

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ANION MOVEMENT IN A STRUCTURED SOIL

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in Soil Science at  
Massey University

PIMPAN KANCHANASUT

1980

61320-10

## ABSTRACT

Anion movement in soil was studied both in the laboratory and in the field, using structured "undisturbed" soil and sieved aggregates. The movement of chloride, bromide and phosphorus was investigated. Chloride and bromide being non-reactive in soil, were used to indicate whether the flow was more uniform or preferential, while phosphorus was used to indicate the behaviour of strongly adsorbed anions.

Experiments involving the movement of chloride and phosphorus through columns of 0.5-1 mm soil aggregates provided data on phosphate adsorption during miscible displacement. Chloride breakthrough curves were described well by conventional convective-dispersive theory. For phosphorus, linear adsorption isotherms were determined independently, using solution concentrations and equilibrium times similar to those pertaining in the aggregate columns. Conventional theory using these data predicted reasonably well the early part of the breakthrough curves, but did not predict the observed "tailing" of phosphorus breakthrough curves.

The movement of anions through artificial soil channels and planar cracks was studied. The breakthrough curves showed the movement of both chloride and phosphorus was highly preferential through 0.5 mm diameter channels and 0.17 mm wide planar cracks. The results agreed quite well with model predictions.

The movement of anions through 2.4 litre "undisturbed" soil cores was also studied. Under saturated conditions, both chloride and phosphorus moved preferentially. Dye studies indicated the major pathways were worm channels, root channels, and soil cracks. Under unsaturated conditions when the pressure potential was maintained at  $-0.02$  bar (at which channels larger than 0.15 mm diameter and cracks wider than 0.07 mm would be drained), the breakthrough curves for bromide were much less preferential than under saturated conditions. The experimental set-up for this experiment was designed so that the blockage of natural

flow paths was minimized and the effects of porous plates at either end of the cores were avoided.

Two field experiments were conducted at a mole-tile drained site on Tokomaru silt loam (a Fragiaqualf). One experiment investigated the movement of chloride and phosphorus solution ponded on the soil surface. The breakthrough curves for both chloride and phosphorus percolating from the surface to the mole-drains indicated the movement was very preferential, both anions reaching the mole-drains located at 400 mm depth within a minute of their application to the soil surface. Dye staining indicated the movement occurred mostly through worm channels and plant root channels associated with planar cracks.

The other field experiment investigated the leaching of bromide under both ponded water and natural rainfall conditions. When the same amount of water was considered, leaching by rainfall was more effective than by ponding. However, under both water treatments, relatively large amounts of applied bromide remained unleached near the soil surface, while some bromide moved deep into the soil profile. Interception and stem flow appeared to be important factors causing non-uniform leaching under pasture by natural rainfall. Very considerable variation in bromide concentration between replicate soil samples was found, with a log-normal rather than normal distribution. Quite different leaching patterns were found in soil under pasture and in a soil which had been cultivated and cropped.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Dr D.R. Scotter, for his guidance, criticism, discussion, and encouragement throughout this study. Also for his friendship, interest, and help offered to me at all times.

Thanks are also extended to Mr R.W. Tillman, and Dr J.K. Syers, Soil Science Department, Massey University, for their help and valuable discussion.

The achievement of this study would not be possible without a Columbo Plan Scholarship offered by the New Zealand Government, and study leave given by Khon Kean University, Thailand, which I very much appreciate.

To Robin Tillman and John Sykes, thanks for their assistance with soil sampling, and to Tipvanna and Pisanu, for their assistance with the preparation of this manuscript.

To my family and Suvit, thanks for their continual support and encouragement at all times.

