

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**STRUCTURE, COMPOSITION AND DEGRADATION
OF THE CELL WALLS OF FORAGE
CHICORY (*Cichorium intybus* L.) LEAVES**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Nutritional Science

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Xuezhao Sun

2006

Abstract

Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.), a valuable forage for ruminant livestock in temperate regions, appears highly degradable in the rumen. Fundamental reasons for the rapid breakdown of chicory cell walls in the rumen were studied.

Cell walls were isolated from laminae and midribs of chicory (cv. Grasslands Puna II) leaves. The walls, which, except for the walls of xylem tracheary elements in vascular bundles, were non-lignified, were fractionated progressively with 50 mM CDTA, 50 mM Na₂CO₃, 1 M KOH, 4 M KOH, 4 M KOH + 3.5% H₃BO₃, and hot water. The polysaccharides were similar to those in nonlignified walls of other dicotyledons, but with high proportions of pectic polysaccharides (67% of the total wall polysaccharides in the laminae). These included homogalacturonans (HGs, 50% of the total wall polysaccharides in laminae) and rhamnogalacturonan I (RG I). In contrast, the proportions of cellulose, xyloglucans, heteroxylans and glucomannans were low.

The locations of different pectic polysaccharides were determined using the monoclonal antibodies JIM5 and JIM7 against HGs with low and high degrees of methyl esterification, respectively, LM6 against arabinan and LM5 against galactan. All primary walls were labelled with all the antibodies used. However, the middle lamella, tricellular junctions and the corners of intercellular spaces were labelled with JIM5 and JIM7, but not with LM5. The middle lamella was labelled with LM6, but not the corners of intercellular spaces. These results support the involvement in cell adhesion of HGs with low degrees of methyl esterification.

A preparation of endopolygalacturonase (endo-PG) was used to investigate cell adhesion, and its effect on forage particle breakdown was determined using weight loss, chemical analysis and immunofluorescence labelling. The preparation dramatically reduced particle size. Cell separation was accompanied by a loss of HGs with low degrees of methyl esterification from the middle lamella and corners of intercellular spaces. A consequential loss of cell adhesion evidently caused leaf breakdown.

The degradation of fresh chicory leaves by rumen bacteria was investigated by measuring weight loss, monosaccharide release and immunocytolabelling. Two bacteria, the pectolytic *Lachnospira multiparus* D32 and the cellulolytic *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85, effectively degraded chicory. Pectic polysaccharides were degraded

faster than other wall polysaccharides, with uronic acid released faster and more completely than neutral monosaccharides.

The preponderance of non-lignified primary walls and abundance of pectic polysaccharides may account, in part, for the rapid degradation of forage chicory in the rumen. The HGs in the middle lamellae and corners of intercellular spaces probably have a role in cell adhesion, and their degradation is probably responsible for the rapid reduction in the particle size of chicory leaves in the rumen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

At the beginning of my study, knowing that I would need the help and support from lots of people, I made a blank list so as to keep a record of all of them. Now when my study has finally come to an end, I find the list would be too long if I should list all those wonderful people in the acknowledgements. So, here are my sincere gratitude and apologies to those whose names are not mentioned below.

I would like to start with my special thanks to Dr Simone Hoskin, my chief supervisor, Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences, Massey University, for her great supervision, valuable guidance and encouragement throughout my study.

I had the pleasure of having three co-supervisors, who made it possible for me to get multidisciplinary guidance. I sincerely give my special thanks to them: Dr Ian Andrew, Institute of Molecular Biosciences, Massey University, for his patience, advice for accuracy and detail, and encouragement; Dr Keith Joblin at AgResearch Grasslands for his constant inspiration, critical comments and his encouragement for me to think in English; and Associate Professor Philip Harris, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, for his constructive suggestions and productive guidance, especially in scientific writing.

All of my supervisors dedicated countless hours to discuss my experimental plans, data, papers and this thesis. These supervising activities kept me on the right track with my study.

