmium accumulation in grazing livestock .....	39
2.4.3.1 Contribution of forage versus soil ingestion to livestock Cd intake .....	41
2.4.4 Modelling Cd accumulation.....	41
2.4.4.1 Soil Cd accumulation - CADBAL .....	42
2.4.4.2 Animal Cd accumulation.....	43
2.5 Summary.....	46
2.5.1 Conclusions and research questions .....	48
Chapter 3 : Variability of soil cadmium in two long-term dairy farms .....	51
3.1 Abstract .....	51

---

3.2 Introduction .....	52
3.3 Case study farm descriptions.....	54
3.3.1 Waikato farm .....	54
3.3.1.1 General management history .....	54
3.3.1.2 Effluent and P fertiliser management.....	57
3.3.1.3 Soils .....	57
3.3.2 Canterbury farm.....	59
3.3.2.1 General management history .....	59
3.3.2.2 Effluent and P fertiliser management.....	61
3.3.2.3 Soils .....	61
3.4 Methodology.....	63
3.4.1 Inter-paddock variability.....	63
3.4.2 Intact soil cores .....	64
3.4.3 Intra-paddock soil Cd variability testing .....	65
3.4.4 Soil analysis .....	67
3.4.4.1 Total Cd .....	67
3.4.4.2 Total P .....	67
3.4.4.3 Total organic carbon and nitrogen.....	68
3.4.4.4 Plant available P (Olsen-P) .....	68
3.4.4.5 Soil pH .....	68
3.4.4.6 Phosphate retention (P retention).....	69
3.4.4.7 Cation exchange capacity (CEC) .....	69
3.4.5 Statistical analysis .....	69
3.5 Results.....	70
3.5.1 Waikato farm .....	70
3.5.1.1 Spatial variability in soil Cd concentrations .....	70

3.5.1.1.1 Effect of soil type on soil Cd concentration .....	71
3.5.1.1.2 Effect of slope on soil Cd concentration .....	74
3.5.1.1.3 Effect of land management history within soil types .....	75
3.5.1.1.4 Intra-paddock variability (intensive soil sampling) .....	77
3.5.1.2 Soil Cd variation with depth .....	80
3.5.2 Canterbury farm .....	82
3.5.2.1 Spatial variability in soil Cd concentrations.....	82
3.5.2.1.1 Effect of soil type on soil Cd concentration .....	83
3.5.2.1.2 Effect of land management history within soil types .....	83
3.5.2.2 Soil Cd variation with depth .....	87
3.6 Discussion .....	90
3.6.1 Factors influencing the spatial variability of soil Cd .....	90
3.6.1.1 P fertiliser management .....	90
3.6.1.2 Effluent management.....	91
3.6.1.3 Soil type .....	93
3.6.1.4 Slope .....	94
3.6.2 Variation in soil Cd distribution with depth .....	96
3.6.3 Influence of sampling strategy on soil Cd assessment .....	97
3.6.4 Identification of soils and sites for future trial work .....	100
3.7 Conclusions.....	101
Chapter 4 : Cadmium accumulation by different forage species used in New Zealand livestock grazing systems .....	103
4.1 Abstract .....	103
4.2 Introduction.....	103
4.3 Methodology .....	106
4.3.1 P fertiliser treatments .....	107

---

4.3.2 Trial management and analyses .....	108
4.4 Results and discussion .....	110
4.4.1 Soil total Cd and Olsen-P.....	110
4.4.2 Plant yield.....	110
4.4.3 Plant tissue Cd concentration – effect of plant species.....	112
4.4.4 Plant tissue Cd concentration – effect of superphosphate rate and yield .....	115
4.4.5 Relationship between soil and plant tissue Cd concentrations.....	116
4.4.6 Implications of this data set for agricultural management .....	117
4.4.6.1 Modelling lamb kidney Cd accumulation.....	118
4.4.6.2 Use of soil total Cd for Cd risk management .....	120
4.5 Conclusions .....	121
Chapter 5 : Field survey of cadmium concentrations in chicory, plantain, ryegrass and white clover.....	123
5.1 Abstract.....	123
5.2 Introduction .....	124
5.3 Methodology.....	126
5.3.1 Sampling methodology .....	128
5.3.2 Chemical analysis .....	129
5.3.2.1 Plant tissue Cd.....	129
5.3.2.2 Soil total Cd, total C and pH .....	129
5.3.3 Statistical analysis .....	130
5.4 Results and discussion .....	130
5.4.1 Soil characteristics of survey sites.....	130
5.4.2 Plant tissue Cd concentration ranges .....	132
5.4.2.1 Relationship of plant tissue Cd concentration to soil variables.....	136
5.4.2.1.1 Soil total Cd as a single variable .....	136

