

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF THE METABOLISM
OF LOW AND HIGH BACKFAT SOUTHDOWN SHEEP
AT TWO LEVELS OF ENERGY ALLOWANCE

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Agricultural Science
in Animal Science at
Massey University

PETER FRANCIS MORGAN

1989

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would firstly like to thank my supervisors, Dr Stuart McCutcheon and Dr Roger Purchas, for their valuable assistance in the design, procedure and evaluation of this study, and Ruud Bremmers with whom I was very fortunate to be working. I am grateful to the staff and post-graduates of the Animal Science Department and farm staff for the many, largely voluntary, hours spent weighing, feeding, cleaning, blood sampling and processing. This includes Mr Barry Parlane, Mrs Janice Rumbal, Miss Margaret Scott, Dr Duncan Mckenzie, Miss Anne McClelland, Mr Sean Beer, Mr Zhen Zhong Xu, Miss Beverly Thompson, Miss Carolyn Clark, Mr Sam Peterson, Mr Guo Xing, and Mr Mark Carter.

I would like to thank Mrs Janice Rumbal, Miss Margaret Scott, Dr Bob Greenway, and Ms Geraldine Borrie for their expert supervision and assistance in the hormone and metabolite assays.

I would like to thank Mr John Rendel, Professor Robert Anderson and Professor Al Rae for their help in the statistical analysis.

I am also personally greatly indebted to many people; to Dr Stuart McCutcheon, for his tremendous effort, patience and enthusiasm invested in my work; to all my family, Steve and Jenny Lees and the Bouma family for their support; and to Ann Bouma for her love and understanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

Body Composition as a Selection Criterion	
in the NZ Sheep Industry	1
The Use Of Markers To Predict Genetic Merit	
for Body Composition	2
1. Assessment of size and shape in the live animal	2
(a) Visual assessment of conformation	3
(b) Handling	3
(c) Linear measurements	4
2. Fat characteristics	4
(a) Fat depth	5
(b) Adipocyte size and number	6
3. Physiological characteristics	7
Physiological Differences Between Selection Lines	8
1. Basal concentrations of hormones and metabolites	8
Hormones	8
(a) Somatotropin	8
(b) IGF-1	10
(c) Insulin	11
(d) Thyroid hormones	12

	<u>Page</u>
Metabolites	13
(a) Urea and creatinine	13
(b) Glucose	15
(c) Non-esterified Fatty Acids	15
3. Responses to metabolite and hormone challenges	16
(a) Insulin challenge	16
(b) Glucose challenge	17
(c) Glucagon challenge	18
(d) Adrenaline challenge	19
(e) Fasting and refeeding	20
4. Fat breakdown and oxidation	21
5. Fat accretion	22
6. Protein synthesis	23
 Non-Genetic Factors Affecting Physiological Differences	
Between Selection Lines	25
1. Age	25
2. Physiological state	27
3. Diurnal variation and daylength	27
4. Energy balance	28
Purpose and Scope of the Investigation	31

CHAPTER II: EXPERIMENTAL

EFFECT OF ENERGY BALANCE ON RESPONSES TO
 INTRAVENOUS HORMONE AND METABOLITE CHALLENGES
 IN SOUTHDOWN SHEEP FROM LINES DIVERGENTLY SELECTED
 ON THE BASIS OF BACKFAT DEPTH

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	32
Introduction	33
Materials and Methods	35
1. Animals	35
2. Experimental procedure	37
(a) Protocol	37
(b) Cannulation	40
(c) Challenge period	41
(d) Fasting period	46
3. Determination of plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations	46
(a) Glucose	46
(b) Non-esterified fatty acids	47
(c) Urea and creatinine	48
(d) Insulin and somatotropin	48
(e) Thyroid hormones	49
4. Glucose tolerance test	50
5. Statistical analysis	51