I sincerely thank Dr W. Rumball at AgResearch Grasslands for his generous gift of chicory plants for my whole study, Dr. Chungui Qiao at Statistics New Zealand for his statistical suggestions, Dr Nicolás López-Villalobos at Massey University for showing me how to use SAS software, Dr Jason Trethewey at The University of Auckland for initial guidance in immunogold labelling, Dr Tracey Bootten at The University of Auckland for her technical suggestions on cell-wall isolation, Dr Ian Sims of Industrial Research Limited for suggestions on methylation method, Associate Professor Armando McDonald at University of Ohio, USA, for operating the GC-MS and help with the software, Mr Chris Rawlingson for helping me use GC, Mrs Maggie Zou for her assistance in freeze drying, Mrs Charlotte James for her assistance in fixing and embedding with wax, and Mr Adrian Koolaard for his kindness in using equipment. I

also owe many thanks to Mr Paul Sutherland at HortResearch for his hints on the immunogold technique, Mr Doug Hopcroft and Mr Raymond Bennett at HortResearch Institute for technical supports for EM work, Mrs Elizabeth Nickless at Massey University for training and aiding me in the use of epifluorescence microscope, Mr Mervin Birtles and Mr Aaron Hicks at Massey University for their help in fixing and embedding, Dr J. Paul Knox at the University of Leeds, UK, for his generous gift of monoclonal antibodies and valuable suggestions, Dr Ferdinand Engels at Wageningen University, Netherlands, for suggestions on the “section-to-slide” technique, Mr Guy Hessell for helping me to use the facilities for immunofluorescence labeling, Dr David Harding for his help in using the sonicator, Dr Allan Stewart at Pyne Gould Guinness Ltd (PGG seeds) for information about chicory cultivars, Dr Boxing Ye at Shandong Agricultural University, China, for help in identification of cell types and Professor K. Roberts at John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK for his generous gift of monoclonal antibodies. My thanks also go to Associate Professor David Fountain, Mr Robert Southward, Mr Craig McGill, Ms Kay Kitchen in Seed Technology Group at Massey University for their friendship and help with my work in the lab. Thanks to Ms Andrea Coleman at IVABS, Massey University for help in drawing a diagram (Figure 2.4).

The advice from Professor Tom Barry and Professor Colin Holmes at Massey University before and during my study is also appreciated.

To friends– Dr Jiafa Luo, Dr Jiancheng Song, Dr Guodong Yuan, Dr Dong Li, Dr Mingshu Cao, Mr Chunhong Li, Mr Zhanhao Kong, Mr Qingning Li, Miss Qiaoling Zhang and Ms Qin Deng. I would like to express my thanks for their help and concern, especially to Mr Qingning Li for his comments on English.

I would like to give my thanks to a large administration team for postgraduate students at Massey University for their work. Among them, Professor Hugh Blair, Mrs Allain Scott and Mrs Dianna Wilson need to be specially mentioned.

I am extremely grateful for being granted a Top Achiever Bright Future Scholarship from the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology, New Zealand as this scholarship enabled me to focus on my study without worrying about daily trivia. Thanks to the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology (FRST), as well as to the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health (IFNHH), the Institute of Molecular

BioSciences (IMBS) and the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences (IVABS), all of Massey University for their travel grants, which enabled me to attend several academic conferences overseas and in New Zealand. Thanks also to IVABS and IFNHH and IMBS for the research grants, which topped up my research funding.

My parents, my parents-in-law and some of my former colleagues dispersed in the northern hemisphere also deserve my sincere gratitude for their continuous support, regardless of the fact that they may not understand my study at all.