5.4.2.1.2 Prediction of plant tissue Cd concentration based on soil total Cd, pH and total C .....	138
5.5 Conclusions .....	143
Chapter 6 : Prediction of total carbon, nitrogen and cadmium concentrations with soil depth using visible and near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy .....	145
6.1 Abstract .....	145
6.2 Introduction .....	146
6.3 Methodology .....	148
6.3.1 Soil core analysis.....	148
6.3.1.1 NIRS assessment of intact cores for soil total carbon and nitrogen.....	148
6.3.1.2 Elemental analysis of intact soil core subsections .....	149
6.3.2 Statistical analysis .....	149
6.4 Results and discussion .....	150
6.4.1 Relationships between soil total Cd and total C or total N from laboratory analysis of intact soil core sections .....	150
6.4.2 Relationship between measured and NIRS-predicted soil total C and total N.....	151
6.4.3 Prediction of soil total Cd using NIRS-predicted soil total C and total N.....	155
6.4.4 Soil total Cd with depth – measured versus NIRS-predicted.....	155
6.4.5 Application of NIRS technology for soil Cd assessment .....	157
6.5 Conclusions.....	159
Chapter 7 : Influence of soil moisture status on soil cadmium phytoavailability and accumulation in plantain ( <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> ) .....	161
7.1 Abstract .....	161
7.2 Introduction .....	162
7.3 Methodology .....	164
7.3.1 Pot design .....	165

---

7.3.2 Soils .....	166
7.3.3 Experimental layout and management.....	167
7.3.4 Soil sampling and plant harvest .....	168
7.3.5 Chemical analysis .....	168
7.3.5.1 Plant tissue Cd concentration .....	168
7.3.5.2 Soil extractable Cd concentration.....	169
7.3.6 Quality control .....	169
7.3.7 Statistical analysis .....	170
7.4 Results.....	170
7.4.1 Soil extractable Cd concentration.....	170
7.4.2 Soil extractable Cd concentration over time .....	173
7.4.3 Plantain tissue Cd concentration, yield and Cd uptake .....	173
7.5 Discussion.....	175
7.5.1 Change in soil extractable Cd as a function of irrigation events.....	175
7.5.2 Change in soil extractable Cd as a function of time.....	176
7.5.3 Effect of soil type on Cd phytoavailability and uptake .....	178
7.5.4 Implication of these findings to Cd management in agricultural soils.....	180
7.6 Conclusions .....	182
Chapter 8 : Cadmium accumulation in chicory and ryegrass with modification of pH in two soils .....	183
8.1 Abstract.....	183
8.2 Introduction .....	184
8.3 Methodology.....	186
8.3.1 Field trial .....	186
8.3.1.1 Treatments and trial design .....	186
8.3.1.2 Management.....	188



