

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Design of a process for the manufacture of Beef Stock

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Food Technology

at Massey University, Manawatu Campus,

Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Michael Edward Parker

2014

Abstract

This work deals with the development of a process for the production of commercial stock from beef bones using a formal food process engineering analysis instead of random experimental trials of potential processes. The major difference between a food and a traditional chemical process engineering analysis is the inclusion of the food functional, textural and/or sensory properties besides the yield and efficiency in the optimal design. These properties often interact with the process and equipment design.

The bones were extracted with hot water and the kinetics of extraction determined by following the changes in soluble solids over time. Extraction curves measured at several temperatures between 80 and 120°C could be modelled using first order rise to an equilibrium concentration and the effect of extraction temperature could be measured using the Arrhenius law.

Despite the presence of gelatine in the aqueous stock extracts, which is known to produce strongly time-dependent non-Newtonian solutions, they were found to be Newtonian for temperatures above 20°C. Thus the Newtonian viscosity of the aqueous extracts was measured as an indicator of stock texture. Textural changes due to exposure to high temperatures over time were determined for temperatures between 60 and 120°C and found to follow first order kinetics. The effect of temperature followed the Arrhenius law.

An equilibrium curve for bone extraction in hot water at 120°C and a maximum yield of extractable solids non-fat were also determined.

A mathematical model was constructed on an Excel platform using the kinetics of extraction and textural changes as well as the equilibrium data. It is based on a novel method of analysis of multi-stage solid-liquid extraction called "stage wise iterative analysis" and gave a profile of soluble solids concentration and viscosity during the extraction and concentration phases of the manufacturing process that fell within less than 10% of experimental measurements. Simulations showed that soluble solids concentration, viscosity, yield and processing time all impacted on the optimum design.

These analyses highlighted the significant importance of reducing the run time from the current 6 days to 5 hours as it has the potential to increase the production rate and therefore revenue ten-fold with a minimum change in equipment. The higher extraction rate can be achieved by increasing the extraction temperature from the current 92°C to 120°C. While the rate of losses of viscosity and therefore texture is increased with the higher process

temperature, the model showed that the great reduction in processing time more than compensates for the temperature effect and the viscosity of the final stock extract is greater than that found in the current industrial operation. It was also found that the yield of extracts from the bones could be improved significantly by conducting a multi-stage semi-counter current extraction instead of a single stage extraction for the same overall extraction time. Preliminary considerations were given to the use of secondary product streams, high quality tallow and calcium phosphate to improve further the financial returns of the process. Finally a process modification was considered to improve the consistency of the flavour properties of the stock. It was proposed that separating the meat from the bones and roasting it in a smaller oven to allow quick and separate extraction of the flavour components found in the commercial stock. These can be added back to the bone extracts in standardised quantities to produce a product of consistent flavour.

Acknowledgements

There are many people that have helped me through this long challenging and interesting journey. First and foremost to my supervisors:

- Dr K Tuoc Trinh (chief supervisor). You provided me with the inspiration to take a PhD in Food Engineering. I will always appreciate the fundamental and quantitative approach that you have taught me. Your cheerful optimism was always encouraging. The long hours we spent together discussing, analysing, formulating, writing and revising are much appreciated.
- Dr Brian Wilkinson. Your experience and knowledge in meat processing has greatly aided this project and I appreciate you sharing your work on meat and extracts. Your kind support has been extremely valuable.

To those in industry:

- David Curtis of Essential Cuisine. You gave me the opportunity to work on a real world project. I appreciate the numerous discussions and visits that were of great assistance to this project.
- Steven Cope of Cerobos Greggs. Thank you for the interest in this project and enabling me to visit the new Essential Cuisine plant.

To the many technical staff that have been of assistance. I would specifically like to mention:

- Steve Glasgow your assistance with the extraction work was a huge aid to gather the necessary data.
- Garry Radford your assistance in the pilot plant was always helpful.
- The Nutrition lab, IFNHH for providing some of the analysis.

