

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

---

# **Control-based consolidation: FRS 37 and its effect on the value relevance of consolidated financial statements in New Zealand**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the  
Master of Business Studies (Accountancy)  
At Massey University, Albany,  
Auckland

Rachael Barcham

---

## **Abstract**

**Purpose:** The purpose of this thesis is to determine whether the requirement for New Zealand organisations to switch from the ownership-based method of consolidation to the control-based method of consolidation increased the value relevance of consolidated financial statements in New Zealand. It takes advantage of the unique situation whereby all listed entities in New Zealand were required to comply with FRS 37: *Consolidating Investments in Subsidiaries* (FRS 37) in a year relatively free from changes to other financial standards.

**Motivation:** The study was motivated by research undertaken by Hsu et al. (2012). Their research focused on the movement from the ownership-based method to the control-based method of consolidation of financial statements and whether this better reflected market value. They took advantage of the situation in Taiwan which similarly required all public firms to adopt Taiwan's SFAS 7: *Consolidated Financial Statements* (TSFAS 7) at a set time.

**Research question:** Did the change from the ownership-based method of consolidation under SSAP 8 to the control-based method of consolidation under FRS 37 increase the value relevance of consolidated financial statements in New Zealand?

**Sample selection and design:** A sample of 54 entities listed on the New Zealand Stock Exchange (NZX) was used. The sample, although small, represented all of the final available population. Analysis of common accounting ratios was carried out and accounting variables affected by the switch to FRS 37 were analysed to determine changes in the value relevance of the consolidated financial statements.

**Findings:** The adoption of FRS 37 led to an increase in value relevance of financial statements in New Zealand. However the ability to apply judgement to the application of control highlighted entities holding a larger number of subsidiaries and associates had a more complex structure than anticipated. Therefore holdings indirectly controlled may not have been captured with a lower instance of consolidation being achieved than was anticipated.

**Key Words**

SSAP 8, FRS 37, ownership-based method of consolidation, control-based method of consolidation, value relevance,

### **Acknowledgement**

I would like to thank Professor Michael Bradbury, School of Accountancy, Massey University for all his feedback and advice throughout the process of preparing this report.

## **Table of Contents**

	Page	
1	Introduction	8
2	Definition of control under FRS 37	12
3	Distinction between the equity method of consolidation and the purchase method of consolidation	13
4	Background to SSAP 8 and FRS 37	14
5	Prior literature	16
5.1	The value of value relevance	16
5.2	Value relevance and consolidated financial statements	17
5.3	Value relevance and control-based consolidated financial statements	19
5.4	Value relevance and control-based consolidated financial statements in New Zealand	22
6	Hypotheses development	24
7	Sample selection and research design	26
7.1	Sample selection	26
7.2	Models	27
7.2.1	Ratio analysis	27
7.2.2	The Edwards-Bell-Ohlson valuation model	28
7.2.3	Decomposition of equity	30
8	Results	33
8.1.1	Descriptive statistics: ratio analysis	33
8.1.2	Descriptive statistics: accounting variables	34
8.2	Value relevance of consolidated financial statements under FRS 37	35
8.3	Value relevance comparison of firms holding a small number of subsidiaries and associates relative to firms holding a large number of subsidiaries and associates	38
9	Additional analysis	40
9.1	Difference in difference tests	40
9.2	Returns model	41
10	Multicollinearity	44

11	Conclusion	45
12	References	47
13	Tables	50
14	Appendices	62

## **List of Tables and Appendices**

			Page
Table 1		Effect of sample selection criteria	50
Table 2	Panel A	Descriptive statistics ratio analysis 2001-2004 for unadjusted consolidated financial statements	51
Table 2	Panel B	Descriptive statistics ratio analysis 2001-2004 for restated consolidated financial statements	52
Table 2	Panel C	Descriptive statistics ratio analysis across two sub periods for unadjusted consolidated financial statements	53
Table 2	Panel D	Descriptive statistics ratio analysis across two sub periods for restated consolidated financial statements	54
Table 3	Panel A	Descriptive statistics accounting variables 2001-2004	55
Table 3	Panel B	Descriptive statistics accounting variables across two sub periods	56
Table 3	Panel C	Pearson and Spearman correlation table	57
Table 4		Value relevance of shareholders' equity, assets liabilities and earnings	58
Table 5		Value relevance of consolidated assets, liabilities, net income and non-controlling interests with respect to number of subsidiaries and associates held	59
Table 6		Value relevance of consolidated assets, liabilities, net income and non-controlling interests for firms affected by FRS 37 relative to firms with wholly owned subsidiaries and no associates	60
Table 7		Value relevance of consolidated financial statements: returns model	61
Appendix 1		Major differences between SSAP 8 and FRS 37	62