

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Assessing the use of artificial nests for predicting predation
pressure in New Zealand forest fragments**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters of

Science in Ecology

At Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand

Rebecca May Lewis

2005

Acknowledgements

One of the best things about this research project was the amount of people I had the opportunity to work alongside. I will begin with my supervisor Doug Armstrong, his door was always open for those often not so quick questions, and his ability to support the person as well as the student provided me with the freedom to learn all that I could. Mike Joy, for providing me with friendship and copious amounts of support (and coffee), pointing out good things about the project to motivate me and last but not least for agreeing to come in as a supervisor in the late stages. Vivianne McGlynn and Carlos Lehnebach for their toleration of the running commentary on artificial nests every evening, and for providing me with a home from home (for the latter, thank you also Tony McGlynn). Don Ravine who provided me with a wealth of support and many happy times crawling through Paengaroa. For their unwieldy support and contribution to my sanity, Dorothée Durpoix, Yvan Richard, Åsa Berggren, Matt Low, Andrew Kent, Clare Browne, Rebecca Boulton, Alison Hewit, Jay McCartney, Richard Seaton, Phil Dawson and Barbara Just. To Alistair Roberston for his help with the egg photography.

I owe a debt of gratitude to the following people who meticulously collected data for me, without which this work would be incomplete so thank you; Tim Lovegrove, Yvan Richard, Rebecca Boulton, Mike Joy, Alison Hewit, Åsa Berggren, Matt Low, Anne Rimmer, Kirsty Richardson and Wendy Sullivan. Also to those who kindly supplied data; Ralph Powlesland, Dave Pattenmore and Delia Small. Also to Gordon and Celia Stephenson who made me feel incredibly welcome, fed, watered and gave me a bed, I looked forwards to visiting Waotu!

Thank you also, Terry O'Conner, Ray and Barbara Walters, Howard Matthews and Raewyn Empson for assistance they have given me and for allowing me access to the reserves.

For their financial support thank you The Marsden Fund, Horizons Regional Council, Auckland Regional Council, Supporters of Tiritiri Matangi and Waikato Forest and Bird.

Last but not least, to my family, namely my Gran, Mum, Dad, and Aunty Sandra, your support for me never falters I am extremely lucky. To my partner and friend Paul Turner, your toleration, support and tremendous understanding pushed me on. Words cannot express what having you all with me on this journey has meant.

Table of Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	iv
<i>Thesis Abstract</i>	vii
 <i>Chapter One</i>	
<i>Thesis Introduction</i>	2
<i>Thesis outline</i>	9
 <i>Chapter Two</i>	
<i>Using artificial nests to assess predation pressure in forest fragments</i>	10
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	11
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	12
<i>METHODS</i>	15
<i>Study species</i>	15
<i>Study sites</i>	16
<i>Natural nests</i>	17
<i>Artificial nests</i>	18
<i>Nest placement</i>	19
<i>Checking routine</i>	20
<i>Predator identification</i>	21
<i>Statistical Analysis</i>	22
<i>Natural nest success</i>	22
<i>Artificial nests</i>	27
<i>Distinguishing rat and mouse imprints</i>	27
<i>Estimating artificial nest success</i>	27
<i>Fitting the relationship between artificial and natural nest success</i>	31
<i>RESULTS</i>	34
<i>Natural nests</i>	34
<i>Nest success estimates for each reserve</i>	34
<i>Model selection for each reserve</i>	35
<i>Artificial nests</i>	37
<i>Predator identification</i>	37
<i>Nest success estimates for each reserve and predator group</i>	40

<i>Model selection for each reserve and predator group</i>	42
<i>Fitting the relationship between artificial and natural nest success</i>	43
<i>DISCUSSION</i>	48
<i>Recommendations</i>	58
<i>Management implications</i>	60
<i>APPENDIX</i>	62

Chapter Three

Methodological technique required to gain meaningful results using artificial nests to monitor natural nest success: a case study in New Zealand forest fragments..... 67

