Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

MANAGEMENT ASPECTS

OF

PHOSPHATE FERTILISER USE

ON

HILL COUNTRY

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science in Farm Management

> at Massey University

> > K.M. Stewart February 1983

ABSTRACT

Farmer decisions relating to phosphate fertiliser use greatly influence farm profitability, and Farm Advisory Officers receive many requests for assistance in making fertiliser decisions.

The Cornforth/Sinclair Phosphate Maintenance Model predicts the annual loss of phosphate from grazed pasture production systems. This model is studied in this thesis and used as the basis for an investigation of phosphate use strategies on a sample of Manawatu hill country properties. Alternative management strategies on three case study farms are analysed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to formally express his thanks to Professor

R J Townsley, Agricultural Economics and Farm Management Department,

Massey University, for the invaluable guidance and supervision provided
during the course of this study.

Dr I S Cornforth, Agricultural Research Division, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, who provided the basis for this study has helped greatly with advice relating to the Cornforth/Sinclair model.

Financial assistance provided by the author's employer is greatly appreciated, without this the study would not have been undertaken.

Colleagues in the Advisory Services Division of the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries in Palmerston North have been of assistance, especially Mr B Withell who helped with survey farm selection.

Special thanks is due to Miss A Kendall for help with the final layout and typing of the thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		···	Page
ABSTRACT			ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS			iv
LIST OF ABBREVIAT	IONS		viii
LIST OF FIGURES			ix
LIST OF TABLES		ž	х
CHAPTER ONE	INTRO	ODUCTION AND OUTLINE OF THE STUDY	1
	1.1	Introduction .	1
	1.2	Objectives of the study	2
	1.3	Thesis Outline	3
CHAPTER TWO	THE	CORNFORTH/SINCLAIR MODEL FOR CALCULATING	4
		TENANCE PHOSPHATE REQUIREMENTS FOR GRAZED	
	PAST		
	2.1	Introduction	4
	2.2	The Cornforth/Sinclair Model Components	6
	2.3	Mathematical Form of the Cornforth/ Sinclair Model	12
	2.4	Interpretation of the Cornforth/Sinclair Model	15
	2.5	Comparison of Cornforth/Sinclair and Karlovsky Models for Maintenance Phosphate Requirements	18
*/	2.6	Summary	23

		Page
CHAPTER THREE	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MAINTENANCE PHOSPHATE FERTILISER REQUIREMENTS USING THE CORNFORTH/ SINCLAIR MODEL	25
	3.1 Introduction	25
	3.2.1 Increases in Technical Efficiency 3.2.2 Increases in Economic Efficiency	27 29
	3.3 Factors Affecting Pasture Utilisation	30
	3.4 Using the Cornforth/Sinclair Model to Predict Pasture Utilisation or Relative Yield	32
	3.5 Monitoring System Performance	34
	3.6 Example Economic Analysis	35
CHAPTER FOUR	REVIEW OF SELECTED FERTILISER RESPONSE FUNCTION RESEARCH	39
	4.1 Middleton's Model	39
	4.2 During and Ludecke Approach	41
	4.3 "Decide" Approach	45
	4.3.1 Introduction	45
	4.3.2 Model Parameters	45
	4.3.3 Application of Decide	46
CHAPTER FIVE	THE FARM SURVEY AND SURVEY RESULTS	50
	5.1 Introduction	50
	5.2 Application of the Model to Survey Farms	52
	5.3 Determination of Model Parameters for the Survey Farms	53
	5.4 Discussion of Results and Applying the Cornforth/Sinclair Model to Existing Management Systems	57
	5.5 Analysis of Fertiliser Use and Stocking Rate Alternatives	59