To the students who have worked with me on this project:

Lee Carter, Joshua Wilkinson, JiaQi Dong, Julia Zhuo you have all made a valuable contribution.

To my fellow staff of IFNHH:

You have encouraged me in many ways and have provided the opportunity to complete a PhD whilst working.

To my family:

Your support and encouragement over the many years is appreciated. Mum, Dad, Andrew and Rebecca, Kristy and Vaughn, finally after the many times you have asked how my PhD is going, I can say "It is done."

The greatest thanks of all is to my beautiful wife Scarlett. Thank you Scarlett for the sacrifice you have made that has allowed me to complete this work. I appreciate your encouragement, support and tender loving care. I love you.

Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables	xiii
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Literature Review	2
2.1 Introduction.....	2
2.2 Terms and definitions.....	2
2.3 Uses of Stock	2
2.4 Raw materials	3
2.5 Composition of Stock.....	4
2.6 Stock Attributes	9
2.6.1 Colour	9
2.6.2 Texture.....	9
2.6.3 Flavour	12
2.6.3.1 Aroma Compounds.....	13
2.6.3.2 Non-volatile compounds	16
2.7 Manufacturing process for stock.....	17
2.7.1 Chefs' process.....	17
2.7.2 Industrial process.....	18
2.8 Conclusion	23
3 Documentation of current process and characterisation of the current products.....	24
3.1 Methods and Materials	24
3.1.1 Evaluation of current commercial beef stocks	24
3.1.2 Documentation of current process	24
3.1.3 Characterisation of stock.....	24
3.1.3.1 Soluble Solids (°Brix).....	25
3.1.3.2 Solids content	25
3.1.3.3 Density.....	25
3.1.3.4 pH.....	25
3.1.3.5 Colour	26
3.1.3.6 Rheological determination	26

3.2	Results and Discussion	31
3.2.1	Evaluation of currently available commercial beef stocks	31
3.2.2	Documentation of the current Commercial Process	34
3.2.3	Characterisation of stock	37
3.2.3.1	Rheology of Stock.....	40
3.2.3.2	Particle Size analysis.....	46
3.2.4	Conclusions	47
3.3	Studies of the Current Procedure of Extraction.....	48
3.3.1	Materials and methods.....	48
3.3.1.1	Extraction	48
3.3.1.2	Analysis	49
3.3.2	Results and Discussion	50
3.3.2.1	Mass Balance of the processes	50
3.4	Extraction kinetics of the current process	53
3.4.1	Materials and Methods.....	53
3.4.2	Results.....	53
3.4.3	Conclusion.....	55
3.5	Concentration studies of current stock	56
3.5.1	Materials and methods.....	56
3.5.1.1	Operation of the evaporator.....	56
3.5.2	Results and Discussion	57
3.6	UHT treatment of stock	58
3.6.1	Materials and Methods.....	58
3.6.1.1	Materials	58
3.6.1.2	Equipment.....	58
3.6.1.3	Method.....	58
3.6.2	Results.....	59
3.6.3	Conclusions	62
4	Research Objectives.....	63
5	Kinetics of textural and viscosity changes with temperature and time for bone extract ..	64
5.1	Materials and Methods.....	64
5.1.1	Preparation of bone extract.....	64
5.1.2	Heat Treatments	64
5.1.3	Rheological Determination	65

