

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Confocal and light microscopy of infection by,
and resistance to, *Ciborinia camelliae* in
Camellia species and the potential for
biocontrol**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in Plant Science
(Plant Pathology)

at

Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Vallipuram Vingnanasingam

May 2002



*To my wife, Malliha and children, Neelujah and Keerthanan:
With my deepest gratitude and love, forever.*

Abstract

In this thesis, factors affecting *C. camelliae* ascospore germination, germ tube penetration, infection and subsequent disease development and resistance in *Camellia* species were studied in detached camellia petals by microscopy. Potential biocontrol agents were also isolated and evaluated against *C. camelliae* in the laboratory and in the field.

Trypan blue in lactophenol was used to stain for fungal structure in bright field microscopic investigations of factors affecting ascospore germination, germ tube penetration and infection of *C. camelliae*. Relative humidity affected ascospore germination and germ tube penetration, but temperature had little or no effect although low temperature restricted the growth of penetration hyphae in the tissue and disease development. Absence of free water affected ascospore germination and germ tube penetration when ascospores were inoculated as suspensions using a pipette or atomizer. Ascospores released from apothecia and allowed to settle on petals by gravity germinated and the germ tube penetrated petal tissue in both the absence and presence of free water. A possible reason for high spore germination and germ tube penetration in the absence of free water could be the association of liquid drops adhering to freshly released ascospores that could have acted as a reservoir of moisture. Multiple germ tubes and swollen hyphae were common and many germ tubes grew across the petal surface without penetration in brush inoculated (ascospores directly transferred from apothecia using a paint brush) petals under free water conditions. Production of antifungal materials over the petal surface due to petal damage by brush bristles during inoculation may be the reason for the malfunction of some germ tubes.

A glutaraldehyde technique was developed to increase image contrast by reduction of background fluorescence from plant tissue in confocal microscopy. Ascospores were found to germinate and produce a short germ tube that directly penetrated the petal cuticle within 6 h. During next 12 h, the penetrated germ tube transformed into a subcuticular swelling underneath the cuticle. From this, a narrow tube enlarged into a

subcuticular hypha that grew underneath the cuticle to the junction between two cells. The mycelium continued to develop intercellularly for the next 60 hours but without causing extensive destruction of the cell walls. The fungus appears to follow the infection process strategy of subcuticular intramural pathogen such as *Colletotrichum capsici*.

Resistance mechanisms of *Camellia* species against *C. camelliae* were investigated by light and confocal microscopy. *C. cuspidata* expresses resistance to *C. camelliae* by formation of papillae and a hypersensitive reaction. *C. lutchuensis* and *C. transnokoensis* express their resistance by papillae and production of antifungal metabolites such as PR proteins respectively. Excess microorganisms were observed in *C. polyodonta* with distorted ascospores and in *C. tricocarpa* with abnormal swollen hyphae which suggests biocontrol activities of the organisms.

A total of 13 bacterial isolates and 6 yeast biocontrol agent (BCA) candidates were obtained from an attachment assay. Bacterial isolates, 07L1B and 04S2B, gave maximum disease control when tested *in vivo* on intact petals and in the field. Direct contact of these bacterial isolates with ascospores totally inhibited germination. Biocontrol was not effective if germ tube penetration occurred before BCA arrival. Biocontrol efficacy was observed for at least 72 h both in the laboratory and in the field condition although the bacterial populations declined. Antibiotic production was observed from these two isolates and was the prime mode of action against *C. camelliae*.

The main goal of this thesis was achieved by understanding some basic biology of *C. camelliae* and these principles were used in the biocontrol strategy and study of resistance mechanism of *Camellia* species.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to my chief supervisor Dr Peter Long for his invaluable guidance, availability, encouragement and patience with the preparation of this thesis and his assistance throughout my investigation. My thanks are also extended to my other supervisor Dr R E Rowland for his valuable comments on this thesis, other useful contributions and for his permission to work with the confocal microscope in his laboratory over the period of this project.

I also wish to thank Mr Hugh Neilson for his constant companionship, a skill on microscope 'how to handle light microscope to get best images' that I learn from him and appreciated other technical assistance in the laboratory over the period of this project.

I am also greatly indebted to Ms Liz Nickless for her great assistance to work in Confocal Microscope. I am also very grateful to Dr Duncan Hedderley from Statistics Research & Consulting Centre for his support to statistical analysis.

Thanks are due all to other staff of the Institute of Natural resources for their help and use of their facilities.

I am also grateful to Applied Science Scholarship Committee of Massey University for awarding me two scholarships 'J A Anderson PhD Scholarship' and 'D J McGowan Scholarship' which really support my financial situation and smooth running of my Study.

Many Thanks to my friends Pyone Pyone, Entin, Tara pande, Edgardo, Rudi, Alferdo, George, Yang Gyu, Warhap, Mona, Mohan, Latha, Asoka, Sumane, Ajith, Jegathees and Ruwan for their companionship and motivation during the period I spent for this study.

Finally, I acknowledge my deep appreciation to my special people, my wife Malliha, and my children Neelu and Keerth for their patience and encouragement during my study.

