

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF COMPETITIVE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN
SELECTED GRASSES DURING THE ESTABLISHMENT PHASE

A THESIS

PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE

OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

AT

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

UPATISSA RAVINDRANATH SANGAKKARA

1983

ABSTRACT

Competition has been identified as a major phenomenon affecting the growth of pasture species in mixtures. Thus a study comprising of field, glasshouse and climate laboratory experiments was conducted to identify the occurrence and the nature of competitive relationships during establishment and early growth of three common grass species used in the pastoral industry of New Zealand. Simple models of competitive situations were created by the use of the de Wit replacement series principle, in order to carry out these studies.

The results of all experiments demonstrated that the selected species, namely perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) "Grasslands Nui"; prairie grass (*Bromus catharticus* Vahl) "Grasslands Matua" and cocksfoot (*Dactylis glomerata* L.) "Grasslands Apanui" compete for the same environmental resources. The competitive abilities of the three species were in the order of prairie grass > ryegrass > cocksfoot. The superior performance of the more competitive species when compared with its monoculture was associated with a corresponding proportional decrease in the performance of the weaker species in a mixture. These relationships were observed within 3-4 weeks after planting and did not alter under the adopted experimental conditions. In addition, the field experiment illustrated that these relationships were persistent in the second year, under an infrequent cutting regime, although seasonal growth patterns of the three species were identified.

Initial and subsequent plant size had a deterministic effect on the respective competitive abilities of the selected grasses. This was assisted by their growth patterns and earlier germination of the more competitive species. Seed characters also showed a positive relationship with the plant size and competitive abilities of the selected grasses.

Yields of the mixtures lay between those of the respective monocultures in all experiments, irrespective of seasonal growth patterns of the species. Simulated spring and autumn temperatures

within the climate laboratories did not change the pattern of yield or the competitive abilities and relationships of these three species.

Evaluation of the effects of competition on the growth of individual plants of these species when grown in mixtures revealed that both tiller appearance rate and to a greater extent the rate of leaf appearance on the main stem in all species were affected by competition during early growth. These effects were associated with the dry matter accumulation patterns of the selected species in mixtures.

Root competition began earlier and had a greater impact on the overall competitive relationships between the selected species than shoot competition. This was attributed to the earlier and greater intermingling of the root systems than shoot systems. Evaluation of the root growth of species both as single plants and in mixtures supported this conclusion. However, the mechanisms of root competition were not elucidated.

In order to identify the pasture establishment practices of farmers and thereby relate the results of these studies to the practical situation, a survey was carried out among farmers in central New Zealand. The results highlighted that farmers use seed mixtures containing 2-4 grasses to establish their pastures. The grasses selected for this study were shown to be those most commonly used by farmers.

The results of the experiments of this study are discussed in relation to the pastoral industry of New Zealand.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Professor B.R. Watkin (Head, Department of Agronomy) and Mr E. Roberts (Senior Lecturer, Department of Agronomy) for their advice, encouragement, helpful criticism and assistance during the course of this study and preparation of this manuscript. Their guidance has been invaluable in all aspects. Acknowledgement is also made of the assistance and advice extended by Mr P.N.P. Matthews (Lecturer, Department of Agronomy), especially during the field trial.

Gratitude is expressed to

- Mr G.C. Arnold (Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Massey University); Dr V.J. Thomas (Applied Mathematics Division, D.S.I.R.) and Mr D.H.B. Esslemont (Market Research Centre, Massey University) for their advice and assistance with statistical analysis of the experiments and survey.
- Mr I.J. Warrington, Dr R.M. Haslemore and the members of staff of the Controlled Climate Laboratories, Plant Physiology Division, D.S.I.R. for their cooperation and assistance during experiments in the climate laboratories.
- Dr M.J. Hill, for providing facilities at the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University.
- Mr T.J. Lynch and other field technicians of the Agronomy Department for their assistance in conducting the field trial.
- Dr W. Harris (ex Grasslands Division, Currently Director, Botany Division, D.S.I.R.) and Dr D.R. Scotter (Department of Soil Science, Massey University) for their helpful discussions.
- The staff and graduate students of the Agronomy Department for their discussions, assistance and criticism.
- Mrs J. Cave for her careful typing of this manuscript and other associated reports during the course of this study, and Mr G. Halligan for preparing the illustrations.

The award of a Commonwealth Scholarship by the University Grants Committee, New Zealand for the undertaking of this study is greatly appreciated. Acknowledgement is made to Massey University for the Graduate Study Award in 1983.