I am eternally indebted to my wife, Junying Ye, for her unconditional love and support, and also to my loving daughter Laurie and son Thomas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxxi

Chapter one

Literature review	1
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 Forage chicory	5
1.2.1 Biology and origins	5
1.2.2 Cultivars.....	6
1.2.3 Chemical composition	6
1.2.3.1 Minerals.....	6
1.2.3.2 Crude protein	7
1.2.3.3 Soluble and structural carbohydrates	7
1.2.3.4 Secondary compounds	7
1.2.4 Feeding value	9
1.2.4.1 Factors affecting feeding value.....	9
1.2.4.1.1 Voluntary feed intake	9
1.2.4.1.2 Nutritive value	9
1.2.4.2 Animal performance	12
1.2.5 Agronomic merits.....	12
1.3 Forage cell walls	14
1.3.1 Plant anatomy.....	14
1.3.2 Structures of the cell walls of forage plants.....	15
1.3.2.1 Middle lamella.....	15
1.3.2.2 Primary cell walls	15
1.3.2.3 Secondary cell walls	16

1.3.3 Compositions of cell walls.....	16
1.3.3.1 Cellulose.....	17
1.3.3.2 Pectic polysaccharides	18
1.3.3.3 Other non-cellulosic polysaccharides (“hemicelluloses”)	21
1.3.3.4 Proteins and glycoproteins	23
1.3.3.5 Phenolic components	24
1.3.4 Location of polysaccharides in cell walls	24
1.3.5 Models of cell walls.....	25
1.4 Ruminal degradation of forage plant cell walls.....	29
1.4.1 Rumen microbes.....	30
1.4.1.1 Bacteria	30
1.4.1.2 Fungi	32
1.4.1.3 Protozoa	32
1.4.2 Cell wall degradation by rumen microbes	32
1.4.2.1 Fibrolytic enzymes.....	32
1.4.2.2 Cell-wall polysaccharide degradation.....	35
1.5 Summary and research objectives.....	35

Chapter two

Polysaccharide compositions of leaf cell walls of forage chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.)

2.1 Abstract	40
2.2 Introduction	40
2.3 Materials and methods	42
2.3.1 Plant material	42
2.3.2 Anatomy.....	42
2.3.3 Histochemistry	42
2.3.4 Isolation of walls	43
2.3.5 Fractionation of the wall preparations	44
2.3.6 Hydrolysis of wall preparations and wall fractions.....	46
2.3.7 Determination of the neutral monosaccharide compositions of wall preparations and fractions.....	46
2.3.8 Determination of the acidic monosaccharide compositions of wall preparations and fractions.....	47

2.3.8.1 Paper chromatography	47
2.3.8.2 Determination of uronic acids	47
2.3.9 Linkage analysis of the wall polysaccharides using methylation analysis.....	48
2.3.10 Determination of the polysaccharide compositions of wall preparations and fractions	50
2.4 Results	51
2.4.1 Leaf anatomy.....	51
2.4.2 Wall histochemistry	54
2.4.3 Yields of isolated walls and fractions.....	55
2.4.4 Starch concentrations in the wall preparations and wall fractions	56
2.4.5 Monosaccharide compositions of unfractionated wall preparations	56
2.4.6 Monosaccharide compositions of the wall fractions	56
2.4.7 Identification of uronic acids in wall preparations.....	59
2.4.8 Linkage analysis of the wall polysaccharides	60
2.5 Discussion.....	63
2.5.1 Overall compositions of non-lignified primary walls.....	63
2.5.2 Lamina vs midrib.....	65
2.5.3 Pectic polysaccharides	66
2.5.4 Xyloglucans.....	67
2.5.5 Connection of pectins to cellulose.....	67

Chapter 3

Location of pectic polysaccharides in the cell walls of forage chicory

(<i>Cichorium intybus</i>) leaves using immunolabelling	73
3.1 Abstract.....	74
3.2 Introduction.....	75
3.3 Material and methods	76
3.3.1 Plant material.....	76
3.3.2 Monoclonal antibodies.....	76
3.3.3 Fixation and embedding.....	76
3.3.4 Light microscopy and immunofluorescence labelling.....	77
3.3.5 Immunogold labelling.....	78
3.4 Results	79