Finally to Miss Vivienne Mair, for excellent typing and preparation of this manuscript.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract .....	ii
Acknowledgements .....	iv
Table of Contents .....	v
List of Figures .....	x
List of Tables .....	xvii
List of Symbols .....	xix

### CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 IMPORTANCE OF SOLUTE MOVEMENT IN SOILS .....	2
1.2 MOVEMENT OF SOLUTE IN SOILS .....	3
1.2.1 Differential Equations Used to Describe Solute Movement .....	3
1.2.2 Solutions of Transport Equations .....	4
1.2.2.1 Miscible displacement research ....	4
1.2.2.2 Leaching .....	5
1.2.3 Transport Model for Reactive Solutes .....	6
a. Equilibrium adsorption isotherms .....	6
b. Kinetic adsorption model .....	7
c. Combination model .....	8
1.3 FAILURES OF CONVENTIONAL THEORY .....	9
1.4 MODIFIED SOLUTE TRANSPORT MODELS .....	11
a. Convective-Dispersive Model with Lateral Diffusion .....	11
b. Viscous Flow with Lateral Diffusion .....	12
1.5 GENERAL OBJECTIVES .....	12

### CHAPTER 2

ACCOUNTING FOR ADSORPTION DURING PHOSPHORUS MOVEMENT IN SOIL .....	13
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	14
2.2 OBJECTIVES .....	16
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	16
2.3.1 Conventional Batch Method Adsorption Isotherms .....	16

	Page
2.3.2 Continuous-Leaching Method Isotherms .....	17
2.3.3 Phosphorus and Chloride Movement in Columns of Soil Aggregates .....	17
2.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	19
2.4.1 Conventional Batch Method Adsorption Isotherms .....	19
2.4.2 Continuous-Leaching Method Isotherms .....	23
2.4.3 Phosphorus and Chloride Movement in Columns of Soil Aggregates .....	27
2.4.4 Modelling of Phosphorus Movement in Soil Columns .....	30
2.5 GENERAL DISCUSSION .....	34
2.6 CONCLUSIONS .....	35

### CHAPTER 3

ANION MOVEMENT THROUGH ARTIFICIAL SOIL CHANNELS AND PLANAR CRACKS .....	36
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	37
3.2 OBJECTIVE .....	38
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	39
3.4 COMPUTATIONS .....	40
3.5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	40
3.6 CONCLUSIONS .....	46

### CHAPTER 4

ANION MOVEMENT IN SOIL CORES .....	48
4.1 INTRODUCTION .....	49
4.2 OBJECTIVES .....	51
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	51
4.3.1 Experimental Set-up .....	51
4.3.2 Saturated Flow Experiment .....	53
4.3.3 Unsaturated Flow Experiment .....	54
4.4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	54
4.4.1 Saturated Flow Experiment .....	54
4.4.2 Unsaturated Flow Experiment .....	60
4.4.3 Computations .....	63
4.4.3.1 Convective-dispersive model .....	63

4.4.3.2	Viscous flow with lateral diffusion model .....	64
4.5	GENERAL DISCUSSION .....	65
4.6	CONCLUSIONS .....	65

## CHAPTER 5

	WATER AND ANION MOVEMENT TO MOLE DRAINS .....	67
5.1	INTRODUCTION .....	68
5.2	OBJECTIVES .....	70
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	70
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	72
5.5	PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS .....	78
5.6	CONCLUSIONS .....	79

## CHAPTER 6

	BROMIDE LEACHING UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS .....	80
6.1	INTRODUCTION .....	81
6.2	OBJECTIVES .....	84
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	84
6.3.1	Experimental Design .....	84
6.3.1.1	Continuous ponding experiment ....	84
6.3.1.2	Natural rainfall experiment .....	85
6.3.2	Bromide Retention by Soil .....	86
6.3.3	Bromide Retention by Plants .....	86
6.3.4	Bromide Determination .....	86
6.3.4.1	Soil samples .....	86
6.3.4.2	Plant tissues .....	88
6.3.5	Leaching of Dye under Natural Rainfall ....	88
6.3.6	Soil Bulk Density .....	89
6.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	89
6.4.1	Continuous Ponding Experiment .....	89
6.4.1.1	Pre-leaching measurement .....	89
6.4.1.2	Post-leaching measurement .....	94
6.4.2	Natural Rainfall Experiment .....	97
6.4.2.1	Pre-leaching measurement .....	99
6.4.2.2	Post-leaching measurement .....	99
a.	First sampling .....	99
b.	Second sampling .....	102