•~•~•

Table of contents

ABSTRACT	IV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XIV
LIST OF PLATES	XVIII
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. THE GENUS <i>CAMELLIA</i>	1
1.1.1. History of camellia	1
1.1.2. Classification of camellia	1
1.1.2.1. <i>Camellia japonica</i> Linnaeus.....	3
1.1.2.2. <i>Camellia reticulata</i> Lindley.....	3
1.1.2.3. <i>Camellia sasanqua</i> Thunberg.....	3
1.1.3. Diseases of camellia	4
1.1.3.1. <i>Botrytis</i> flower blight (<i>Grey mould disease</i>)	4
1.1.3.1.1. <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers: Fr	4
1.1.3.2. <i>Pestalotia</i> leaf spot.....	5
1.1.3.3. Flower blight.....	5
1.1.3.3.1. Symptoms of flower blight	6
1.2. <i>CIBORINIA CAMELLIAE</i> KOHN	7
1.2.1. Life cycle of <i>C. camelliae</i>	8
1.2.2. Infection process of <i>C. camelliae</i>	9
1.2.3. Control strategies of <i>C. camelliae</i>	10
1.2.3.1. <i>Biological control</i>	11
1.2.3.2. <i>Host resistance mechanisms</i>	12
1.3. CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY	15
1.3.1. Confocal microscope and digital images	15
1.3.2. Fluorescence staining.....	16
1.4. THESIS OBJECTIVES	17
GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
2.1. <i>CIBORINIA CAMELLIA</i> ISOLATES	18
2.1.1. Ascospore collection.....	18
2.1.2. Ascospore inoculum preparation	18
2.1.3. Ascospore inoculation	18
2.2. <i>BOTRYTIS CINEREA</i> ISOLATES.....	20
2.2.1. Culture preparation	20
2.2.2. Preparation of spore suspensions	21
2.3. PUTATIVE BIOCONTROL AGENTS; BACTERIA AND YEAST	21
2.3.1. Culture preparation	21
2.3.2. Preparation of cell suspensions.....	21
2.3.3. Cells counts	22
2.4. SOURCE OF TEST MATERIALS.....	22
2.4.1. Camellia flowers	22
2.4.2. Lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.).....	22
2.5. STAINING THE SPECIMENS	22
2.5.1. Glutaraldehyde	22
2.5.1.1. <i>Glutaraldehyde solution preparation</i>	22
2.5.1.2. <i>Buffered sucrose solution preparation</i>	23

2.5.1.3. Glutaraldehyde treatment	23
2.5.1.4. Clearing of tissue with Chloral hydrate	23
2.5.2. Trypan blue	23
2.5.2.1. Preparation of trypan blue	23
2.5.2.2. Staining procedure with trypan blue	24
2.6. MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION	24
2.6.1. Light microscopy	24
2.6.2. Fluorescence microscopy	24
2.6.3. Confocal microscopy and image analysis	25
2.7. ASSESSMENT OF INFECTION OF <i>CIBORINIA CAMELLIAE</i>	25
2.7.1. Assessment of visual symptoms from digital images	25
2.7.2. Assessment of infection by counting spore germination	25
2.8. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	26
GLUTARALDEHYDE AND CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY OF FUNGAL HYPHAE IN PLANT TISSUE	27
3.1. INTRODUCTION	27
3.2. OBJECTIVES	28
3.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	28
3.3.1. <i>Botrytis cinerea</i> inoculation	28
3.3.2. Preview germination examination	29
3.3.3. Preparation of glutaraldehyde solutions	29
3.3.4. Preparation of phosphate buffered saline (PBS)	29
3.3.5. Preparation of Tris (Hydroxymethyl) aminomethene buffer solution (Tris)	30
3.3.6. Preparation of Universal buffer (Uni)	30
3.3.7. Preparation of buffered sucrose solutions	30
3.3.8. Procedure for glutaraldehyde treatment	30
3.3.9. Preview prior to confocal examination	30
3.3.10. Confocal microscopy	30
3.3.11. Evaluation of fluorescence intensity	31
3.3.12. Experiment 3.1. Effect of glutaraldehyde solution prepared with different buffers on fungal hyphae and background fluorescence	31
3.3.12.1. Introduction	31
3.3.12.2. Materials and Methods	31
3.3.12.2.1. Preparation of glutaraldehyde solutions with different buffers	31
3.3.12.2.2. Fluorescent treatment	32
3.3.12.3. Results and Discussion	32
3.3.13. Experiment 3.2. Quenching of background fluorescence of plant tissue	34
3.3.13.1. Introduction	34
3.3.13.2. Materials and Methods	34
3.3.13.3. Results and discussion	36
3.3.14. Experiment 3.3. Effect of the imaging filter on background fluorescence	39
3.3.14.1. Introduction	39
3.3.14.2. Materials and Methods	39
3.3.14.3. Results and discussion	39
3.3.15. Experiment 3.4. Effect of pH on background fluorescence	40
3.3.15.1. Introduction	40
3.3.15.2. Materials and Methods	41
3.3.15.2.1. Preparation of GA and washing solutions with different pH ranges	41
3.3.15.2.2. Treatments	41
3.3.15.3. Results and discussion	42
3.3.16. Experiment 3.5. Effect of glutaraldehyde concentration and duration of incubation on fungal hyphae and background fluorescence	44
3.3.16.1. Introduction	44
3.3.16.2. Materials and methods	45
3.3.16.2.1. Preparation of GA solution in different concentration	45

3.3.16.2.2. Incubation period.....	45
3.3.16.2.3. Measurement of fluorescence intensity	45
3.3.16.3. <i>Results and Discussion</i>	46
3.4. DISCUSSION	54
GERMINATION OF <i>CIBORINIA CAMELLIAE</i> ASCOSPORES AND INFECTION OF CAMELLIA PETALS	58
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	58
4.2. OBJECTIVES	59
4.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	59
4.3.1. Plant materials	59
4.3.2. Fungal materials	59
4.3.3. Inoculation and incubation.....	59
4.3.4. Light microscopy	60
4.3.5. Experiment 4.1. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on ascospore germination and penetration.....	60
4.3.5.1. <i>Introduction</i>	60
4.3.5.2. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	61
4.3.5.2.1. Calibration of Relative Humidity	61
4.3.5.2.2. Inoculation and incubation	62
4.3.5.2.3. Incubation and microscopy observation	63
4.3.5.3. <i>Results and Discussion</i>	63
4.3.6. Experiment 4.2. Effect of free water and inoculation methods on ascospore germination, penetration and germ tube growth.....	69
4.3.6.1. <i>Introduction</i>	69
4.3.6.2. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	69
4.3.6.2.1. Pipette inoculation	70
4.3.6.2.2. Spray inoculation.....	70
4.3.6.2.3. Gravity inoculation.....	70
4.3.6.2.4. Brush inoculation.....	70
4.3.6.2.5. Microscopic observation.....	72
4.3.6.2.6. Symptoms measurement.....	72
4.3.6.2.7. Statistical analysis	72
4.3.6.3. <i>Results and Discussion</i>	73
4.4. DISCUSSION	77
Effect of free water and inoculation methods.....	79
INFECTION PROCESS OF <i>CIBORINIA CAMELLIAE</i>.....	81
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	81
5.2. OBJECTIVE	82
5.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	82
5.3.1. Plant materials	82
5.3.2. Fungal materials	82
5.3.2.1. <i>Inoculum preparation</i>	82
5.3.3. Inoculation and incubation.....	82
5.3.4. Light microscopy	83
5.3.5. Confocal microscopy	83
5.4. RESULTS	83
5.5. DISCUSSION	92
RESISTANCE MECHANISM OF <i>CAMELLIA</i> SPECIES.....	95
AGAINST <i>C. CAMELLIAE</i>.....	95
6.1. INTRODUCTION.....	95
6.2. OBJECTIVES	96
6.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	96
6.3.1. Host tissues	96
6.3.2. Ascospore inoculation and incubation.....	96

