

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROXIMAL SENSING
METHODS FOR SOIL MAPPING AND
MONITORING, AND THEIR APPLICATION TO
PRECISION IRRIGATION**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of**

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Soil Science

at

Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Massey University

Carolyn B Hedley

2009

Abstract

The potential of proximal soil sensing methods for high resolution investigation of soils in the landscape has been investigated. This addresses the need for improved environmental monitoring and management of soils within their environs. On-the-go electromagnetic (EM) mapping has been used to map soils, providing a high resolution (< 10m) spatially defined soil apparent electrical conductivity (EC_a) datalayer. Vis-NIR field spectroscopy has been trialled for in situ analysis of soil carbon, nitrogen and moisture.

The portable spectroradiometer has been used at 6 sites in the Taupo-Rotorua region for rapid, field analysis of soil carbon (R^2 calibration = 0.95, R^2 prediction = 0.75,) soil nitrogen (R^2 calibration = 0.95, R^2 prediction = 0.86) and moisture (R^2 calibration = 0.96, R^2 prediction = 0.70) by collecting reflectance spectra from the flat surface of a soil core; and at one Manawatu site for soil moisture (R^2 calibration = 0.79, R^2 prediction = 0.71), where the reflectance spectra were collected directly from a freshly cut in situ soil surface. EM mapping and Vis-NIR field spectroscopy were used in combination to spatially characterize soil moisture patterns at the Manawatu site.

Soil available water-holding capacity (AWC) of EC_a -defined zones has been assessed at six irrigated production farming sites. Two methods (predicted AWC v EC_a ; estimated AWC v EC_a) have been used to relate soil EC_a to soil AWC to predict spatial AWC ($R^2 \geq 0.8$ at 5 sites). Site-specific soil water balance models have been developed at all sites; and a wireless real-time soil moisture monitoring network has been trialled at two sites, to be used with the EC_a -AWC prediction model for the development of daily soil water status maps, for variable rate irrigation (VRI) scheduling. This digital, spatially defined soil water status information is available for upload to a sprinkler system modified for variable rate application.

The calculated water savings with VRI were 9–26% with equivalent energy savings and improved irrigation water use efficiency. Drainage and runoff were reduced by 0–55% during the period of irrigation, with the accompanying reduced risk of nitrogen

leaching. The reduction in virtual water content of product has also been assessed for VRI and compared with uniform rate irrigation (URI) at three study sites.

This study suggests that these proximal sensing methods provide a new improved way of monitoring and mapping soils. This facilitates soil inventory mapping, for example soil moisture and carbon mapping. In addition, these high resolution environmental monitoring and mapping techniques provide the information required for optimizing site-specific management of natural resources at the farm scale.

On-the-go electromagnetic (EM) mapping has enabled a step change in the pedological investigation of New Zealand soils. Resulting soil EC_a maps provide a tool for improving traditional soil map boundaries because they delineate soil zones primarily on a basis of soil texture and moisture in non-saline soils. In this study the maps have been used for site-specific irrigation management at the farm-scale, aiming to increase the energy efficiency of this land management operation. The study has developed a method for improved use of freshwaters by more accurate irrigation scheduling, based on high resolution characterization of spatial and temporal soil differences.

Acknowledgements

There are many. Firstly I would like to acknowledge the support of my three supervisors. Ian Yule, my chief supervisor and agricultural engineer who introduced me to sensor technologies and on-the-go mapping systems. Mike Tuohy, remote sensing expert, who introduced me to GIS and the enormous opportunities that it offers to a traditional soil science background. Alison Collins, soil and landscapes strategist, who provided much appreciated support, during her role as Science Leader of the Soil and Landscapes Group, in Landcare Research. Thank-you to all three of you for providing a well-balanced, supportive network of supervision.

I also acknowledge AGMARDT and NZVCC William Georgetti Scholarship funds for supporting this study. Thank-you Landcare Research for allowing me to take time out to pursue my interest in these new technologies, that offer so much to the study of soils in the immediate future. I especially thank Allan Hewitt for his support of this research.