5.2	Results and Discussion.....	65
5.2.1	Replication of measurements.....	65
5.2.2	Modelling of rheological curves	66
5.2.3	Repeatability of the heat treatment test	69
5.2.4	Effect of temperature and time.....	69
5.2.4.1	Effect of concentration	71
5.2.5	Modelling of the kinetics of viscosity change with exposure to heat	74
5.3	Conclusions and recommendations	79
6	Extraction Studies.....	80
6.1	Effect of temperature on extraction rate and extent.....	80
6.1.1	Materials and Methods	80
6.1.2	Results and Discussion.....	82
6.2	Extractable Components in Fresh Bones.....	88
6.2.1	Materials and Methods	88
6.2.2	Results and Discussion.....	89
6.2.2.1	Physical make-up of bones	89
6.2.2.2	Extractable Solids.....	89
6.3	Equilibrium distribution between solid and liquid phases at high extraction temperature	92
6.3.1	Methods and Materials	92
6.3.2	Results and discussion	93
6.4	Conclusions.....	95
7	Process design	96
7.1	Guiding principles	96
7.2	Process modelling and considerations	96
7.2.1	Prediction of total solids.....	96
7.2.1.1	Extraction step.....	96
7.2.1.2	Evaporation step.....	96
7.2.2	Prediction of viscosity.....	97
7.2.3	Model validation.....	98
7.2.4	Process Modelling.....	98
7.2.4.1	Viscosity concentration profiles	98
7.2.4.2	Extraction temperature and time.....	100
7.2.4.3	Single stage versus multi-stage extraction	101

7.2.4.4	Maximising SNF concentration in the aqueous extract solution	112
7.2.5	Comparison of different processes	118
7.2.5.1	Economic Comparison	120
7.2.5.2	Secondary product streams and other process considerations	124
7.3	Process Flow Diagram	126
7.3.1	Pre-extraction	126
7.3.2	Extraction and separation	126
7.3.3	Concentration and Packaging	127
7.4	Conclusion	131
8	Overall discussion, conclusions and recommendations	132
8.1	Conclusions	136
8.2	Recommendations	137
9	List of Symbols	138
10	References	140
11	Appendix	144
11.1	Extraction data for the current process	144
11.2	Kinetic modelling	145
11.3	Experimental data and fitted model for Extraction Kinetics	148
11.4	Model for evolution of solids and viscosity	149
11.5	Multi Stage analysis	159

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Process for manufacturing bone extracts (Ochi, 1981).....	19
Figure 3-1 Viscosity curves for mineral oil at 50°C, 3 runs of shearing Up (U) and shearing Down (D).	27
Figure 3-2 Deviation from true viscosity as a function of shear rate for mineral oil at 50°C.....	27
Figure 3-3 Range of reliable shear rates for the MCR 301 Paar-Physica (Lim, 2012).....	28
Figure 3-4 Normalised viscosity response for different torques applied to mineral oil at 50°C.	29
Figure 3-5 Coefficient of variation for viscosity as a function of torque for oil at 50°C.....	30
Figure 3-6 Bones used by Essential Cuisine Limited.....	35
Figure 3-7 Process flow diagram for essential cuisine stock	36
Figure 3-8 Solids versus Brix for one batch of essential Cuisine stock at different concentrations	38
Figure 3-9 Density versus total solids concentration for Essential Cuisine stock at 20°C.....	39
Figure 3-10 Flow curves shearing up (U) and down (D) for batch 5/10/07 measured at 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60°C.....	40
Figure 3-11 Flow curves shearing up and down five times for stock and centrate stock at 20°C	41
Figure 3-12 Hershel Bulkley model fitted to shear up leg at 20°C for BB 8/10/07	42
Figure 3-13 Consistency index, K , for fitted Hershel Bulkley models to shearing up leg. The legend indicates the sample designation	43
Figure 3-14 Consistency index, K , for fitted Hershel Bulkley models to shearing down leg. The legend indicates the sample designation	43
Figure 3-15 Flow index, n , for fitted Hershel Bulkley models to shearing up leg.....	44
Figure 3-16 Flow index, n , for fitted Hershel Bulkley models to shearing shearing down leg....	45
Figure 3-17 Calculated hysteresis loop area (HLA) at 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60°C	45
Figure 3-18 Particle size distributions for different batches of stock and the centrate of the centrifuged stock.	47
Figure 3-19 Temperature time profile of extraction vessel with two thermo couples T1 placed 10 cm from the top and T2 10 cm from the bottom.....	50
Figure 3-20 Extraction with time for SNF, ash, and crude protein concentrations at 92°C	54
Figure 3-21 Extraction profiles of nitrogen, hydroxyproline and methionine at 92°C.....	55
Figure 3-22 Particle size distributions for for Fresh and UHT treated stock samples	61
Figure 3-23 Flow curves (shearing up and down legs) for Fresh and UHT Stock	62