3.4.1 Immunolabelling with JIM5.....	79
3.4.2 Immunolabelling with JIM7.....	85
3.4.3 Immunolabelling with LM5.....	90
3.4.4 Immunolabelling with LM6.....	96
3.4.5 Specificity of labelling.....	97
3.4.6 Effect of postfixation with osmium tetroxide	97
3.5 Discussion.....	102
3.5.1 Homogalacturonan (JIM5 and JIM7)	102
3.5.1.1 Distribution, deposition and de-methylesterification	102
3.5.1.2 Cell adhesion	103
3.5.1.3 Structural support	105
3.5.1.4 Homogalacturonan in fresh sections.....	105
3.5.2 (1→5)-α-L-arabinan (LM6) and (1→4)-β-D-galactan (LM5)	106
3.5.2.1 (1→5)-α-L-arabinan and (1→4)-β-D-galactan in cell adhesion.....	106
3.5.2.2 (1→5)-α-L-arabinan and (1→4)-β-D-galactan during cell development	106
3.5.2.3 (1→5)-α-L-arabinan and (1→4)-β-D-galactan in the walls of different cell types	108
3.5.3 Significance of distribution.....	112

Chapter 4

The degradation of pectins in chicory leaf cell walls by

endopolygalacturonase.....	115
4.1 Abstract	116
4.2 Introduction	116
4.3 Materials and methods	118
4.3.1 Plant materials.....	118
4.3.2 Experiment 1 (forage particle breakdown by endo-PG treatment)	118
4.3.3 Experiment 2 (effect of chelating reagents, acids and bases on maceration).....	119
4.3.4 Experiment 3 (the release of monosaccharide residues after endo-PG treatment)	120
4.3.5 Experiment 4 (immunolabelling with JIM5, JIM7, LM5 and LM6).....	121

4.4 Results	123
4.4.1 Experiment 1 (forage particle breakdown by endo-PG treatment)	123
4.4.2 Experiment 2 (effect of chelating reagents, acids and bases on maceration).....	127
4.4.3 Experiment 3 (the release of monosaccharide residues after endo-PG treatment)	129
4.4.4 Experiment 4 (immunocytolabelling with JIM5, JIM7, LM5 and LM6).....	132
4.4.4.1 Cell separation and cell shape change.....	132
4.4.4.2 Immunolabelling	132
4.4.4.2.1 JIM5 labelling	133
4.4.4.2.2 JIM7 labelling	144
4.4.4.2.3 LM5 labelling	154
4.4.4.2.4 LM6 labelling	163
4.5 Discussion.....	174
4.5.1 Cell adhesion	174
4.5.1.1 Cell adhesion in dicotyledons.....	174
4.5.1.1.1 Cortical parenchyma	175
4.5.1.1.2 Epidermis and hypodermis	177
4.5.1.1.3 Outer phloem parenchyma.....	178
4.5.1.2 Cell adhesion in the Poaceae	179
4.5.2 Structural function of pectins	179
4.5.2.1 Structural support from pectins	179
4.5.2.2 Differential distribution of pectic polysaccharides within a wall....	179
4.5.2.3 Connection of pectic polysaccharides to cellulose	180
4.5.2.4 Pectic polysaccharides in the walls of phloem sieve cells and companion cells	181
4.5.2.5 Pectins in guard cell walls	181
4.5.2.6 Pectins in the walls of phloem fibres	181
4.5.3 Chicory particle breakdown	182
Chapter 5	
The degradation of intact fresh chicory by rumen bacteria	185
5.1 Abstract.....	186
5.2 Introduction.....	186