---

8.3.1.2.1 Plant tissue harvests .....	190
8.3.1.2.1 Soil sampling .....	190
8.3.2 Chemical analysis.....	194
8.3.2.1 Plant tissue Cd and soil extractable Cd.....	194
8.3.2.2 Soil pH.....	194
8.3.2.3 Soil pH buffer capacity.....	195
8.3.2.4 Other soil analysis.....	195
8.3.3 Statistical analysis.....	195
8.4 Results .....	196
8.4.1 Main effect of soil type and plant species.....	196
8.4.2 Main treatment effects .....	197
8.4.2.1 Plant tissue Cd concentration over time .....	199
8.4.2.1.1 Chicory .....	199
8.4.2.1.2 Ryegrass .....	202
8.4.3 Relationship between soil extractable Cd concentration and pH.....	205
8.4.4 Relationship between plant tissue Cd concentration, soil pH and extractable Cd concentration .....	206
8.4.4.1 Chicory.....	206
8.4.4.2 Ryegrass.....	207
8.5 Discussion .....	208
8.5.1 Effectiveness of hydrated lime and elemental sulphur treatments.....	208
8.5.1.1 Soil pH.....	208
8.5.1.2 Soil extractable Cd and plant tissue Cd concentrations .....	211
8.5.2 Prediction of chicory tissue Cd concentration based on total Cd total C, and pH ...	214
8.5.3 Tissue Cd concentrations over time .....	216
8.5.4 Implications for agricultural management.....	218

---

8.6 Conclusions .....	221
Chapter 9 : Integrated discussion - key research findings, implications and suggestions for future research .....	223
9.1 Introduction .....	223
9.2 Key research findings .....	224
9.2.1 Soil total Cd concentrations are highly variable in the soil profile and across paddocks .....	224
9.2.2 Cadmium concentrations in chicory and plantain were several fold higher than ryegrass and white clover .....	226
9.2.3 Fluctuating soil moisture has only a minor effect on Cd solubility, but increased soil moisture may still increase plant Cd uptake.....	227
9.2.4 There is long-term risk of increased soil Cd bioavailability if soil pH declines.....	228
9.2.5 NIRS is a promising technique for rapid, low cost assessment of soil management history and the relative distribution of Cd in the soil profile.....	230
9.3 Implications of this research for Cd management.....	231
9.3.1 Animal Cd accumulation .....	231
9.3.2 Remediating high Cd soils .....	231
9.3.2.1 Phytoremediation .....	231
9.3.2.2 Manipulation of soil pH and use of soil carbon amendments .....	233
9.3.2.3 Inversion-tillage .....	234
9.4 Future research requirements .....	239
9.5 What does this research mean for New Zealand farmers? .....	241
References .....	243
Appendix 1 : Soil profile descriptions .....	273
A1.1 Waikato farm .....	273
A1.1.1 Kereone silt loam (Ke).....	273

---

A1.1.2 Topehaehae sandy clay loam (T).....	274
A1.1.3 Pakarau silt loam (P).....	275
A1.1.4 Morrinsville clay loam (M) .....	276
A1.1.5 Tauwhare hill soil (Tw) .....	277
A1.2 Canterbury farm .....	278
A1.2.1 Wakanui silt loam over fine sandy loam (Wk1) .....	278
A1.2.2 Wakanui silt loam on silty clay loam (Wk2) .....	279
A1.2.3 Wakanui fine sandy loam (Wk3) .....	280
A1.2.4 Temuka silty clay loam (Tm1).....	281
A1.2.5 Temuka silty clay loam over peaty loam (Tm2) .....	282
A1.2.6 Waimairi peaty loam (Wm1) .....	283
A1.2.7 Waimairi peaty loam on sand (Wm2) .....	284
A1.2.8 Templeton fine sandy loam (Te1) .....	285
Appendix 2 : Soil pH buffer capacity analysis for field trial soils.....	287
Appendix 3 : Soil pH versus soil extractable Cd concentration at the field trial midpoint.....	289
Appendix 4 : Statement of contribution to Doctoral thesis containing publications.....	291