Figure 5-1 Flow curves with shear up (U) and down (D) legs for replicates (1), (2) and (3) for 20°Brix bone extract measured at 40°C.....	66
Figure 5-2 Linear curve fit for shearing up leg of extract measured at 40°C.....	67
Figure 5-3 Arrhenius plot for viscosity for given measurement temperatures.....	68
Figure 5-4 Decay in relative viscosity with time for 20°Brix extract heat treated at 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100°C when the viscosity of the extract was measured at 40°C	70
Figure 5-5 Relationship of viscosity measured at 40°C with soluble solids for samples H6-H11 prior to heat treatments.....	71
Figure 5-6 Effect of time on the relative viscosity for different heat treatment temperatures for 20.2°Brix extract. Error bars are shown on the 120°C treatment.....	73
Figure 5-7 Effect of concentration on the relative change of viscosity (measured at 40°C) for a heat treatment of 120°C with error bars on the 20.2°Brix data.....	74
Figure 5-8 Decline in relative viscosity on a log scale with fitted first order kinetics.....	75
Figure 5-9 Fitted exponential models with experimental data for extract at 20.2°Brix for 100°C and 120°C heat treatments.....	76
Figure 5-10 The characteristic rate constant (k) for viscosity decay (measured at 40°C) plotted as a function of heat treatment temperature for bone extract at 20°Brix.....	77
Figure 5-11 Arrhenius plot for rate constant k as measured at 40°C.....	78
Figure 6-1 Solids content Brix relationship for bone extract.....	82
Figure 6-2 Extraction data for different temperatures.....	83
Figure 6-3 Arrhenius plot for the rate constant b	86
Figure 6-4 Fitted model for extraction kinetics	87
Figure 6-5 Extraction curves using fresh bones with solutions of different concentrations initial concentrations	93
Figure 6-6 Equilibrium curve for bone extract at 120°C.	94
Figure 7-1 Comparison of E_s and μ evolution for the current EC process, EC extraction with vacuum evaporation (VE) and high temperature short time extraction (HTSTE) (120°C for 4 hours) with VE.....	99
Figure 7-2 Effect of extraction temperature on E_s and μ evolution.....	101
Figure 7-3 Theoretical multi stage extraction assuming equilibrium	102
Figure 7-4 Definition of the stage i	103
Figure 7-5 Schematic representation of Stage 1.....	104
Figure 7-6 Results of analysis of stage 1	105
Figure 7-7 Extraction plot for a 3 stage process with each stage going to equilibrium at 120°C.	107

Figure 7-8 E_s and μ evolution for three stage extraction to equilibrium at 120°C.....	108
Figure 7-9 Comparison of three stage equilibrium and 1:1:2hr extraction at 120°C	110
Figure 7-10 E_s and μ evolution for a 4 hour 3 stage 1:1:2 hr process at 120°C.....	110
Figure 7-11 Comparison of a 2:2 hour and a 1:3 hour extraction process at 120°C.....	111
Figure 7-12 Evolution of E_s and μ for a 2 stage extraction with a total time of 4 hours at 120°C	112
Figure 7-13 Definition of stage i	112
Figure 7-14 Analysis of stage 1 with addition for fresh bones	113
Figure 7-15 Stage 1 with fresh bones added	114
Figure 7-16 Equilibrium extraction stages at 120°C with fresh bones added at each stage until a E_s of 16 kg 100 kg ⁻¹ is achieved.....	115
Figure 7-17 Analysis of Stage 1 for a 2 hour extraction process	116
Figure 7-18 Stage analysis of a 2 stage 2:2 hour and 3 stage 1:1:2 hour extraction at 120°C..	117
Figure 7-19 Evolution of E_s and μ for a 3 stage 1:1:2 hours and a 2 stage 2:2 hour extraction processes at 120°C.....	118
Figure 7-20 Process flow diagram for pre-extraction process	128
Figure 7-21 Process flow diagram for extraction and separation processes	129
Figure 7-22 Process Flow diagram for the concentration and packaging processes	130