Finally, gratitude is expressed to my parents and brother for their understanding and patience during the period of study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xiv
List of Plates	xvi
List of Appendices	xvii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Definition of plant competition	8
2.3 Interactive relationships in grasslands	11
2.3.1 Plant competition	11
2.3.2 Allelopathy	17
2.3.3 General Comments	18
2.4 Characters associated with competitive ability in herbage species	19
2.4.1 Seed characteristics	21
2.4.2 Germination	24
2.4.3 Seedling growth	25
2.4.4 General considerations	30
2.5 Environmental factors affecting competitive associations in herbage plants	31
2.5.1 "Supply factors" affecting competition between herbage plants	32
2.5.2 "Conditioning factors" affecting competition between herbage plants	39
2.5.3 General considerations	44
2.6 Nature of competition within herbage associations	45
2.6.1 Competition between shoot systems of herbage mixtures	46
2.6.2 Competition between root systems of herbage species	47
2.6.3 Interactions between shoot and root competition	53

2.7	Measurement of plant competition	56
2.7.1	Experimental designs for studying plant competition	56
2.7.2	Methods of analysing plant competition	60
2.7.3	The de Wit model	64
2.8	Conclusion	71
CHAPTER 3.	COMPETITIVE EFFECTS ON PLANT GROWTH AND THE YIELD OF GRASS MIXTURES DURING THE ESTABLISHMENT PHASE.	73
3.1	General Introduction	73
3.2	<u>Experiment 1.</u> A field study on the effects of competition on plant performance and the yield of binary mixtures of grass species during the establishment phase from seed, and sub- sequent growth of the established swards.	75
3.2.1	Introduction	75
3.2.2	Experimental procedure	76
3.2.3	Results	81
3.2.4	Discussion	102
3.2.5	Conclusions	107
3.3	<u>Experiment 2.</u> A study on the effects of competition on plant performance and the yield of binary mixtures of grass species during the establishment phase from seedlings of the same age	108
3.3.1	Introduction	108
3.3.2	Experimental procedure	108
3.3.3	Results	110
3.3.4	Discussion	122
3.2.5	Conclusions	124
3.4	<u>Experiment 3.</u> A study on the effects of competition on plant performance and the yield of binary mixtures of grass species during the establishment phase from seedlings of similar growth stage, and the effect of temperature on their relationships.	125
3.4.1	Introduction	125
3.4.2	Experimental procedure	125
3.4.3	Results	128

3.4.4	Discussion	140
3.4.5	Conclusion	142
3.5	Concluding Remarks	143
CHAPTER 4.	AN EVALUATION OF THE COMPETITIVE EFFECTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT AND EARLY GROWTH OF INDIVIDUAL GRASS PLANTS GROWING IN BINARY MIXTURES	145
4.1	Introduction	145
4.2	Experimental procedure	146
4.3	Results	149
4.4	Discussion	166
4.5	Conclusions	170
CHAPTER 5.	A STUDY ON THE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SHOOT AND ROOT COMPETITION IN DETERMINING THE OVERALL COMPETITIVE RELATIONSHIPS IN BINARY MIXTURES OF GRASS SPECIES	171
5.1	Introduction	171
5.2	Experimental procedure	172
5.3	Results	174
5.4	Discussion	183
5.5	Conclusions	185
CHAPTER 6.	SEED AND PLANT GROWTH CHARACTERISTICS OF GRASS SPECIES DURING THE SEEDLING PHASE	
6.1	Introduction	187
6.2	Experimental procedure	188
6.3	Results	190
6.4	Discussion	199
6.5	Conclusions	200
CHAPTER 7.	GRASS SPECIES USED AND PASTURE ESTABLISHMENT IN CENTRAL NEW ZEALAND	202
7.1	Introduction	202
7.2	Methodology	202
7.3	Results	205
7.4	Discussion and conclusions	213
CHAPTER 8.	GENERAL OVERVIEW AND CONCLUSIONS	216
8.1	Competition between "Grasslands Nui" perennial ryegrass, "Grasslands Matua" prairie grass and "Grasslands Apanui" cocksfoot	216

8.2	Response of individual plants to competitive stress during establishment and early growth	222
8.3	Root and shoot competition between the selected grass species	223
8.4	Species characteristics affecting competitive ability during establishment and early growth	225
8.5	Practical implications of the experiments to pasture establishment practices of New Zealand farmers	226
8.6	Conclusions	230
	Appendices	233
	Bibliography	254

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	The interactive process of competition between root and shoot systems of herbage plants (Donald, 1958).	55
-----------	---	----