6.3.3. Staining procedures	97
6.3.3.1. Trypan blue staining	97
6.3.3.2. Other staining	98
6.3.3.2.1. Toluidine blue O or Neutral red.....	98
6.3.3.2.2. Berberine / Aniline blue	98
6.3.4. Microscopic observation.....	98
6.3.4.1. Light microscopy.....	98
6.3.4.2. Confocal and Fluorescence microscopy	99
6.4. RESULTS	99
6.5. DISCUSSION	107
BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF CAMELLIA FLOWER BLIGHT.....	110
7.1. INTRODUCTION.....	110
7.2. OBJECTIVES	112
7.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	112
7.3.1. SECTION I. ISOLATION OF BIOCONTROL AGENTS AND <i>IN VITRO</i> EXAMINATION.....	113
7.3.1.1. Experiment 7.1. Isolation of biocontrol agents using attachment assay and preliminary observation of biocontrol efficacy	113
7.3.1.1.1. Introduction.....	113
7.3.1.1.2. Materials and Methods	114
7.3.1.1.2.1 Extraction of microbes from substrate	114
7.3.1.1.2.2 Co-inoculation of extracted microbes and <i>C. camelliae</i>	114
7.3.1.1.2.3 Extraction of attacher microbes	118
7.3.1.1.2.4 Microscopic observation of attachment	118
7.3.1.1.2.5. Observation of initial biocontrol efficacy with crude mixture of extract against <i>C. camelliae</i>	119
7.3.1.1.2.6. Isolation of bacteria and yeast from mixed culture of attachment assay.....	119
7.3.1.1.3. Results	119
7.3.1.2. Experiment 7.2. Observation of biocontrol function(s) of selected bacteria and yeast cultures <i>in vitro</i>	122
7.3.1.2.1. Introduction.....	122
7.3.1.2.2 Materials and Methods	123
7.3.1.2.2.1 Preparation of cells and spores suspension.....	123
7.3.1.2.2.2 Antibiotics and parasitism	123
7.3.1.2.2.3 Siderophore production	123
7.3.1.2.3 Results	124
7.3.1.3. Preliminary test of isolates 07L1B and 04S2B for identification	125
7.3.2. SECTION II. <i>IN VIVO</i> SCREENING OF SELECTED POTENTIAL BCAS AGAINST <i>C. CAMELLIAE</i>	126
7.3.2.1. Introduction	126
7.3.2.2. General Materials and Methods.....	127
7.3.2.2.1. Preparation of potential BCA cell and ascospores suspension.....	127
7.3.2.2.2 Inoculation and incubation	127
7.3.2.2.3. Experimental design.....	127
7.3.2.2.4. Assessment	127
7.3.2.3. Experiment 7.3. Initial <i>in vivo</i> screening of selected 15 bacteria and 6 yeast against <i>C. camelliae</i>	128
7.3.2.3.1. Materials and Methods	128
7.3.2.3.2. Results	128
7.3.2.4. Experiment 7.4. A second <i>in vivo</i> screening of 7 bacteria including OX8a and 4 yeast against <i>C. camelliae</i> by spray and pipette inoculation	130
7.3.2.4.1. Materials and Methods	130
7.3.2.4.2. Results	131
7.3.2.5. Experiment 7.5. Evaluation of bacterial isolates 07L1B and 04S2B on petals inoculated with ascospores by gravitation, spray and pipette.....	134
7.3.2.5.1. Materials and Methods	134
7.3.3. SECTION III. EVALUATION OF EFFECTIVE CONCENTRATION AND TIME OF APPLICATION OF SELECTED BACTERIAL ISOLATES.....	138

