

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

1. (a) I give permission for my thesis, entitled
...Seed Production in Garden.....
...Nasturtium (Tropaeolum majus Linn).....

.....
to be made available to readers in the Library under the conditions determined by the Librarian.

- (b) I agree to my thesis, if asked for by another institution, being sent away on temporary loan under conditions determined by the Librarian.
(c) I also agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.

2. I do not wish my thesis, entitled
.....
.....
.....

to be made available to readers or to be sent to other institutions without my written consent within the next two years.

Signed V. FAKAVA *[Signature]*

Date 8.2.93

* Strike out the sentence or phrase which does not apply.

The Library
Massey University
Palmerston North, N.Z.

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must sign their name in the space below to show that they recognise this. They are asked to add their permanent address.

Name and Address

Date

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

SEED PRODUCTION IN GARDEN NASTURTIUM

(Tropaeolum majus Linn.)

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Agriculture Science
in Seed Technology
at Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand**

VILIAMI TISILELI FAKAVA

1992

ABSTRACT

This thesis reports the results of research on seed production of garden nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*). The research programme was begun in late 1991 with an investigation on the effects of plant density on *Tropaeolum majus* cv. Choice Mixed grown under field conditions. The plant responses to changing plant density in terms of the vegetative growth and morphology, flowering pattern, seed yield and yield component were investigated using four different densities ranging from 3 to 45 plants per m². The results of this research showed that increasing plant density decreased branch number, dry weight, leaf number and area, and flower number per plant. It was also shown that seed yield is primarily determined by the number of flowers produced per m² and this character was identified as an important aspect to be manipulated for improving seed yield. Although increasing plant density resulted in decreased seed yield per plant, seed yield per unit area was similar at all densities.

Nasturtium flower and seed development studies showed that irrespective of density it takes about 12 days for the green floral bud stage to complete flowering and each flower needed 40-50 days from pollination to reach physiological seed maturity. Seed started shedding at 40 DAP at a moisture content of 78-80% and a maximum seed weight of 0.18 grams. Seed ripening occurs after 50 days from pollination after seed shedding on the ground surface. Maximum seed yield was achieved at 40 days after peak flowering at all densities.

The second stage of the study involved an assessment of the tolerance of nasturtium to various selective herbicides. This experiment was conducted in January-June 1992

in the glasshouse and was designed to provide information on the phytotoxicity of herbicides to nasturtium seedlings and plants. A wide range of soil and foliar applied herbicides were evaluated for their phytotoxicity to nasturtium. Four pre-emergence chemicals, chlorpropham (3.2 kg ai/ha), alachlor (2 kg ai/ha), oryzalin (3 kg ai/ha) and trifluralin (0.8 kg ai/ha) were considered to be the most selective and are recommended for direct sown nasturtium crops. Post-emergence applications of asulam (1.6 kg ai/ha), haloxyfop (0.3 kg ai/ha), methabenzthiazuron (1.4 kg ai/ha) were also well tolerated by nasturtium seedlings.

Seed production possibilities for the production of garden nasturtium seed under New Zealand conditions are also discussed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people I wish to thank for their support and contribution to the completion of this thesis. Even though I can only mention a few I do appreciate and thank them all.

Firstly, I would like to thank God for his love, blessings and his guidance enabling me to complete my study.

I wish to express my most sincere thanks and gratitude to Prof. Murray J. Hill, my chief supervisor and Director of the Seed Technology Centre, for his wise supervision, understanding, encouragement and support throughout my study. His constructive criticism and patience in reading and correcting this manuscript is widely acknowledged.

I am also greatly indebted to my co-supervisors, Mr. K.C. Harrington for his wise advice, constructive criticism and patience in supervising my herbicide trial. Dr. P. Coolbear for his extremely valuable suggestions and guidance with my study.

I would also like to thank Mr. M. Laurenson of the Horticultural Research Department, for his expert guidance and support especially in assisting with computer and data analyses.