	Page
6.4.3 Variability in Bromide Distribution .....	102
6.4.4 Leaching Flow Pathways under Natural Rainfall .....	106
6.4.5 Possible Factors Tending to Cause Low Bromide Recovery Percentages .....	110
a. Retention of bromide in soil .....	110
b. Retention of bromide by plants .....	110
c. Non-uniform bromide application .....	110
d. Retention of bromide on plants .....	111
e. Soil sampling method .....	111
6.4.6 Computations .....	111
6.5 CONCLUSIONS .....	116

## APPENDIX A

SOIL PROFILE DESCRIPTIONS AND PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL DATA	118
--	-----

## APPENDIX B

DIFFUSION OF SOLUTE INTO SPHERICAL SOIL AGGREGATES ...	124
--	-----

## APPENDIX C

THEORY OF PREFERENTIAL SOLUTE MOVEMENT THROUGH LARGER SOIL VOIDS AND TYPICAL COMPUTER PROGRAMMES .....	127
---	-----

C.1 "Preferential solute movement through larger soil voids. I. Some computations using simple theory." by D.R. Scotter, reprinted from <u>Australian Journal of Soil Research</u> . 16: 257-267 .....	128
C.2 List of Symbols in CSMP Programmes .....	140
C.3 Programme for Miscible Displacement of Solutes in a Soil with Uniform Vertical Cylindrical Channels .....	142
C.4 Programme for Leaching of Surface Applied Solutes under Pondered Water in Soil Column Containing Uniformly Distributed Vertical Cylindrical Channels .....	150

## APPENDIX D

DERIVATION OF EQUATION FOR FLOW THROUGH NON-UNIFORM CAPILLARY TUBES .....	157
--	-----

APPENDIX E

BROMIDE CONCENTRATION MEASUREMENTS FOR INDIVIDUAL  
REPLICATES UNDER CONTINUOUS PONDING CONDITIONS ..... 160

APPENDIX F

FRACTILE DIAGRAM CONSTRUCTION ..... 164

REFERENCES ..... 168

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Fig. 2.1	Schematic diagram of experimental set-up for studying phosphorus and chloride movement in soil aggregate columns .....	18
Fig. 2.2	Adsorption data and fitted Freundlich isotherms, at two equilibration times, 3 hours (—) and 10 hours (- - -), obtained from the batch method. Arrows indicate the change in phosphorus concentration in the solution due to adsorption. The linear isotherms also shown were forced through the Freundlich isotherms at 7 and 20 µg/ml. They were used to obtain solution distribution coefficients (k) for predicting phosphorus movement in the soil columns .....	20
Fig. 2.3	Phosphorus adsorption rates for different initial solution concentrations (C). The curves shown were fitted to the experimental data using a power curve fitting procedure	22
Fig. 2.4	Phosphorus and chloride breakthrough curves for continuous leaching experiments. The three levels of phosphorus concentration are: (a) 1, (b) 5, and (c) 10 µg/ml .....	24
Fig. 2.5	Comparison of adsorption isotherms obtained from batch and continuous leaching methods at 6 and 10 hour equilibration times. For the batch method the curves shown are the Freundlich isotherms .....	25
Fig. 2.6	Duplicate experimental and calculated breakthrough curves for (a) chloride and (b) phosphorus at $9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ flux density .....	28

