

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN APPRAISAL OF SELECTION OBJECTIVES  
AND CRITERIA FOR NEW ZEALAND ROMNEY SHEEP  
WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO WOOL TRAITS

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT  
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
IN  
ANIMAL SCIENCE  
AT  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY

ALLAN WAYNE MCPHERSON  
1982

## ABSTRACT

Selection objectives and criteria were defined and appraised for a simple production system involving a New Zealand Romney breeding flock under North Island hill country conditions, in which all surplus offspring are sold as lambs.

Through the availability of New Zealand Wool Board auction data for the seasons 1976/77 to 1980/81, the influence of wool quality traits on price was analysed by regression techniques. Traits examined included mean fibre diameter (MFD), style(S), mean length (ML) and yield (Y). For the 1980/81 season only, further data from the Coded Sales Assistance Report (C.S.A.R.) was available for scouring indicator (SI), colour indicator (CI), felted (F), pen stain (P), cotted (Co), tender (T), mixed length (LV) and mixed quality (QV). In addition, the effects on price of three non-fleece variables, lot weight (LW), mode of offering (MO) and New Zealand Wool Board market intervention policies (Int), were considered.

Y was shown to have a major influence over greasy price. The relationship between price and ML was confirmed as being non-linear, with ML having a greater effect on the price of shorter wools. S and MFD were less influential. The control these four traits jointly exerted over greasy price ranged up to 74.0%, which was further enhanced by the introduction of quadratic terms.  $ML^2$  was the most important quadratic term. The inclusion of the C.S.A.R. and non-fleece related traits, failed to provide any further control over price. CI proved to be an effective substitute for S.

Selection objectives were defined for greasy and clean wool, combined with short, long and mixed length categories. Economic weights for wool quality traits were directly calculated from the regression of auction price on the level of the traits. Economic weights for number of lambs weaned (NLW), weaning weight (WW), ewe body weight (EBW),

greasy fleece weight (GFW) and clean fleece weight (CFW) were calculated using the marginal profit method. The relativities between the calculated economic weights were generally in good agreement with those of previously published estimates.

For the selection objectives defined, various selection criteria were appraised. These included the traits in the selection objective, or their respective criteria, as well as hogget body weight (HBW), quality number (QN) and fleece character grade (CHG). NLW (dam), HBW and HGFW were of major importance in the selection index. The remaining traits were of only minimal value. On the basis of cost of measurement and value within the index, the full index was converted to a reduced index of NLW (dam), HBW and HGFW. In terms of accuracy of prediction and economy, this index was considered suitable for most commercial conditions. Further reduced indices were computed which generated less overall genetic gain, but which individual breeders may consider more appropriate to their particular requirements.

Sensitivity analyses for HBW, NLW, GFW (CFW) and SC generally produced few changes of any consequence to the selection indices. Restriction of all genetic change in EBW significantly reduced the expected overall genetic gain.

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF

IVAN DOUGLAS McPHERSON

(1912 - 1979)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is with considerable pleasure that I now thank the many people who have provided assistance during the preparation of this thesis.

Sincere gratitude naturally belongs to my supervisor Dr. G.A. Wickham whose willingness to provide assistance whenever it was required was greatly appreciated.

I also wish to acknowledge the guidance received throughout my studies from Professor A.L. Rae.

Grateful acknowledgement is made to the New Zealand Wool Board for allowing the release of their auction data for the purposes of this thesis and the general assistance obtained from its staff.

Thanks are also due to Mr A.R. Gilmour (NSW Department of Agric.) for the availability of the REG computer package and his assistance during the period of computer familiarisation; Professor E.P. Cunningham (Agric. Inst., Dublin) and Dr. D.L. Johnson (Ruakura Anim. Res. Centre, Hamilton) for their respective versions of the SELIND computer package; the staff of the Massey University Computer Centre and the Massey University Library; colleagues and friends within the Sheep Husbandry Department.

Special thanks are extended to Mrs V. Fieldsend for her skillful typing of this thesis.

My wife, Jennifer, provided the necessary impetus when it was most required. Her support and encouragement were of vital significance in the completion of this thesis.