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Effect of pH and soil solution Cd concentration on sorption of Cd to a New Zealand Allophanic soil (redrawn and adapted from Naidu <i>et al.</i> (1994)).	16
Figure 2.2.a) Variation in soil total Cd concentration with soil depth, and b) the effect of soil sampling depth on total soil total Cd concentration in an allophanic, P fertilised hill-country pasture soil (adapted from Zanders <i>et al.</i> (1999)).	28
Figure 2.3. Cd content in the liver of lambs aged 3, 6, 17, 28 months, after feeding low-Cd (mean 0.18 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DM) or high-Cd (mean 0.52 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DM) pasture from 3 months of age (Lee <i>et al.</i> , 1996).	41
Figure 2.4. Influence of plant tissue Cd concentration on Cd accumulation in lamb kidney and liver, as predicted using the models of Lee <i>et al.</i> (1996). Assumed model parameters: feed intake = 1 kg DM d <sup>-1</sup> , soil intake = 0.1 kg DW d <sup>-1</sup> , soil Cd concentration = 0.6 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DW, Time = 120 days (post weaning).	44
Figure 3.1. Farm map for the Waikato property showing management blocks and 'all-paddock testing' soil testing transects (numbered blue lines).	55
Figure 3.2. Farm map for Waikato property showing slope classes derived from the digital elevation model, 5m contour lines and 'all-paddock testing' soil testing transects (numbered blue lines).	56
Figure 3.3. Detailed soil map for the Waikato farm. Also shown on the map are 'all-paddock testing' soil testing transects (blue lines) and sites where intact soil cores were collected for analysis (light blue circles).	58
Figure 3.4. Farm map for the Canterbury property showing management blocks and 'all-paddock testing' soil testing transects (numbered blue lines).	60

Figure 3.5. Detailed soil map for the Canterbury farm. Also shown on the map are ‘all-paddock testing’ soil testing transects used for assessing soil Cd concentrations within the property (blue lines) and sites where intact soil cores were collected for analysis (light blue circles).  
 ..... 62

Figure 3.6. Improvised soil core sectioning device used to separate 0-75 mm and 75-150 mm sub-samples (photograph courtesy of the author)..... 64

Figure 3.7.a) Device used to collect intact soil cores from the field, and b) Example of intact soil core (still inside the corer) and adjacent PVC pipe used to transport the intact cores (photographs courtesy of Michael Hedley). ..... 65

Figure 3.8. Soil sampling patterns used for intra-paddock soil Cd variability assessment in a) paddock 18, and b) paddock 6. Five metre contour lines are shown for topographic reference..... 66

Figure 3.9. Range in soil total Cd concentrations within the Waikato farm for sampling depths of 0-75, 75-150 and 0-150 mm. Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA *P* value provided for comparison of means. Letters in brackets indicate where means are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )..... 70

Figure 3.10. Inter-paddock soil total Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) variability within the Waikato farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). ..... 72

Figure 3.11. Inter-paddock variability for total P ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) within the Waikato farm (0-75 mm sampling depth). ..... 73

Figure 3.12. Range in soil total Cd concentrations for each soil type within the Waikato farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean (except for soils only represented by one transect). ANOVA *P* values provided for comparison of means for the predominant Kereone and Topehaehae soils. .... 74

Figure 3.13. Range in soil total Cd concentrations for different slope classes within the Kereone soil type (0-150 mm sampling depth). Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA <i>P</i> value provided for comparison of means. ....	75
Figure 3.14. Range in soil total Cd concentrations for each land management by soil type grouping within the Waikato farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA <i>P</i> value provided for comparison of means between groups with more than 1 sample. Letters in brackets indicate where means are significantly different ( <i>P</i> < 0.05). ....	76
Figure 3.15. Relationship between soil total Cd and total P within the Waikato farm (0-75 mm sampling depth). ....	77
Figure 3.16. Intra-paddock variability in soil total Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) for paddock 18, Waikato farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). 5 m contour lines shown in black. ....	78
Figure 3.17. Intra-paddock variability in soil total Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) for paddock 6, Waikato farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). 5 m contour lines shown in black. ....	78
Figure 3.18. Soil Cd concentration with depth for different sites within the Waikato farm (mean of 3 samples, error bars represent standard deviation). ....	81
Figure 3.19. Range in soil total Cd concentrations within the Canterbury farm for sampling depths of 0-75, 75-150 and 0-150 mm. Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA <i>P</i> value provided for comparison of means. ....	82
Figure 3.20. Inter-paddock soil total Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) variability within the Canterbury farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). ....	84
Figure 3.21. Inter-paddock variability for total P ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) within the Canterbury farm (0-75 mm sampling depth). ....	85