		Page
CHAPTER SIX	SPECIFICATION OF STOCK POLICIES AND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON THREE CASE STUDY FARMS	65
	6.1 Introduction	65
	6.2 Example Policy for Farm 166.2.1 Stock Reconciliation6.2.2 Management Strategies	66 66 68
	6.3 Example Policy for Farm 106.3.1 Stock Reconciliation6.3.2 Management strategies	69 70 72
	6.4 Example Policy for Farm 46.4.1 Stock Reconciliation6.4.2 Management Strategies	73 74 76
	6.5 Comparison of Farming Policies and Management Strategies Affecting Pasture Utilisation on Case Farms	77
CHAPTER SEVEN	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE PHOSPHATE USE AND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON CASE STUDY FARMS	80
	7.1 Introduction	80
	7.2 Farm 16: Re-estimation of Model Parameters and Analysis of Alternatives	81
	7.2.1 Model parameters	81
	7.2.2 Current Position of Farm 16	82
	7.2.3 Alternative P Use and SR Policies	85
	7.3 Farm 10 : Re-estimation of Model Parameters and Analysis of Alternatives	92
	7.3.1 Model parameters	92
	7.3.2 Current position of Farm 10	93
	7.3.3 Alternative P Use and SR Policies	95

		Page
э	7.4 Farm 4: Re-estimation of Model Parameters and Analysis of Alternatives	99
	7.4.1 Model parameters	99
	7.4.2 Current Position of Farm 4	99
	7.4.3 Alternative P Use and SR Policies	102
	7.5 Changes to Case Farm Policies to Increase Technical and Economic Efficiency	106
CHAPTER EIGHT	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	113
APPENDIX ONE		118
APPENDIX TWO		145
APPENDIX THREE		148
RTRI TOODA DUV		151

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALF Animal Loss Factor

CC Potential Carrying Capacity (su/ha)

csu Cattle Stock Units

DM Pasture Dry Matter (kg)

GM Gross Margin (\$)

Hf Heifers

P Phosphate

PU Pasture Utilisation (%)

RY Pasture DM Yield relative to Ymax (%)

s:c Sheep to Cattle Ratio

SLF Soil Loss Factor

SR Stocking Rate (su/ha)

ssu Standard Stock Units

st Steers

TGM Total Gross Margin (\$)

Y Pasture DM Yield (kg)

Ymax Maximum Pasture DM Yield (kg/ha)

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Simplified Phosphate Cycle in Grazed Pasture	5
Figure 2.2	Maintenance P Requirement vs Stocking Rate	13
Figure 2.3	Relationships Between Pasture Yield and P Output, P Input and P Output on Medium Fixing Soils	20
Figure 4.1	Determining if Maintenance P Rates are Applicable (For Low P Loss Soils)	44
Figure 5.1	P Maintenance Requirements vs Stocking Rates (Showing Current Position of Survey Farms)	60
Figure 7.1	Feasible Regions for Farm 16	83
Figure 7.2	Feasible Regions for Farm 10	94
Figure 7.3	Feasible Regions for Farm 4	100
Figure 7.4	Gross Margin Per Ha vs Stocking Rate For Case Study Farms	107
Figure Al	P Loss and PU Values for Different CC/RY Combinations at SR 19	150

LIST OF TABLES

		*	Page
TABLE	2.1	Provisional Soil Loss Factors for New Zealand Soil Groups	9
TABLE	2.2	Animal Loss Factors	10
TABLE	2.3	Factors for Converting Stock Classes to Standard Stock Units	17
TABLE	2.4	Comparison of Fate of P Between Karlovsky and Cornforth/Sinclair (kg P/ha/yr)	24
TABLE	3.1	Financial Loss From Applying Less Than Optimum Maintenance Phosphate Applications and Achieving Less Than Maximum Pasture Utilisations	38
TABLE	5.1	Factors for Converting Replacement Beef Cattle to Standard Stock Units (Hill Country)	55
TABLE	5.2	Results of Application of the Cornforth/Sinclair Model to Existing Management Systems	58
TABLE	6.1	Summary of Management Strategies and Stock Policies for Case Study Farms	78
TABLE	7.1	Income and Expenditure 1979/80 for Case Farms	109