7.3.3.1. Introduction	138
7.3.3.2. Experiment 7.6. Effect of bacterial cell concentration on biocontrol activity against <i>C. camelliae</i> on petals and intact flowers.	138
7.3.3.2.1. <i>Materials and methods</i>	138
7.3.3.2.2. <i>Results</i>	139
7.3.3.3. Experiment 7.7. Time of application of selected bacterial isolates and <i>C. camelliae</i> to intact flowers.....	144
7.3.3.3.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	144
7.3.3.4. Experiment 7.8. Evaluation of biocontrol efficacy of two bacterial isolates on a range of camellia cultivars	149
7.3.3.4.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	149
7.3.3.4.2. <i>Results</i>	149
7.3.4 SECTION IV. TESTING OF TWO BACTERIAL ISOLATES FOR MODE OF ACTION AGAINST <i>C. CAMELLIAE</i>	151
7.3.4.1. Introduction	151
7.3.4.2. Materials and Methods	151
7.3.4.2.1. <i>BCAs culture and ascospores preparation</i>	151
7.3.4.2.2. <i>Preparation of bacterial cell and spore free substrate</i>	152
7.3.4.2.3. <i>Treatments and inoculation</i>	152
7.3.4.2.4. <i>Experimental design and disease assessment</i>	152
7.3.4.3. Results	153
7.3.5. SECTION V: EVALUATION OF SURVIVAL ABILITY OF THE TWO BACTERIAL ISOLATES IN DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND IN A RANGE OF CAMELLIA CULTIVARS	154
7.3.5.1. Introduction	154
7.3.5.2. Materials and Methods	155
7.3.5.3. Experiment 7.9. Bacterial isolates survival in the laboratory condition over time	156
7.3.5.3.1. <i>Materials and methods</i>	156
7.3.5.3.2. <i>Results</i>	156
7.3.5.4. Experiment 7.10. Effect of RH and temperature on bacterial isolates survival over time .	158
7.3.5.4.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	158
7.3.5.4.2. <i>Results</i>	158
7.3.5.5. Experiment 7.11. Bacterial isolates survival test in greenhouse	162
7.3.5.5.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	162
7.3.5.5.2. <i>Results</i>	162
7.3.5.6. Experiment 7.12. Evaluation of bacterial isolates survival in different cultivars over time in the field	162
7.3.5.6.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	162
7.3.5.6.2. <i>Results</i>	162
7.3.6. SECTION VI. FIELD EVALUATION OF TWO BACTERIAL ISOLATES AGAINST <i>C. CAMELLIAE</i>	164
7.3.6.1. Introduction	164
7.3.6.2. Materials and Methods	165
7.3.6.2.1. <i>Test flowers in the field</i>	165
7.3.6.2.2. <i>Potential bacterial BCA culture preparation</i>	166
7.3.6.2.3. <i>Ascospore suspension preparation</i>	166
7.3.6.2.4. <i>Inoculation</i>	166
7.3.6.3. Experiment 7.13. Evaluation of biocontrol of two bacterial isolates against <i>C. camelliae</i> by spraying onto unopened flowers.	166
7.3.6.3.1 <i>Materials and Methods</i>	166
7.3.6.3.2. <i>Results</i>	168
7.3.6.4. Experiment 7.14. Evaluation of biocontrol of two bacterial isolates against <i>C. camelliae</i> by spraying to 2/3 opened flowers.	169
7.3.6.4.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	169
7.3.6.4.2. <i>Results</i>	170

7.3.6.5. Experiment 7.15. Evaluation of environmental factors affecting on BCAs survival and biocontrol efficacy on <i>C. camelliae</i> in the field	174
7.3.6.5.1. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	174
7.3.6.5.2. <i>Results and Discussion</i>	174
Cultivar Brian.....	174
Cultivar E.G Waterhouse	174
7.4. DISCUSSION	178
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	183
8.1. INTRODUCTION.....	183
8.2. GLUTARALDEHYDE IN CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY.....	184
8.3. FACTORS AFFECTING SPORE GERMINATION AND PENETRATION.....	185
8.4. INFECTION PROCESS	187
8.5. RESISTANCE MECHANISMS	188
8.6. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL	189
8.7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE STUDIES	191
APPENDIX I. RECIPES FOR BUFFERS, GLUTARALDEHYDE SOLUTION, CULTURE MEDIA AND STAINS USED IN THIS STUDY	214
APPENDIX II. <i>BOTRYTIS CINEREA</i> CONIDIOSPORE GERMINATION AND GERM TUBE PENETRATION INTO CAMELLIA PETALS IN THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF FREE WATER.	218
APPENDIX III. ILLUSTRATED DIAGRAM FOR DIFFERENT STRATEGIES OF INFECTION PROCESS OF FUNGAL PATHOGENS	220
APPENDIX IV. TINYTAG <i>PLUS</i> APPARATUS.....	222
CD-ROM ENCLOSED	

List of tables

Table 3.1.	Effect of pH on glutaraldehyde induced background (plant tissue) and fungal hyphae fluorescence. <i>R1</i> , <i>R2</i> and <i>R3</i> are replicates. Specimens brightness indicated by '+' sign under respected fluorescence brightness categories. B = Bright; M = Medium brightness; L = Low brightness.....	44
Table 4-1.	Data logger readings of relative humidity from airtight container content saturated salt solution at temperature 5°C, 10°C, 15°C or 20°C	62
Table 6.1.	Distribution of Resistance and Susceptibility in the genus <i>Camellia</i> (Adapted from Taylor 1999).....	97
Table 7.1.	Samples of camellia leaf, flower petals and soil from under camellia trees used for BCAs isolation.	116
Table 7.2.	Attachment observation and disease assessment of microbes isolated from leaves and flower samples of camellia and the soil under camellia trees located at Massey University, Palmerston North and at camellia gardens in Wellington, Wanganui, Ruphehu, Roturua, Hamilton and Cambridge. Samples collected during camellia flowering season in 1999 and 2000. (y = attachment observed, n = no attachment observed, Sample No. corresponding to the sample's serial number in Table 7.1). Lesion assessment was made 48 h after <i>C. camelliae</i> was co-inoculated with BCA crude mixture on camellia petals, based on the scale 0-4 described in Chapter 4.	121
Table 7.3.	Biocontrol activity of isolates 04S2B and 07L1B co-inoculated with <i>C. Camelliae</i> ascospores by pipette on 6 camellia cultivars. A total of 6 sites per petal were inoculated. Cc only = <i>C. camelliae</i> ascospores only; SWD = Sterilized distilled water; * = No. of infection per total no. of inoculation.....	149

