

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF HAY DIGESTIBILITY
USING AN IN VITRO FERMENTATION TECHNIQUE

A THESIS
PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
FOR THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY

SHIN HO PARKS

DECEMBER 1966

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful acknowledgement is made to my supervisor Mr A.W.F. Davey, and Professors I.L. Campbell and D.S. Flux for guidance and criticism throughout this project.

The author is indebted to Mr G.F. Wilson for his valuable criticism; Mr A.C. Glenday for his guidance in statistical treatment of the data; the Supervisor and staff of the Animal Physiology Unit for facilities and help given; and Mr N.A. Thomson for his invaluable help in numerous ways in the laboratory.

Thanks are further due to Mr D.L. Jenkins, Miss M.G. Campbell and the staff of the Massey University Library, for their assistance in obtaining literature.

Special thanks are accorded Miss S.E. Schamroth for typing the draft and final copies of the thesis.

This thesis was carried out under the Colombo Plan awarded by the New Zealand Government.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
	INTRODUCTION	1
	<u>PART I</u>	
	<u>ESTIMATION OF APPARENT DIGESTIBILITY</u>	
1	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
1.1.	Between species variation in apparent digestibility in ruminants	6
1.2.	Within species variation	9
1.3.	The effect of level of intake on apparent digestibility	11
1.4.	Associative digestibility	13
1.5.	Grinding and pelleting	14
1.6.	Variations in apparent digestibility as affected by experimental technique	16
2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
2.1.	Animals	25
2.2.	Feeds	25
2.3.	Experimental design	28
2.4.	Sampling of feeds and faeces	29
3	RESULTS	31
3.1.	Animals	31
3.2.	Digestibility of the mixed hay	31
3.3.	Digestibility trial using individual hays	35
3.4.	Comparison of different lengths of preliminary and collection period	39

4	DISCUSSION	43
4.1.	Animals	43
4.2.	Digestibility of the mixed hay	43
4.3.	Digestibility trial using individual hays	45
4.4.	Comparison of different lengths of preliminary and collection period	46

PART II

IN VITRO FERMENTATION STUDIES

5	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	49
5.1.	Type of <u>in vitro</u> system	49
5.2.	Source and preparation of inoculum	52
5.3.	Preparation of substrate	57
5.4.	Length of fermentation period	59
5.5.	The amount of substrate and ratio of substrate to artificial mixture	61
5.6.	Effect of pH	62
5.7.	Accuracy of <u>in vitro</u> technique	63
6	MATERIALS AND METHODS	68
6.1.	General description	68
6.2.	Repeatability trial	71
6.3.	Comparison of source of inoculum	72
6.4.	Comparison of two cows as donors of rumen inoculum	72
6.5.	Time of rumen liquor collection in relation to time since last grazing	72
6.6.	<u>In vitro</u> digestibility studies with hay A, B and C samples	73

6.7.	Relationship between chemical components and <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of feed	77
7	RESULTS	78
7.1.	Repeatability	78
7.2.	Comparison of source of inoculum	80
7.3.	Lengths of fermentation period	81
7.4.	Fineness of grinding	87
7.5.	<u>In vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay A, B and C	89
7.6.	Relationships between chemical components and <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hays and grasses	93
8	DISCUSSION	99
8.1.	Reproducibility of <u>in vitro</u> technique	99
8.2.	Comparison of source of inoculum	101
8.3.	Lengths of fermentation period	104
8.4.	Fineness of grinding	107
8.5.	<u>In vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay A, B and C	108
8.6.	Relationships between chemical components and <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hays and grasses	109
9	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	111
	REFERENCES	114
	APPENDICES	138

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1.1.	Comparison of abilities of dairy cows and sheep to digest herbage	6
1.2.	The average differences in digestibility by cattle and sheep of feeds after adjustment for proximate composition	7
1.3.	Effect of different time on O.M. digestibility of sheep	10
1.4.	Effect of level of intake and physical form on apparent digestibility with sheep	15
1.5.	Relationship between the variability of the observed value of digestibility of D.M. and the numbers of sheep represented	19
1.6.	Relationship between the variation of D.M. digestibility and the number of animals	21
1.7.	Number of animals required for a given probability of obtaining a significant result	22
2.1.	Description of hays	26
2.2.	Experimental design used in digestibility trial for the mixed hay and hay A, B and C	27
3.1.	Live weights of experimental sheep	32
3.2.	D.M. intake during <u>ad lib.</u> feeding period with mixed hay	33
3.3.	Mean daily D.M. intake of mixed hay during the preliminary period	34
3.4.	Mean daily O.M. intake and faeces produced during the collection period and O.M. digestibility of mixed hay	36
3.5.	Mean daily D.M. intake during <u>ad lib.</u> feeding period with hay A, B and C	37
3.6.	Feed consumed, faeces voided and digestibility of hay A, B and C	38
3.7.	Comparison of different lengths of preliminary and collection period	42

6.1.	The design of <u>in vitro</u> digestibility experiment for hay A, B, C and mixed hays	74
7.1.	<u>In vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of standard grass and within and between trial variability	79
7.2.	Comparison of different lengths of second stage fermentation using four substrates	82
7.3.	<u>In vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay A, B and C, and within and between trial variability	91
7.4.	Chemical composition and <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay and grass samples	96

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
3.1.	O.M. intake during the collection period with hay A, B and C	41
7.1.	The effect of length of fermentation on <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay A,B,C and standard grass	84
7.2.	Proportion of O.M. digested <u>in vitro</u> for different fermentation periods	85
7.3.	pH change during fermentation with hay A, B, C and standard grass	86
7.4.	Regressions of <u>in vivo</u> digestibility on <u>in vitro</u> digestibility of three different lengths of fermentation period using hay A, B and C	88
7.5.	Regression of <u>in vivo</u> O.M. digestibility on <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of two different particle sizes on 72 hrs (R.L.) + 48 hrs (pepsin) fermentation periods	90
7.6.	Variation of <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hay A, B, C and standard grass between trials	92
7.7.	Regression of <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of hays on <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility of standard grass	94
7.8.	Regression of <u>in vivo</u> digestibility on <u>in vitro</u> digestibility using 48 hr + 48 hr fermentation periods with 4 hays	95
7.9.	Regression of <u>in vitro</u> O.M. digestibility on crude protein content and crude fibre content of feed	98