	Page
Fig. 2.7 Duplicate experimental and calculated break-through curves for (a) chloride and (b) phosphorus at $4.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ flux density .....	29
Fig. 3.1 Breakthrough data for soil casts containing a single channel. (a) Breakthrough data for soil cast with exposed soil surface; Cast I chloride (●) and phosphorus (○); Cast II, chloride (▲) and phosphorus (△). (b) Breakthrough data for soil cast with almost completely wax-coated surface; Cast III, chloride (■) and phosphorus (□). Also shown are predicted breakthrough curves for Cast I and II, chloride (—) and phosphorus (---), assuming $R = 69$ for phosphorus ....	41
Fig. 3.2 Movement of rhodamine B dye solution in the soil casts containing artificial (a) vertical channel, and (b) planar crack .....	42
Fig. 3.3 Breakthrough data for soil casts containing a single crack; Cast IV, chloride (●) and phosphorus (○); and Cast V, chloride (△) and phosphorus (▲). Also shown are predicted breakthrough curves for Cast IV, chloride (—) and phosphorus (---) assuming $R = 69$ for phosphorus. The circled symbols indicate time for one pore volume .....	45
Fig. 4.1 General experimental set-up for miscible displacement study .....	52
Fig. 4.2 Breakthrough data for undisturbed soil cores; chloride (●) and phosphorus (▲) for saturated flow, and bromide (■) for unsaturated flow at $-200 \text{ mm}$ pressure potential. Calculated breakthrough curves for bromide are presented, assuming channel diameter of $0.15 \text{ mm}$ (—) and $0.1 \text{ mm}$ (---). Duplicate cores (a) and (b) .....	57

- Fig. 4.3 Cross-sections 143 mm in diameter of a soil core. The blue colour indicates the dominant pathways for saturated flow and the pink colour indicates the dominant pathways for unsaturated flow at -200 mm pressure potential. W = worm channel, R = root channel, C = planar crack 58
- Fig. 4.4 Vertical sections of a soil core. The blue colour indicates the dominant pathways of saturated flow and the pink colour indicates the dominant pathways of unsaturated flow at -200 mm pressure potential. W = worm channel, R = root channel, C = planar crack ..... 59
- Fig. 4.5 Unsaturated breakthrough data for bromide (■, □) and the corresponding predicted curves (—, - -) obtained from a convective-dispersive model ..... 62
- Fig. 5.1 Experimental set-up for the miscible displacement experiment above a mole drain. The infiltrometer ring was 380 mm in diameter and the mole channel located at 400 mm depth 71
- Fig. 5.2 Breakthrough data for saturated soil profile above the mole drain: chloride (▲—▲) and phosphorus (△—△) for Experiment I with infiltration rate of  $6.75 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , and chloride (■—■) and phosphorus (□—□) for Experiment II with infiltration rate of  $6.67 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The curves have been visually fitted to the data points. Times corresponding to one pore volume were 51 minutes, and 9.5 hours for Experiment I and II, respectively.  $C_e/C_i$  is the ratio of effluent to influent concentration ..... 73

- Fig. 5.3 Preferential pathways observed in the soil profile above the mole drains, as indicated by methylene blue staining. W = worm channel, R = root channel. (a) Preferential pathways in the soil profile directly above the mole. (b) Conducting worm channels and root channels 75
- Fig. 5.4 Mole drain at 400 mm profile depth in Tokomaru silt loam soil in Dairy Farm No. 4 near Massey University. Grass roots penetrating into the mole were commonly observed ..... 76
- Fig. 5.5 Preferential pathways observed in the soil profile. W = worm channel, R = root channel, C = planar crack. (a) Vertical view of conducting channels in a natural fracture plane. (b) Cross-section view of conducting worm channels and the interconnected cracks ..... 77
- Fig. 6.1 Distribution of surface applied chloride after leaching with 50 mm of water:  
 (a) Initial distribution  
 (b) Piston flow displacement  
 (c) Observed field chloride distribution under intermittent irrigation of bare soil (Wild and Babiker, 1976).  
 (d) Calculated curve followed convective-dispersive theory (Gardner, 1965; and equation (1.5)), assuming  $E = 8.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $C = 1.0$ , and  $z_0 = 25 \text{ mm}$ . Field values for  $\theta_0$  and  $v_0$  were used ( $0.2$  and  $2.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , respectively as found by Wild and Babiker, 1976) ..... 82
- Fig. 6.1 Daily rainfall (RF) and evapotranspiration (ET) data during experiment in 1979 ..... 87