I would also like to acknowledge Matt Irwin and Anne Sutherland for training me to use ArcGIS; Anne Austin for really helpful assistance with editing, Moira Hubbard for formatting, John Dando for top quality assistance with field and laboratory work, Greg Arnold (late) and Anne West for help with statistics, and Dave Scotter for assistance with soil water balance modelling and greatly appreciated soil water discussions. I would particularly like to thank Ian, Mike, Matt, Miles, John P, Ieda, Ina and Reddy for all those fun coffee breaks. I particularly enjoy the sense of humour of the NZCPA whanua.

Thank-you to previous NZCPA students – Callum Eastwood, Hayden Lawrence, Rob Murray and Stu Bradbury who helped me with EM mapping and other field-work before and during my PhD studies. I also really appreciated the collaboration and friendship of Bambang Kusumo, as he developed a new field method for collection and analysis of VIS-NIR reflectance spectra of soil cores as his PhD topic. To Stu – thanks for your help in EM mapping the Massey University farms. As the concept of variable rate

irrigation evolved in my mind as a soil-based decision support tool, so (I think) it developed in Stu's mind as a modification of a centre-pivot or lateral irrigator with individual sprinkler control. Stu went on to form a new company, Precision Irrigation, with George Ricketts; and it became apparent to us all, at roughly the same time, that we could combine forces and come up with a potentially really useful new technology to help address New Zealand's emerging problem of insufficient freshwater to maintain supply to the ever increasing demands for irrigation in drought-prone parts of the country. We hope to continue and expand this collaboration.

A huge thank-you to the land-owners and growers who welcomed me onto their land to conduct research in real production systems. I particularly thank Hew and Roger Dalrymple for providing field sites for my research at Waitatapia Station. Hew and Wayne Hosking helped to make this whole study possible by being open-minded about my objectives and freely providing any support if and when it was needed. Thank-you to Christine in the farm office, and Mr John Dalrymple Senior for his unfailing supply of daily rainfall data. Thank-you to all the Dalrymple family and all the staff at Waitatapia Station – it was a great place to undertake my field research.

Thanks also to Monty Spencer and other Wilcox Growers staff, for their enthusiastic encouragement to undertake some proximal sensing and irrigation efficiency studies at their Ohakune potato fields. Thanks to Todd White who included me in a Sustainable Farming Fund project in Canterbury and North Otago to evaluate soil variability with EMI survey for improved irrigation scheduling at four irrigated dairy farms.

Thanks to Dan Bloomer, who has done so much to promote best management practice for irrigation in New Zealand, for his support of my ideas.

Also, I must acknowledge every one of my teachers and colleagues through the years – from Southend High School, Essex, to the Soil Science Dept, Reading University, in England, to the Soil Science Departments at the Universities of Guelph, British Columbia and Saskatchewan; and most recently all the staff of the Soil and Earth Sciences Group at Massey University – a very special group of people.

.

So now I am left to thank my immediate family. Thank-you to my mother, the late Betty Hubbard, my father Bernard Hubbard and my brother Richard – who have always totally supported and encouraged me in each step that I have taken.

Our children have a name for their Dad, and I would like to acknowledge the tremendous guidance that Mike “Walking Textbook” Hedley has provided. He liberally hands out (in the words of his most recent PhD student, Bambang Kusumo) many brilliant ideas on a very regular basis. To have a Soil Science Walking Textbook at home was a great asset as I strived to develop a new and really useful soils-based method in my PhD studies. To Mike and our three children, of whom we are immensely proud – Frances, our food technologist and skier – Paul, our engineering geologist and cyclist and – Joanne, our environmentalist and gourmet cook extraordinaire, this effort is for you all.