5.3 Materials and methods	188
5.3.1 Plant material	188
5.3.2 Rumen bacteria.....	189
5.3.3 Incubation media	189
5.3.4 Weight loss and monosaccharide residue release	190
5.3.5 Histochemical staining and immunocytochemical labelling	191
5.4 Results	191
5.4.1 Chicory degradation	191
5.4.2 Weight loss and culture pH.....	193
5.4.3 Monosaccharide residue release.....	195
5.4.4 Histochemical staining and immunocytochemical labelling	205
5.4.4.1 Histochemical staining.....	205
5.4.4.2 JIM5 labelling.....	208
5.4.4.3 JIM7 labelling.....	208
5.4.4.4 LM5 labelling	215
5.4.4.5 LM6 labelling	215
5.5 Discussion.....	221
5.5.1 Background	221
5.5.2 Degradation of pectins.....	221
5.5.2.1 Degradation of different pectic polysaccharides	221
5.5.2.2 Effect of HG esterification on degradation	224
5.5.2.3 Effects of bacterial species on degradation.....	225
5.5.2.4 Effects of tissue types on degradation	227
Chapter 6	
General discussion	229
6.1 Introduction	230
6.2 Chicory cell composition.....	231
6.2.1 The proportions of cell contents and cell walls.....	231
6.2.2 Chicory cell-wall composition.....	232
6.2.2.1 Wall carbohydrates	232
6.2.2.1.1 Monosaccharide compositions of cell-wall polysaccharides ...	232
6.2.2.1.2 Polysaccharides.....	233
6.2.2.2 Lignin.....	236

6.3 Functions of pectic polysaccharides in plants.....	237
6.3.1 Cell adhesion	237
6.3.2 Structural support	241
6.3.3 Phloem fibre cell walls	241
6.3.4 Transfer cells and wall ingrowths.....	243
6.3.5 Order of deposition of galactans and arabinans	243
6.4 Importance of cell-wall pectins for forage degradation	244
6.4.1 Effect of cell-wall pectins on forage digestibility	244
6.4.2 Effect of cell-wall pectin on forage particle breakdown.....	244
6.4.2.1 Importance of particle breakdown	244
6.4.2.2 Rapid breakdown of chicory in the rumen.....	244
6.4.2.3 Hypothesis for the rapid breakdown of chicory in the rumen.....	245
6.4.2.4 Support for the hypothesis on forage particle breakdown	246
6.4.3 Advantages of pectins as wall components for forages.....	246
6.5 Conclusions and recommendations for future work	247
6.5.1 Conclusions	247
6.5.2 Recommendations for future work.....	249
6.5.2.1 Cell-wall structure.....	249
6.5.2.2 Location of pectin	251
6.5.2.3 Degradation of pectins	252
Appendices	255
References	265

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Chemical composition of vegetative chicory and other forages (g kg ⁻¹ DM)	8
Table 1.2 <i>In vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> DM, OM digestibilities of chicory	11
Table 1.3 Liveweight gain (g/d) of animals grazing on chicory.....	13
Table 1.4 Cell wall components.....	17
Table 1.5 Identity and enzyme activities of ruminal microbes involved in the degradation of plant cell walls in the rumen	31
Table 1.6 Major enzyme activities present in the rumen and required for hydrolysis of plant cell wall polymers.....	33
Table 2.1 Autofluorescence and histochemical staining of leaf cell walls of chicory	54
Table 2.2 Yields of isolated walls from chicory leaves	55
Table 2.3 Percentage recoveries, the content of uronic acids and anhydrous neutral monosaccharides in wall preparations from the lamina and midrib of chicory leaves.....	57
Table 2.4 Glycosyl linkage composition of the polysaccharides in the walls and wall fractions from the lamina and midrib of rosette chicory leaves (mol%)	61
Table 2.5 Estimated proportions of polysaccharides in the walls and wall fractions from the lamina and midrib of rosette chicory leaves	62
Table 2.6 The ratio of galactose to arabinose in plant cell wall preparations	65
Table 4.1 Effect of crude pectinase and endopolygalacturonase preparations on forage breakdown.....	126
Table 4.2 Effect of chelating reagents, acids, base on maceration of chicory leaves	128