- Fig. 6.3 Soil bulk density distribution in the soil profiles under pasture and cultivation (means and standard deviations of 6 replicates at each depth) ..... 90
- Fig. 6.4 Bromide distribution in the soil profile before and after ponding 50 mm of water on the soil surface in the continuous pasture growing area. The concentration of bromide before ponding was the average of 5 core samples, and after ponding was the average of 13 core samples ..... 91
- Fig. 6.5 Bromide distribution in the soil profile before and after ponding 50 mm of water on the soil surface in the cultivated area. Each measurement before ponding was the average of 5 core samples, and after ponding was the average of 13 core samples ..... 92
- Fig. 6.6 Volumetric water content distribution in the soil profiles before and after ponding, and porosity.  $I$  = filtration rate ( $\text{mm hr}^{-1}$ ) in the first hour after ponding. Under pasture (a, b, and c) and under cultivation (d and e) ..... 96
- Fig. 6.7 Bromide distribution in the soil profile under natural rainfall in the continuous pasture growing area ..... 98
- Fig. 6.8 Volumetric water content distribution in the soil profile under natural rainfall conditions at both sampling times (after 46 and 182 mm excess rainfall), and soil porosity. Means and standard deviations of 40 samples ..... 100

Fig. 6.9	Histograms showing frequency distributions of bromide concentration (C) in soil before and after leaching by 182 mm excess rainfall. (a) Frequency distribution of C (b) Frequency distribution of ln C .....	103
Fig. 6.10	Fractile diagrams showing the relationship of probability units $((x - \bar{x})/s)$ and bromide concentration in soil (C or ln C), where $x = C$ or $\ln C$ , $\bar{x}$ = mean value, $s$ = standard deviation, and $r$ = correlation coefficient. Post leaching data shown were after 182 mm excess rainfall .....	104
Fig. 6.11	Photographs indicating stem-flow responsible for non-uniformity of water intake at the soil surface under natural rainfall. W = worm channel, R = root, and C = crack ...	109
Fig. 6.12	Computed bromide concentration distribution after leaching soil containing uniformly spaced, vertical, cylindrical channels. Initially the soil solution concentration (C) in the top 10 mm of soil was $C_0$ .....	113
Fig. 6.13	Computed relative concentration of bromide leached from the top 10 mm to below 300 mm depth in soil containing vertical cylindrical channels, 0.2 and 0.15 mm in diameter.	
Fig. B.1	Relationship between $M_t/M_\infty$ and $(Dt/a^2)^{1/2}$ as shown by Crank (1956) .....	126
Fig. C.1	Geometry of the system and symbols used in the programme for miscible displacement of solutes in a uniform vertical cylindrical channel soil column. Arrows indicate direction of flow .....	143

Fig. C.2	Geometry of the system and symbols used in the programme for leaching of the surface applied solutes under ponding water in a soil column containing a uniform distribution of vertical cylindrical channels. Arrows indicate predominant direction of flow .....	151
----------	---	-----

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Freundlich constants for batch method isotherms .....	21
Table 2.2	Phosphorus adsorption data for different soil aggregate size fractions after 10 hour equilibration .....	21
Table 2.3	Calculated times for chloride and phosphorus to diffuse into spherical soil aggregates, assuming a linear adsorption isotherm for phosphorus (see Appendix B for details)	26
Table 2.4	Physical data for column experiments using 0.5-1 mm aggregates .....	31
Table 2.5	Retardation factor values (R) at 7 (R <sub>7</sub> ) and 20 (R <sub>20</sub> ) µg/ml solution concentration obtained from batch method isotherms, for use in modelling movement through soil columns	32
Table 3.1	Physical data for soil casts containing a channel or crack .....	43
Table 4.1	Physical data for saturated and unsaturated flow .....	55
Table 4.2	Channel sizes assumed and the spacing between them .....	63
Table 6.1	Recovery percentages of applied bromide from the soil profiles .....	93
Table 6.2	Channel sizes and related data assuming hydraulic conductivity of $6.94 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m s}^{-1}$	112