This thesis is dedicated

to the memory of

Alan McRae

18 November 1948 – 19 April 2008

Farm Consultant

Lochalsh Agriculture Ltd

A great friend and visionary

who introduced me to the concept of participatory research

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Figures	xvi
CHAPTER ONE	1
Introduction, Aims and Objectives	1
1.1. Aims and Objectives	4
CHAPTER TWO	9
Literature Review	9
2.1. The Issue	9
2.1.1. Freshwater Allocation – The Local Situation	10
2.1.2. Freshwater Allocation – The National Situation	12
2.1.3. Freshwater Allocation – The Global Situation.....	16
2.2. Addressing the Issue	18
2.2.1. Introduction to ground-based sensors and advances in landscape- scale soils research	21
2.2.1.1 Sensors	21
2.2.1.2. Computing aids.....	24
2.2.1.3. Geostatistics	24
2.2.1.4. On-the-go proximal sensing	24
2.3. Ground-based sensors for on-the-go soil mapping.....	25
2.3.1. Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS).....	25
2.3.1.1. Global Positioning System (GPS)	26
2.3.1.2. Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS)	28
2.3.1.3. Application to soil sensing and mapping	28
2.3.2. Electrical and Electromagnetic Soil Sensors.....	29
2.3.2.1. Electromagnetic sensors	29
2.3.2.2. Electrical sensors for soil water content mapping.....	38
2.3.3. Optical and radiometric sensors	40
2.3.3.1. Vis-NIR Sensors.....	40
2.3.3.2. Laser induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS).....	43
2.3.3.3. Ground penetrating radar (GPR).....	44
2.3.3.4. Gamma sensors.....	46
2.3.4. Mechanical sensors	49
2.3.5. Acoustic and pneumatic sensors.....	49
2.3.6. Electrochemical sensors	50
2.4 Discussion and Conclusions	51

CHAPTER THREE	57
Proximal Sensing of Soil and Pasture at Forest-to-Farm Land Use Change Sites	57
Abstract	58
3.1. Introduction	59
3.2. Methodology	61
3.2.1. Site Selection	61
3.2.2. Proximal Sensing Methods for Field Mapping and Analysis	63
3.2.2.1. On-the-go EM mapping	63
3.2.2.2. Collection of Reflectance Spectra using a Backpack Vis-NIR Spectrometer	63
3.2.3. Soil and Pasture Sampling	64
3.2.4. Laboratory Analysis	64
3.2.5. Statistical analysis of the EC _a sensor data	64
3.2.6. Geostatistical analysis of EC _a sensor data	65
3.2.7. Spectral pre-processing	67
3.3. Results	68
3.3.1. Soil fertility analyses	68
3.3.2. Soil and pasture spectral reflectance	73
3.3.3. Electromagnetic Induction (EMI) Survey results	75
3.4. Discussion	82
3.5. Conclusions	84
CHAPTER FOUR	85
Development of proximal sensing methods for mapping soil water status in an irrigated maize field	85
Abstract	86
4.1. Introduction	86
4.2. Material and Methods	88
4.2.1. Study site	88
4.2.2. Electromagnetic induction mapping and soil AWC	89
4.2.3. Soil moisture measurement	91
4.2.3.1. Time domain reflectometry (TDR)	91
4.2.3.2. Collection of Vis-NIR soil reflectance spectra	91
4.2.3.3. Spectral data preprocessing	92
4.3. Results and Discussion	92
4.3.1. Electromagnetic induction mapping and soil AWC	92
4.3.2. Soil moisture measurements	95
4.3.3. Vis-NIR soil reflectance spectra	97
4.4. Conclusions	98
CHAPTER FIVE	99