Figure 3.22. Range in soil total Cd concentrations for each soil type within the Canterbury farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA *P* values provided for comparison of means across soil types. Letters in brackets indicate where means are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 86

Figure 3.23. Range in soil total Cd concentrations for each land management by soil type grouping within the Canterbury farm (0-150 mm sampling depth). Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles, black diamond and associated value is the mean. ANOVA *P* value provided for comparison of means between groups with more than 1 sample. Letters in brackets indicate where means are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 86

Figure 3.24. Relationship between soil total Cd and total P within the Canterbury farm (0-75 mm sampling depth). ..... 87

Figure 3.25. Soil Cd concentration with depth for different sites within the Canterbury farm (mean of 3 samples, error bars represent standard deviation)..... 89

Figure 4.1. Mean tissue Cd concentration for all plant species. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval. Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 112

Figure 5.1. Geographic overview of sampling sites used in the field survey. .... 127

Figure 5.2. Tissue Cd concentration ranges for chicory, plantain, ryegrass and white clover across the survey sites. Box and whisker plots represent data quartiles. Black diamond and associated value represent the mean tissue Cd concentration for each plant species. Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 133

Figure 5.3. Relationship between tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  DM) and soil total Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) for a) chicory, b) plantain, c) ryegrass, and d) white clover. .... 137

Figure 5.4. Predicted versus actual tissue Cd concentrations for chicory, based on a) soil total Cd ( $Tissue\ Cd = 1.860[Soil\ total\ Cd] + 0.467$ ), and b) soil total Cd, pH and total C ( $Tissue\ Cd = 1.828[Soil\ total\ Cd] - 1.391[Soil\ pH] - 0.054[Soil\ total\ C] + 8.729$ ).....	140
Figure 5.5. Relationship between soluble Cd concentration ([Cd]S) (Gray <i>et al.</i> , 1999d) and chicory Cd concentration across the field survey sites; a) log-transformed (for consistency with Gray <i>et al.</i> (1999a)), and b) back-transformed from logarithmic data. ....	142
Figure 6.1. Comparison of measured (50 mm depth increments) versus NIRS predicted (10 mm depth increments) total C and total N concentrations with depth, for sites 10 Ke(A) and 2 T(A) at the Waikato property. Error bars represent the standard deviation.....	153
Figure 6.2. Comparison of measured (50 mm depth increments) versus NIRS predicted (10 mm depth increments) total C and total N concentrations with depth, for sites 13 Tm2 and 30 Tm1 at the Canterbury property. Error bars represent the standard deviation.....	154
Figure 6.3. Comparison of measured (50 mm depth increments) versus NIRS-predicted (10 mm depth increments) total Cd with depth for sites 10 Ke(A) and 2 T(A) within the Waikato property, and 13 Tm2 and 30 Tm1 within the Canterbury property. Error bars represent the standard deviation. ....	156
Figure 7.1. Design of pots (left, completed; right, partially complete showing the slit in the internal drainage tube) used for generating cyclical 3-day flooded, 11-day drained soil conditions (photograph courtesy of the author). ....	166
Figure 7.2. Daily mean, maximum and minimum temperatures recorded at Tauranga airport (NIWA 'CliFlo' climate station 1615) over the duration of the trial period.....	167
Figure 7.3. Mean 0.05 M CaCl <sub>2</sub> soil extractable Cd concentrations ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) at each sampling date under Flooded and Non-flooded conditions for a) Topehaehae Planted, b) Topehaehae Unplanted, c) Kereone Planted, and d) Kereone Unplanted treatments. ANOVA <i>P</i> values are provided for comparison of means at each sampling date. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.....	174



Figure 8.1. Site selection for the trials sites located on the a) Kereone, and b) Topehaehae soil.  
 In the top photo, the Topehaehae site can be seen next to the fence line in front of the trees and creek in the distant, lower-lying part of the paddock (photographs courtesy of the author)..... 192