My sincere thanks are also extended to:

The New Zealand Government, through the Ministry of External Relations and Trade

Overseas Development Assistance Programme for financing my study at Massey University. The Ministry of Agriculture in Tonga for awarding the scholarship for the completion of this study.

All the staff and students at Seed Technology Centre for their help, understanding and their hospitality, especially Mr. C.R. McGill and Mr. R.C. Southward for helping in my field work. All my friends for their friendship and assistance especially those who helped out in my field work, special thanks to Pifeleti, Vini, Melo, Ui and Taivei.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to those people who are so close and very dear to me. To my parents, families, relatives and all those one way or another, who have provided the much needed help, prayers and inspiration towards my study. Special thanks to Gavyn and Salote, Loutoa and Tony and their families for their utmost support. And my wife Tufitaufa'ao, for her love, devoted support and for being by my side throughout this course of study has been invaluable to me. To her, I am so grateful, this thesis is being dedicated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF PLATES	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 PLANT DENSITY TRIALS	
2.1 REVIEW OF PLANT DESCRIPTION	6
2.1.1 Origin and history	6
2.1.2 Botany	8
2.1.3 Agronomy	13
2.1.3.1 Commercial flower seed production	13
2.1.3.2 Production areas	13
2.1.3.3 Horticultural importance of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i>	14
2.1.4 Seed production practices	17
2.1.4.1 Climate	17
2.1.4.2 Soil and fertilizer	17
2.1.4.3 Sowing and planting	19
2.1.4.4 Plant density	19

2.1.4.5	Weed control	20
2.1.4.6	Pest and disease control	21
2.1.4.7	Harvesting	22
2.2	REVIEW OF PLANT COMPETITION EFFECTS . .	23
2.2.1	Plant density	23
2.2.2	Plant competition	24
2.2.2.1	Competition for light	26
2.2.2.2	Competition for water	27
2.2.2.3	Competition for nutrients	27
2.2.2.4	Competition for carbon dioxide	28
2.2.3	Effects of plant density on vegetative morphology . .	28
2.2.4	Effects on plant maturity	32
2.2.5	Effects on reproductive capacity	33
2.3	OBJECTIVES	37
2.4	MATERIALS AND METHODS	38
2.4.1	Experimental site and land reparation	38
2.4.2	Initial seed quality	41
2.4.3	Harvesting	42
2.4.4	Data collection and analysis	43
2.4.4.1	Vegetative study	43
2.4.4.2	Reproductive study	43
2.4.4.3	Vegetative morphology	44
2.4.4.4	Final harvest	45
2.4.5	Preliminary planting	45
2.4.6	Statistical analysis	48

2.5	RESULTS	49
2.5.1	Vegetative growth and morphology	49
2.5.1.1	Leaf number per plant	49
2.5.1.2	Leaf area per plant	49
2.5.1.3	Leaf dry weight per plant	52
2.5.1.4	Stem dry weight	52
2.5.1.5	Partitioning of plant dry weights	55
2.5.1.6	Stem length	55
2.5.1.7	Branch number	61
2.5.1.8	Nodes number	61
2.5.1.9	Internodal elongation	65
2.5.2	Reproductive development	65
2.5.2.1	Bud production	65
2.5.2.2	Flowering pattern	66
2.5.2.3	Seed yield and yield components	66
2.5.3	Seed quality	68
2.5.3.1	Moisture content	68
2.5.3.2	Percentage normal germination	68
2.5.3.3	Viability	69
2.5.4	Final harvest (harvest 13)	71
2.6	DISCUSSION	74
2.6.1	Vegetative	74
2.6.2	Reproductive development	75
 CHAPTER 3 SEED DEVELOPMENT STUDY		
3.1	INTRODUCTION	78