Proximal Sensing Soil Water and Assessing Irrigator Performance as Decision Tools for Precision Irrigation	99
Abstract	99
5.1 Introduction	100
5.2 Methodology	103
5.2.1. Selection of Field Site	103
5.2.2. Crop water requirement	103
5.2.3. Soil properties	104
5.2.3.1. EM mapping for soil variability	104
5.2.3.2. Field estimation of soil available water-holding capacity (AWC)	104
5.2.4. Soil water content	105
5.2.5. Irrigator performance	106
5.3 Results and discussion	107
5.3.1. Supply of water to the maize	107
5.3.2. Irrigator performance	113
5.4 CONCLUSIONS	115
CHAPTER SIX	117
A method for spatial prediction of daily soil water status for precise irrigation scheduling	117
Abstract	117
6.1 Introduction	118
6.2. Materials and methods	122
6.2.1. Trial Site	122
6.2.2 EC _a soil survey	123
6.2.3 Characterisation of EC _a -defined soil zones	124
6.2.3.1 Hydraulic characterisation	124
6.2.3.2 Particle size distribution	124
6.2.4 Soil moisture modelling and monitoring	125
6.2.4.1 Daily soil water balance modelling	125
6.2.4.2 TDT soil moisture monitoring of EC _a -defined soil zones	125
6.2.4.3. TDR assessment of spatial and temporal variability of soil moisture within and between zones	126
6.2.4.4. Daily time step for soil water status mapping	126
6.3. Results	127
6.3.1. Soil EC _a map and zone characteristics	127
6.3.2 Soil moisture modelling and monitoring	130
6.3.3 TDR assessment of spatial and temporal variability of soil moisture within and between soil EC _a zones	132
6.3.4 Soil water status mapping	135
6.4. Discussion	136

6.5. Conclusions.....	138
CHAPTER SEVEN.....	139
Soil water status mapping and variable rate irrigation scenarios for two Manawatu farms.....	139
Abstract.....	140
7.1. Introduction.....	140
7.2. Methods.....	143
7.2.1. Site selection	143
7.2.2. Mapping of EC_a and soil AWC.....	145
7.2.3. Real-time soil moisture logging.....	146
7.2.4. Daily water balance model.....	146
7.3. Results.....	147
7.3.1. Maps of EC_a and AWC	147
7.3.2. Water balance calculation.....	151
7.3.3. Variable rate irrigation scenarios	152
7.4. Discussion	156
7.5. Conclusions.....	157
CHAPTER EIGHT	159
Soil water status maps for variable rate irrigation of Waimakariri soils, Canterbury, New Zealand	159
Abstract.....	160
8.1 Introduction.....	161
8.2 Methods.....	164
8.2.1 Site selection	164
8.2.2 Apparent Electrical Conductivity (EC_a) Mapping.....	165
8.2.3 GIS Manipulation of the EM data.....	165
8.2.4 Estimation of Soil AWC in EC_a -defined Management Zones.....	166
8.2.5 Soil Water Balance	167
8.3 Results and Discussion.....	169
8.3.1 EC_a Map and Soil Water-holding Properties	169
8.3.2 Soil Water Balance and Irrigation Scheduling.....	174
8.3.3 A comparison of VRI and URI key performance indicators.....	180
8.4 Conclusions.....	182
8.5 Appendix.....	183
CHAPTER NINE	185
Key performance indicators for simulated variable rate irrigation of variable soils in humid regions.....	185
Abstract.....	186

9.1	Introduction	187
9.2	Methodology	190
9.2.1	Case Studies.....	190
9.2.2	Assessing soil variability by electromagnetic soil survey	191
9.2.3	Soil apparent electrical conductivity map production	192
9.2.4	Estimation of soil AWC in EC _a -defined management zones	192
9.2.5	Soil AWC map production	193
9.2.6	Adding the daily time-step to soil AWC maps using a water balance model.....	193
9.2.7	Variable rate irrigation scheduling.....	194
9.2.8	Key performance indicators	195
9.3	Results.....	198
9.3.1	Soil EC _a maps.....	198
9.3.2	Estimation of daily soil water status in soil management zones using the soil water balance model	203
9.3.4	Assessing the potential benefits of VRI using key performance indicators	205
9.4	Conclusion.....	209
	CHAPTER TEN.....	211
	Summary, future research ideas and conclusions	211
10.1.	Final synthesis of results comparing VRI and URI at six case study sites (Chapters 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9).....	222
10.2.	Final conclusions.....	228
	REFERENCES	230