Figure 8.2.a) Application of hydrated lime and elemental sulphur treatments to individual plots at the Topehaehae trial site, and b) harvest 2 (11 December 2015) of the Topehaehae trial site (photographs courtesy of the author)..... 193

Figure 8.3. Rainfall and temperature profile for the district where the trial site was located (Rainfall data: Matamata aerodrome, courtesy of Waikato Regional Council); temperature data: NIWA ‘CliFlo’ climate station 23908). ..... 194

Figure 8.4. Mean chicory Cd concentrations for all alkali/acid treatments within the Kereone soil. Error bars represent the standard error. Treatment means within a harvest followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). Note: Replicates of the ‘4x alkali’ treatment from the 2<sup>nd</sup> harvest were lost prior to analysis, hence there is a missing data point for this treatment. .... 201

Figure 8.5. Mean chicory Cd concentrations for all alkali/acid treatments within the Topehaehae soil. Error bars represent the standard error. Treatment means within a harvest followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 201

Figure 8.6. Mean ryegrass Cd concentrations for all alkali/acid treatments within the Kereone soil. Error bars represent the standard error. Treatment means within a harvest followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 204

Figure 8.7. Mean ryegrass Cd concentrations for all alkali/acid treatments within the Topehaehae soil. Error bars represent the standard error. Treatment means within a harvest followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ..... 204

Figure 8.8. Relationship between soil extractable Cd concentration and soil pH for the Kereone and Topehaehae soil types.....	205
Figure 8.9. Relationship between chicory tissue Cd concentration and a) soil pH for the Kereone and Topehaehae soils independently, and b) soil extractable Cd concentration across the Kereone and Topehaehae soils combined.....	206
Figure 8.10. Relationship between ryegrass tissue Cd concentration and a) soil pH for the Kereone and Topehaehae soils independently, and b) soil extractable Cd concentration across the Kereone and Topehaehae soils combined.....	208
Figure 8.11. Mean change in soil pH for each acid/alkali treatment replicate relative to the mean pH of control, assessed across plant species within each site ( $n = 8$ for each treatment). a) Kereone soil, and b) Topehaehae soil. Error bars represent the standard deviation. Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant ( $P < 0.05$ ). .....	209
Figure 8.12. Relationship between actual chicory tissue Cd concentrations determined for individual replicates in this trial and those predicted using the regression equation from Chapter 5 ( $Chicory\ tissue\ Cd = 1.828[Soil\ total\ Cd] - 1.391[Soil\ pH] - 0.054[Soil\ total\ C] + 8.729$ ). .....	215
Figure 9.1. Soil profile Cd concentrations for site 4 Ke (A) Eff; Current (black dashed line) and following homogenisation-tillage (blue dashed line) or inversion-tillage (red dashed line) to 200 mm depth. Solid lines in the respective colours represent the 0-150 mm average tCd concentration for each tillage scenario.....	236
Figure A2.1. For the Kereone soil; a) full pH buffer curve with all data points, and b) data subset used to derive the soil pH buffer capacity ( $29.4\ mmol\ kg^{-1}\ pH^{-1}$ ).....	287
Figure A2.2. For the Topehaehae soil; a) full pH buffer curve with all data points, and b) data subset used to derive the soil pH buffer capacity ( $16.8\ mmol\ kg^{-1}\ pH^{-1}$ ).....	288

Figure A3.1. Relationship between 0.05 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> soil extractable Cd concentration and soil pH for selected treatments (ryegrass 'control', '3x acid' and '4x alkali' treatments) across both soil types at the trial mid-point soil sampling (24 November 2015).....289

