

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Rates of Molecular Evolution and Gene Flow

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Zoology

Massey University
New Zealand

Eddy (Edwina) Jocelyn Dowle

2013

ABSTRACT

Species diversity is driven by speciation, extinction and immigration. In this thesis I explore species diversity among regions and the process of speciation via four related studies.

The latitudinal biodiversity gradient (LBG) describes the pattern of higher diversity levels towards the tropics. One of the popular hypotheses to explain the LBG is the evolutionary speed hypothesis, which suggests that species in the tropical regions are evolving faster than those in temperate regions. I demonstrate that current work on the LBG often focuses on describing the pattern as distinct from understanding the process that might drive the LBG (chapter 1). Second, I show that the most popular method used to measure differences in rate of molecular evolution between taxa from different regions, the sister-species method, does not give consistent results and estimated rates of molecular evolution can vary widely depending on outgroup selection and gene analysed. The inherent problems revealed within the current approaches raise questions as to the validity of inferences made from putative variation in rate of molecular evolution between high and low latitudinal taxa. In particular, I show that studies of the LBG that use very close sister-species pairs, rely on the most problematic datasets and should therefore be treated with considerable scepticism (chapter 2).

A phenomenon such as the LBG must derive from small-scale processes in population dynamics. Species are sometimes defined as reproductively isolated units, with hybridisation viewed as evidence of failure to speciate. But it is now increasingly acknowledged that speciation is a dynamic process during which species and populations can exchange alleles. I found relatively high levels of admixture and gene flow between morphologically distinct biological entities in two very different study systems: insects and snails. Analyses of a pair of grasshopper species provided no evidence of deviation from random mating in the region where they are sympatric. However, analysis of morphological traits provided no evidence for hybrid phenotypes between these *Sigaus* grasshoppers. I infer that some loci associated with these morphological characters are subject to strong natural

selection but neutral genetic material is being extensively shared between the two species (chapter 3). A similar situation was found in terrestrial snails that I studied, but in this case there was a clear association of neutral genetic markers with phenotype. The mtDNA haplotypes, 3,764 SNP loci and morphometric data revealed two clear genotypic clusters among New Caledonian *Placostylus*, despite strong evidence for gene flow (chapter 4). Although it is convenient and popular to define species on the basis of their reproductive isolation, a more dynamic model that allows for the possibility of gene flow is closer to reality for these taxa. Speciation can be complex and our current understanding of the process of speciation does not suggest it is limited by the genomes' rate of molecular evolution. Results from my research shows, in support of other recent research, that the process of speciation is often the product of adaptation.

PREFACE

The overall aim of this research project, *Rates of Molecular Evolution and Gene Flow*, was to examine the drivers of divergence and adaptation of populations and explore how this could drive large-scale diversity patterns. United by this common aim, the thesis is composed of four chapters, each of which can stand-alone, and a fifth, integrating chapter. Supplementary material is included for chapters 2, 3 and 4. Reading the supplementary material is not needed to understand each chapter but provides additional information to the reader that is perhaps interesting in regards to methodology and results. Although this work was a collaboration between my supervisors (Steve Trewick and Mary Morgan-Richards) and myself, all laboratory work, data analysis and initial drafts were done by myself. Steve and Mary made invaluable assistance contributions to method design, the theoretical underpinning of the work, editorial guidance and funding.

The first chapter explores the theoretical explanations for observed differences in diversity between regions. Chapter one is a detailed review of the Latitudinal Biodiversity Gradient (LBG) and the hypotheses surrounding its formation and has been published: EJ Dowle, M Morgan-Richards and SA Trewick. 2013. Molecular evolution and the latitudinal biodiversity gradient. *Heredity*: 110, 501-510. This chapter does not contain new analysis or results, rather, it suggests how the field of study around the LBG might move forward with new datasets and focuses questions. I conducted most of the background research and reviewing of the work surrounding the LBG and wrote the initial manuscript draft. Steve, Mary and I subsequently edited the draft, with input from reviewers and the journal editor.