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Change in consented water abstraction volumes from 1997 to 2004, excluding hydroelectric power generation (Horizons Regional Council, 2008)	11
Table 2.2	Comparison of water productivity of full and deficit irrigation levels for wheat and maize (Zhang, 2003)	19
Table 2.3	A list of available sensors for assessing a range of soil properties (Viscarra Rossel & McBratney, 1998).....	22
Table 3.1	Typical annual fertilizer form and rate applied after land conversion from plantation forest to pasture	62
Table 3.2	Soil fertility (0–7.5 cm), pasture production, and moisture, C, N and C:N ratio (0–15 cm) for soil samples at study sites.....	72
Table 3.3	Statistical analysis of EC _a datasets collected during EMI survey	75
Table 3.4	Variograms Model Parameters for EC _a datasets of 6 sites (models produced using Vesper 1.6 software, ACPA)	76
Table 4.1	EC _a (20 August 2006 survey) zone characteristics.	93
Table 5.1	Spatial and temporal variability of soil moisture at 47 sites in a 33 ha maize crop on alluvial soils, assessed by TDR	111
Table 6.1	Soil characteristics of the three EC _a -defined soil zones (one mean value reported for 0–600 mm soil depth)	128
Table 6.2	Variogram parameters for the EC _a survey data (mS m ⁻¹)	128
Table 6.3	Site and zone soil moisture (θ) statistics from TDR data for the irrigated 35.2 ha maize field	133
Table 6.4	Time step calculation on day <i>x</i> for prediction of daily soil water status ...	135
Table 7.1	Annual rainfall and evapotranspiration data for the 2 sites (1/1/05–1/1/08).....	143
Table 7.2	Measured soil zone characteristics at Sites 1 and 2	151
Table 7.3	A comparison of irrigation water use by VRI and URI at Site 1.....	154
Table 7.4	A comparison of irrigation water use by VRI and URI at Site 2.....	155
Table 8.1	Soil AWC, FC, Wilting Point and Percent Stones for each EC _a -defined zone. AWC, FC, and WP are expressed as a depth of water (mm) in each sampling depth, and in the site mean depth (60 cm)	170

Table 8.2	Potential water savings of VRI compared with URI for Waimakariri soils at the study site.....	175
Table 8.3	KPIs for VRI and URI of the case study irrigated dairy pasture in North Canterbury, New Zealand	181
Table 9.1	Explanation of Key Performance Indicators for assessing potential benefits of VRI	197
Table 9.2	Soil apparent electrical conductivity (EC_a), percent clay, sand and stones, and soil water-holding characteristics of each EC_a -defined soil zone at Sites 1, 2 and 3.....	202
Table 9.3	A comparison of VRI and URI of pasture, potatoes and maize grain for total irrigation requirement, drainage, N leaching, energy use and irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE)	206
Table 10.1	Summary table of soil properties in EC_a -defined zones at all research sites	221
Table 10.2	Summary table of annual VRI water use efficiency indicators at 6 research sites (2004–2008).....	223

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Use of allocated water in New Zealand in 2006 (MfE 2007)	13
Fig. 2.2	Regional variations in the use of allocated water, 2006 (MfE 2007).....	13
Fig. 2.3	Summary map of freshwater supply and demand situation for the Canterbury region (extracted from Morgan et al., 2002).....	14
Fig. 2.4	Rate of growth of irrigated area over the last 200 years (FAOSTAT data, Jury & Vaux, 2007)	17
Fig. 2.5	Some possible proximal sensors for potassium (K), soil colour (SC), soil organic matter (SOM), soil water (SW), clay content (CC), soil nitrogen (SN), cation exchange capacity (CEC) and soil structure (SS) occur throughout the electromagnetic spectrum (Viscarra Rossel & McBratney, 1998).....	23
Fig. 2.7	GPS receiver and satellite configuration (Heywood et al., 2006)	26
Fig. 2.6	General classification of on-the-go soil sensing systems (underlined soil properties are the most likely analytes) (Adamchuk, 2008)	27
Fig. 2.8	Schematic diagram showing operation of the Geonics EM38 soil conductivity sensor in vertical dipole mode over deep topsoil (left) and shallow topsoil (right) (Sudduth, 2001)	30
Fig. 2.9	Relative response of EM38 sensor as a function of distance (adapted from McNeill, 1992) (Sudduth, 2001)	31
Fig. 2.10	On-the-go EM mapping system, employing a Geonics EM38, RTK-GPS, datalogger, on-board field computer and ATV	32
Fig. 2.11	Geonics EM38 – 1980s model	32
Fig. 2.12	Geonics EM38 Mark 2 – 2008 model	32
Fig. 2.13	(a) The Veris 3100 Mapping System mounted behind truck or tractor, equipped with Trimble geographic information system. (b) Schematic of coulter electrode configuration with coulters 2 and 5 inducing current while coulters 3 and 4 measure shallow (0–0.3 m depth) and coulters 1 and 6 measure deep (0–0.9 m depth) apparent electrical conductivity (EC_a) (McCutcheon et al., 2006)	33
Fig. 2.14	Regression statistics for the estimation of profile-average soil properties as a function of EC_a using individual and multiple datasets (Sudduth et al., 2005).....	37