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Guideline values for management of soil Cd in New Zealand. ....	8
Table 2.2. Cadmium management tiers and tier boundary trigger values with the TFMS (Cavanagh, 2012).....	10
Table 2.3. Summary inorganic and organic sorption surfaces within soil colloids. ....	13
Table 2.4. Factors influencing Cd / metal ion sorption and desorption. ....	15
Table 2.5. Summary of studies investigating soil Cd concentrations in New Zealand soils.....	25
Table 2.6. Summary of soil Cd concentrations reported internationally.....	25
Table 2.7. Examples of New Zealand studies that have quantified Cd accumulation rates in New Zealand soils. ....	26
Table 2.8. Cadmium leaching and variation in soil Cd concentration with depth for six New Zealand pastoral agricultural soils (adapted from Gray <i>et al.</i> (2003b)). ....	29
Table 2.9. Summary of research on the spatial variability of soil Cd in New Zealand grazed pastoral systems.....	30
Table 2.10. Summary of New Zealand studies investigating accumulation of Cd in different plant species and cultivars within species.....	33
Table 2.11. Summary of New Zealand research investigating relationships between extractable soil Cd and plant Cd tissue concentrations. ....	38
Table 3.1. Summary of the FANZ-recommended soil sampling protocol for soil Cd assessment under the TFMS (FANZ, 2016). ....	53
Table 3.2. Summary of soil types and their respective areas within the Waikato farm.....	59
Table 3.3. Summary of soil types and their respective areas within the Canterbury farm. ....	63
Table 3.4. Spearman coefficient of correlation and significance between soil total Cd and various soil parameters within the Waikato farm (0-75 mm sampling depth, $n = 38$ ). ....	71

Table 3.5.a) Spearman coefficient of correlation and significance between soil total Cd and Total P or Total C, and b) Stepwise regression analysis for the prediction of soil total Cd concentration based on the independent variables soil total P and total C, for grid soil sampling data ( $n = 26$ ) from paddock 18 of the Waikato farm.....	79
Table 3.6. Spearman coefficient of correlation and significance between soil total Cd and various soil parameters within the Canterbury farm (0-75 mm sampling depth, $n = 34$ ). ...	83
Table 3.7. Estimates of Cd applied in effluent to relevant blocks of the Waikato and Canterbury farms. ....	93
Table 3.8. Estimates of Cd applied in P fertiliser to effluent and non-effluent blocks of the Waikato and Canterbury farms.....	93
Table 3.9. Mean soil total Cd, total P, pH, total C, total N, CEC and P retention for different soil types within common land management units of the Canterbury and Waikato properties. ....	94
Table 3.10. Comparison of soil total Cd concentrations assessed at 0-150 mm and 0-75 mm by various authors in New Zealand grazed pastoral systems.....	96
Table 3.11. Comparison of property-mean soil Cd concentrations determined using different assessment criteria, for the Waikato and Canterbury farms.....	98
Table 4.1. Description and characteristics of soil used in the trial.....	107
Table 4.2. Plant species assessed in the trial.....	107
Table 4.3. Effect of rate of superphosphate on soil Olsen-P ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) and total Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ), and plant yield ( $\text{kg DM ha}^{-1}$ ) and tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ DM) for individual plant species. Mean yield ( $\text{kg DM ha}^{-1}$ ) and tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ DM) are also presented by plant species. Numbers followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). ....	111

---

Table 4.4. Linear regression coefficients of determination and significance for the relationship between plant Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ DM) and a) plant yield ( $\text{kg DM ha}^{-1}$ ), or b) soil total Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ).....	116
Table 4.5. Variables used in determining kidney Cd concentration for lambs finished on different forages, using the equation of Lee <i>et al.</i> (1996). .....	119
Table 5.1. Summary of field survey sampling sites by plant species and soil order (separated into North and South Island sites).....	126
Table 5.2. Summary of field survey sampling sites by plant species and land use history.....	127
Table 5.3. Summary of soil characteristics for sampling sites used in the field survey (150 mm sampling depth).....	131
Table 5.4. Soil total Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) upper quartile and lower quartile ranges, mean Cd concentrations within these quartile ranges, and the difference between the mean soil Cd concentration within each of the upper and lower quartile ranges, by plant species.	138
Table 5.5. Relationships between plant tissue Cd concentration and the independent variables soil total Cd, pH and total C as determined using stepwise regression with backwards elimination.....	138
Table 6.1. Variation in total C (%), total N (%) and total Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) within all 50 mm soil depth increments at the Waikato and Canterbury sites as determined through laboratory analysis. ....	150
Table 6.2. Relationship between soil total Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and total C (%) or total N (%) from linear regression analysis across all 50 mm soil core sections.....	150
Table 6.3. Relationship between measured (Lab) and predicted (NIRS) soil total C (%) and total N (%) for 50 mm soil core sections.....	151
Table 6.4. Best fit relationship between measured soil total Cd (Lab-Cd ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )) and NIRS predicted total C (NIRS-C (%)) and/or total N (NIRS-N (%)) for 50 mm soil core sections, determined using stepwise regression analysis.....	155