3.2	REVIEW OF SEED DEVELOPMENT	79
3.2.1	Embryo development	79
3.2.2	Endosperm and cotyledon development	81
3.2.3	Whole seed developmet	82
3.2.3.1	Seed moisture content (SMC)	84
3.2.3.2	Seed weight	85
3.2.3.3	Seed viability and germination capacity	85
3.2.4	Effects of environmental factors during seed production on seed quality	86
3.2.4.1	Temperature	86
3.2.4.2	Light	89
3.2.4.3	Rainfall and moisture	89
3.2.4.4	Nutrients	90
3.2.4.5	Weathering: postmaturation - preharvest environment	91
3.3	OBJECTIVES	92
3.4	MATERIALS AND METHODS	92
3.5	RESULTS	94
3.5.1	Changes in seed components	94
3.5.1.1	Seed fresh weight	94
3.5.1.2	Seed dry weight	94
3.5.1.3	Seed moisture content	95
3.5.1.4	Germination	95
3.5.1.5	Viability	96
3.6	DISCUSSION	99

CHAPTER 4 HERBICIDE TRIAL

4.1	INTRODUCTION	102
4.2	REVIEW OF WEED COMPETITION	103
4.2.1	Weeds	103
4.2.2	Competition	104
4.2.3	Effects of weed-crop competition	106
4.2.3.1	Effects of weed competition during crop establishment	109
4.2.3.2	Effects of weed competition during vegetative growth development	111
4.2.3.3	Effects of weed competition during flowering and seed development	112
4.2.3.4	Effects of weed population on crop harvesting efficiency	113
4.3	REVIEW OF CHEMICAL WEED CONTROL	114
4.3.1	Significance	114
4.3.2	Herbicides	115
4.3.2.1	Foliar application	116
4.3.2.2	Soil application	116
4.3.3	Mechanism of herbicide tolerance	118
4.3.3.1	Morphological factors	119
4.3.3.2	Physiological factors	120
4.3.3.3	Metabolic factors	122
4.3.3.4	Environment factors	123

4.3.4	Herbicides information	124
4.3.4.1	Soil-applied herbicides	124
4.3.4.2	Foliage-applied herbicides	128
4.4	MATERIALS AND METHODS	132
4.4.1	Objectives	132
4.4.2	Soil applied herbicide trials	132
4.4.2.1	Preliminary trial	132
4.4.2.1.1	Procedure	132
4.4.2.1.2	Assessments	135
4.4.2.2	Second soil applied herbicide trial	136
4.4.2.2.1	Procedure	136
4.4.2.2.2	Assessments	137
4.4.3	Foliage applied herbicide trial	138
4.4.3.1	Procedure	138
4.4.3.2	Assessments	139
4.4.4	Statistical analysis	140
4.5	RESULTS	141
4.5.1	Soil application trial	141
4.5.1.1	Preliminary trial	141
4.5.1.2	Results (second experiment)	143
4.5.2	Foliage applied herbicide trial	152
4.6	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	159
4.6.1	Soil applied herbicides for direct sown nasturtium	159
4.6.2	Herbicides for post-emergence weed control	162

CHAPTER 5 GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

5.1	Possibility for producing <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> in New Zealand	170
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	172
	APPENDICES	215

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 Sampling date	42
Table 2.2 Distribution of plant dry weight at peak flowering SDW - shoot dry weight, LDW - leaf dry weight, RDW - flowers and buds, and SDDW -seed dry weight . . .	58
Table 2.3 Distribution of plant dry weight at 40 days after peak flowering	58
Table 2.4 Distribution of plant dry weight per unit area (g/m ²) for different densities at peak flowering	59
Table 2.5 Distribution of plant dry weight per unit area (g/m ²) for different densities at 40 days after peak flowering	59
Table 2.6 Effect of plant density on main stem length (MSL), main stem node number (MSN) and length of internodes on main stem (MSIL) of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) measured at 40 days after peak flowering	61
Table 2.7 Effect of plant density on the number of secondary branches (SB), tertiary branches (TB), length of secondary branches (SBL), number of nodes and length of internode of secondary branches of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) 50 days after peak flowering	61
Table 2.8a Effect of plant density on seed yield per plant (g/pl) at different harvest times	67