The evolutionary speed hypothesis (chapter one), a popular hypothesis to explain the LBG, predicts that species in the tropics are diverging faster than species in temperate regions via, in part, a faster rate of molecular evolution. Chapter two surveys the ways in which rates of molecular evolution are currently measured between species using the popular sister-species method. It is currently being formatted for review. Many studies report the detection of shifts in rates of molecular evolution between species, but no one has examined the accuracy of the

methods used. Drawing on published studies, I examine whether current methods provide reliable estimates of relative rates of molecular evolution by comparing results obtained from analysis of different genes and varying outgroups. Results from this analysis have implications for numerous studies on molecular evolution rate variation. The dataset I used contains new whole mitochondrial genomes from crickets. My supervisors obtained most of the specimens but I designed the project and undertook all laboratory work for the new mitochondrial genome generation and assembled 12 of the 14 genomes. I also undertook all statistical analysis and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. This chapter has been prepared for publication but not submitted.

Chapter three is the first of two chapters in which I study the drivers of speciation. Rate of molecular evolution might impact large-scale biodiversity patterns if speciation is largely driven by mutational speciation or if selection is limited by the variation within a species. Here I examined two species complexes to determine what processes underlie their divergence. Chapter three is a population genetics study on two species of NZ short-horned *Sigaus* grasshoppers, which is under review with *The Journal Ecology and Evolution*. One grasshopper species with a restricted range occurs in complete sympatry with the other. Previous work had hinted at gene flow between the species from comparison of mitochondrial DNA sequences. I questioned whether this pattern extended to nuclear markers and used geometric morphometric techniques to try identify morphological hybrids. I undertook all ITS sequencing, new mtDNA haplotype sequencing, RAD-sequencing, morphometric data collection and subsequent analyses. Steve and I generated the microsatellite library, and I then conducted the genotyping across the samples and ran the analyses. I wrote the initial manuscript draft and Steve, Mary and I subsequently edited this chapter.

Chapter four is a population genetics study of *Placostylus* snails from New Caledonia, which is being formatted for review. By examining their genetics and morphology I explored whether admixing has occurred in the past and whether gene flow is ongoing in areas of sympatry. I modelled environmental variables with the genetics and morphology to determine whether components of the environment drive

morphological adaptation and genetic variation. I designed this project and undertook some of the sampling of snail tissue and shells in New Caledonia, additional material was supplied by Fabrice Brescia from his work on *Placostylus*. I generated all shell morphometric data. All DNA extractions, mtDNA sequencing, RAD-sequencing, geometric morphometric analysis and the following statistical analyses were conducted by myself, as was the initial manuscript draft. Steve, Mary and I subsequently edited the draft.

Accordingly, the main thesis falls into two sections, reflecting the understanding that large-scale diversity patterns we observe must be driven in part by small-scale population dynamics. In the final summation (Chapter five) I first give a brief overview of how we can use different techniques to improve our understanding of the process of speciation. Second I describe the drivers of speciation and their role in the formation of biogeographic processes such as the LBG.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Steve and Mary, without you this thesis would not have been possible, thank you. Your enthusiasm for your work is infectious and most importantly your office doors are always open. Thank you so much for help and support through the years, I'm sure we will work together again soon.

Lizzie, you seem to know exactly when I need a distraction and a hot chocolate. Thank you for the advice with analysis, lab work and life in general. Briar, thank you for the help with the morphometric work. Most importantly thank you both for the friendship, I will miss the 'girly' nights.

Simon Hills and Gillian Gibb, thank you for putting up with me regularly barging into your office and for the all the advice and discussions. Trish McLenachan, thank you for your never-ending enthusiasm in the lab, even when things are not going to plan. Fabrice Brescia, thank you for the help with the New Caledonian snails. James Crampton, thank you for the advice in regards to the snail morphometric work. Fran Wolber, thank you for running my creepy crawlies on your flow cytometer. Jean Sanderson, thank you for the advice on modelling. Graeme Atkins, thank you for the help collecting tusk Weta from the East Cape. Alice Mathieu, thank you for taking Steve and myself out snail collecting. Robyn Walker, thank you for putting up with reading my drafts, despite not coming from a science background. Thank you Jen Ravens for the sanity gallops along the beach. Cilla Wehi, Christian Mille, Juan Carlos, Lara Shepard, Lesley Collins, Lorraine Berry, Matt Krna, Mariana Bulgarella, Niki Murray, Patrick Biggs, Grant Ramsay, Tom Burns and the phoenix's thank you for your help, friendship or support during various aspects of this PhD.