Table 4.3 The particle breakdown (% w/w) and the distribution of monosaccharides in fractions from endo-PG-treated chicory lamina and midrib (% w/w)	131
Table 5.1 Net dry weight losses (%) from chicory leaf lamina and midrib after incubation with ruminal bacteria for 144 h.....	193
Table 5.2 Loss (%) of dry matter (DM), uronic acids (UA) and neutral monosaccharide residues from chicory tissues after 144 h incubation with bacteria.....	205
Table 5.3 Main forage cell wall pectin-associated monosaccharide residues released by rumen bacteria or enzymes.....	222
Table 6.1 The proportions of cell contents and cell walls.....	232
Table 6.2 Monosaccharide compositions of the cell walls of grasses, forage legumes and chicory	234
Table 6.3 Cell wall non-cellulosic polysaccharides of chicory and other forages....	235

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Chicory field	3
Figure 1.2	Chicory in vegetative stage.....	3
Figure 1.3	Chicory in reproductive stage (above), chicory flowers (left) (from Simone Hoskin).....	4
Figure 1.4	The structure of homogalacturonan.....	18
Figure 1.5	The structure of rhamnogalacturonan I (from Harris, 2005)	19
Figure 1.6	The structure of rhamnogalacturonan II (adapted from O'Neill et al., 2004).....	20
Figure 1.7	Fucogalactoxyloglucan (from Harris, 2005).....	22
Figure 1.8	The structures of heteroxylan (from Hatfield, 1989)	23
Figure 1.9	The structure of galactoglucomannan (from Harris, 2005)	23
Figure 1.10	Model of the primary cell walls of dicotyledonous plants. From Somerville et al. (2004) elaborated from McCann and Roberts (1991). Because of the exaggerated distance between microfibrils, the “hemicellulose” cross-links [shown in dark orange (xyloglucan, XG) or light orange (glucoronoarabinoxylan, GAX)] are abnormally extended.	26
Figure 1.11	Cross-links between pectic polysaccharides. a) cross-links among pectin domains, b) cross-links between RG II molecules via borate ester, c) cross-links between HG molecules via calcium cations (Cosgrove, 2005).....	28
Figure 1.12	Conceptual model of grass cell wall interactions (adapted from Hatfield, 1993).....	29
Figure 1.13	The proposed hypotheses and objectives.....	38

Figure 2.1A Photomicrographs of a transverse section of the lamina midway along a rosette leaf of chicory.....	52
Figure 2.1B Photomicrographs of a transverse section of the midrib midway along a rosette leaf of chicory.....	53
Figure 2.2 Distribution of monosaccharides in wall fractions of lamina and midrib of chicory leaves.....	58
Figure 2.3 Paper chromatograph of hydrolysates of chicory cell wall preparations from lamina and midrib.....	59
Figure 2.4 A diagram of the putative structure of a cellulose-pectin-xyloglucan complex in chicory cell walls.....	70
Figure 3.1 Micrographs of transverse sections from the midrib and lamina of 8-week-old rosette chicory leaves labelled with the JIM5 antibody	81
Figure 3.2 Micrographs of transverse sections from the lamina and midrib of 8-week-old rosette chicory leaves labelled with the JIM7 antibody	87
Figure 3.3 Micrographs of transverse sections from the lamina and midrib of 8-week-old rosette chicory labelled with the LM5 antibody	92
Figure 3.4 Micrographs of transverse sections from the lamina and midrib of 8-week-old rosette chicory labelled with the LM6 antibody	98
Figure 4.1 Chicory leaves (A, B), perennial ryegrass leaf blades (C, D) and white clover leaflets (E, F) incubated without (A, C, E) or with (B, D, F) endo-PG (1 unit ml ⁻¹) for 16 h at 39 °C in acetate buffer (pH 4.0, 200 mM) with rotary shaking (150 rpm).	124
Figure 4.2 Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM5 antibody, in the region of epidermis and hypodermis, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na ₂ CO ₃ and endo-PG.....	135