Table 2.8b	Effect of plant density on seed yield per unit area (kg/ha) at different harvest times	67
Table 2.9	Effect of plant density on %SMC at different harvest times	69
Table 2.10	Effect of plant spacing on the germination of seed (normal seedlings) at each harvest	70
Table 2.11	Effect of plant density on seed viability at different harvest times	70
Table 2.12	Effect of plant density on the actual seed yield recovered at final harvest	71
Table 2.13	Seeds covered at final harvest categorisation, A = immature seeds, B= matured green retained seeds, C = brown shed seeds, and D = sprouted shed seeds	73
Table 4.1	The treatments used in the preliminary soil-applied herbicide trial	134
Table 4.2	Treatments used in the second soil-applied herbicide screening experiment	137
Table 4.3	Post-emergence herbicides and their respective rates	140
Table 4.4	Tolerance scores for garden nasturtium plants which germinated in pots containing either soil (S) or a peat/sand mixture (M) treated with soil-applied herbicides on 10 th February 1992	144
Table 4.5	Effects of soil herbicides on shoot and leaf dry weights (TDW), number of flowers (FL), main stem length (SL), non-photosynthetic leaf number (OL), and the number of photosynthetic leaves (GL) of nasturtium (cv. Choice Mixed) measured at final assessment, 15 weeks after sowing	148

Table 4.6	Summary of tolerance scores for garden nasturtium plants (cv. Choice Mixed) grown in pots containing soil with foliar applied herbicides at the 5-7 leaf stage in pot glasshouse sown nasturtiums : means weekly scores from January-May 1992	154
Table 4.7	Effects of foliar applied herbicides on number of flowers (FN), main stem length (SL), total dry weight (TDW) and number of nodes (N) recorded at final assessment, 16 weeks after spraying	155
Table 4.8	The relative costs of herbicides recommended to be safe for selective weed control in nasturtiums (cv. Choice Mixed)	164

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 General features of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i>	10
Figure 2.2 Plant density effects on dwarf beans development (Fisher, 1988)	32
Figure 2.3 The Field layout design	39
Figure 2.4 Effect of plant density on green leaf number per plant of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at different harvest times.	50
Figure 2.5 Effect of plant density on leaf area (cm ²) per plant of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at each harvest time	51
Figure 2.6 Effect of plant density on leaf dry weight (g) of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Mixed Choice) at different harvest times	53
Figure 2.7 Effect of plant density on shoot dry weight (g) of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at different harvest times	54
Figure 2.8 Effect of plant density on morphology of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> cv. Choice Mixed	57
Figure 2.9 Distribution of shoot, leaf, flowers and buds, and seed weight as percentage of total plant weight) at peak flowering (A) and 40 DAP (B) <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> cv. Choice Mixed	60

Figure 2.10	Effect of plant density on the number of buds per plant of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at different harvest times	63
Figure 2.11	Effect of plant density on the number of fully open flower numbers per plant at different harvest times of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed)	64
Figure 3.1	Changes in seed fresh and dry weight and seed moisture content with time in <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed)	97
Figure 3.2	Changes in seed germination and viability with time in <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed)	98
Figure 4.1	The relationship between weed density and (A) crop yield loss (after Zimdahl, 1980) and (B) crop yield (after Cousen <i>et al.</i> , 1984)	109
Figure 4.2	The tolerance of pot sown <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) to some soil applied herbicides as assessed 4 weeks after seedling emergence	142
Figure 4.3	Tolerance scores for soil sown <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) to some soil applied herbicides as assessed at 3 and 15 weeks after seedling emergence	145
Figure 4.4	Tolerance of nasturtium (cv. Choice Mixed) grown in sand/peat mixture pots to some soil applied herbicides as assessed respectively at 3 and 15 weeks after seedling emergence	146
Figure 4.5	Effects of soil applied herbicides on flower number (FL) in <i>T. majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at final harvest for soil grown and sand/peat media	149
Figure 4.6	Effects of soil applied herbicides on leaf and shoot	