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1	Meteorological measurements, D.S.I.R. - Palmerston North.	81
Table 3.2	Time of emergence of species (days)	82
Table 3.3	Plant counts of species taken 28 days after sowing (No. plants per 0.1m ²)	82
Table 3.4	Mean tiller numbers per plant of species grown in mixtures and monocultures during early growth	85
Table 3.5	Mean weights of shoots (g) per plant of species grown in mixtures and monocultures during early growth	87
Table 3.6	Dry matter (g/m ²) of grass mixtures and monocultures at each harvest during the establishment phase	88
Table 3.7	Dry matter yields of grass mixtures and monocultures at each harvest during the second year	91
Table 3.8	Relative crowding coefficients of binary combinations at every harvest during the second year.	95
Table 3.9	Relative yield totals based on vegetative characters of the species over the establishment phase.	97
Table 3.10	Relative yield totals based on yield components	98
Table 3.11	Aggressivity indices of the more aggressive species based on plant characters in the establishment phase	100
Table 3.12	Mean tiller number per plant of the grass species during early growth and prior to every harvest, when grown in mixtures and monocultures	111
Table 3.13	Mean shoot weight per plant (g) of the grass species at every harvest, when grown	

in mixtures and monocultures.	112
Table 3.14 Leaf area (cm ²) per plant of the grass species at every harvest	113
Table 3.15 Dry matter yields (g/box) of mixtures and monocultures at each harvest	116
Table 3.16 Relative yield totals based on vegetative characters of the 3 species - Experiment 2.	119
Table 3.17 Relative yield totals based on yield components at each harvest - Experiment 2.	119
Table 3.18 Aggressivity indices of the more aggressive species based on the vegetative characters of the 3 species - Experiment 2.	121
Table 3.19 Mean tiller numbers per plant of the grass species at high and low temperatures, measured at an average light interception of 50% and 95% across all treatments	130
Table 3.20 Mean leaf area per plant (cm ²) of the 3 grass species at every harvest for the two temperature regimes	
Table 3.21 Mean shoot weight (g plant ⁻¹ D.M.) of the grass species at every harvest for the two temperature regimes	132
Table 3.22 Dry matter yields (g pot ⁻¹) of the micro swards for the two temperature regimes	134
Table 3.23 Relative yield totals based on vegetative characters of the 3 grass species at the two temperature regimes	136
Table 3.24 Relative yield totals based on yield components at every harvest for the two temperature regimes	137
Table 3.25 Aggressivity indices of the more aggressive species based on the vegetative characters of the grass species at the two temperature regimes.	139

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1 Mean plant height (cm) of species in mixtures and monocultures	150
Table 4.2 Mean root length (cm) of species in mixtures and monocultures	151

Table 4.3	Mean leaf area (cm ²) of species in mixtures and monocultures	152
Table 4.4	Mean shoot weight (g) of species in mixtures and monocultures	153
Table 4.5	Mean root weight (g) per plant of species in mixtures and monocultures	154
Table 4.6	Leaf and tiller appearance rates of species growing in mixtures and monocultures during early growth	157
Table 4.7	Dry matter accumulation rates of shoots and roots of species grown in mixtures and monocultures during early growth	160
Table 4.8	Relative yield totals of species combinations based on shoot and root weights at every harvest	162
Table 4.9	Aggressivity based on shoot and root weight per plant of the more aggressive species growing in mixtures and monocultures	163

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1	Mean tiller numbers per plant of species grown in different interspecific competitive situations	175
Table 5.2	Mean shoot weight per plant (g DM) of species grown in different interspecific competitive situations	176
Table 5.3	Mean root weight per plant (g DM) of species grown in different interspecific competitive situations	178
Table 5.4	Relative yield total based on shoot weights and root weights of species	180

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1	1000 seed weights (g) of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot	190
Table 6.2	The weights of seeds and seed components of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot	190
Table 6.3	Total length of all roots (m) and pattern of root length distribution of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot within the rooting medium	195

Table 6.4	Dry weight (mg) of roots and pattern of root weight distribution of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot within the rooting medium.	196
Table 6.5	Dry weight of shoots (mg per plant) of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot during early growth	197
Table 6.6	Dry matter accumulation rates of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot during early growth	198
CHAPTER 7		
Table 7.1	Response rate of questionnaires for each region	204
Table 7.2	The percentage of tractorable land in each type of farm	205
Table 7.3	Techniques used and to be used by farmers to establish pastures (% use of each method)	207
Table 7.4	Pasture mixtures sown and to be sown (% of all farmers using each type of mix)	208
Table 7.5	Percentage of farmers using a particular ryegrass cultivar as the major component in the seed mixture	211
Table 7.6	Factors assisting farmers in selection of pasture species (% of farmers surveyed)	212
CHAPTER 8		
Table 8.1	Dry matter accumulation rates based on shoot weights of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot at 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks after planting (adapted from Experiment 4)	226
Appendix 9		
Table A9.1	Shoot emergence of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot when germinated in binary combinations	245
Table A9.2	Root length (cm) of species growing in mixtures and monocultures	246