	Page
Table A.1 Tokomaru silt loam soil profile descriptions (Pollok, 1975) .....	119
Table A.2 Some physical and chemical properties of Tokomaru silt loam (Pollock, 1975) .....	121
Table A.2.1 Particle size analysis .....	121
Table A.2.2 Chemical analysis .....	122
Table A.2.3 Clay mineralogy .....	123
Table E.1 Data for bromide concentration distribution in the soil profiles after leaching by continuous ponding:	
Table E.1 Under pasture .....	161
Table E.2 Under cultivation .....	163
Table F.1 Results from fractile diagrams for experiment under natural rainfall .....	166
Table F.2 Data for bromide concentration distribution after infiltration of 46 mm excess rainfall over evapotranspiration. Four core samples obtained from 0.32 x 0.5 m subplots were bulked together in each replicate .....	167

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

		DIMENSIONS
a	radius of a sphere	L
B	Brenner number ( $B = vd/E$ )	none
C	solution concentration	$M L^{-3}$
$C_e$	effluent concentration	$M L^{-3}$
$C_i$	influent concentration	$M L^{-3}$
$C_{im}$	concentration of solute in immobile region	$M L^{-3}$
$C_m$	concentration of solute in mobile region	$M L^{-3}$
$C_o$	initial solute concentration in the layer near the soil surface to depth $z_o$	$M L^{-3}$
D	molecular diffusion of solute in water	$L^2 T^{-1}$
$D_s$	molecular diffusion of solute in soil	$L^2 T^{-1}$
d	soil column length	L
E	dispersion coefficient	$L^2 T^{-1}$
$E_{Cl}$	dispersion coefficient of chloride	$L^2 T^{-1}$
ET	evapostranspiration	L
g	acceleration due to gravity	$L T^{-2}$
I	infiltration rate	$L T^{-1}$
i	depth of water input or interger	L or none
K	hydraulic conductivity	$L T^{-1}$
k	Freundlich solution distribution coefficient	$L^3 M^{-1}$
$k_I$	Freundlich solution distribution coefficient for adsorption site I	$L^3 M^{-1}$
$k_1$	adsorption rate coefficient	$T^{-1}$
$k_2$	desorption rate coefficient	$T^{-1}$
$k_3$	adsorption rate coefficient for site II	$T^{-1}$
$k_4$	desorption rate coefficient for site II	$T^{-1}$
N	a constant in the Freundlich equation	none
n	number of samples	none
Q	flow rate	$L^3 T^{-1}$
q	Darcy flux density	$L T^{-1}$
R	retardation factor ( $R = \frac{\rho_b}{\theta} k$ )	
r	correlation coefficient, or radial distance in equation (B.1)	none or L
S	solute adsorbed per unit mass of soil solids	$M M^{-1}$

$S_I$	amount of solute adsorbed per unit mass of soil for site I	$M M^{-1}$
$S_{II}$	amount of solute adsorbed per unit mass of soil for site II	$M M^{-1}$
$s$	standard deviation of $x$	
$s_L$	standard deviation of $\ln x$	
$t$	time	$T$
$V$	cumulative volume of effluent	$L^3$
$V_O$	liquid-filled pore volume	$L^3$
$V_e$	volume of effluent when $C_e/C_i = 0.5$	$L^3$
$v$	average pore water velocity ( $v = q/\theta$ )	$L T^{-1}$
$x$	variable (usually $C$ or $\ln C$ )	
$\bar{x}$	mean of $x$	
$\bar{x}_L$	mean of $\ln x$	
$Z$	$z-vt$	$L$
$z$	distance in direction of $v$	$L$
$z_O$	initial depth of solute near the soil surface	$L$
$\alpha$	diffusional transfer coefficient	$T^{-1}$
$\eta$	viscosity of fluid	$M L^{-1} T^{-1}$
$\psi$	pressure potential	$L$ , or $M L^{-1} T^{-2}$
$\theta$	volumetric water content in soil	$L^3 L^{-3}$
$\rho_b$	bulk density	$M L^{-3}$
$\rho_f$	fluid density	$M L^{-3}$