Table 7.1. New Zealand Soil Classification and characteristics of the two soils used in this study. .... 164

Table 7.2. Mean soil extractable Cd concentration ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) representing; i) Overall mean, ii) Mean of pre-irrigation samplings, iii) Mean of +3 day samplings, and iv) Mean difference between +3 day and pre-irrigation samplings; in addition to v) Mean plant tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  DM), vi) Plant yield ( $\text{g DM pot}^{-1}$ ), and vii) Plant Cd uptake ( $\mu\text{g Cd pot}^{-1}$ ). Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). .... 171

Table 7.3. Treatment mean soil extractable Cd concentration ( $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) for pre-irrigation and +3 day soil samplings, for individual flood irrigation cycles. Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). .... 172

Table 7.4. pH of 0.05 M  $\text{CaCl}_2$  extracts assessed periodically throughout the trial. Means with the same letter indicate differences are not significant from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). .... 176

Table 7.5. Prediction of soil solution Cd concentrations for the soils in this study based upon total Cd, organic matter and pH, using the Cd solubility equation of Gray *et al.* (1999d). 180

Table 8.1. Description and characteristics of the soils at two trial site locations. .... 186

Table 8.2. Treatments used in the two field trials and target soil pH values. .... 187

Table 8.3. Comparison between soil types ('control' replicates only) of mean soil pH and extractable Cd concentration (assessed at final harvest) and mean tissue Cd concentrations for ryegrass and chicory (assessed using data from all 3 harvests). .... 196

Table 8.4. Kereone site treatment mean soil pH and extractable Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) as assessed at the final harvest, and mean tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  DM) and total yield ( $\text{kg DM ha}^{-1}$ ) for chicory and ryegrass assessed over three harvests. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ). .... 198

Table 8.5. Topohaehae site treatment mean soil pH and extractable Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) as assessed at the final harvest, and mean tissue Cd concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  DM) and total

yield (kg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) for chicory and ryegrass assessed over three harvests. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different from one another ( $P < 0.05$ ).....	198
Table 8.6. Chicory mean tissue Cd concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DM) and mean yield (kg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at each harvest, for both the Kereone and Topehaehae soil types. ....	200
Table 8.7. Ryegrass mean tissue Cd concentration (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DM) and mean yield (kg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> ) at each harvest, for both the Kereone and Topehaehae soil types. ....	202
Table 9.1. Estimated cost of reducing soil Cd phytoavailability using lignite as a soil amendment. ....	234
Table 9.2. Determination of the required inversion-tillage depth to produce 0-150 mm total Cd concentrations less than 0.8 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> , for initial 0-150 mm total Cd concentrations of; 1.64 (maximum tCd concentration determined in APT of the Waikato property) 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> . Where cells are shaded orange, 0.8 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> has been exceeded, meaning tillage depth is insufficient. Extrapolation of 0-150 mm average total Cd concentrations to 350 mm soil depth in 50 mm depth increments was performed using soil Cd depth distribution data from intact soil core sampling sites 4 Ke(A) Eff, 10 Ke(A) and 22 Ke(B).....	237
Table 9.3. Cost of remediation via inversion-tillage. ....	238
Table A2.1. Calculation of CaCO <sub>3</sub> or elemental S requirement to shift pH by 0.5 units for the Kereone soil.....	287
Table A2.2. Calculation of CaCO <sub>3</sub> or elemental S requirement to shift pH by 0.5 units for the Topehaehae soil. ....	288