List of figures

Figure 1.1.	Life cycle of <i>Ciborinia camelliae</i> . (sc = Sclerotia; ap = apothecia; gmr = grey mycelial ring; as = ascospores; ls = lesions).....	8
Figure 2.1.	Ascospores released from apothecium for inoculum preparation.....	19
Figure 2.2.	Direct ascospore inoculation from apothecia to camellia flower petals.....	19
Figure 2.3.	Ascospore deposited by gravity inoculation using airflow from an aquarium pump.....	20
Figure 2.4.	Single spore culture of <i>B. cinerea</i>	20
Figure 3.1.	Average fluorescent intensity of fungal hyphae and background plant tissue measured by measuring grey value of pixels of the confocal micrograph of the images. The specimens were treated with a range of concentration of glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer and incubated at different incubation periods. A) Effect of PBS-GA concentration on fluorescence. B) Effect of incubation period on fluorescence. Vertical bars represent LSD.	47
Figure 3.2.	Fluorescent intensity of fungal hyphae. The interaction between incubation period and PBS-GA concentrations.	48
Figure 3.3.	Average fluorescent intensity of fungal hyphae and background plant tissue measured by measuring grey value of pixels of the confocal micrograph of the images. The specimens were treated with a range of concentration of glutaraldehyde in Tris buffer and incubated at different incubation periods. A) Effect of Tris-GA concentration on fluorescence. B) Effect of incubation period on fluorescence. Vertical bars represent LSD.....	50
Figure 3.4.	Fluorescent intensity of fungal hyphae. The interaction between incubation period and Tris-GA concentrations.	51
Figure 3.5.	Fluorescent intensity measured by pixel greyscale of the image from glutaraldehyde treated specimens. A) Hyphal and background fluorescence overlapping at certain fluorescent intensity level during 8 h incubation. B) Hyphal fluorescence increased and separated from background fluorescence during overnight (16 h) incubation. (Hy = Hyphae; Bg = Background plant tissue).	53
Figure 4.1.	Effect of relative humidity (A) and temperature (B) on ascospore germination and germ tube penetration 18 h after inoculation. Vertical bars represent overall LSD of RH and temperature for spore germination (SG) and germ tube penetration (Pe).....	64
Figure 4.2.	Effect of temperature and relative humidity on (A) Ascospore germination, (B) Germ tube penetration after 18 h inoculation. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. .	65
Figure 4.3.	Effect of temperature and relative humidity on penetrated germ tube length of <i>Ciborinia camelliae</i> after 18 h inoculation. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. .	66
Figure 4.4.	Effect of temperature and relative humidity on infection of <i>Ciborinia camelliae</i> on camellia petal after 42 h (A) and 72 h (B) inoculation. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.	67
Figure 4.5.	Percent germination and penetration of <i>C. camelliae</i> ascospore 6 h 12 h and 24 h after incubation. (a) germination and (b) penetration from pipette inoculation, (c) germination and (d) penetration from spray inoculation, (e) germination and (f) penetration from gravity inoculation, and (g) germination and (h) penetration from brush inoculation. Vertical bars represent Standard Error (n=12).	74
Figure 4.6.	Symptom development on camellia flower petals 12 h, 24 h and 36 h after inoculation and incubation (A) without free water (B) with free water and (C) after drying previously wet petal surface. Vertical bars represent Standard Error (n=12).....	78

- Figure 7.1.** Co-inoculation of extracted microbes and *C. camelliae* ascospores. 1. Autoclaved petri dish with petal. 2. Pipetted 500 μ l of SDW over the autoclaved petal. 3. Placed 50 mm diameter disk of cellophane over the petal. 4. Pipetted 50 μ l of *C. camelliae* ascospores suspension over the cellophane and incubated for 12 h at 20°C. 5. Pipetted 950 μ l of microbes extract over the inoculated ascospores and then incubated again at 15°C for another 16 h. 6. Placed Cellophane with *C. camelliae* and the microbes was suspended in 5 ml McIlvaines buffer in a universal bottle. 115
- Figure 7.2.** Bacterial isolates screened against *C. camelliae* *in vivo*. A) Lesion size estimated on a 0-4 scale (■) 66 h after incubation and infected petal area measured (●) 94 h after inoculation. B) Percentage spore germination (■) and germ tube penetration (●) calculated 20 h after incubation. C) Germ tube length estimated on a 0-10 scaling system (■) 20 h after incubation. Vertical bars represent LSD..... 129
- Figure 7.3.** *In vivo* screening of biocontrol isolates against *C. camelliae*. A) Lesion size estimated on a 0-4 scale (■) 50 h after incubation and lesion diameter (●) 77 h after incubation on pipette-inoculated petals. B) Infected area (●) measured 50 h after incubation on spray-inoculated petals. Vertical bars represent LSD. 133
- Figure 7.4.** *C. camelliae* ascospores co-inoculated with isolates 07L1B or 04S2B by pipette, gravity and spray. A) Average lesion size measured over inoculation methods for BCAs and controls. B) Average lesion size measured over BCA and controls for inoculation methods. C) Interaction between BCAs and inoculation methods on lesion development. Vertical bar represents LSD.135
- Figure 7.5.** Effect on biocontrol of cell concentration of bacterial isolates 04S2B (A) and 07L1B (B) co-inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores on petals using pipette inoculation method. Spore germination and germ tube penetration were measured after 22 h incubation, and lesion size measured after 44 h incubation. %GS = Percent germinated spores, %GP = Percent germ tube penetration and LS = Lesion size in mm². Vertical bars represent LSD..... 140
- Figure 7.6.** Effect on lesion size of cell concentration (1×10^8 /ml and 6.8×10^9 /ml for isolate 04S2B and 5.9×10^9 /ml for isolate 07L1B) of two BCAs co-inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores on intact camellia flowers. Lesion size measured after 48 h incubation. Vertical bar represents LSD for concentration. 141
- Figure 7.7.** Average disease severity of camellia flowers. Interaction between time of application of BCAs and incubation period. BCAs applied 24 h before (+24 h) or 24 h after (-24 h), or at the same time of *C. camelliae* inoculation. Controls = Ascospores only and SDW (Sterile distilled water) only. The inoculated intact flowers were incubated in a moist plastic tray at 20°C. Means with same letters are not significantly different. 145
- Figure 7.8.** Average disease severity of camellia flowers after BCA application at different times. BCAs applied 24 h before (+24 h) or 24 h after (-24 h), or at the same time of *C. camelliae* inoculation. Disease severity was measured 88 h after *C. camelliae* inoculation. Controls = Ascospores only and SDW (Sterile distilled water) only. The inoculated intact flowers were incubated in an incubation chamber at 20°C and 98 ± 2 % RH. Vertical bar represents LSD.... 146
- Figure 7.9.** Average disease severity of camellia flowers at two different incubation periods in glasshouse condition (35-40% RH and 24-26°C). BCAs applied 24 h before (+24 h) or 24 h after (-24 h), or at the same time of *C. camelliae* inoculation. Controls = Ascospores only and SDW (Sterile distilled water) only. Means with same letters are not significantly different. 147
- Figure 7.10.** Bacterial isolate (04S2B) treatment and longevity against *C. camelliae* infection of camellia petals. Petals were treated with whole nutrient broth culture (BNB), washed bacterial cells resuspended in sterile nutrient broth (BRNB), culture supernatant (BS) or sterile nutrient broth (SNB). They were incubated at different time intervals before challenge with the pathogen. Ascospore only suspension (Cc only) and sterile distilled water (SDW only) were included as control. Means with the same letter are not significantly different..... 153
- Figure 7.11.** Bacterial isolate (07L1B) treatment and longevity against *C. camelliae* infection of camellia petals. Petals were treated with whole nutrient broth culture (BNB), washed bacterial cells

