

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Livelihood Strategies and Environmental
Management Practices in Northern Thailand
National Park Communities**

A dissertation presented in partial fulfilment of

the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Natural Resource Management

at

Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Aurathai Phongchiewboon

2016

Abstract

In Northern Thailand, the establishment of national parks is at the forefront of efforts to achieve biodiversity conservation and environmental management while providing socio-economic benefits to society. However, national parks regulations and development interventions have created both opportunities and constraints for the Indigenous hill tribe communities living within the national parks. These communities have, out of necessity, adapted and developed their livelihood strategies and environmental management practices to maintain their socio-economic welfare and ecological sustainability.

This study employed Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) as a mixed qualitative research strategy to investigate the livelihood strategies and environmental management practices in Doi Suthep-Pui, Doi Inthanon, and Ob Luang National Parks in Northern Thailand. The main research methods used were interviews, observation, and document analysis to support data that gained from the PRA methods. The aim of this study is to gain insight into the livelihood strategies and environmental management practices of six Indigenous hill tribe communities living in the parks. Interviews were conducted with villagers, national park officials, academics, and representatives from non-government organisations and tourism agencies. The interview data was also analysed to investigate how co-management initiatives and livelihood development projects by national parks officials and external organisations influence Indigenous communities' livelihood strategies.

It was found that the livelihood strategies of the Indigenous hill tribe communities encompass a diverse combination of activities related to their social and ecological relationships in order to ensure sustained socio-economic well-being. Communities engage in sustainable agricultural practices, community-based natural resource management activities and community-based ecotourism enterprises as their significant livelihood strategies. However, while there has been some consultation, co-management, and collaborative policy-making between government and local communities, further improvement of transparency, consistency and accountability is needed. It is argued that greater community empowerment and participation in natural resource management decisions is crucial to enhance both sustainable livelihoods and environmental conservation efforts within Northern Thailand's national parks.

Statement of Originality

I declare that:

- This is an original thesis and is entirely my own work.
- Where I have made use of the ideas of other writers, I have acknowledged the source in every instance.
- Where I have used diagrams or photographs by other people I have acknowledged the source in every instance.
- This thesis will not be submitted as assessed work in any other academic course.

Aurathai Phongchiewboon

26 February 2016

Acknowledgements

My journey to complete this thesis has been both exciting and challenging. I am most grateful for the academic guidance from my chief supervisor Dr Trisia Farrelly, and co-supervisors Associate Professor John Holland and Dr Karen Hytten. I am so appreciative of their kindness, understanding, sustained encouragement, tireless efforts, and constructive input. My special thanks to Dr Trisia Farrelly for her Maori quote: “*Kia Kaha*”, which means stay strong, and which lifted my spirits when I felt lost and ready to give up. Lastly, my sincere thanks to Associate Professor Bob Stewart who helped in reviewing my final thesis draft and provided concise and constructive comments. I will always look forward to the opportunity of meeting with you again in the future.

I am also very thankful for the invaluable support of Mrs Sharon Wright from the Ecology Department, Mrs Lois Wilkinson and Dr Julia Rayner from the Teaching and Learning Centre, and Mrs Dianne Reilly and Mrs Sylvia Hooker from the International Student Office. I would also like to thank all my friends in the Institute of Agriculture and Environment: Fleur, Kyleisha, Tessa, Peter, Chau, Jerry, Naomi, Alana, Matt, Panan and Chifuyu for their memorable friendship and support, and all my Thai friends for all their warm support throughout my PhD study.

I am deeply grateful to all the people who gave up their valuable time to participate in this study including the people of Baan Pa Hmoon, Bann Mae Klang Luang, Bann Mae Sa Mai, Baan Hmong Doi Pui, Baan Huay Ka Noon and Baan Pa Kluang villages, and the Thai government representatives, NGO representatives, academics and tourism operators who contributed to this study. I am also very thankful to Dr Wantanee Chawapong, Ms Kamthong Wiyok, Mr Kitti Munla, Ms Soraya Maita, and Mr Chatchawan Laowakul for their support during fieldwork. I would also like to acknowledge the support of the International Doctoral Degree Scholarship from the Faculty of Management Science, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand. Without their support, this research would not have been possible.