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 2

- Figure 2.1 Relationships between various concepts of plant interrelationships based on Tinnin (1972); Braakhekke (1980) 10
- Figure 2.2 Replacement diagrams representing competitive relationships between two component species 64a
- Figure 2.3 Relative Yield Totals and corresponding replacement diagrams illustrating different competitive situations (Braakhekke, 1980) 68

CHAPTER 3

- Figure 3.1 Point analysis (number of hits of each species) along a fixed transect across all treatments 83
- Figure 3.2 Cumulative dry matter yields (g.m^{-2}) of grass mixtures and monocultures during the establishment phase 89
- Figure 3.3 Cumulative dry matter yields (g.m^{-2}) of grass mixtures and monocultures during the second year 92
- Figure 3.4 Replacement diagrams based on dry matter yields (g.m^{-2}) of species components in grass mixtures and monocultures and total yields for 3 harvests during the establishment year. 94
- Figure 3.5 Replacement diagrams based on dry matter yields (g.m^{-2}) of species in mixtures and monocultures and total yield at every harvest during the second year 94a
- Figure 3.6 Planting arrangement of two species mixtures according to the replacement principle. 109a
- Figure 3.7 Replacement diagrams based on dry matter yields (g/box) of species components in grass mixtures and monocultures and total yields at each harvest 118
- Figure 3.8 Replacement diagrams based on dry matter yields (g.pot^{-2}) of species components in grass mixtures and total yields at each harvest for the high temperature and low temperature regimes 135

CHAPTER 4

- Figure 4.1 The rate of total dry matter accumulation per plant of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot, measured at weekly intervals and at 95% light interception 165

CHAPTER 5

- Figure 5.1 Planting arrangements of two species mixtures growing in 1:1 ratios 172a

- Figure 5.2 The competitive ability of species measured as aggressivity (McGilchrist and Trenbath, 1971) based on shoot weights of plants of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot when grown in binary mixtures under conditions of full competition, root competition and shoot competition 181

- Figure 5.3 The competitive ability of species measured as aggressivity based on root weights of plants of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot when grown in binary mixtures under conditions of full competition, root competition and shoot competition. 182

CHAPTER 6

- Figure 6.1 Plant height and root length (from base of plant to tip of youngest leaf and longest root) in ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot during early growth 191

- Figure 6.2 Leaf area per plant of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot during early growth 192

- Figure 6.3 Tiller numbers per plant in ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot during early growth 193

CHAPTER 7

- Figure 7.1 A map of New Zealand illustrating the statistical areas selected for the survey (shaded area). 203a.

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1.	A general view of the field trial (Experiment 1)	76a
Plate 2.	A general view of the box experiment (Experiment 2)	108a
Plate 3.	The layout of the experiment in the controlled environment rooms	127a
Plate 4.	Experiment 4 - The general layout within the climate laboratory	147a
Plate 5.	Tagging of tillers	148a
Plate 6.	Experiment 6 - Partitioning of shoot and root competition	173a
Plate 7.	The study of individual plants in a heated glasshouse	188a
Plate 8.	Layout within the germination cabinet	243a

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1.	The mathematical model to calculate the Relative Crowding Coefficients (de Wit, 1960)	233
Appendix 2A.	Soil moisture tension (Bars) within the top 20 cm layer of soil, and the weekly rainfall figures (monitored at the D.S.I.R., Palmerston North) over the experimental period	235
Appendix 2B.	Computed soil water storage of the experimental site over the experimental period using the method of Scotter <i>et al.</i> (1979a,b).	236
Appendix 3.	Mean plant height (cm) of species grown in mixtures and in monocultures during early growth	237
Appendix 4.	Mean depth of roots (cm) per plant grown in mixtures and in monocultures during early growth	238
Appendix 5.	Mean weight (g) of roots of species grown in mixtures and in monocultures during early growth	239
Appendix 6.	Mean plant height (cm) of the grass species during early growth and prior to every harvest, when grown in mixtures and in monocultures	240
Appendix 7.	Mean plant height (cm) of the grass species at high and low temperatures, measured at an average light interception of 50% and 95% across all treatments	241
Appendix 8.	Classification of tillers (Langer, 1972)	242
Appendix 9.	Shoot emergence and root development of ryegrass, prairie grass and cocksfoot when germinated in binary combinations	243
Appendix 10A.	Letter accompanying the questionnaire to farmers	248
Appendix 10B.	Questionnaire sent to farmers for the survey on the use of pasture species in Central New Zealand	249