Mum and Dad, thank you so much for supporting me through this I know it has been a long time coming but it looks like I might just finish with school before Luke starts. Thanks to the rest of my family as well who have had to put up with a distracted and absent sister, aunt, granddaughter, niece and cousin. Thanks also to Marty's family who have provided many enjoyable evenings of distractions.

Marty, I'm not sure I can thank you enough. I don't think either of us knew what I had signed up for at the beginning of this PhD but having your support through it has made it all the more enjoyable, thank you. I guess it's time for me to get a real job now.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
PREFACE.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
LIST OF TABLE AND FIGURES.....	xiii
1. MOLECULAR EVOLUTION AND THE LATITUDINAL BIODIVERSITY GRADIENT.....	1
• INTRODUCTION.....	2
Speciation.....	4
Extinction.....	4
Immigration.....	5
• THE PROCESS BEHIND THE PATTERN.....	5
The evolutionary speed hypothesis.....	7
Metabolic rate variation driving differential rates of molecular evolution.....	11
Generation time and longevity driving differential rates of molecular evolution.....	12
UV radiation driving differential rates of molecular evolution.....	13
Population size driving differential rates of molecular evolution.....	13
• TOWARDS A SYNTHESIS.....	16
Rates of molecular evolution and speciation.....	16
Molecular evolution and extinction.....	17
Countless difficulties.....	18
• FUTURE FOCUS ON THE PROCESS.....	20

2. ESTIMATES OF RATE OF MOLECULAR EVOLUTION ACROSS THE MITOCHONDRIAL GENOME USING SISTER-SPECIES COMPARISONS ARE NOT CONSISTENT: TESTS WITH CRICKETS AND FLIES	34
• INTRODUCTION	35
• METHODS	40
• RESULTS	43
• DISCUSSION	50
 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL ONE	 57
• SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR CHAPTER TWO	57
S1.1 Species-Pairs in detail	57
S1.2 Generation of novel mitochondrial genomes	58
S1.3 New Ensifera genomes sequenced	60
S1.4 ML trees for Drosophila and Ensifera	62
S1.5 Bayesian trees for Ensifera	63
 3. GENE FLOW AND DIVERGENCE OF AN ENDANGERED NEW ZEALAND GRASSHOPPER	 66
• INTRODUCTION	67
• METHODS	73
Morphology	73
Mitochondrial DNA sequence	75
Microsatellites	76
Nuclear Sequence	77
RAD-Seq SNPs	78
• RESULTS	81
Morphology	81
Mitochondrial DNA sequence	85

Microsatellites.....	86
Nuclear Sequencing.....	87
RAD-seq SNPs.....	88
• DISCUSSION.....	91
 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TWO.....	 100
• S2 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR CHAPTER THREE.....	100
S2.1 Microsatellite development methods.....	100
S2.2 Microsatellite primers.....	101
S2.3 Tally up table.....	102
S2.4 ITS table.....	103
 4. ECOTYPES AND SPECIATION WITH GENE FLOW IN A BIODIVERSITY	
HOT SPOT.....	107
• INTRODUCTION.....	107
• METHODS.....	113
Sampling strategy.....	113
Geometric analysis.....	114
Mitochondrial DNA sequence.....	116
RAD-seq SNP.....	117
Environmental modelling.....	120
• RESULTS.....	122
Geometric analysis.....	122
Mitochondrial DNA sequence.....	127
RAD-seq SNP.....	129
Environmental modelling.....	136
• DISCUSSION.....	138

