

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Talking about anger

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree

of Doctor of Philosophy
in Psychology at
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Catherine M. O'Connor

1997

Abstract

This project takes place within poststructuralist challenges to psychology's dominant discourses of theory and research on anger. These dominant discourses of psychology produce anger as an entity which is categorised separately from other emotions, is located within individuals as essential and physiological, and which must be controlled by reason; discourses which reproduce mind/body and individual/social binaries. This study deconstructs anger talk in transcripts of interviews with twenty counselling students, eight experienced counsellors, and seven of the original group three years later. The texts are read, discourses producing anger and subjectivity are explicated, and the constitutive power of language is instanced in detailed analysis of textual fragments. In my reading of these texts, anger is a product and is productive of social relations, and I read the texts through three overlays: discourses of anger, the constitution of subjectivities, and specific language forms. I have braided three plaits of themes in anger talk: psychology discourses, moral evaluations, and social relations. Detailed analyses of fragments of the texts capture the constitution of subjectivities in the grammatical and syntactical textures of anger talk which enact the social interweave of claims and conflicts, protests and renegotiations of power relations. In the counsellor study and the follow-up of students, discourse production varies as subject positions are enabled among professional discourses. Finally, this study illustrates the general relevance of poststructural approaches as research methodologies for social psychology. Multiple discourses constitute subjectivities in social relations, and constitute the objects of psychology. The deconstruction of discourses weaves us as researchers into the fabric of discursive processes, not as observers, but as weavers and woven.

Acknowledgements

This thesis is a weaving of many voices, and this page acknowledges those voices which have given me my notes, kept me in tune, and woven harmonies around my melody. I wish to begin these acknowledgements by thanking the twenty students and eight counsellors whose cooperation and generosity of spirit provided the stories which are the materials for this project. I have enjoyed the ongoing dialogues we have had throughout this project. Your words are the sounds I took for my notes.

My supervisors have kept me in tune. I have appreciated the negotiated supervision that John Spicer has made available to me throughout the work, the long lead he gave me, the challenges just at the right times, and his continual affirmation and support. Thanks, too, to Keith Tuffin whose supervision and feedback have been valuable particularly in the overture and finale.

Collaborative work with colleagues which has richly informed this project, has provided the harmonies, and enabled the methodologies incorporated into this work. Thanks are particularly due to Kerry Chamberlain for the work we have done exploring discourse analysis, and Jon Patrick, for our cooperation in devising and trialling methods of language analysis. I am very grateful to other colleagues and friends for their support throughout this endeavour, and especially those who have made vital contributions in the latter stages; to Leigh Coombes and Mandy Morgan for their readings and feedback on the draft, to Maria O'Connor for her thorough checking of citations and references; and to Dennis Horton for his meticulous (and classy) proof reading of the finished work.

I am also indebted to those philosophical conversations which transgress the logical and create magical possibilities. I wish to thank especially Lyn Jowett, who introduced me to postmodernism and the politics of research, Murray Hill, who challenges me to transgress the edges of illusions of safety, Leigh Coombes whose narratives frequently run alongside mine, and the elusive meta-measurer who inspired the *Glossary*. This music reverberates with ancient, mysterious rhythms, and is indebted to many voices, only some of which are notated here.

Contents

Prologue	Talking about anger	1
Chapter One	Theoretical positions	7
Chapter Two	Modernist discourses	17
Chapter Three	Social constitutions	30
Chapter Four	Methodologies	41
Chapter Five	Method of obtaining texts	49
Chapter Six	The analysis	53
Chapter Seven	Diverse definitions	61
Chapter Eight	Psychological sup-positions	76
Chapter Nine	Moral evaluations	96
Chapter Ten	Interpersonal politics	106
Chapter Eleven	Communication scenarios	115
Chapter Twelve	Power relations	128
Chapter Thirteen	The metaphor study	138
Chapter Fourteen	Linguistic limitations	151
Chapter Fifteen	The counsellor study	159
Chapter Sixteen	The follow-up study	178
Epilogue	Inconclusive conclusions	189
Appendices	A. Information for participants	200
	B. Glossary of validities	202
References		206

Figures

		facing page
Figure 1	Interview checklist	50
Figure 2	Anger themes	54
Figure 3	Linguistic ruses	58
Figure 4	Three overlays of analysis	59
Figure 5	Kövecses' anger metaphors	142
Figure 6	Our anger metaphors	144
Figure 7	Parts of speech available to emotion terms	152

Appliqués

Citation	8
Pronouns of the first position	11
The audience is/are always right	12
Finding a voice	22
Fred	49
Alternative readings	62
An externalising conversation	78
Whose story is this?	101
Swords and plough shares	110
Language is social practice	124
Silencing practices	130
What is he?	153
To be, or not	154
Transformation and change	179
Textual boundaries	190
Transition and transformation	197
Recursivity	199