Finally, thank you from all of my heart to my beloved parents, Dr Aram and Mrs Duangruthai Phongchiewboon and my beloved brother, Mr Aekkavich Phongchiewboon. They have continually supported me and encouraged me to keep going when times were tough – encouragement was always just a phone call away. Without all these people, this thesis would not have been possible. I wish you all the best for good health and future endeavours.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Statement of Originality.....	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Acronyms.....	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Statement.....	6
1.3 Research Aim.....	7
1.4 Objectives.....	7
1.5. Researcher Position and Educational Background to this Research.....	8
1.6 Research Limitations.....	10
1.7 Contribution of Research.....	11
1.8 Thesis Outline.....	12
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	15
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Sustainable Livelihoods: Origins and Concepts.....	16
2.3 Sustainable Development Goals: Origins and Implementation.....	24
2.4 The Development of the Sustainable Livelihood Approach.....	27
2.5 DFID’s Sustainable Livelihood Framework.....	29
2.5.1 Criticisms of the DFID’s Sustainable Livelihood Framework.....	33
2.5.2 The Use of the DFID’s Sustainable Livelihood Framework in the Study of National Park Management.....	36
2.6 The Links between Indigenous Tourism and Natural Resource Management.....	37
2.7 Adaptive Co-management and Natural Resource Management.....	41
2.8 Conclusion.....	42

CHAPTER 3: NATIONAL PARK MANAGEMENT IN NORTHERN THAILAND	44
3.1 Introduction.....	44
3.2 The Development of Protected Areas in Thailand.....	44
3.2.1 Historical Background of Forestry and Natural Resource Management	46
3.2.2 Thailand’s Fragile Biodiversity and the Need for Conservation	49
3.2.3 The Development of Thailand’s Natural Resource Management System.....	52
3.2.5 The Links between Sustainable Tourism Development and Conservation	58
3.3 Background of Northern Thailand’s National Parks	59
3.3.1 Overview of the Indigenous Hill Tribe Communities in Northern Thailand.....	60
3.3.2 The Development of Conservation Policies in Northern Thailand’s National Parks	65
3.4 Conclusion	70
CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH METHODS	71
4.1 Introduction.....	71
4.2 Qualitative Research Methods	71
4.2.1 Participatory Rural Appraisal	72
4.2.2 Multiple Case Study Approach.....	77
4.3 Fieldwork Processes and Methods.....	78
4.3.1 Fieldwork Preparation and Processes	78
4.3.2 Selection of Case Study Sites	79
4.3.3 Process for Obtaining Permission to Conduct Field Research	81
4.3.4 Sampling and Recruitment Methods.....	84
4.3.5 Information about the Research Participants	85
4.3.6 Research Ethics.....	86
4.3.7 Data Collection Methods	88
4.4 Data Management.....	94
4.5 Data Analysis.....	95
4.6 Conclusion	97

CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH CASE STUDIES.....	99
5.1 Introduction.....	99
5.2 Overview of Participating Hill Tribe Communities.....	101
5.2.1 Background of Pga k’nyau Communities.....	101
5.2.2 Background of the Hmong Communities.....	106
5.3 Background of Case Study Sites.....	110
5.3.1 Doi Inthanon National Park.....	110
5.3.2 Doi Suthep-Pui National Park.....	112
5.3.3 Ob Luang National Park.....	116
5.4 Conclusion.....	117
CHAPTER 6: RESULTS FROM THE CASE STUDIES.....	119
6.1 Introduction.....	119
6.2 Vulnerability Contexts of the Case Study Communities.....	120
6.3 Livelihood Resources of the Case Study Communities.....	127
6.3.1 Natural Capital.....	128
6.3.2 Human Capital.....	137
6.3.3 Social Capital.....	138
6.3.4 Financial Capital.....	139
6.3.5 Physical Capital.....	141
6.4 Transforming Processes and Structures of the Case Study Communities.....	142
6.5 Livelihood Strategies and Outcomes.....	149
6.6 Conclusion.....	152
CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION.....	154
7.1 Introduction.....	154
7.2 Changes and Challenges to the Livelihoods of Hill Tribe Communities.....	155
7.2.1 Vulnerability Contexts.....	155
7.2.2 Livelihood Assets.....	158
7.2.3 Transforming Processes and Structure.....	160