- Figure 4.3** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM5 antibody, in the region of cortical parenchymas, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 137
- Figure 4.4** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM5 antibody, in the region of vascular bundle, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 139
- Figure 4.5** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf lamina with JIM5 antibody, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 142
- Figure 4.6** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM7 antibody, in the region of epidermis and hypodermis, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 146
- Figure 4.7** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM7 antibody, in cortical parenchyma, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 148
- Figure 4.8** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM7 antibody, in the region of vascular bundle, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 150
- Figure 4.9** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf lamina with JIM7 antibody, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 152
- Figure 4.10** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM5 antibody, in the region of epidermis and hypodermis, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG. 155

- Figure 4.11** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM5 antibody, in cortical parenchyma, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.....157
- Figure 4.12** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM5 antibody, in the region of vascular bundle, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.159
- Figure 4.13** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf lamina with LM5 antibody, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.161
- Figure 4.14** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM6 antibody, in the region of epidermis and hypodermis, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.....165
- Figure 4.15** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM6 antibody, in the cortical parenchyma, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.....167
- Figure 4.16** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with LM6 antibody, in the region of vascular bundle, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.169
- Figure 4.17** Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf lamina with LM6 antibody, before and after treatments with sodium acetate buffer, CDTA, Na₂CO₃ and endo-PG.172
- Figure 5.1** Chicory lamina (A) and midrib (B) after incubation with rumen bacteria for 144 h. (1) control. (2) *F. succinogenes*. (3) *L. multiparus*. (4) *R. flavefaciens*. (5) *B. hungatei*.192

Figure 5.2	Net dry weight loss (%) in chicory leaf lamina and midrib incubated with <i>L. multiparus</i> or <i>F. succinogenes</i>	194
Figure 5.3	pH of the uninoculated control and after incubating chicory lamina and midrib with rumen bacteria for 144 h.	195
Figure 5.4	The loss of uronic acids from chicory leaf lamina and midrib during incubation with <i>L. multiparus</i> or <i>F. succinogenes</i>	196
Figure 5.5	The loss of galactose residues from chicory leaf lamina and midrib during incubation with <i>L. multiparus</i> or <i>F. succinogenes</i>	197
Figure 5.6	The loss of arabinose residues from chicory leaf lamina and midrib during incubation with <i>L. multiparus</i> or <i>F. succinogenes</i>	198
Figure 5.7	The loss of rhamnose residues from chicory leaf lamina and midrib during incubation with <i>L. multiparus</i> or <i>F. succinogenes</i>	199
Figure 5.8	The release of pectin-associated monosaccharide residues from chicory leaf midribs incubated with <i>L. multiparus</i>	200
Figure 5.9	The release of pectin-associated monosaccharide residues from chicory leaf midribs incubated with <i>F. succinogenes</i>	201
Figure 5.10	The release of glucose residues from chicory lamina and midrib incubated with <i>L. multiparus</i> and <i>F. succinogenes</i>	202
Figure 5.11	The release of mannose residues from chicory lamina and midrib incubated with <i>L. multiparus</i> and <i>F. succinogenes</i>	203
Figure 5.12	The release of xylose residues from chicory lamina and midrib incubated with <i>L. multiparus</i> and <i>F. succinogenes</i>	204
Figure 5.13	Micrographs of resin-embedded transverse sections of chicory midrib after incubation with <i>L. multiparus</i> or with <i>F. succinogenes</i> for 24 h. The sections were stained with toluidine blue.	206