	dry weights of soil and sand/peat grown nasturtium (cv. choice Mixed) at 15 weeks after seedling emergence	150
Figure 4.7	Length of main stems for both soil and sand/peat mixture grown of <i>T. majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) treated with soil applied herbicides at 15 weeks after spraying . . .	151
Figure 4.8	Tolerance scores of <i>T. majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) 1 and 15 weeks after treatment with foliar-applied herbicides . . .	156
Figure 4.9	Total plant dry weight for <i>T. majus</i> at final assessment (cv. Choice Mixed) for foliar-applied herbicides to 5-7 leaf stages seedlings	157
Figure 4.10	Effects of foliar applied herbicide on flower number for <i>T. majus</i> (cv. Choice Mixed) at final harvest	158

LIST OF PLATES

	Page
Plate 1.1	A view of the <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> crop at peak flowering (5 th February, 1991) 5
Plate 2.1	<i>Tropaeolum majus</i> cv. Choice Mixed flower being pollinated by a bumble bee 11
Plate 2.2	Hand sowing nasturtium seeds at required spacing 40
Plate 2.3	Flower development sequence in <i>Tropaeolum majus</i> cv. Choice Mixed 46
Plate 2.4	Typical tertiary branch originate from a secondary branch 47
Plate 4.1	Pendulum sprayer design for pots spray 135

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix 2.1 Soil test results	215
Appendix 2.2 Description of Tokomaru silt loam soil	216
Appendix 2.3 Mean weekly temperature at Palmerston, New Zealand during November 1991 - May 1992 . . .	216
Appendix 2.4 Mean weekly rainfall at Palmerston North, New Zealand during November 1991 - May 1992 . . .	217
Appendix 2.5 Mean weekly sunshine period at Palmerston North, New Zealand during November 1991 - May 1992 . . .	217
Appendix 3.1 Seed development data summary	218

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Tropaeolum majus L. is commonly known as a Garden Nasturtium, but also as Great Indian Cress or Creeping Canary. The plant is native to Peru and was introduced to New Zealand in the mid eighteenth century. *Tropaeolum majus* belongs to the family Tropaeolaceae, a small family comprising only 3 genera; *Tropaeolum* which consists of 86 species distributed from Mexico to central Chile, and Argentina, *Magallana* which contains only two species from Patagonia and *Tropheastrum* which consists of only 1 species (Sparre and Andersson, 1991). In *Tropaeolum*, 9 species are important to horticulture. This small family of climbing succulent herbs includes the cultivated *Tropaeolum majus* (garden Nasturtium - not to be confused with the genus 'Nasturtium', family Cruciferae). It is a relatively diverse genus of soft-wooded annuals and herbaceous perennials from South and Central America valued for their showy foliage and flowers, and their ease of culture (Rowell, 1986).

Most important of this genus is *Tropaeolum majus* which is effective as an ornamental flower crop or vegetable plant. The colourful nasturtium flowers make splendid cut flowers or with bouquets and can be combined with young leaves to make an attractive garnish in salads (Macoboy, 1986). Pickled seeds are used as a substitute for capers (Heywood, 1978). It also has potential as a bedding plant or for trailing walls, edging, screens, hanging baskets or a floor covering in orchards. It can also be used effectively as a soil stabilising plant on steep slopes (Rowell, 1986). The fruit when green contains oil which can be used for cooking. As a herbal plant, nasturtium leaves are found to contain a natural antibiotic which is a useful remedy for

brochitis, catarrh and emphysema (Culpeper, 1983) and has been used in the past in folk medicine especially for treatment of scabies. Such potential uses have been more widely recognised recently and considered to be of commercial importance. According to a 1975 National Garden Bureau survey in the USA, *Tropaeolum majus* was fourth behind zinnia, marigold and petunia in top seed packet sales and popularity (Whiting, 1983). The value of commercial seed is surprisingly high with an average price of 10 cents per seed, about 7 seeds per gram, and with an average yield of 470 kilograms per hectare (Boulton, 1986).