Fig. 2.15	(a) Schematic of the soil sensing system, (b) actual instrument, (c) combined force and moisture penetrometer, and (d) in-field operation (Whelan et al., 2008)	39
Fig. 2.16	Subsoiler-optical unit for on-line Vis-NIR DRS field measurement (Mouazen et al., 2005)	42
Fig. 2.17	Vis-NIR DRS shank module (left) and Vis-NIR DRS probe module (right) (Lund et al., 2008)	42
Fig. 2.18	GPR and TDR assessed soil water ($m^3 m^{-3}$), interpolated from the difference between soil water content data points obtained before and after an irrigation event (Huisman et al., 2003)	44
Fig. 2.19	Maps of (a) EC_a derived % clay, (b) EC_a derived % stoniness/gravels compared with 3D GPR profiles (P2 transect) obtained with (c) 600 MHz antenna and (d) 1600 MHz antenna (De Benedetto et al., 2008)	45
Fig. 2.20	Maps of gamma sensor output (left) and EMI output (right) (Taylor et al., 2008).....	47
Fig. 2.21	Veris on-the-go pH and soil EC mapping system (left) and sampled soil for in-field pH determination (right).....	50
Fig. 3.1	Three theoretical functions for spatial correlation: (a) bounded variogram; (b) unbounded variogram and (c) pure nugget variogram (from Oliver & Webster, 1991)	65
Fig. 3.2	(a) Olsen P, (b) P retention, (c) pH and (d) CEC of 0–7.5 cm soil samples (mean of 15 bulked replicates) taken from permanent pasture (pp) and pastures recently converted from forest (1-yr, 3-yr, 5-yr)	70
Fig. 3.3	(a) Total C, (b) total N and (c) C:N ratio of 0–7.5 cm soil samples (mean of 15 bulked replicates) taken from permanent pasture (pp) and pastures recently converted from forest (1-yr, 3-yr, 5-yr); and (d) pasture production data	71
Fig. 3.4	Partial least square response plot of measured and predicted total soil (a) carbon and (b) nitrogen in soil samples	73
Fig. 3.5	Partial least square response plot of measured and predicted soil moisture content in soil samples	73
Fig. 3.6	Partial least square response plot of measured and predicted herbage nitrogen for a range of pastures on volcanic soils	74
Fig. 3.7	Experimental and Variogram Models of EC_a datasets from 6 sites	77