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Bone composition (Ockerman & Hansen, 2000).....	3
Table 2-2 Chemical composition of natural pork, ham, meat (pork/beef) Stocks/broths naturally flavoured (Ockerman & Pellegrino, 1988).....	5
Table 2-3 Chemical Analysis of No. 1 meat extract (17.28% moisture and 13.21% Protein) (Ockerman & Pellegrino, 1988).....	6
Table 2-4 Amino acid analysis of natural meat (pork/beef) stocks/broths naturally flavoured (Ockerman & Pellegrino, 1988).....	7
Table 2-5 Mineral composition of dried soup stock (Ockerman & Pellegrino, 1988).	8
Table 2-6 Composition of volatile components of beef extract (Wood & Bender, 1957).....	13
Table 2-7 Important aroma compounds in cooked beef (Farmer, 1994).....	15
Table 2-8 Alternative extraction processes (Ochi, 1981).....	20
Table 3-1 Physical evaluation of current commercial beef stocks.....	31
Table 3-2 Sensory descriptors generated by a trained sensory panel.....	32
Table 3-3 Sensory scores for attributes and descriptors from trained sensory panel*	33
Table 3-4 Physical properties of Essential Cuisine commercial stock [#]	37
Table 3-5 Experimental design for experiments on the current extraction process	49
Table 3-6 Yields from extraction processes on a wet weight basis.	51
Table 3-7 Solids yield for the extraction process.....	52
Table 3-8 Nitrogen and Ash components in extracted Solids*	52
Table 3-9 Sensory results observed by author at Massey University	59
Table 3-10 Physical and chemical analysis of Fresh and UHT stock samples	60
Table 3-11 Colour measurements of Fresh and UHT treated samples.....	60
Table 5-1 Summary of experimental runs for heat treatments.....	65
Table 5-2 Data for fitted Newtonian fit for flow curve data for 20°Brix extract	67
Table 5-3 Mean viscosity of 20°Brix extract calculated from flow curves.....	68
Table 5-4 Mean viscosity and uncertainty for triplicate heat treatments.....	69
Table 6-1 Details of experimental runs for extraction kinetics.....	81
Table 6-2 Fitted parameters for first order extraction kinetics	84
Table 6-3 Fitted parameters for extraction kinetics	87
Table 6-4 Separated components of the brisket and rib bones on a weight basis.....	89
Table 6-5 Yields of extractable components for the first set of data (Runs Y1 - Y6)	89
Table 6-6 Extractable solids from bones for the second set of data (Y7 – Y10)	90
Table 6-7 Experimental runs for equilibrium data.....	92

Table 7-1 Comparison of the different processes evaluated	119
Table 7-2 Capital Equipment costs for processing plant	120
Table 7-3 Determination of the number of batches per week	121
Table 7-4 Materials and utility requirements for comparison of alternative processes.....	123
Table 7-5 Operating costs, profit and Return on investment for the alternative processes	124
Table 11-1 Chemical analysis of samples from the extraction at 92°C for 67 hours.....	144
Table 11-2 Experimental data and fitted model for the kinetics of viscosity change with temperature treatments	145
Table 11-3 Experimental data and fitted model for Extraction kinetics	148
Table 11-4 Spreadsheet for model with a 4 hour extraction and 20 minutes concentration to a SNF concentration of 16 g 100 g ⁻¹	149
Table 11-5 Mass balance for stage analysis of a 3 stage 1:1:2 hour extraction process at 120°C in Excel.....	159