- Figure 5.14** Micrographs of resin-embedded transverse sections of chicory midrib after incubation with *L. multiparus* or with *F. succinogenes* for 24 h. The sections were labeled with JIM5 targeting HG with a low degree of methyl esterification.210
- Figure 5.15** Micrographs of resin-embedded transverse sections of chicory midrib after incubation with *L. multiparus* or with *F. succinogenes* for 24 h. The sections were labeled with JIM7 targeting HG with a high degree of methyl esterification.212
- Figure 5.16** Micrographs of the vascular bundles from chicory midrib incubated with *L. multiparus* for 24 h (A, B) or for 72 h (C). The sections were labeled with antibodies JIM5 (A) and JIM7 (B, C) targeting HG with a low and high degree of methyl esterification, respectively.213
- Figure 5.17** Micrographs of resin-embedded transverse sections of chicory midrib after incubation with *L. multiparus* or with *F. succinogenes*. The sections were labeled with antibodies LM5 targeting galactan (A, B, C, E) and JIM7 targeting HG with a low degree of methyl esterification.216
- Figure 5.18** Micrographs of resin-embedded transverse sections of chicory midrib after incubation with *L. multiparus* or with *F. succinogenes*. The sections were labeled with LM6 targeting arabinan.218
- Figure 6.1** The proposed role of pectins of the cell walls of chicory leaves in degradation in the rumen.248

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Eight-week old chicory leaves used in this study	255
Appendix 2 Wall preparations from the midrib of chicory leaves stained with Ponceau 2R.....	256
Appendix 3 Wall preparations from the lamina of chicory leaves stained with Ponceau 2R.....	257
Appendix 4 Properties of endo-polygalacturonanase preparations from <i>Aspergillus niger</i>	258
Appendix 5 Immunofluorescence labelling of transverse resin-embedded sections of chicory leaf midrib with JIM5, JIM7, LM5 and LM6 antibodies, before and after treatments with citrate-phosphate.	259
Appendix 6 The formula for the vitamin solution used in bacterial incubation....	263

ABBREVIATIONS

α	anomeric configuration/ or cellulose polymorph
β	anomeric configuration/ or cellulose polymorph
ADF	acidic detergent fibre
AG-I	Arabinogalactan I
AG-II	Arabinogalactan II
AGP	Arabinogalactan Proteins
AGX	arabino(glucurono)xylan
AIR	alcohol-insoluble residues
Ara	arabinose
BSA	bovine serum albumin
CDTA	<i>trans</i> -1, 2-diaminocyclohexane-N, N, N', N'-tetraacetic acid
D-	optical isomer of the sugar
DM	dry matter
DMD	dry matter digestibility
DPX	dibutyl phthalate xylene medium
EC	Enzyme Commission
EGTA	ethylene glycol bis(2-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N'N'-tetraacetic acid
endo-PG	endopolygalacturonase
<i>f</i>	furanose form of the monosaccharide
FID	flame ionisation detector
Fuc	fucose
<i>g</i>	gravity
GalA	galacturonic acid
GAX	glucuronoarabinoxylan
GC	gas chromatography
GC-MS	gas chromatography-mass spectra
GGM	galactoglucomannan
GI	gastrointestinal
Glc	glucose
GlcA	glucuronic acid
HG	homogalacturonan

IgG	Immunoglobulin G
IVDMD	<i>in vitro</i> dry matter digestibility
IVOMD	<i>in vitro</i> organic matter digestibility
KV	kilo voltages
L-	optical isomer of the sugar
Man	mannose
min	minute
MOPS	3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid
NDF	neutral detergent fibre
NSP	non-starch polysaccharide
OM	organic matter
OMD	organic matter digestibility
<i>p</i>	pyranose form of the monosaccharide
PBS	phosphate buffered saline buffer
PBS-T	phosphate buffered saline buffer with Tween 20
PhI-HCl	phoroglucinol-HCl
PIPES	piperazine-1,4-bis (2-ethanesulfonic acid)
PMAA	partially methylated alditol acetate
PME	pectin methylesterase
PRG	perennial ryegrass
RG I	rhamnogalacturonan I
RG II	rhamnogalacturonan II
Rha	rhamnose
SEM	standard error of mean
<i>t</i> -	terminal
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
UA	uronic acids
UV	ultraviolet (radiation)
v/v	volume : volume ratio
VFI	voluntary feed intake
w/v	weight : volume ratio
w/w	weight : weight ratio
WC	white clover
Xyl	xylose