resuspended in sterile nutrient broth (BRNB), culture supernatant (BS) or sterile nutrient broth (SNB). They were incubated at different time intervals before challenge with the pathogen. Ascospore only suspension (Cc only) and sterile distilled water (SDW only) were included as control. Means with the same letter are not significantly different..... 154

- Figure 7.12.** Survival of bacteria in camellia flowers. A) Population counts on bacterial sprayed and none sprayed flowers. Vertical bar represents LSD. 157
- Figure 7.13.** Survival of isolates 04S2B and 07L1B in camellia flowers over time. Control represents the microorganism counted in the unsprayed flowers. Vertical bars represent LSD..... 157
- Figure 7.14.** Bacterial population recovered from camellia flowers after spray application of potential bacterial BCAs. A) Effect of relative humidity on recovery. B) Effect of temperature on recovery. C) Effect of incubation period on recovery. D) Percent recovery (cfu) of bacteria from flowers treated with isolates 04S2B and 07L1B. The vertical bar represents overall LSD of RH, temperature, incubation period and bacterial isolates. 159
- Figure 7.15.** Percent recovery of bacteria from camellia flowers treated with potential bacterial BCAs. A) Interaction between relative humidity and temperature on recovery. B) Interaction between relative humidity and incubation period on recovery. C) Interaction between temperature and incubation period on recovery. The vertical bars represent overall LSD of RH, temperature (Temp) and incubation period (Time). 161
- Figure 7.16.** Mean microbial populations recovered after isolates 07L1B and 04S2B were sprayed on various camellia cultivars in the field. A) Mean recovery of each isolate and of microbes from none sprayed flowers. B) Mean bacterial population recovered from different camellia cultivars. (EGW = E.G.Waterhouse, KS = Kramer's Supreme, LL = Lady Loach and Brian). C) Mean bacterial population recovered over time. Vertical bar represents LSD. 163
- Figure 7.17.** Relationship between cultivars (EGW = E.G.Waterhouse, KS = Kramer's Supreme, LL = Lady Loach and Brian) and isolates (07L1 and 04S2) on bacterial population recovery. Control = microbial population recovered from non-sprayed flowers. Means with same letter are not significantly different..... 164
- Figure 7.18.** Disease evaluations on camellia flowers treated with isolates 04S2B and 07L1B. BCA sprayed at bud stage of the flower. *C. camelliae* inoculated at 1h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after BCAs inoculation. Con1 = *C. camelliae* only, Con 2 = No spray. A) Average disease severity at 4 days and 7 days after *C. camelliae* inoculation, B) Average number of lesion 7 days after *C. camelliae* inoculation. Vertical bar represents LSD..... 167
- Figure 7.19.** Disease evaluations on camellia flowers treated with BCAs (isolates 04S2B and 07L1B) over application time before *C. camelliae* inoculation. BCA sprayed at bud stage of the flower. *C. camelliae* inoculated at 1h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h after BCAs sprayed. Con1 = *C. camelliae* only, Con 2 = No spray. Means with same letters are not significantly different..... 168
- Figure 7.20.** Biocontrol evaluation of bacterial isolates 04S2B and 07L1B in the field (Experiment 6.10). Con1 = No spray. Con 2 = *C. camelliae* only. Ds = Disease severity (0-5 scale). LS = Lesion size (0-5 scale). NL = Number of lesions (0-5 scale). Vertical bars represent LSD..... 170
- Figure 7.21.** Time of BCA application before *C. camelliae* ascospores were inoculation in the field. A comparison of BCA effect over time with ascospore-only inoculated and none inoculated controls. DS = Disease severity, LS = Lesion size, NL = Number of lesions. Vertical bar represents LSD. 171
- Figure 7.22.** Bacterial population of camellia flowers at different times after application. Colony forming unit (cfu) counts of bacterial populations of isolate 04S2B and 07L1B sprayed flowers, and *C. camelliae* ascospore only-sprayed and non-sprayed flowers over time. Con1= *C. camelliae* only sprayed, Con2 = No spray. Means with same letters are not significantly different..... 173
- Figure 7.23.** Effect of Temperature, Relative Humidity and petal wetness on potential bacterial BCA (07L1B) survival in Brian camellia flowers in the field. A) Environment temperature and

Relative Humidity B) Petal temperature and wetness C) Survival of bacterial population in petal over time.	175
Figure 7.24. Effect of Temperature, Relative Humidity and petal wetness on potential bacterial BCA (07L1B) survival in E.G Waterhouse camellia flowers in the field. A) Environment temperature and Relative Humidity B) Petal temperature and wetness C) Survival of bacterial population in petal over time.	176
Figure 7.25. Survival of bacterial population in cultivar Brian and E.G.Waterhouse camellia flowers over time in the field.....	177
Figure 8.1. Chart of biology and control of <i>Ciborinia camelliae</i>	189