Fig. 3.8	EC _a maps for Atiamuri 1-yr conversion, 5-yr conversion and permanent pastures sites and Tokoroa permanent pasture site	78
Fig. 3.9	EC _a map for Tokoroa 3-yr conversion site (showing soil sampling positions) for soil C, soil N, Olsen P and herbage N on contrasting land units.....	80
Fig. 3.10	EC _a maps for Manawahe 5-yr conversion site showing soil sampling positions) for soil C, soil N, Olsen P and herbage N on contrasting land units.....	81
Fig. 4.1a	New Zealand soil texture triangle (Milne et al., 1995) defines 11 soil texture classes on a basis of percent sand, silt and clay (fineness class indicated in red)	90
Fig. 4.1b	Fineness class developed for UK soils (Waine et al., 2000) applied to New Zealand soil texture classes	90
Fig. 4.2	EC _a map of the study area showing Zone A, B and C.....	93
Fig. 4.3a	Texture-moisture graph showing θ at field capacity (top curve), an intermediate moisture (middle curve) and when soils were near wilting point (lower curve) for a range of soil textures	94
Fig. 4.3b	Relation of AWC to EC _a for the study area.....	94
Fig. 4.4	AWC map of the study area	95
Fig. 4.5a	Soil moisture prediction surface (13 Dec 06) obtained by co-kriging TDR (n=47) with high resolution digital elevation data (n=9350). Point TDR values are shown	96
Fig. 4.5b	3D soil moisture prediction surface (NW end) showing wetter soils in hollows and a drier area where sandy soils with low EC _a values exist (Zone A). The black circle, o, shows the corresponding point on prediction surfaces in Figs. 4.5a and 4.5b.....	96
Fig. 5.1	Collector placement for paired centre-pivot radial uniformity test (Bloemer, 2006)	106
Fig. 5.2	E _t , rainfall, irrigation and soil water storage during the maize growing season.....	108
Fig. 5.3	Soil apparent electrical conductivity (EC _a) map of the Manawatu maize grain on alluvial soils research site [Manawatu maize 1]. EC _a reflects soil textural differences.	109

Fig. 5.4	Texture-moisture graph (left), showing volumetric soil moisture at field capacity (top curve), an intermediate moisture (middle curve) and near wilting point (lower curve) for a range of soil textures, used to estimate AWC; and relationship between AWC and EC_a (right)	110
Fig. 5.5	Soil moisture map (0-45 cm depth), 12 Feb 2007, obtained by co-kriging θ and high resolution digital elevation data	112
Fig. 5.6	Centre-pivot radial uniformity test showing actual depths of water applied along the 600 m boom compared to the target depth.....	114
Fig. 6.1	Soil EC_a map of the study area (Z1, Z2 and Z3 = Aquaflex positions in Zone 1, Zone 2 and Zone 3 respectively)	127
Fig. 6.2	Soil retentivity data for the 3 EC_a -defined soil zones (one mean value reported for 0 – 600 mm soil depth)	129
Fig. 6.3	Relation of EC_a to soil AWC and FC at the study site	129
Fig. 6.4	Soil wetting and drying patterns in the three EC_a -defined soil zones measured by TDT (0–600mm) and TDR (0–450mm) and modelled by water balance (wbal).....	130
Fig. 6.5	Measured θ_{tdr} , rainfall and irrigation events during the maize growing season.....	131
Fig. 6.6	(a) Bivariate correlations and (b) Scatterplot matrix of soil moisture content values measured by TDR at 50 sites	134
Fig. 7.1	Monthly rainfall and E_t at Site 1 (pasture) and Site 2 (maize) for the period 1/01/05 - 1/01/08.....	144
Fig. 7.2	Site 1: (a) EC_a map, (b) water content-soil texture curves, (c) AWC- EC_a regression curve and (d) derived AWC map	149
Fig. 7.3	Site 2: (a) EC_a map, (b) water content-soil texture curves, (c) AWC- EC_a regression curve and (d) derived AWC map	150
Fig. 7.4	Relation of soil water content ($m^3 m^{-3}$) at FC to soil EC_a (Site 1).....	152
Fig. 7.5	Soil water balance without irrigation (grey plot) and with irrigation (black plot) for: (a) Site 1, Zone 1, (b) Site 1, Zone 2, (c) Site 1, Zone 3, (d) Site 1, Zone 4, (e) Site 2. Hypothetical 10 mm irrigation events (black bars) are shown below these plots for each soil zone	153
Fig. 7.6	Volumetric soil water content ($m^3 m^{-3}$) at Site 2 (Dec 2006 – March 2007).....	156