The financial assistance received from the New Zealand Wool Board Scholarship, the Leonard Condell Scholarship and the Kitchener Memorial Scholarship is gratefully acknowledged.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Chapter</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|--|-------------|
|                | ABSTRACT   | ii          |
|                | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   | v           |
|                | LIST OF TABLES   | ix          |
|                | LIST OF FIGURES  | xvi         |
| One            | INTRODUCTION   | 1           |
| Two            | REVIEW OF LITERATURE   | 2           |
|                | 2.1 Definition of selection objectives and criteria              | 2           |
|                | 2.1.1 The goals of livestock production                          | 2           |
|                | 2.1.2 Methods of coping with multi-trait objectives              | 7           |
|                | 2.1.3 Selection objectives vs. selection criteria                | 8           |
|                | 2.1.4 Changing Romney objectives and criteria                    | 11          |
|                | 2.2 The estimation of the economic weights of wool traits        | 14          |
|                | 2.2.1 Subjective assessment                                      | 14          |
|                | 2.2.2 Genetic progress required                                  | 15          |
|                | 2.2.3 Processing trials  | 16          |
|                | 2.2.4 Marginal profit  | 33          |
|                | 2.2.5 Regression of selling price on level of wool traits        | 34          |
|                | 2.2.6 New Zealand Wool Board relatives                           | 38          |
|                | 2.2.7 Regression of profit on the selection objective            | 43          |
|                | 2.2.8 Production systems analysis                                | 44          |
|                | 2.3 Published economic weights for the Romney                    | 45          |
|                | 2.4 General problems associated with the use of economic weights | 47          |

| <u>Chapter</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|--|-------------|
|                | 2.5 Effect of errors in economic weights<br>on selection index efficiency      | 50          |
| Three          | THE INFLUENCE OF WOOL QUALITY TRAITS ON<br>PRICE                               | 53          |
|                | 3.1 Introduction   | 53          |
|                | 3.2 Materials and methods  | 54          |
|                | 3.3 Results  | 57          |
|                | 3.3.1 Greasy analyses  | 57          |
|                | 3.3.2 Clean analyses   | 67          |
|                | 3.3.3 Coded Sales Assistance Report<br>analyses                                | 76          |
|                | 3.3.4 Short and long length analyses   | 82          |
|                | 3.3.5 Scoured colour for style   | 90          |
|                | 3.4 Discussion   | 90          |
| Four           | DEFINITION OF SELECTION OBJECTIVES   | 97          |
|                | 4.1 Introduction   | 97          |
|                | 4.2 Materials and methods  | 97          |
|                | 4.3 Results  | 98          |
|                | 4.3.1 Calculation of economic weights<br>for wool quality traits               | 98          |
|                | 4.3.2 Calculation of economic weights<br>for other than wool quality<br>traits | 102         |
|                | 4.3.3 Summary of selection objectives  | 105         |
|                | 4.4 Discussion   | 106         |
| Five           | APPRAISAL OF SELECTION INDICES AND<br>SENSITIVITY ANALYSES                     | 110         |
|                | 5.1 Introduction   | 110         |
|                | 5.2 Materials and methods  | 110         |
|                | 5.3 Results  | 111         |



| <u>Chapter</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|--|-------------|
|                | 5.3.1 Full and reduced selection indices         | 111         |
|                | 5.3.2 Further reduced selection indices          | 126         |
|                | 5.3.3 EBW sensitivity analysis                   | 139         |
|                | 5.3.4 NLW, GFW (CFW) and SC sensitivity analysis | 152         |
|                | 5.3.5 Cotting and tenderness                     | 166         |
|                | 5.3.6 Sheeplan comparison                        | 171         |
|                | 5.4 Discussion                                   | 173         |
| Six            | GENERAL DISCUSSION                               | 178         |
|                | APPENDICES                                       | 184         |
|                | REFERENCES                                       | 210         |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Page</u>   |    |
|--------------|---|----|
| 2.1          | Published economic weights for the Romney expressed as ratios   | 46 |
| 3.1          | General statistics of greasy analyses   | 58 |
| 3.2          | Simple correlations with greasy price   | 57 |
| 3.3          | Wool trait regression coefficients for greasy price   | 60 |
| 3.4          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for the 1976/77 season | 61 |
| 3.5          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for the 1977/78 season | 62 |
| 3.6          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for the 1978/79 season | 63 |
| 3.7          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for the 1979/80 season | 64 |
| 3.8          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for the 1980/81 season | 65 |
| 3.9          | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in greasy price for all seasons        | 66 |

| <u>Table</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 3.10         | Additional statistics of clean analyses  | 67          |
| 3.11         | Simple correlations with clean price   | 68          |
| 3.12         | Wool trait regression coefficients for clean price   | 69          |
| 3.13         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for the 1976/77 season | 70          |
| 3.14         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for the 1977/78 season | 71          |
| 3.15         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for the 1978/79 season | 72          |
| 3.16         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for the 1979/80 season | 73          |
| 3.17         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for the 1980/81 season | 74          |
| 3.18         | The effect of quadratic terms for Y, ML, S and MFD on explaining variation in clean price for all seasons        | 75          |
| 3.19         | General statistics of Coded Sales Assistance Report analyses for the 1980/81 season                              | 77          |
| 3.20         | Simple correlations between price and the Coded Sales Assistance Report traits for the 1980/81 season            | 78          |