In the literature there are many publications on the production of agricultural and horticultural seeds. However there is comparatively little published information on flower seed production (Vis, 1980). In the case of *Tropaeolum majus*, virtually no research has been carried out on the crop but some general cultural information is available. Garden nasturtium blooms best in sunny situations and is well suited to porous or well drained soils and to rather poor or low fertility soils. Rich soils result in much more attractive foliage or vegetative growth even though fewer flowers are produced (Hartmann *et al.*, 1981 ; Rowell, 1981). It performs excellently on sandy soils since it sheds its seeds early and these can be readily recovered at harvest by allowing this shedding to occur and by separating the seed and sand subsequently (Vis, 1980). It is suggested that Tonga, because of its generally favourable warm climate, and suitable soil conditions, coupled with an ability to grow the crop on a small land area and produce seed based on cheap hand labour might well be a suitable situation for *Tropaeolum majus* seed production and that this crop could be grown for seed for export. The present study, however, was carried out to provide information on the potential of this crop in New Zealand as a summer crop.

A major obstacle which severely limits seed production in *Tropaeolum majus* is its

indeterminate growth habit and flowering behaviour which results in plants flowering over an extended period of time (Boulton, 1986). This is an advantage for a garden blooming plant but creates problems in seed production. During flowering, young flower buds, blooming flowers, wilted flowers, young seeds and mature and shedding seeds may all be found on an individual plant at any one time. This makes it extremely difficult to determine the correct time to harvest the crop for the recovery of maximum seed yield. Nasturtium seeds shed at a high moisture content of about 78% and, as a result, commercial seed yield in *Tropaeolum majus* is often low and unreliable. Boulton (1986) has stated that actual yield of the plant in some cases proved to be 40%-70% of the plant's potential yield and this yield gap is highly contributed from shed seeds. She stated a peak viable seed yield of 471 kg/ha as an average yield.

The current research programme began as a result of the need for a better understanding of plant development and the need for information on appropriate management strategies for better seed production. The emphasis was also on identifying factors affecting garden nasturtium seed yield and quality. This work was carried out to identify those aspects of vegetative and reproductive growth which contribute most significantly to seed yield and quality in plants grown at different plant densities and also to provide information on the tolerance of nasturtium to various herbicides appropriate for weed control.

The present study comprises three main experiments which are presented separately in the three following chapters. The first experiment (Chapter 2) reports on a plant density trial designed to provide basic field information on the effects of plant competition as determined by variation in plant population density, on vegetative and reproductive development and on seed yield and quality in *Tropaeolum majus*.

Particular attention has been directed to the effects of plant competition on vegetative and reproductive development which contribute most significantly to seed production.

The second experiment considers the sequence of seed development in *Tropaeolum majus* (Chapter 3) with particular reference to seed yield. An important factor in this study was an attempt to determine the optimum or 'most appropriate' time to harvest seeds. The final experiment (Chapter 4) examines the tolerance of nasturtium seeds or seedlings to various herbicides used for weed control, and explores their effects on vegetative growth and reproductive capacity of nasturtium.

The overall research aim of this study was to determine the potential of nasturtium seed production in New Zealand and to examine ways of improving or maximising seed yield and quality by proper management, including optimum planting density and the most appropriate herbicides for weed control.



Plate 1.1 A view of the *Tropaeolum majus* crop at peak flowering (5th February 1992).