Fig. 8.1	The introduction of centre-pivot irrigation systems into Canterbury, New Zealand, provides opportunities for variable rate irrigation technology to be used (photo: Google Earth, 2009)	162
Fig. 8.2	Relative change in stock numbers in Canterbury, New Zealand, between 1996 and 2006, with estimated 2002 population totals (millions) in brackets (Statistics NZ) (ECan, 2009)	163
Fig. 8.3	Diagram to represent the soil water balance of a root zone (adapted from Allen et al., 1998). RAWC = readily available water-holding capacity; AWC = total available water-holding capacity (AWC)	167
Fig. 8.4	Part of the Excel spreadsheet used to predict daily soil moisture deficit and irrigation events for each soil zone (see Appendix) [Er = reference E_t ; E = actual E_t ; D = drainage; S = available water stored in the soil; SMD = soil moisture deficit]	169
Fig. 8.5	EC _a map of the 40-ha Canterbury dairy pasture on Waimakariri soils, irrigated by a centre-pivot sprinkler irrigation system	171
Fig. 8.6	Relation of soil EC _a to AWC for Waimakariri soils, at the study site.....	172
Fig. 8.7	AWC map (maximum mm available water in the root zone) of the 40 ha dairy pasture, irrigated by a centre-pivot sprinkler irrigation system	173
Fig. 8.8	Soil moisture deficit (SMD, mm), drainage (□, X, mm) and 10 mm irrigation events (vertical bars at the bottom of each graph) for the 3 soil zones (a = Zone1-AWC 101 mm; b = Zone2-AWC 73mm, c = Zone3-AWC 44 mm) from August 2007, when the soils were at FC, to December 2008. NI = no irrigation; I = irrigation; D = drainage/runoff.....	176
Fig. 8.9	Linear regression models of soil water balance-predicted soil available water status (mm available water in the root zone) against soil EC _a on 3/8/07, 1/9/07, 29/9/07 and 24/10/07 for each soil profile analysed	178
Fig. 8.10	Soil water status map for 1 September 2007, indicating the mm available water in each zone on that day and delineating the management zone (Zone 3) that requires irrigation (in red).....	179
Fig. 8.11	A comparison of KPIs of VRI and URI for a 40 ha irrigated dairy pasture in North Canterbury, New Zealand.....	182
Fig. 9.1	Soil EC _a maps delineate zones with contrasting AWC (a = Pasture; b = Potato; c = Maize site).....	199

Fig. 9.2	Relation of soil apparent electrical conductivity (EC_a) to total available water-holding capacity (AWC) for Waimakariri soils, at the pastoral site	200
Fig. 9.3	Soil moisture deficit (SMD), drainage/runoff (RO) and irrigation events for 1 July 07 – 1 July 08 at (a) pasture site, (b) potato field and (c) maize grain site, using uniform rate irrigation (URI), variable rate irrigation (VRI) and no irrigation (NI) (IE = 10 mm irrigation events) (date format: day/month/year)	205
Fig. 9.4	Radar chart comparing potential benefits of variable rate irrigation (VRI) with uniform rate irrigation (URI) under pasture, maize grain and potatoes (IWUE: irrigation water use efficiency).....	207
Fig. 9.5	Virtual water content ($m^3 t^{-1}$) of pasture, maize and potato crop produced over one season (pasture, maize = mean of 4 years; potato = mean of 2 years).....	208
Fig. 10.1	Soil EC_a map of 23-ha maize field in the Manawatu Sand Country (a) EM survey swath tracks showing point logging positions for GPS and sensor data; (b) kriged EC_a prediction surface, note EC_a boundaries show close agreement with contour lines (red dots show 3 sampling positions); (c) 3D representation of the EC_a map to illustrate the relationship of EC_a with topography. High EC_a values (blue) reflect the low-lying wet soils and low EC_a values (brown) reflect the higher sandy knolls	212
Fig. 10.2	Relation of water savings (%) with VRI to soil variability (defined as the difference between the smallest and largest soil AWC at each site) for five sites and a 4-yr period of study (2004–2008).....	225
Fig. 10.3	Decision tree for assessing the need for VRI	227