<i>ABSTRACT</i>	68
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	69
<i>METHODS</i>	71
<i>Study sites and field methods</i>	71
<i>Aim 1: - The level of monitoring required to facilitate an accurate prediction of natural nest success using artificial nest success.</i>	72
<i>Aim 2: - The influence of length of exposure period on the ability to identify predators using egg remains</i>	74
<i>Aim 3: - The effect of using real eggs versus clay eggs alone on the probability of artificial nest success</i>	75
<i>Aim 4: - The ability to identify predator type by using artificial nest and egg remains.</i>	75
<i>RESULTS</i>	76
<i>Aim 1</i>	76
<i>Aim 2</i>	81
<i>Aim 3</i>	82
<i>Aim 4</i>	87
<i>Discussion</i>	90
<i>Aim 1</i>	90
<i>Aim 2</i>	94
<i>Aim 3</i>	97
<i>Aim 4</i>	100
<i>APPENDIX</i>	104

Chapter Four

The influence of habitat structure on the probability of artificial nest depredation by avian and mammalian predators in New Zealand forest fragments: consequences for using artificial nests..... 105

<i>ABSTRACT</i>	106
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	107
<i>METHODS</i>	109
<i>Habitat measurements</i>	109
<i>Bait Stations</i>	111
<i>Statistical methodology</i>	111
<i>The influence of distance to bait station on nest success</i>	111
<i>The influence of micro-habitat on nest success</i>	112
<i>The influence of macrohabitat on nest success</i>	112
<i>Nest success</i>	113
<i>RESULTS</i>	114
<i>Mammalian predators</i>	114
<i>Influence of distance to bait stations on nest success</i>	114
<i>The influence of microhabitat on nest success</i>	115
<i>The influence of macrohabitat on nest success</i>	117
<i>Avian predators</i>	119
<i>The influence of distance to bait stations on nest success</i>	119
<i>The influence of microhabitat on nest success</i>	120
<i>The influence of macrohabitat on nest success</i>	122
<i>DISCUSSION</i>	125
<i>The influence of distance to bait stations on nest success</i>	125
<i>The influence of microhabitat on nest success</i>	125
<i>The influence of macrohabitat on nest success</i>	127
<i>Limitations of this study</i>	134
<i>Management implications and recommendations</i>	136
 <i>Chapter Five</i>	
<i>General Discussion</i>	138
<i>REFERENCES</i>	146

THESIS ABSTRACT

Using artificial nests to predict the predation pressure on natural bird nests has been the subject of over 400 publications, the vast majority quantifying aspects that affect nest success. However, these studies have usually invoked the assumption that artificial nests accurately reflect the success of natural nests. The intention of this thesis was to evaluate the technique of using artificial nests to predict natural nest success, with the aim of establishing the main factors influencing its success as a monitoring technique. This was done by addressing three main questions a) Can artificial nests be used to predict natural nest success in forest fragments?, b) What aspects of the methodology influence the precision, practical application and interpretation of the results from artificial nests in New Zealand forest fragments?, c) What external factors, i.e. habitat structure, confound interpretation of artificial nests results in New Zealand forest fragments?

Artificial nest experiments were conducted concurrently in nine reserves, with estimates of nest success calculated for each reserve using the known fate model in MARK. These estimates were then correlated with the success of North Island Robin nests (estimated using Stanley's (2000) method of stage specific nest success) in the corresponding reserves. General linear modelling was used to fit a log-log relationship between artificial and natural nest success estimates using parametric bootstrapping to account for error in the estimates. The Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) model selection procedure was used to select the model for estimating both artificial and natural nest success and for selecting the best model for predicting natural nest success using artificial nests.

The evidence from the results revealed that artificial nests could be used to predict natural nest success. However, imperative to achieving this result was having the ability to identify and conduct independent analysis for each predator group (all predators, 'rats and possum', birds and mice). AIC selection

procedure selected nest success estimates derived from predation by rats and possum as the most parsimonious model, hence the best at predicting natural nest success.

Investigation of methodology showed that: (1) data from artificial nests left out for one week gave better predictions than data collected over four consecutive weeks; (2) leaving nests out longer than one week before checking increases the chance of the marks becoming obscured, hampering predator identification; (3) adding a quail egg has little effect on predation rate, particularly on the rate of predation by mammals; and (4) it is necessary to include clay eggs in artificial nests as marks left on quail eggs and damage done to artificial nests were not reliable indicators of predator type.

Investigation of external factors revealed: (1) no strong or consistent evidence that the fine-scale habitat at nest sites affected predation on artificial nests; (2) reserve size may affect the rate of rat and possum predation, but not bird predation; and (3) the proximity of artificial nests to a bait station may influence the rate of predation by mammals.