7.2.4 Livelihood Strategies and Outcomes	162
7.3 The Development of Decentralisation and Co-management Initiatives	169
7.4 The Development of Community-Based Conservation	173
7.4.1 Community-Based Ecotourism	174
7.4.2 Community-Based Natural Resource Management.....	174
7.5 Conclusion	176
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	178
8.1 Introduction.....	178
8.2 Key Findings.....	188
8.2.1 Community Vulnerability	188
8.2.2 Livelihood Assets.....	192
8.2.3 Transforming Processes and Structures	196
8.2.4 Current Livelihood Strategies and Outcomes	197
8.3 Contributions of the Study.....	198
8.4 Recommendations and Suggestions for Future Research	199
8.4.1 Recommendations for Community-Based Ecotourism	199
8.4.2 Recommendations for Co-management and Community-Based Conservation ...	201
8.4.3 Suggestions for Future Research	203
8.5 Conclusion	204
References.....	207
Appendix 1: Ethics Approval.....	244
Appendix 2: Letter of Permission from the Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plants Conservation	245
Appendix 3: Information Sheets	246
3.1. Government Sector Representatives	246
3.2 Non-Government Organisation Representatives	249
3.3 Tourism Business Representatives	252
3.4 Community Participants.....	255
3.5 Academic Participants	258

Appendix 4: Consent Form.....	261
Appendix 5: Timetable of Field Research in the Six Villages.....	262
Appendix 6: Interview Themes and Questions.....	263
6.1 Government Sector Representatives.....	263
6.2 Non-government Organisation Representatives.....	266
6.3 Tourism Business Representatives.....	269
6.4 Academic Participants.....	271
6.5 Community Participants.....	275
Appendix 7: Data Organisation in NVivo.....	280
7.1 Livelihood Resources Theme.....	280
7.2 Livelihood Strategies Theme.....	284
7.3 Sustainable Livelihood Outcomes Theme.....	285
Appendix 8: IUCN Categories of Protected Areas.....	286
Appendix 9: IUCN Protected Area Management Objectives.....	287
Appendix 10: A Comprehensive Description of National Parks.....	288
Appendix 11: The Locations of Thailand’s National Parks and Protected Areas.....	289

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 The Components of the UNDP’s Sustainable Livelihood Approach.	19
Figure 2.2 The Core Principles of the DSLF.	29
Figure 3.1 The Location of Thailand.	45
Figure 3.2 Map of Thailand.	46
Figure 3.3 Map of Global Biodiversity Hotspots.....	50
Figure 3.4 Institutional System of Natural Resource Management in Thailand.....	53
Figure 3.5 The Continuum of Protected Areas and National Park Management.	55
Figure 3.6 The Relationship between Settlement Areas and Agricultural Practices.	63
Figure 3.7 Development of Northern Thailand’s National Park Management System.....	67
Figure 3.8 Articles 8 (j) and 10 (c) of the Convention on Biological Diversity.	68
Figure 5.1 The Location of the Three Selected National Parks.....	100
Figure 5.2 Pga k’nyau Women from Baan Mae Klang Luang Village.	102
Figure 5.3 Pga k’nyau Men from Baan Pa Hmoon Village.	103
Figure 5.4 Ntsuab Hmong Dressed for the Hmong New Year.	108
Figure 5.5 Tourist Attractions in Doi Inthanon National Park.	111
Figure 5.6 Some of the Flora Species within Doi Inthanon National Park.....	111
Figure 5.7 The Location of Baan Pa Hmoon and Baan Mae Klang Luang Villages in Doi Inthanon National Park.	112
Figure 5.8 Landmarks and Heritage Sites of Doi Suthep Pui National Park.	113
Figure 5.9 Tourist Attractions in Doi Suthep Pui National Park	114
Figure 5.10 Common Tree Species in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park.....	115
Figure 5.11 The Location of Baan Mae Sa Mai and Baan Hmong Doi Pui Villages in Doi Suthep-Pui National Park.....	115
Figure 5.12 Tourist Attractions in Ob Luang National Park	116
Figure 5.13 The Location of Baan Huay Ka Noon and Baan Pa Kluay Villages in Ob Luang National Park.	117
Figure 6.2 Rice Cultivation in Baan Pa Hmoon Village, Doi Inthanon National Park.	129
Figure 6.3 Traditional Terraced Rice Field in Baan Pa Hmoon Village.	129
Figure 6.4 Using a Cultivator to Plough the Fields in Baan Pa Hmoon Village.	129
Figure 6.5 A Traditional Pga k’nyau House (left) and Rice Barn (right).	131
Figure 6.6 Making Traditional Handicrafts in a Pga k’nyau Village.	134