	<u>Page</u>
Results	
1. Liveweight, liveweight gain and backfat measurements .	52
2. Challenge responses	53
(a) Adrenaline challenge	53
(b) Insulin challenge	56
(c) Glucose challenge	60
(d) Glucagon challenge	64
3. Fasting responses	66
4. Thyroid hormones	71
Discussion	73
<u>CHAPTER III: GENERAL DISCUSSION</u>	78
APPENDICES	86
REFERENCES	93

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
2.1	Protocol of events.	38
2.2	Dose rates and concentrations of the four intravenous challenges.	42
2.3	Challenge times for the three groups of four rams per block	43
2.4	Blood sampling times (minutes) for each challenge	45
2.5	Source of hormones and assay parameters for the measurement of insulin and somatotropin concentrations	49
2.6	Assay parameters for the measurement of T_3 and T_4 concentrations	50
2.7	Weight change and backfat thickness in high backfat line and low backfat line Southdown rams at two feeding levels.	53
2.8	Glucose tolerance test parameters after an intravenous glucose challenge (1.7g/kg liveweight) in high backfat line or low backfat line Southdown rams on two feeding levels.	61
3.1	Liveweight change and calculated net energy retention at different maintenance requirements in high and low backfat line Southdown rams at two levels of feeding	82

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2.1 Plasma concentrations of glucose and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs at 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding during an intravenous adrenaline challenge (1.0ug/kg liveweight administered at time zero).	55
2.2 Plasma concentrations of glucose and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs at 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding during an intravenous insulin challenge (0.01g/kg liveweight administered at time zero).	58
2.3 Plasma glucose concentration, corrected for pre-challenge glucose concentration, in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown rams during an intravenous insulin challenge (0.17g/kg liveweight administered at time zero).	59
2.4 Plasma concentrations of glucose and insulin in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs at 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding during an intravenous glucose challenge (0.17g/kg liveweight administered at time zero)	63
2.5 Plasma concentrations of glucose and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs at 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding during an intravenous glucagon challenge (0.175ug/kg liveweight administered at time zero)	65
2.6 Plasma concentrations of glucose and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs (previously offered 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding) during a 72 hour fast (beginning at time zero)	68
2.7 Plasma concentrations of somatotropin and insulin in 12 high backfat line and 12 low backfat line Southdown ram lambs (previously offered 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding) during a 72 hour fast (beginning at time zero)	69

<u>FIGURE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
2.8	Plasma concentrations of urea and creatinine in high backfat line and low backfat line Southdown ram lambs (previously offered 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding) during a 72 hour fast (beginning at time zero)	70
2.9	Plasma concentrations of triiodothyronine (T_3) and thyroxine (T_4) in high backfat line and low backfat line Southdown ram lambs fed every 2 hours at 0.7 x maintenance feeding and 1.2 x maintenance feeding during 24 hours (beginning at time zero)	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	analysis of variance
BMR	basal metabolic rate
BSA	bovine serum albumin
BW	body weight
CV	coefficient of variation
dl	decilitre
g	gram
$G_{(0)}$	glucose space (%)
h	hour
h^2	heritability
IGF-1	insulin-like growth factor-I
IU	international unit
kg	kilogram
K_g	glucose rate constant
LPL	lipo-protein lipase
LW	liveweight
mg	milligram
mm	millimetre
mM	millimolar
ml	millilitre
ng	nanogram
NEFA	non esterified fatty acids
P	probability
r	correlation coefficient
SED	standard error of the difference
SEM	standard error of the mean
T_3	triiodothyronine
T_4	thyroxine
pg	picogram
ug	microgram
ul	microlitre

Levels of Statistical Significance

NS	Not significant $P > 0.10$
+	$0.10 > P > 0.05$
*	$0.05 > P > 0.01$
**	$0.01 > P > 0.001$
***	$0.001 > P$

LIST OF APPENDICIES

<u>APPENDIX</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
I	Arrangement of rams in the metabolism crates and their line, feed level, block and sample group	86
II	Calculation of Feed Allowances	87
III	Bremmers <u>et al.</u> (1988)	88