List of plates

- Plate 1.1.** Symptoms of camellia flower blight. A) Uninfected flower. B) Small brown lesions (arrows) which spread until the flower completely brown. C) Base of the flower before petals completely brown. D) The characteristic ring of white or grey mycelium around the base of the flower (arrow head) where the calyx is removed..... 6
- Plate 3.1.** Confocal micrograph of *B. cinerea* hyphae in lettuce leaf tissue showing the effect of various buffers on fluorescence. A) GA in Phosphate buffered saline (PBS). B) GA in Tris buffer. C) GA in universal buffer. Bar = 20 μm 33
- Plate 3.2.** Confocal micrograph of *B. cinerea* hyphae in lettuce leaf tissue showing the effect of various quenching agents against background fluorescence after PBS-GA treatment. A) Control – not treated with any quenching agent B) Ethanol treatment. C) Triton X 100. D) 2-methoxyethanol. Bar = 20 μm 37
- Plate 3.3.** Confocal micrograph of *B. cinerea* hyphae in lettuce leaf tissue showing the effect of various quenching agents against background fluorescence after Tris-GA treatment. A) Control – not treated with any quenching agent B) Ethanol treatment. C) Triton X 100. Bar = 20 μm 38
- Plate 3.4.** Confocal micrograph of *B. cinerea* hyphae in the lettuce leaf tissue showing the effect of hydrogen peroxide after A) PBS-GA and, B) Tris-GA treatment. Bar=20 μm 39
- Plate 3.5.** Confocal micrograph of *B. cinerea* hyphae in lettuce leaf tissue showing the effect of different imaging filters with wavelength A) 530-590 nm B) 585-615 nm after glutaraldehyde treatment. Bar=20 μm 40
- Plate 3.6.** Confocal micrograph for fluorescent intensity rating by visual observation. Low (A & B), medium (C & D) and bright (E & F) fluorescence intensity of fungal hyphae (A, C & E) and background plant tissue (B, D & F)..... 43
- Plate 3.7.** Confocal micrographs of single line scanning to measure fluorescence intensity of fungal hyphae and background. The specimen treated with 8% glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer and incubated for (A) 4 h and (B) 6 h, and the specimens treated with (C) 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer and incubated for 4 h and with (D) 4% glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer for 2 h. (bar = 20 μm) 49
- Plate 3.8.** Confocal micrographs of single line scanning to measure fluorescence intensity of fungal hyphae and background. Specimens treated with 8% glutaraldehyde in Tris buffer and incubated for (A) 4 h and (B) 6 h, and specimens treated with (C) 2% glutaraldehyde in Tris buffer and incubated for 4 h and with (D) 4% glutaraldehyde in Tris buffer for 6 h. [arrow head (>) = fungal hyphae; arrow (->) = fungal spore; Bar = 20 μm]..... 52
- Plate 4.1.** Camellia flower petals inoculated with *C. camelliae* and incubated at RH 90%, 95% and 100% in temperatures of 5⁰C (A), 10⁰C (B), 15⁰C (C) and 20⁰C (D) for 90 h after inoculation. 68
- Plate 4.2.** Diagrammatic explanation of different inoculation methods. A) Pipette, B) Spray, C) Gravity and D) Brush inoculation..... 71
- Plate 4.3.** A scale (0-4) to measure and assesses the symptom development on camellia petal by *C. camelliae*..... 73
- Plate 4.4.** Spores deposited by gravity on glass slides and observed under dark field illumination. A) A small amount of liquid is associated with each spore, B) The liquid disappeared from some spores after a few minutes. (as = ascospores; ll = liquid layer) 75
- Plate 4.5.** Light microscopic image. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and germ tube growth. (a) Typical penetration and growth of germ tube underneath the cuticle (b) surface germ tube growth after pipette inoculation, and (c) thicker germ tubes and (d) multiple germ tubes from brush inoculated spores. (as = ascospore; pgt = penetrated germ tube; sgt = surface germ tube; multiple germ tube). 76

- Plate 5.1.** Bright field microscopy. Cross-section view of healthy camellia petal. A) Cross section of middle part of the camellia petal. B) Cross section of edge of the camellia petal. 85
- Plate 5.2.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination (Single arrow head) and formation of mulilobed structure (arrows) after 30 h incubation, A germinated spore from which a hyphae grew towards an epidermal intercellular junction is shown by a double arrow head. 86
- Plate 5.3.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and penetration of the cuticle layer of camellia petal tissue at 6 h after inoculation. pp = penetration point; sch = subcuticular hyphae; cw = cell wall..... 86
- Plate 5.4.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and hyphal growth underneath the cuticle and towards the epidermal intercellular junction of camellia petal tissue at 18 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; scs = subcuticular swelling; nt = narrow tube; sch = subcuticular hyphae; cw = cell wall..... 87
- Plate 5.5.** Light microscopic image. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and hyphal growth underneath the cuticle layer towards the epidermal intercellular junction of camellia petal tissue at 18 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; scs = subcuticular swelling; nt = narrow tube; sch = subcuticular hyphae; cw = cell wall. 87
- Plate 5.6.** Confocal micrograph of *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and hyphal growth underneath the cuticle and intercellular space 24 h after inoculation. A) Top view of hyphal growth, B) Cross section view of hyphal growth after 80° rotation from bottom to top, C) Further 45° rotation from right to left of view B, D) Another cross section view shows the subcuticular swelling soon after penetration and hyphal growth underneath cuticle. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; ph = penetration hyphae; scs = subcuticular swelling; nt = narrow tube; sch = subcuticular hyphae; ih = intercellular hyphae; cl = cuticle..... 88
- Plate 5.7.** Confocal micrograph of *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and penetration of camellia petal tissue 30 h after incubation. Penetrated germ tubes have grown underneath the cuticle towards epidermal intercellular junctions. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; scs = subcuticular swelling; nt = narrow tube; sch = subcuticular hyphae; cw = cell wall..... 89
- Plate 5.8.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* ascospore germination and intercellular hyphal growth in camellia flower petal tissue at 18 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; ih = intercellular hyphae; cw = cell wall. 89
- Plate 5.9.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* intercellular hyphal growth in camellia flower petal tissue at 48 h after inoculation. as =ascospore; pp = penetration point; ih = intercellular hyphae..... 90
- Plate 5.10.** Confocal micrograph. *Ciborinia camelliae* intercellular hyphal growth in camellia flower petal tissue at 72 h after inoculation. ih = intercellular hyphae; cw = cell wall. 90
- Plate 5.11.** Bright field microscopy. Cross-section view of healthy and infected camellia petal tissue. A) Healthy epidermal and mesophyll cells are viewed before *C. camelliae* infection. B) Shrunken epidermal and mesophyll cells are viewed with fungal hyphae in the swollen cell wall after *C. camelliae* infection..... 91
- Plate 5.12.** Bright field microscopy. Cross-section view of infected camellia petal tissue. Fungal hyphae shown in between cell wall and underneath cuticle layer in the swollen epidermal cell wall. ... 92
- Plate 6.1.** Flower petals of *C. transnokoensis*, *C. lutchuensis*, *grijsii*, *C. forrestii*, *C. cuspidate* and *C. reticulata* cv Brian pipette inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospore suspension. Symptoms development at 48 h after inoculation..... 100
- Plate 6.1.** Continued:.... *C. yunnanensis*, *C. pitardi* cv Pitardi, *C. trichocarpa*, and *C. polyodonta*..... 101
- Plate 6.2.** Light microscopic image of ascospore germination and the resistant reaction of *C. cuspidata* petal tissue. Decreasingly lower (2-3 µm) focal planes of tissue to show ascospore