| <u>Table</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 3.21         | Partial regression coefficients on greasy price of the Coded Sales Assistance Report analyses for the 1980/81 season | 79          |
| 3.22         | Partial regression coefficients on clean price of the Coded Sales Assistance Report analyses for the 1980/81 season  | 80          |
| 3.23         | Regression on S for short and long length categories for the 1980/81 season  | 81          |
| 3.24         | General statistics of short and long length categories (all seasons)   | 85          |
| 3.25         | Simple correlations with greasy price for short and long length categories (all seasons)                             | 86          |
| 3.26         | Simple correlations with clean price for short and long length categories (all seasons)                              | 86          |
| 3.27         | Wool trait regression coefficients for greasy price for short and long length categories (all seasons)               | 87          |
| 3.28         | Wool trait regression coefficients for clean price for short and long length categories (all seasons)                | 88          |
| 3.29         | Partial regression coefficients with SC substituted for S (all seasons)  | 89          |
| 4.1          | Lifetime economic weights of wool quality traits   | 99          |

| <u>Table</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 4.2          | Lifetime economic weights of other than wool quality traits  | 103         |
| 4.3          | Lifetime economic weights using government supplementary minimum prices  | 104         |
| 4.4          | Comparison of economic weights before and after government supplementary minimum prices taken into consideration | 104         |
| 4.5          | Summary of selection objectives  | 105         |
| 4.6          | Comparison of economic weight estimates  | 108         |
| 5.1          | Full and reduced selection indices for the greasy short objective  | 112         |
| 5.2          | Full and reduced selection indices for the greasy long objective   | 114         |
| 5.3          | Full and reduced selection indices for the greasy objective  | 116         |
| 5.4          | Full and reduced selection indices for the clean short objective   | 118         |
| 5.5          | Full and reduced selection indices for the clean long objective  | 120         |
| 5.6          | Full and reduced selection indices for the clean objective   | 122         |
| 5.7          | Further reduced selection indices for the greasy short objective   | 127         |

| <u>Table</u>   | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| 5.8 Further reduced selection indices for the greasy long objective        | 129         |
| 5.9 Further reduced selection indices for the greasy objective             | 131         |
| 5.10 Further reduced selection indices for the clean short objective       | 133         |
| 5.11 Further reduced selection indices for the clean long objective        | 135         |
| 5.12 Further reduced selection indices for the clean objective             | 137         |
| 5.13 EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy short objective full index    | 140         |
| 5.14 EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy long objective full index     | 141         |
| 5.15 EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy objective full index          | 142         |
| 5.16 EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean short objective full index     | 143         |
| 5.17 EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean long objective full index      | 144         |
| 5.18 EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean objective full index           | 145         |
| 5.19 EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy short objective reduced index | 146         |

| <u>Table</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 5.20         | EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy long objective reduced index           | 147         |
| 5.21         | EBW sensitivity analysis for the greasy objective reduced index                | 148         |
| 5.22         | EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean short objective reduced index           | 149         |
| 5.23         | EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean long objective reduced index            | 150         |
| 5.24         | EBW sensitivity analysis for the clean objective reduced index                 | 151         |
| 5.25         | NLW, GFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the greasy short objective full index | 153         |
| 5.26         | NLW, GFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the greasy long objective full index  | 154         |
| 5.27         | NLW, GFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the greasy objective full index       | 155         |
| 5.28         | NLW, CFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the clean short objective full index  | 156         |
| 5.29         | NLW, CFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the clean long objective full index   | 157         |
| 5.30         | NLW, CFW and SC sensitivity analysis for the clean objective full index        | 158         |
| 5.31         | NLW and GFW sensitivity analysis for the greasy short objective reduced index  | 160         |

| <u>Table</u> |  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 5.32         | NLW and GFW sensitivity analysis for the greasy long objective reduced index               | 161         |
| 5.33         | NLW and GFW sensitivity analysis for the greasy objective reduced index                    | 162         |
| 5.34         | NLW and CFW sensitivity analysis for the clean short objective reduced index               | 163         |
| 5.35         | NLW and CFW sensitivity analysis for the clean long objective reduced index                | 164         |
| 5.36         | NLW and CFW sensitivity analysis for the clean objective reduced index                     | 165         |
| 5.37         | The effects on the full index of the inclusion of Co and T in the greasy long objective    | 167         |
| 5.38         | The effects on the full index of the inclusion of Co and T in the clean long objective     | 168         |
| 5.39         | The effects on the reduced index of the inclusion of Co and T in the greasy long objective | 169         |
| 5.40         | The effects on the reduced index of the inclusion of Co and T in the clean long objective  | 170         |
| 5.41         | Sheeplan comparison  | 172         |



LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure</u> |   | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1           | Mean fibre diameter vs. price. 1978/79          | 39          |
| 2.2           | Mean length vs. price. 1978/79                  | 41          |
| 2.3           | Style vs. price. 1978/79                        | 42          |
| 3.1           | The relationship between greasy price<br>and ML | 83          |
| 3.2           | The relationship between clean price<br>and ML  | 84          |
| 4.1           | The relationship between greasy price<br>and Co | 101         |