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL THREE	146
• S3 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR CHAPTER FOUR	146
S3.1 Marker information and SNP tally Sp2	146
S3.2 Marker information and SNP tally Sp4	148
S3.3 Marker information and SNP tally Up2	150
S3.4 Marker information and SNP tally Up4	152
S3.5 F_{ST} tables Sp4, Up2 and Up4	154
S3.6 STRUCTURE results Sp2, Sp4, Up2 and Up4	157
S3.7 Topology of uncommon SNAPP trees	158
5. SUMMATION	159
• DIVERGENCE BETWEEN POPULATIONS IS FREQUENTLY DRIVEN BY NATURAL SELECTION	159
Selection and Gene flow	159
Selection and morphology	161
• BIOGEOGRAPHY IS DRIVEN IN PART BY POPULATION LEVEL DIVERGENCE	161
The latitudinal biodiversity gradient	161
• SPECIATION MEDIATED THROUGH CHANGES IN FIXATION AND MUTATION RATE	163
Fixation rate	163
Mutation rate	164
• CONCLUSIONS	165

LIST OF TABLE AND FIGURES

CHAPTER 1:

FIGURE 1	Environmental Gradients.....	3
FIGURE 2	Hypotheses surrounding LBG.....	6
FIGURE 3	Detecting rate shifts in trees.....	10

CHAPTER 2:

FIGURE 1	Rate shift predictions.....	38
FIGURE 2	Rate shift results.....	46
TABLE 1	Rate shifts between genes.....	44
TABLE 2	Regression analysis of rates.....	48

SUPPLEMENTARY 1:

FIGURE 1	ML trees for Drosophila and Ensifera.....	62
FIGURE 2	Bayesian trees for Ensifera.....	63
TABLE 1	Species-Pairs in detail.....	57
TABLE 2	New Ensifera genomes sequenced.....	60

CHAPTER 3:

FIGURE 1	Map of sample locations.....	70
FIGURE 2	Hypothesis for Grasshoppers.....	72
FIGURE 3	PCA results traditional morphology.....	82
FIGURE 4	PCA (geometric morphometric), STRUCTURE and mtDNA results.....	84
FIGURE 5	Network from mtDNA.....	86
FIGURE 6	BAYESCAN and F_{ST} distribution results.....	89
TABLE 1	Discriminate analysis traditional species.....	81
TABLE 2	Squared distances discriminate analysis.....	82
TABLE 3	MIGRATE results.....	90

SUPPLEMENTARY 2:

TABLE 1	Microsatellite primers.....	101
TABLE 2	Tally up table.....	102
TABLE 3	ITS table.....	103

CHAPTER 4:

FIGURE 1	Map sampling and environmental maps.....	111
FIGURE 2	Snail shells.....	112
FIGURE 3	Geometric Morphometric technique.....	114
FIGURE 4	PCA and CVA analysis.....	125
FIGURE 5	Sympatry results.....	126
FIGURE 6	ML tree ND2 gene.....	128
FIGURE 7	BAYESCAN results Sp2.....	130
FIGURE 8	STRUCTURE results.....	132
FIGURE 9	SNAPP results.....	134
FIGURE 10	Morphology and tree topology.....	135
FIGURE 11	Environmental results.....	137
TABLE 1	Discriminate analysis traditional species.....	122
TABLE 2	Discriminate analysis genetic groups.....	123
TABLE 3	SNP datasets.....	129
TABLE 4	Sp2 F_{ST} table.....	131
TABLE 5	MIGRATE results.....	136

SUPPLEMENTARY 3:

FIGURE 1	STRUCTURE results.....	157
FIGURE 2	Topology of uncommon SNAPP trees.....	158
TABLE 1	Marker information Sp2.....	146
TABLE 2	SNP tally Sp2.....	147
TABLE 3	Marker information Sp4.....	148
TABLE 4	SNP tally Sp4.....	149
TABLE 5	Marker information Up2.....	150
TABLE 6	SNP tally Up2.....	151
TABLE 7	Marker information Up4.....	152

TABLE 8	SNP tally Up4	153
TABLE 9	F_{ST} Sp4.....	154
TABLE 10	F_{ST} Up2.....	155
TABLE 11	F_{ST} Up4.....	156