- germination, cell wall thickening and a barrier formed around the penetration point 72 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; cw = cell wall; bf = barrier. 102
- Plate 6.3.** Light microscopic image of ascospore germination and the resistant reaction of *C. lutchuensis* petal tissue. Decreasingly lower (1-2 μm) focal plane of tissue to show ascospore germination, penetration and a barrier formed at the penetration point at 30 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; bf = barrier. 102
- Plate 6.4.** Confocal micrograph of *C. camelliae* ascospore germ tube penetration and papilla formation on *C. lutchuensis* petal tissue 20 h after inoculation. as = ascospores; gt = germ tube; pf = papilla; pp = penetration point. 103
- Plate 6.5.** Light microscopic image of cell wall thickening and necrotic collapsed cells of *C. cuspidata* petal tissue after *C. camelliae* ascospore germ tube penetration 72 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; cc = collapsed cells. 104
- Plate 6.6.** Light microscopic image of multiple germination and germ tube growth over the surface with out penetration (A) and restricted growth of distorted germ tubes (B) of *C. camelliae* on *C. transnokoensis* petal tissue 30 h after inoculation. as = ascospore; sgt = surface germ tubes; dgt = distorted germ tube. 105
- Plate 6.7.** Confocal micrograph of multiple germ tubes from germinated *C. camelliae* ascospores on *C. transnokoensis* petal tissue 20 h after inoculation. as = ascospores; sgt = surface germ tubes. 106
- Plate 6.8.** Light microscopic image of unusual swelling (short arrow) of penetrated germ tube of *C. camelliae* in *C. tricocarpa* petal 24 h after inoculation. Considerable number of microbes (arrow head) also observed on the petal surface. 106
- Plate 6.9.** Light microscopic image of distorted ascospores of *C. camelliae* on *C. polyodonta* petal tissue 24 h after inoculation (arrow heads). Unidentified microbes observed around ascospores (arrow) and on the surface of the petal. as = ascospores. 107
- Plate 7.1.** Light microscopic image of microbial attachment to ascospore germlings on cellophane cultures observed by light microscopy. 120
- Plate 7.2.** *C. camelliae* mycelial growth and inhibition by isolates 07L1B (A) and 04S2B (B) in dual culture on PDA. 124
- Plate 7.3.** Siderophore production in King's B medium. Isolates 04S2B and 07L1B show bright fluorescence and isolate 05P1B fluoresces slightly, and other isolates did not fluoresce. The plates were observed over a UV transilluminator. 125
- Plate 7.4.** Light microscopic image of unusual swelling of *C. camelliae* penetrated germ tube in yeast isolate 06L1Y treated camellia petal tissue. as = ascospore; pp = penetration point; ush = unusual swollen hyphae; y = yeast isolates. 130
- Plate 7.5.** Lesion development following co-inoculation of BCAs and *C. camelliae* to camellia petals.. 132
- Plate 7.6.** Camellia flower petal infections 48 h after *C. camelliae* ascospores and bacterial isolates 04S2B or 07L1B were co-inoculated by A) Pipette inoculation. B) Gravity inoculation. C) Spray inoculation. 136
- Plate 7.7.** Confocal micrograph of ascospores and bacterial biocontrol agents 38 h after inoculation. (A) Bacterial isolate 07L1B - no ascospore germination and sparse bacterial attachment to ascospores and germ tubes. (B) Bacterial isolate 04S2B – ascospore germination but no penetration, and sparse bacterial attachment to ascospores and germ tubes. Arrows show bacterial attachment. 137
- Plate 7.8.** Camellia flower petals co-inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores and various cell concentrations of isolate 04S2B (A) or isolate 07L1B (B) using the pipette inoculation method. Lesions observed 44 h after inoculation. 142

- Plate 7.9.** Petals detached from inoculated intact camellia flowers co- inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores and various cell concentrations of isolate 07L1B. The petals were detached from the flowers after 48 h incubation and the infected area scanned by computer scanner. A) Control 1×10^0 ; B) 1×10^8 ; C) 5.9×10^9 143
- Plate 7.10.** Petal lesions developing from the base of the flowers in glasshouse conditions. Photographed 120 h after inoculation. A) Flowers inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores only (Control). B) BCA applied 24 h before ascospore inoculation. 148
- Plate 7.11.** Camellia petals. Six cultivars co-inoculated with two lead biocontrol agents (isolates 07L1B and 04S2B) and *C. camelliae* ascospores. Petals were pipette inoculated with 2 μ l of both pathogen (1×10^4 /ml) and BCAs (04S2B = 3.2×10^7 /ml; 07L1B = 2.8×10^7 /ml) suspension per site. 150
- Plate 7.12.** Reduction of lesion size and disease development of camellia flower blight by spraying BCAs before *C. camelliae* inoculation in the field. A) Flower inoculated with *C. camelliae* ascospores. B) Flower sprayed with isolate 07L1B 72 h before ascospores inoculation. Images captured 3 days after *C. camelliae* inoculation. 172