Figure 6.7 Ni Yom Prai Primary School in Baan Pa Hmoon 137

Figure 6.8 A Small Water Catchment Area within Baan Pa Kluay Village..... 147

Figure 6.9 Community-based Eco-tourism in Baan Pa Hmoon Village..... 150

151

Figure 6.10 Community-based Eco-tourism in Baan Mae Klang Luang Village..... 151

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Types of livelihood assets.....	32
Table 3.1 Management Objectives of Thailand’s Protected Areas.....	54
Table 3.2 Thailand’s Hill Tribe Populations.....	61
Table 4.1 Description of the PRA Methods Employed in this Study.	76
Table 4.3 The Number of Individual and Group Interviews in the Six Villages.....	91
Table 4.4 Summary of Interview Themes, Tasks and Data Collection Methods.	92
Table 8.1 Research findings and recommendations for national park management and livelihood development.....	180

List of Acronyms

AIPP	Asia Indigenous People Pact
mASL	(Height in meters) Above Sea Level
CARE	Cooperative for Assistance and Relief Everywhere
CBE	Community-based Ecotourism
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CBNRM	Community-based Natural Resource Management
CEESP	Commission on Environmental, Economic, and Social Policy
CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
DANIDA	Danish International Development Agency
DFID	(British) Department for International Development
DNP	(Thai) Department of National Parks, Wildlife, and Plant Conservation
DSLFF	DFID's Sustainable Livelihood Framework
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
FPP	Forest Peoples Programme
HDP	Highland Development Projects
ICEM	International Centre for Environmental Management
IDS	Institute of Development Studies
IMPECT	Inter Mountain Peoples Education and Culture in Thailand Association
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
IWGIA	International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals

MUHEC	Massey University Human Ethics Committee
NESDB	National Economic and Social Development Board of Thailand
NGO	Non-Government Organisations
PAR	Participatory Action Research
PLA	Participatory Learning and Action
PRA	Participatory Rural Appraisal
QDA	Qualitative Data Analysis
RFD	Royal Forest Department of Thailand
RPF	Royal Project Foundation
RRA	Rapid Rural Appraisal
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
SLA	Sustainable Livelihood Approach
SLF	Sustainable Livelihood Framework
TRI	Tribal Research Institution
TAO	Tambon Administration Organisation
TEK	Traditional Ecological Knowledge
UNCED	United Nations Conference on Environment and Development
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
WCED	World Commission on Environment and Development
WCMC	World Conservation Monitoring Centre
WCPA	World Commission on Protected Areas

Glossary of Thai Words

<i>Amphur</i>	District areas in Thailand's administrative system.
<i>Baan</i>	House or living place.
<i>Chum Chon</i>	Communities.
<i>Hmong</i>	An ethnic group of hill tribe communities living in Chiang Mai, Northern Thailand, also referred to as <i>Meo</i> . Three Hmong communities residing in Northern Thailand's national parks were selected in this research.
<i>Jungwat</i>	Provincial areas in Thailand's administrative system.
<i>Kariang</i>	An ethnic group of hill tribe communities living in Chiang Mai, Northern Thailand, also referred to as <i>Karen</i> in English literature.
<i>Khon Muang</i>	The local people who live in the lowland areas of Chiang Mai province.
<i>Moo Baan</i>	Village.
<i>Pga k'nyau</i>	One of four cultural groups comprising the Kariang people, also referred to as Skaw Karen. Three <i>Pga k'nyau</i> communities residing in Northern Thailand's national parks were selected in this research.
<i>Rai</i>	A unit of land area within the traditional Thai land measurement system. This unit is equal to 0.16 hectares or 2.5 acres.
<i>Tambon</i>	Sub-district areas in Thailand's administrative system.